



UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Up or out? Archetypes and person-organization fit in Dutch law firms

de Haas, M.J.O.M.

**Publication date**  
2013

[Link to publication](#)

#### **Citation for published version (APA):**

de Haas, M. J. O. M. (2013). *Up or out? Archetypes and person-organization fit in Dutch law firms*.

#### **General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

#### **Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

---

# *Table of Contents*

<b>Preface</b>	5
<b>Chapter 1</b>	13
Introduction	
1. Aim, object and content of the research	
2. Lawyers and Law Firms in The Netherlands	
2.1. Professionals and professional service firms	
2.1.1. Professionals	
2.1.2. Professional service firms	
2.2. The legal profession	
2.2.1. Dutch Bar Association, history and institutions	
2.3. Growth of the legal industry	
2.4. Management of law firms	
2.5. The economy of the law firm	
2.6. The legal workforce	
2.7. The legal career	
3. Research model	
3.1. Research questions and chapters	
<b>Chapter 2</b>	27
Archetypes and HRM practices in Dutch law firms	
1. Introduction	
2. HRM and firm performance	
2.1. Human Resource Management	
2.1.1. Resource based view	
2.2. HRM and performance	
2.3. Alignment of HRM practices	

- 2.3.1. HRM alignment and P-O fit
- 3. Archetypes of professional services firms
  - 3.1. Professional partnership and managed professional business
  - 3.2. Archetype shift or differentiation?
  - 3.3. HRM in professional services firms' archetypes
    - 3.3.1. The up-or-out career model
    - 3.3.2. Alternative career models
    - 3.3.3. Other HRM practices; incentives and training
  - 3.4. HRM and other elements of the archetypes
- 4. HRM and archetypes in Dutch law firms
  - 4.1. HRM in Dutch law firms, introduction
  - 4.2. Research proposition
  - 4.3. Method
    - 4.3.1. Interviews managing partners
    - 4.3.2. Reports and rating
    - 4.3.3. Triangulation
- 5. Results
  - 5.1. Internal alignment of HRM practices
  - 5.2. External alignment: relating the HRM practices to other archetype elements
- 6. Discussion
  - 6.1. Alignment of HRM practices
  - 6.2. Reputation and economic factors
  - 6.3. Single office and multi-office firms
  - 6.4. Limitation
  - 6.5. Follow up

### Chapter 3

61

P-O fit lawyers – law firms; objective and subjective fit, effects on satisfaction, commitment and intention to stay

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Person – organization fit
  - 2.1. Fit and archetype elements
  - 2.2. Actual, objective fit versus subjective fit
  - 2.3. Effects of P-O fit
- 3. Hypotheses
- 4. Development of fit over time
- 5. Methods

- 5.1. Sample and procedure, survey T1
- 5.2. Sample and procedure, survey T2
- 5.3. Measures
- 6. Results
  - 6.1. Surveys T1 and T2
  - 6.2. Descriptive statistics and correlations
  - 6.3. Polynomial regression analysis
  - 6.4. Hypothesis 1, Objective fit
  - 6.5. Hypothesis 2, Subjective fit
    - 6.5.1. Expertise values
    - 6.5.2. Management values
    - 6.5.3. Short term reward values
    - 6.5.4. Long term perspective values
    - 6.5.5. Summary
- 7. Discussion
  - 7.1. Expertise values
  - 7.2. Management values
  - 7.3. Short term reward values
  - 7.4. Long term perspective
  - 7.5. Satisfaction, commitment and intention to stay
  - 7.6. Summary
  - 7.7. Limitations

## **Chapter 4**

89

The influence of core self-evaluations and human capital

- 1. Introduction
  - 1.1. Core self-evaluations
  - 1.2. Human capital
  - 1.3. Hypotheses
- 2. Methods
  - 2.1. Measures
- 3. Results
  - 3.1. Descriptive statistics and correlations
  - 3.2. Core self-evaluations as moderator; hypothesis 3
    - 3.2.1. Expertise values
    - 3.2.2. Management values
    - 3.2.3. Short term reward values
    - 3.2.4. Long term perspective values
    - 3.2.5. Summary, test of hypothesis 3

- 3.3. Application success as moderator; hypothesis 4
  - 3.3.1. Application success 1 (job interviews/applications ratio) as a moderator
    - 3.3.1.1. Expertise values
    - 3.3.1.2. Management values
    - 3.3.1.3. Short term reward values
    - 3.3.1.4. Long term perspective values
    - 3.3.1.5. Summary, moderating effect AS1
  - 3.3.2. Application success 2 (job offers/interview ratio)
    - 3.3.2.1. Expertise values
    - 3.3.2.2. Management values
    - 3.3.2.3. Short term reward values
    - 3.3.2.4. Long term perspective values
  - 3.3.3. Summary, moderating effect of human capital
- 4. Discussion
  - 4.1. Expertise values
  - 4.2. Management values
  - 4.3. Short term reward values
  - 4.4. Long term perspective values
  - 4.5. Core self-evaluation and application success
  - 4.6. Limitations

## Chapter 5

113

Changes over time, qualitative analysis and interviews

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Method and analysis
  - 2.1. Results
  - 2.2. Discussion
- 3. Looking for explanations: young lawyers speaking
  - 3.1. Introduction
  - 3.2. Method
  - 3.3. Results
    - 3.3.1. Objective fit
    - 3.3.2. Expertise values
    - 3.3.3. Management values
    - 3.3.4. Short term reward
    - 3.3.5. Long term perspective
    - 3.3.6. Summary
- 4. Discussion
  - 4.1. Limitations

## **Chapter 6**

133

### Discussion

1. Introduction
2. Summary of the results
  - 2.1. HRM practices and archetypes
  - 2.2. P-O value fit
  - 2.3. Changes of value fit and fit effects over time
3. Discussion
  - 3.1. Do the professional partnership and the managed professional business exist among Dutch law firms?
  - 3.2. HRM practices as distinguishing elements
  - 3.3. What are the values really about?
  - 3.4. Methodological issues
4. Limitations
5. Implications for theory development and further research
  - 5.1. Archetypes; configurational approach; specific measures of P-O value fit
  - 5.2. Differentiation of values and value fit effects
  - 5.3. Attraction-selection-attrition; human capital
6. Implications for practice

### **Summary in Dutch** (Nederlandse samenvatting)

161

### **Appendices**

167

- I. Interview items managing partners and opposite responses
- II. Survey items
- III. Means, standard deviations and correlations, surveys T1 and T2
- IV. Variance explained of the polynomial regression of objective fit between lawyers' values and archetype scores
- V. Coefficients of the slopes and curvatures of the polynomial regression equations, surveys T1 and T2
- VI. Change patterns in the responses of the 38 respondents to both surveys

### **List of Abbreviations**

189

### **References**

190