UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

The human element in judicial decision-making

The role of personal attitudes

Olthuis, E.H.

Publication date
2024

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):
Olthuis, E. H. (2024). *The human element in judicial decision-making: The role of personal attitudes*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, P.O. Box 19185, 1000 GD Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

Download date:13 Nov 2026

The Human Element in Judicial Decision-Making

The Role of Personal Attitudes

Elke H. Olthuis



The Human Element in Judicial Decision-Making

The Role of Personal Attitudes

The Human Element in Judicial Decision-Making
The Role of Personal Attitudes

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus
prof. dr. ir. P.P.C.C. Verbeek
ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde commissie,
in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Aula der Universiteit
op vrijdag 21 juni 2024, te 14.00 uur

door Elke Hindina Olthuis
geboren te Heemskerk

Promotiecommissie

Promotores:	prof. dr. mr. J.E. Soeharno	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	prof. dr. F. van Harreveld	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Copromotores:	dr. N. Doornbos	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Overige leden:	prof. dr. B. van Rooij	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	prof. dr. mr. M.F.J.M. de Werd	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	dr. R.G.F. Winkels	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	prof. dr. mr. E. Mak	Universiteit Utrecht
	prof. dr. mr. M. Malsch	Open Universiteit

Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of abbreviations **9**

Chapter 1 Introduction **11**
1. The human element 12
2. The research 12
3. The gap between impartiality and subjectivity 13
4. The structure of the dissertation 16

Chapter 2 Attitudes, stereotypes, and decision-making **19**
1. Introduction 20
2. Attitudes 20
3. Social categorisation 22
4. Stereotypes 23
5. Attitudes and the processing of information 23
6. Fast and slow processes and the role of attitudes 25
7. Conclusion 27

Chapter 3 Methodology **29**
1. Introduction 30
2. Set-up of the fieldwork 30
3. Sampling 31
4. Preparatory stage 33
5. Mixed-methods approach 34
6. Qualitative study 35
   6.1. Semi-structured interviews 35
   6.2. Data analysis 36
   6.3. Limitations 37
7. Quantitative study 38
   7.1. Experimental vignette study 38
   7.2. Data analysis 39
   7.3. Limitations 39

Chapter 4 How do judges think about personal attitudes in the professional judicial decision-making process? **43**
1. Introduction 44
2. Accepted attitudes 44
   2.1. Signalled attitude objects and attitudes towards these objects 44

2.1.1. Drugs and violence 45
2.1.2. Tax cases 45
2.1.3. Pre-trial detention 46
2.1.4. Contentious divorce cases 47
2.1.5. Behaviour of litigating parties 48
2.2. Political, societal and ethical opinions 49
2.2.1. Participants who thought that political, societal and ethical attitudes play a role in the decision-making process 50
2.2.2. Participants who did not think that political, societal, and ethical attitudes play a role in the decision-making process 50
2.2.3. Societal involvement through ancillary functions 51
2.2.4. Tension between politics and the judiciary 53
3. Do judges think they can separate their personal attitudes from their decision-making process? 54
3.1. Judges who think they can separate their personal attitudes from their decision-making 55
3.1.1. Awareness 55
3.1.2. Judging in panels 57
3.1.3. Reference to individual performance 58
3.1.4. Pitfalls and difficulties 58
3.2. Judges who did not think they can separate their personal attitudes from their decision-making 59
3.3. Instruments that judges mention using in their decision-making process 60
3.3.1. Instruments used by individual judges 60
3.3.2. The legal context 66
3.3.3. Integrated checks and mechanisms 71
4. Conclusion 73

Chapter 5 Do judges experience conflict between impartiality and personal attitudes? 77
1. Introduction 78
2. Legal framework on impartiality, recusal and disqualification 78
2.1. International and European law on impartiality 78
2.1.1. International and European legislation 78
2.1.2. Case law of the European Court of Human Rights 79
2.2. Dutch law on recusal and disqualification 80
2.3. Codes of conduct on impartiality 81
2.3.1. The Bangalore Principles (2002) and the Commentary on the Bangalore Principles (2007) 81

2.3.2 Dutch codes of conduct 82
3. Personal attitudes as a reason to question impartiality: the subjective test 85
3.1. Fundamentally accepting withdrawal based on personal attitudes 86
3.2. The norm to rule in every case 87
3.3. Experiences of other judges 90
3.4. Personal attitudes as expertise 92
3.5. Withdrawal based on personal (recent) experiences 93
3.6. Withdrawal based on personal attitudes 95
4. Objectifiable reasons to question impartiality: the objective test 97
4.1. Former job 97
4.2. Relatives 100
4.3. Place of residence 102
5. Conclusion 104

Chapter 6 An experimental study on the role of attitudes in the judicial decision-making process **107**
1. Introduction 108
2. Attitudes, social categorisation and stereotypes 108
3. Impact on behaviour 109
4. What would this mean in the specific context of the professional judicial decision-making process? 111
5. The current research 111
6. Pilot study 112
7. Main study 118
8. Discussion 125
9. Limitations 127
10. Conclusion 128

Chapter 7 Conclusion **131**
1. Introduction 132
2. Empirical findings 132
2.1. Self-signalled attitudes and the acknowledgement of their role 133
2.2. Separating personal attitudes from the judicial decision-making process 134
2.3. Withdrawal based on an external conflict and on an internal conflict 134
2.4. Attitudes are present in the professional judicial decision-making process but there is no direct effect on the decisional outcome 136
3. Synthesis: from acceptance to increased awareness 137
4. Implications for practice and further research 140

4.1. Emphasising the potential role of personal attitudes throughout the decision-making process 140
4.2. The importance of awareness 141
4.3. The effectiveness of instruments to minimise the role of personal attitudes 142
4.4. The external and the internal conflict 144
5. Concluding remark 144

Reference list **147**

Appendices **163**
Appendix 1. 164
Appendix 2. 166
Appendix 3. 172
Appendix 4. 178

Executive Summary **181**

Nederlandse samenvatting **185**

Acknowledgements **191**

About the Author **197**

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Term	Abbreviation
Commentary on the Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct	the Commentary
European Convention of Human Rights	ECHR
European Court of Human Rights	ECtHR
Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union	EU-Charter
Gedragscode Rechtspraak	Gedragscode
Landelijk Overleg Vakinhoud	LOV
Landelijk Overleg Vakinhoud Bestuursrecht	LOVB
Landelijk Overleg Vakinhoud Civiel, Kanton en Toezicht	LOVCK+T
Landelijk Overleg Vakinhoud Familie en jeugd	LOVF
Landelijk Overleg Vakinhoud Strafrecht	LOVS
Leidraad onpartijdigheid en nevenfuncties in de rechtspraak	Leidraad onpartijdigheid
Nederlandse Vereniging voor Rechtspraak	NVvR
Raad voor de Rechtspraak	RvR
The Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct	the Bangalore Principles
Wet op de rechterlijke organisatie	Wet RO
Wet rechtsposities rechterlijke ambtenaren	Wrra
Universal Declaration for Human Rights	UDHR