Potential therapeutic strategies aimed at reducing the intensity of mechanical ventilation in ARDS
Beurskens, Charlotte

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.
Mechanical ventilation with heliox in an animal model of acute respiratory distress syndrome

Charlotte J. Beurskens; Hamid Aslami; Friso M. de Beer; Joris J.T.H. Roelofs; Margreeth B. Vroom; Nicole P. Juffermans

Intensive Care Medicine Experimental, February 2014; 2(8)
Abstract

Background: Heliox has a lower density and higher diffusion capacity compared to oxygen-in-air. We hypothesized that heliox ventilation allows for a reduction in minute volume ventilation and inspiratory pressures needed for adequate gas exchange in an animal model of an acute lung injury.

Methods: After intratracheal instillation of lipopolysaccharide (1 mg/kg), adult rats were randomized to ventilation with either a gas mixture of helium/oxygen (50:50%) or oxygen/air (50:50%). They were mechanically ventilated according to the ARDSnet recommendations with tidal volumes of 6 ml/kg and monitored with a pneumotachometer. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid was analyzed for markers of lung injury, and embedded lung sections were histologically scored for lung injury.

Results: Heliox limited the increase in driving pressures needed to achieve preset tidal volumes, with a concomitant decrease in loss of compliance. Heliox did neither allow for reduced minute volume ventilation in this model nor improve gas exchange. Also, heliox did not reduce lung injury.

Conclusions: Heliox modestly improved respiratory mechanics but did not improve lung injury in this rat model of acute respiratory distress syndrome.
Introduction

Obstructed airways with increased airway resistance and high inspiratory pressures needed for adequate gas exchange are common features in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [1-3]. Limited tidal volume ventilation of 6 ml/kg is proven to be beneficial in ARDS [4]. However, application of limited tidal volume ventilation can often not be achieved in ARDS because of hypoxemia and acidosis [5, 6]. Also, application of relatively low plateau pressures and driving pressures can already be harmful [7, 8]. Thereby, mechanical ventilation may aggravate ARDS, the extent of which is affected by the intensity of ventilation. In ARDS, higher minute volumes are needed to compensate for increased oxygen demand and carbon dioxide production, increasing the risk of additional injury by mechanical ventilation. Therefore, in ARDS, adjunctive therapies which allow for less invasive ventilation are worth exploring.

Helium is an inert noble gas with a lower density compared to nitrogen. Thereby, mechanical ventilation using a gas mixture of oxygen and helium (heliox) can reduce turbulent gas flow and establish a more laminar flow [9]. This biochemical feature enables a reduction in the work of breathing in patients with obstructed airflow due to increased airway resistance during exacerbations of asthma and COPD [10]. Another important feature of heliox is the increased diffusion of CO₂ compared to air [9]. Consequently, heliox decreases inspiratory pressures required to establish a set gas flow and to enable gas exchange in distant alveoli [11, 12].

Use of heliox has been evaluated in paediatric animal models of ARDS induced by oleic acid or saline [13-15], showing that heliox ventilation improved gas exchange during high-frequency oscillatory ventilation. We recently showed that heliox improves CO₂ removal and decreases driving pressures in patients mechanically ventilated according to the recommended protective strategy, as well as in an animal model of lung injury inflicted by high tidal volume ventilation [16, 17].

In this study, we investigated the effects of heliox in an adult lung injury model. We hypothesized that the use of heliox reduces minute volume ventilation and inspiratory pressures with improved compliance. Furthermore, we hypothesized that the use of heliox facilitates CO₂ elimination during protective mechanical ventilation. Although without a clear mechanism known, heliox showed anti-inflammatory effects in ARDS
models in previous studies [14, 18], so the effect on the inflammatory response was also investigated.

**Methods**

*Animal study design*

The animal care and use committee of the Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands approved this study. Animal procedures were carried out in compliance with Institutional Standards for Use of Animal Laboratory Animals.

*Induction of lung injury, anaesthesia, instrumentation and mechanical ventilation*

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (Harlan, The Hague, The Netherlands), weighing 350-400 grams, were randomized to four experimental groups. Two groups were anesthetised using a trans–oral miniature nebulizer under light anesthesia (97% oxygen with 3% isoflurane) and intratracheally instilled with 1 mg/kg Escherichia Coli lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (L4131, 7.5 mg/kg, Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany). Control groups received no instillation. 2 Hours after LPS instillation, animals were anesthetised by intraperitoneal injection of 90 mg/kg ketamine (Nimatek®; Eurovet Animal Health BV, Bladel, NL), 0.125 mg/kg dexmedetomidine (Dexdomitor®; Orion Pharma, Espoo, Finland) and 0.05 mg/kg atropine (Atropine sulphate; Centrafarm B.V., Etten–Leur, the Netherlands). Via a tail vein venflon cannula, anaesthesia was maintained by infusion of 10 mg/ml ketamine at 2.7 ml per hour. A solution of saline and 4.2 mg/ml bicarbonate (Fresenius Kabi Nederland BV,’s Hertogenbosch, NL) was administered at 2.5 ml per hour.

A tracheotomy was performed and a metal cannula was inserted in the trachea. Two sutures were placed around the exposed part of the trachea into which the cannula was tied down thoroughly. The cannula was then connected to a ventilator (Servo 900C, Siemens, Sweden). The ventilators were calibrated for the heliox gas mixture according to the instruction of the manufacturer using a pressure reduction valve to allow the high-pressure of the heliox tank to be reduced to safe and usable pressures for ventilation (Linde Gas Therapeutics, Eindhoven, the Netherlands).

Hemodynamic parameters were monitored by inserting a polyethylene heparinised saline (1:1000) filled catheter into the right carotid artery (Braun, Melsungen, Germany) that was connected to a monitor (Siemens SC900, Danvers, USA). Temperature was monitored
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Rats were ventilated in a pressure controlled mode for 4 hours, with either heliox (technical gas 50% oxygen; 50% helium; blended by Linde Gas Therapeutics) or 50% oxygen in air gas mixture. In total 32 animals were studied of which 16 received heliox (8 LPS; 8 Healthy controls) and 16 received 50% oxygen in air gas mixture (8 LPS; 8 Healthy controls).

Lung protective (LP) ventilation was maintained, according to a fixed protocol, by applying 6 ml/kg and 5 cmH\textsubscript{2}O positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP). FiO\textsubscript{2} was set at 50% with an inspiration to expiration ratio of 1:2 and adjustment of respiratory rate to maintain arterial PaCO\textsubscript{2} within 4.5-6.0 kPa, according to hourly drawn arterial blood gases (RAPIDlab 865 blood gas analyzer, Bayern, Mijdrecht, the Netherlands).

Tidal volumes were strictly maintained using a pneumotachometer (Hugo Sachs Elektronik, Harvard apparatus, March–Hugstetten, Germany) specific for rats. The pneumotachometer is a transducer for airflow measurement, placed between the metal cannula and the ventilator. For both heliox and oxygen ventilation the pneumotachometer was calibrated using a 1 mL syringe according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Tidal volumes were recorded using respiration software (HSE-BDAS basic data acquisition, Harvard apparatus, March–Hugstetten, Germany) and displayed on a computer screen throughout the whole experiment. We set a pressure controlled ventilation mode and started with an inspiratory pressure of 15 cmH\textsubscript{2}O. Tidal volumes were targeted by adjusting the inspiratory pressure [17, 19-21]. The inspiratory pressures were recorded every hour. The driving pressure was calculated by inspiratory pressure minus PEEP. Compliance was calculated by dividing the tidal volume per kilogram by the driving pressure. Minute volume was calculated in millilitres per minute by multiplying respiratory rate with the measured tidal volume.

**Inflammation measurements**

After 4 hours of mechanical ventilation, rats were bled and plasma was centrifugated at 1800g for 10 minutes at 4°C. The lungs were removed en block and the right lung was ligated. A bronchoalveolar lavage was done by flushing the left lung with 3 times 2.0 ml NaCl, yielding approximately 5.5-6.0 ml of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF).
In BALF, cells were counted using a hematocytometer (Z2 Coulter Particle Counter, Beckman Coulter Corporation; Hialeah, Florida, USA). After centrifugation of BALF (300g; 10 min.; 4°C), protein levels were measured (Oz Biosciences, Marseille, France) and levels of Interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-6, cytokine-induced neutrophil chemoattractant (CINC)-3, and Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF)-α were determined by ELISA in BALF and blood, according to instructions of the manufacturer (R&D Systems; Abingdon, United Kingdom).

The upper lobe of the right lung was fixed in 1% buffered formaldehyde and subsequently embedded in paraffin and afterwards cut into 5-μm-thick sections. Lung sections were fixed on glass slides and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Lung sections were analyzed by a pathologist, who was blinded to group identity, with use of the total histology score. This score consists of several parameters, including interstitial inflammation, endothelialitis, edema, bronchitis, thrombus and pleuritis. All parameters were scored on a scale of 0–4: 0 for normal lungs, 1 for <25% lung involvement, 2 for 25–50% involvement, 3 for 50–75% involvement, and 4 for >75% lung involvement. The total histology score was calculated as the sum score of these parameters, with a maximum of 24. Furthermore, the pathologist was asked to choose one representative illustration per group.

**Statistical analysis**

To compare time points (T=0 vs. T=4) within the same subject, a paired T-test with Bonferroni correction was used if data were normally distributed, or Wilcoxon signed rank test in case of non-normal distribution. The effect of heliox versus oxygen-in-air at specific time points was compared using a one-way ANOVA or Kruskal Wallis test, with either a Bonferroni’s or Dunn’s multiple comparison test, depending on the distribution of the data. Statistical significance was considered to be at P <0.05 or at P<0.0125 after Bonferroni correction. Data are expressed as mean±SD.

**Results**

All animals survived our experimental protocol. In animals receiving LPS, mean arterial pressure dropped over time (162±19 to 128±18 mmHg), whereas mean arterial pressure remained constant in the healthy controls. Heliox did not affect blood pressure or heart rate compared to the oxygen-in-air ventilated animals.
LPS induced acute lung injury

The instillation of LPS intratracheally resulted in a sufficient model of acute lung injury, based on the guidelines described by the American Thoracic Society [22]. The inflammatory response, one of the main features that characterize acute lung injury in animal models, was evidenced by increased pulmonary cell influx in the BALF (Figure 1 A) and an increase in BALF cytokine levels of IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α and CINC-3 (Figure 1 C-F). Protein levels in BALF were not significantly different, due to large variation (Figure 1B). Another important marker of lung injury in animals is the histological evidence of

Figure 1: Inflammatory parameters in an animal model of LPS-induced lung injury and healthy controls. The animals were ventilated with heliox or oxygen-in-air (N = 8 per group). (A) Cell count. (B) Protein levels. (C) IL-1β levels. (D) IL-6 levels. (E) TNF-α levels. (F) CINC-3 levels in BALF. Healthy animals are marked by open dots and LPS animals by black dots. Data are presented as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

Figure 2: Total histology score in an animal model of LPS-induced lung injury and healthy controls. The animals were ventilated with heliox or oxygen-in-air (N = 8 per group). Healthy animals are marked by open dots and LPS animals by black dots. Data are mean ± SD. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.
tissue injury, which in our study measured is by the total histopathology and which also increased due to LPS instillation (Figure 2). The pictures, chosen by the pathologist, show a representative example of each intervention group, showing interstitial inflammation, endothelialitis, edema, bronchitis and pleuritis produced by the intratracheally LPS instillation.

Gas exchange at baseline and after 4 hours of lung protective mechanical ventilation with heliox or oxygen-in-air was also affected by LPS instillation. Baseline pH showed a significant decrease with a concomitant increase in PaCO₂ levels in LPS challenged animals compared to healthy controls (Table 1). These differences in gas exchange disappeared during the experiment, due to adjustments in respiratory rates. Applied respiratory rate needed to keep PaCO₂ within predefined limits (4.5-6.0 kPa) increased during mechanical ventilation in both LPS treated groups, yielding an increased percentage of change in minute volume ventilation versus the baseline measurement (Figure 3 A). Inspiratory pressures applied to target tidal volumes of 6 ml/kg were also adjusted during the experiment, resulting in higher inspiratory and driving pressures in LPS treated animals compared to healthy controls, with a significant increase in the animals ventilated with oxygen only (Figure 3 B/C). A concomitant decrease in percentage of change of compliance was seen in LPS treated animals compared to healthy controls, with again a significant increase in the animals ventilated with oxygen (Figure 3 D).

Table 1: Gas exchange in an animal model of LPS–induced lung; ventilated with heliox or oxygen-in-air. N = 8 per group. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>LPS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time (hr)</td>
<td>Oxygen-in-air</td>
<td>Heliox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>T = 0</td>
<td>7.44 ± 0.04</td>
<td>7.42 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T = 4</td>
<td>7.33 ± 0.04</td>
<td>7.36 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCO₂ (kPa)</td>
<td>T = 0</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.86 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T = 4</td>
<td>4.99 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.81 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pO₂ (kPa)</td>
<td>T = 0</td>
<td>34.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>31.7 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T = 4</td>
<td>31.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>30.8 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Oxygen-in-air LPS T = 0 vs. oxygen-in-air healthy T = 0, significant after Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test (P < 0.01); B Heliox LPS T = 0 vs. heliox healthy T = 0, significant after Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test (P < 0.01); C Oxygen-in-air healthy T = 0 vs. oxygen-in-air healthy T = 4, significant after Bonferroni correction (P < 0.0125); D Heliox healthy T = 0 vs. heliox healthy T = 4, significant after Bonferroni correction (P < 0.0125).
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Effects of Heliox

Heliox did not result in a reduction of inflammatory parameters when compared to the oxygen-in-air ventilation. No effect was seen in the pulmonary neutrophil influx, protein or cytokine levels in BALF (Figure 1 A-F) or in the total histology score in the lung (Figure 2). Also, use of heliox did not alter gas exchange (Table 1). Comparing the change versus baseline, heliox did not influence minute volume ventilation needed for adequate gas exchange compared to oxygen-in-air ventilation (Figure 3 A). Heliox did however abrogate the increase in inspiratory and driving pressures compared to baseline needed to generate tidal volumes of 6 ml/kg in LPS treated animals compared to oxygen-in-air (Figure 3 B/C). Concomitantly, the relative decrease in compliance in LPS treated animals was abrogated with heliox ventilation versus oxygen-in-air ventilation (Figure 3 D).

Discussion

In this animal model of induced acute lung injury due to LPS instillation, heliox abrogated the increase in inspiratory and driving pressures needed to target pre-set tidal volumes over time. Furthermore, heliox diminished the decrease in compliance compared to...
baseline in LPS treated animals. In contrast to our hypothesis, heliox did not allow for a reduction in minute volume ventilation during lung-protective mechanical ventilation. Moreover, heliox ventilation showed no effect on neither gas exchange or on lung inflammation. Thereby, our results are somewhat in contrast to studies investigating heliox ventilation in paediatric models as well as to findings in our own animal model of ventilator-induced lung injury, where heliox improved CO₂ removal [13-17].

There may be several explanations for the absence of a beneficial effect of heliox in our model. Within our study design, we strictly regulated tidal volumes at 6 ml/kg. This study design was chosen to reduce confounders, influencing our results. With this design, we believe any measured effect of heliox on lung injury could be ascribed to heliox only and not to a difference in tidal volumes. This distinction is important, because as a result of a more laminar flow pattern compared to oxygen-in-air ventilation, the mechanism by which heliox improves CO₂ removal might be by an increased tidal volume delivery. In line with this, it was shown that during high frequency oscillatory ventilation in an animal ARDS model, heliox did not alter gas exchange if tidal volume was kept constant [23]. If the findings would also be applicable during protective mechanical ventilation, based on the characteristics of heliox, we hypothesized a decrease in inspiratory pressures needed to generate a set tidal volume, as found before [12]. However, our effects on inspiratory and driving pressures, as well as the compliance were only modest. Since we cannot exclude that heliox may be beneficial by allowing for a further reduction of tidal volumes, which was shown before to increase protection in ARDS [24-26], the choice for strictly keeping tidal volumes of 6 ml/kg in these experiments limits the interpretation of our results.

An alternative explanation for differences with previous studies may be related to differences in ventilation modes. The previous studies that showed a beneficial effect of heliox were all performed in paediatric animal models, mostly during high frequency oscillatory ventilation [13-15]. This same mode was also used in infants, showing a decreased airway resistance during heliox ventilation [27]. Differences may also relate to the underlying disease state. Whereas airway obstruction is present in our model of acute lung injury, the severity of airway obstruction is far less compared to asthma, respiratory syncytial virus or other disease states in which hyper-reactivity of bronchi plays an important role [27-29]. In line with this, in a test lung, the effect of heliox on reducing the inspiratory effort was shown to be dependent on the
kind of obstruction and severity [30]. Our model may also have been a too mild model of lung injury, in which beneficial effects may be hard to tease out. However, our model is clinically relevant and represent the most important parameters of acute lung injury in animals [22].

The anti-inflammatory effects of heliox, previously reported in ARDS models [14, 18], could not be reproduced in our model. A clear mechanism on how heliox can affect lung injury markers and histology scoring is not known, however in healthy volunteers helium resulted in an attenuated expression of inflammatory cell surface markers on leukocytes and platelets in blood [31]. It is postulated that the influence of helium might be via cell-mediated immunity [32]. Furthermore, ventilation with heliox might lower shear stress and barotraumas and therefore have an anti-inflammatory effect [14]. However, our results indicate that there is no direct anti-inflammatory effect due to heliox ventilation.

**Conclusion**

Heliox modestly limited the increase in inspiratory and driving pressures applied to target pre-set tidal volumes, with a concomitant restraint of the reduced compliance between LPS treated animals and healthy controls. However, heliox ventilation did not allow for lower minute volume ventilation, nor did it have an effect on gas exchange, lung inflammation or lung damage in an animal model of acute lung injury.
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