Ethnic fractionalisation and social cohesion: the relation between immigration, ethnic fractionalisation and potentials for civic, collective action in Germany

Schaeffer, M.

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.
## List of Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Evidence in Support of an Ethnic Fractionalisation Effect</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Evidence Against an Ethnic Fractionalisation Effect</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Summary and Analysis of the Evidence</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Summary of Potential Explanations</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Sampling Plan of the EDCA-Survey</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Response and Cooperation Rates of the EDCA-Survey</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Descriptive Statistics of the Indicators of Cognitive Social Cohesion</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Descriptive Statistics of the Additional Indicators of Cognitive So-</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cial Cohesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Descriptive Statistics of the Indicators of Structural Social Cohesion</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>(Robust) Correlations Between Ethnic Fractionalisation and the</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional Measures of Cognitive Social Cohesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Descriptive Statistics of Individual-Level Control Variables</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Descriptive Statistics of Context-Level Control Variables</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Descriptive Statistics of the Ethnic Fractionalisation Indices</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Trust in Neighbours and Competing Fract. Indices (OLS)</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Collective Efficacy and Competing Fract. Indices (OLS)</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Membership in Associations and Competing Fract. Indices (Logistic)</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Voluntary Engagement and Competing Fract. Indices (Logistic)</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>The Share of Foreign Nationals as Alternative Index</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Descriptive Statistics of the Perceived Diversities</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Engagement, Trust and Neighbourhood Problems (Logisite)</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Cognitive Social Cohesion and Perceived Fractionalisation (OLS)</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Structural Social Cohesion and Perceived Fractionalisation (Logistic)</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Multi-Level Mediation Analysis (OLS)</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Cognitive Social Cohesion, Perceived Fractionalisation and I-E Nbh. Acquaintances</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Differences in the Coefficients of Migrants and Natives</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>(Non-)Response and Neighbourhood Satisfaction</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Tetrachoric Correlations between Categories</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Ethnic Category Usage (Logistic)</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Ethnic Category Usage, Additional Results (Logistic)</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Descriptive Statistics of the Dependent and Explanatory Variables</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Natives’ Inter-Ethnic Neighbourhood Acquaintanceships</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Migrants’ Inter-Ethnic Neighbourhood Acquaintanceships</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.1</td>
<td>Unconsidered Findings of the Reviewed Studies</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.2</td>
<td>Determinants of Confirmatory Findings on an Ethnic Fractionalisation Effect</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Linear Probability Model, LPM)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.1</td>
<td>The 55 Study-Regions of the EDCA-Survey</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.1</td>
<td>Nbh. Satisfaction and Competing Fract. Indices (OLS)</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.2</td>
<td>Nbh. Problems and Competing Fract. Indices (OLS)</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.3</td>
<td>Results for the Control Variables of Cognitive Social Cohesion</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.4</td>
<td>Results for the Control Variables of Structural Social Cohesion</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.1</td>
<td>Control Variables for Engagement, Trust and Neighbourhood Problems (Logistic)</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.2</td>
<td>Results for the Control Variables of Trust in Neighbours (OLS)</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.3</td>
<td>Results for the Control Variables of Collective Efficacy (OLS)</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.4</td>
<td>Results for the Control Variables of Neighbourhood Problems (OLS)</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.5</td>
<td>Results for the Control Variables of Structural Social Cohesion (Logistic)</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.6</td>
<td>Results for the Control Variables of Perceived Ethno-Cultural Fractionalisa</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tion Score (Between) (OLS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.7</td>
<td>Multi-Level Mediation Analysis with Empirical Bayes Estimates, i.e. BLUPS (OLS)</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.8</td>
<td>Results for Control Variables of Cognitive Social Cohesion, Perceived Frac-</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tionalisation and I-E Nbh. Acquaintanceships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.9</td>
<td>Cognitive and Structural Social Cohesion and Perceived Fractionalisations</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(FIML)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.10</td>
<td>Cognitive Social Cohesion, Region and Postal Code Fixed Effects</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.11</td>
<td>Structural Social Cohesion, Region and Postal Code Fixed Effects</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.12</td>
<td>Cognitive Social Cohesion, Separate Models for Natives and Migrants</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.13</td>
<td>Structural Social Cohesion, Separate Models for Natives and Migrants</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.1</td>
<td>Results for Control Variables of (Non-)Response and Neighbourhood Satisfac-</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.2</td>
<td>Results for Control Variables of Ethnic Category Usage</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.3</td>
<td>Results for Control Variables of Ethnic Category Usage, Additional Results</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.1</td>
<td>Control Variables for Natives’ Inter-Ethnic Neighbourhood Acquaintanceships</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.2</td>
<td>Control Variables for Migrants’ Inter-Ethnic Neighbourhood Acquaintanceships</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.3</td>
<td>Natives’ Inter-Ethnic Neighbourhood Acquaintanceships. Maximum Value Set to 15</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.4</td>
<td>Migrants’ Inter-Ethnic Neighbourhood Acquaintanceships. Maximum Value Set to 15</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.5</td>
<td>Natives’ Inter-Ethnic Neighbourhood Acquaintanceships. Maximum Value Set to 25</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.6</td>
<td>Migrants’ Inter-Ethnic Neighbourhood Acquaintanceships. Maximum Value Set to 25</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.7</td>
<td>Natives’ Relative Share of Inter-Ethnic Neighbourhood Acquaintanceships. Undifined Relative Shares Set to 0, 50 and 100</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.8</td>
<td>Migrants’ Relative Share of Inter-Ethnic Neighbourhood Acquaintanceships. Undifined Relative Shares Set to 0, 50 and 100</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>