In-Culture Cross-Linking of Bacterial Cells Reveals Large-Scale Dynamic Protein-Protein Interactions at the Peptide Level
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ABSTRACT: Identification of dynamic protein–protein interactions at the peptide level on a proteomic scale is a challenging approach that is still in its infancy. We have developed a system to cross-link cells directly in culture with the special lysine cross-linker bis(succinimidyl)-3-azidomethyl-glutarate (BAMG). We used the Gram-positive model bacterium Bacillus subtilis as an exemplar system. Within 5 min extensive intracellular cross-linking was detected, while intracellular cross-linking in a Gram-negative species, Escherichia coli, was still undetectable after 30 min, in agreement with the low permeability in this organism for lipophilic compounds like BAMG. We were able to identify 82 unique interprotein cross-linked peptides with <1% false discovery rate by mass spectrometry and genome-wide database searching. Nearly 60% of the interprotein cross-links occur in assemblies involved in transcription and translation. Several of these interactions are new, and we identified a binding site between the δ and β′ subunit of RNA polymerase close to the downstream DNA channel, providing a clue into how δ might regulate promoter selectivity and promote RNA polymerase recycling. Our methodology opens new avenues to investigate the functional dynamic organization of complex protein assemblies involved in bacterial growth. Data are available via ProteomeXchange with identifier PXD006287.
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INTRODUCTION

Understanding how biological assemblies function at the molecular level requires knowledge of the spatial arrangement of their composite proteins. Chemical protein cross-linking coupled to identification of proteolytic cross-linked peptides by mass spectrometry (CX–MS) has been successfully used to obtain information about the 3D topology of isolated protein complexes. In this approach, the amino acid sequences of a cross-linked peptide pair reveal the interacting protein domains. However, because in vitro reconstitution of labile protein complexes can be difficult and might not properly reflect the natural situation, in vivo cross-linking methods can be crucial to gain insight into the configuration of dynamic multiprotein complexes. The continued increase in peptide identification sensitivity by improved MS techniques and equipment combined with affinity purification of low abundant target proteins has opened the door to proteome-wide protein interaction studies by cross-linking living cells. Such a systems-level view on dynamic protein interactions would be a tremendously powerful tool to study cell biology.

The only large-scale in vivo CX–MS studies with bacteria thus far have been performed with four Gram-negative species after repeated washings by pelleting and resuspension in phosphate-buffered saline of the cells before the addition of the cross-linker. This approach has provided valuable data about the 3D topology of outer membrane and periplasmic protein complexes. However, there is uncertainty whether all protein–protein interactions that exist under a defined physiological condition survive the pretreatment of the cells before the addition of the cross-linker used in this approach. Here we have developed conditions that enable rapid cross-linking of intracellular proteins by adding the cross-linking agent directly in the growth medium of bacteria. Avoiding harvesting and washing the cells before cross-linking offers the best possible
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glutarate (DSG) (Figure S1), is membrane permeable and spacer length and similar hydrophobicity, disuccinimidyl in high-resolution cross-link maps. A cross-linker with the same residues on protein surfaces via two amide bonds bridged by hydroxysuccinimidyl ester covalently links proximal lysine has been used before for cross-linking in living human cells.8 To detect several transient protein BAMG.

report we also include a scalable new synthesis route for links could be corroborated by structural data from previous masses of the two composite peptides can be determined from gas phase by collision-induced dissociation in a way that the additional chemical properties that greatly facilitate cross-link this end, BAMG provides the cross-linked peptides with an amine group, enabling isolation of the low abundant cross-linked peptides by 2D strong cation exchange chromatog-

an amine group, enabling isolation of the low abundant cross-linked species and by extracted in toluene (150 mL) for 2 h. Evaporation of the toluene gave carboxylic acid 2 (5.5 g, 19.1 mmol, 64% from dimethyl malonate) as a slowly solidifying oil.13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 179.7, 170.4, 81.1, 37.5, 36.2, 27.8. IR (film, cm−1): 3200, 1728, 1711 cm−1.

Carboxylic Acid 2. A solution of tetra-ester 1 (30 mmol) in THF (150 mL) and methanol (40 mL) was diluted with a solution of lithium hydroxide (2.94 g, 70 mmol) in water (150 mL) and refluxed for 2 h. After removal of the organic solvents in vacuo the aqueous layer was extracted with a 1:1 mixture of diethyl ether and PE and evaporated gave a mixture of mono- and dicarboxylic acids. This mixture was mixed in solution of sodium hydride and ether, drying over MgSO4, and evaporation gave tetra-ester 1 as an oil (quantitative), which was immediately used for the next step. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 3.77 (s, 6H); 3.06 (s, 4H); 1.45 (s, 18H).

Alcohol 3. Borane dimethyldisulfide (1.45 mL, 15 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of carboxylic acid 2 (1.3 g, 4.5 mmol) in anhydrous THF (30 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred at RT for 16 h and quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl and diethyl ether. Extractive workup and flash chromatography with a mixture of PE 40/60 and ethyl acetate (3:1 an 1:1) gave alcohol 3 (0.78 g, 2.85 mmol, 63%) as an oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 3.65 (d, 2H, J = 5.2 Hz); 2.45 (m, 1H); 2.32-2.4 (m, 4H); 1.47 (s, 18H).13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 172.1, 180.6, 80.55, 65.0, 64.9, 37.2, 37.1, 34.8, 27.9. IR (film, cm−1): 3500, 1726 cm−1.

Mesylate 4. Methanesulfonyl chloride (0.255 mL, 3.3 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of alcohol 3 (0.78 g, 2.85 mmol) and triethylamine (0.526 mL, 4.0 mL) in anhydrous dichloromethane (20 mL) at 0 °C. After stirring for 1 h at 0 °C the reaction was diluted with diethyl ether (ca.

surety that labile and dynamic interactions occurring under a defined condition can be experimentally trapped in a way that the in vivo spatial arrangement of proteins in the cell can be studied in great detail.

We used the Gram-positive model Bacillus subtilis, widely studied for processes guided by dynamic protein-protein interactions involved in gene expression, cell division, sporulation, and germination.9 Cross-linking was accomplished by a previously designed reagent, bis(succinimidyld-3-azidomethyl-glutarate (BAMG) (Figure S1).7 This bifunctional N-hydroxysuccinimidyld ester covalently links proximal lysine residues on protein surfaces via two amide bonds bridged by a spacer of five carbon atoms. The relatively short spacer results in high-resolution cross-link maps. A cross-linker with the same spacer length and similar hydrophobicity, disuccinimidyl glutarate (DSG) (Figure S1), is membrane permeable and has been used before for cross-linking in living human cells.8 Importantly, to prevent possible dissociation of transient intracellular protein interactions by washing and medium change, we added the cross-linker directly in the culture medium containing a low concentration of primary amines to minimize reaction with and quenching of the cross-linker.

A main limitation of cross-linking studies is the identification of proteolytic cross-linked peptides obtained from complex cell extracts. This is facilitated both by separation of cross-linked peptides from the bulk of unmodified species and by determination of the masses of the two linked peptides. To this end, BAMG provides the cross-linked peptides with additional chemical properties that greatly facilitate cross-link identification by virtue of the presence of a 3-azidomethylene group in the spacer domain. The azido group can be reduced to an amine group, enabling isolation of the low abundant cross-linked peptides by 2D strong cation exchange chromatography.9 In addition, chemical reduction renders the two cross-link amide bonds of BAMG-cross-linked peptides scissile in the gas phase by collision-induced dissociation in a way that the masses of the two composite peptides can be determined from an MS/MS spectrum, thereby facilitating peptide identification by searching an entire genomic database.10 Identification of cross-linked peptides from a single MS/MS spectrum provides BAMG with a large advantage over the two other cleavable reagents used up to now that require multistage tandem mass spectrometry to map cross-links formed in vivo.5,11 To obtain sufficient cross-linked material by labeling directly in culture, adequate amounts of cross-linker are necessary, and in this report we also include a scalable new synthesis route for BAMG.

Using our novel in vivo cross-linking procedure, we were able to detect several transient protein-protein interactions at the peptide level in B. subtilis cells. Many of the interprotein cross-links could be corroborated by structural data from previous studies, but other cross-links represent new interactions. This approach can be readily modified to allow the identification of less abundant protein complexes or to investigate in depth the dynamic assembly of specific protein complexes.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Synthesis of BAMG

Tetra-Ester 1. Dimethyl malonate (3.42 mL, 30 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of sodium hydride (60% dispersion in oil, 2.46 g, 66.0 mmol) in THF (120 mL) at RT (Scheme 1). After stirring for 45 min tert-butyl bromoacetate (9.45 mL, 64 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred for 16 h and cooled in ice, and the excess sodium hydride was carefully neutralized with acetic acid (ca. 6 mmol). Extractive workup with sat. aqueous NH4Cl and ether, drying over MgSO4, and evaporation gave tetra-ester 1 as an oil (quantitative), which was immediately used for the next step. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 3.77 (s, 6H); 3.06 (s, 4H); 1.45 (s, 18H).

Carboxylic Acid 2. A solution of tetra-ester 1 (30 mmol) in THF (150 mL) and methanol (40 mL) was diluted with a solution of lithium hydroxide (2.94 g, 70 mmol) in water (150 mL) and refluxed for 2 h. After removal of the organic solvents in vacuo the aqueous layer was extracted with a 1:1 mixture of diethyl ether and PE 40/60. Acidification of the water layer (pH ca. 1), extraction with diethyl ether, drying with MgSO4, and evaporation gave a mixture of mono- and dicarboxylic acids. This mixture was mixed in solution of sodium hydride and ether, drying over MgSO4, and evaporation gave tetra-ester 1 as an oil (quantitative), which was immediately used for the next step. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 3.77 (s, 6H); 3.06 (s, 4H); 1.45 (s, 18H).

Alcohol 3. Borane dimethyldisulfide (1.45 mL, 15 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of carboxylic acid 2 (1.3 g, 4.5 mmol) in anhydrous THF (30 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred at RT for 16 h and carefully quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl and diethyl ether. Extractive workup and flash chromatography with a mixture of PE 40/60 and ethyl acetate (3:1 an 1:1) gave alcohol 3 (0.78 g, 2.85 mmol, 63%) as an oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 3.65 (d, 2H, J = 5.2 Hz); 2.45 (m, 1H); 2.32-2.4 (m, 4H); 1.47 (s, 18H).13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 179.7, 170.4, 81.1, 37.5, 36.2, 27.8. IR (film, cm−1): 3200, 1728, 1711 cm−1.
50 mL) and quenched with water. Extractive workup gave mesylate 4 (1.0 g, 2.84 mmol, quantitative). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 4.31 (d, 2H, J = 5.1 Hz); 2.67 (m, 1H); 2.35–2.47 (m, 4H); 1.47 (m, 18H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 170.6, 80.9, 80.5, 71.1, 36.95, 35.9, 31.9, 27.9. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 1725.

Azide 5. A mixture of mesylate 4 (1.0 g, 2.84 mmol) and sodium azide (0.554 g, 8.5 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (10 mL) was stirred at 80 °C for 3 h. Extractive workup with water and diethyl ether, followed by chromatography (PE 40/60/ethyl acetate 6:1), gave pure azide 5 (0.84 g, 2.8 mmol, 98% from 3). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 3.44 (d, 2H, J = 5.7 Hz); 2.50 (m, 1H); 2.3–0.45 (m, 4H); 1.48 (s, 18H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 170.8, 80.6, 54.1, 32.4, 27.9. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2102, 1728.

3-(Azidomethyl)-glutaric Acid 6. Azide 5 (0.638 g, 2.13 mmol) was stirred in a mixture of dichloromethane (16 mL) and trifluoroacetic acid (4 mL) for 6 h at RT. Tolueno (30 mL) was added and the solvents were removed in vacuo. Drying of the resulting glass (0.02 mbar, 50 °C) gave pure diacid 6 in quantitative yield. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3 + 10% CD3OD): δ 3.44 (d, 2H, J = 5.7 Hz). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3 + 10% CD3OD): δ 176.2, 54.0, 35.7, 31.8. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 3100 (broad), 2103, 1708.

BAMG: Bis(succinimidyld) 3-Azidomethyl-glutarate. This step was carried out according to a described procedure.12 Trifluoroacetic anhydride (1.4 mL) was added to a solution of diacid 6 (0.415 g, 2.1 mmol) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (1.15 g, 10.0 mmol) in a mixture of dichloromethane (8 mL) and anhydrous pyridine (4 mL) at 0 °C. The cooling bath was removed, and stirring was continued for 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and extracted with three 50 mL portions of 1 M HCl and finally with NaHCO3 (2 × 50 mL). Drying over MgSO4, evaporation of the solvent and quenching with water. Extractive workup gave pure azide 5 (0.638 g, 2.1 mmol, 95%) as a slightly yellow syrup. BAMG was stored at −80 °C. Drying over MgSO4, evaporation of the solvent and quenching with water. Extractive workup gave pure diacid 6 in quantitative yield. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 3.65 (d, 2H, J = 5.5 Hz); 2.83–2.90 (m, 12H), 2.75 (m, 1H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 169.0, 166.6, 52.5, 32.3, 32.2, 25.4. IR (film, cm⁻¹): 2108, 1814, 1783, 1735.

Growth of Bacteria

B. subtilis strain 168 (trp−) was grown in a MOPS minimal medium modified as described for B. subtilis14 supplemented with 0.2% glucose, 1.2 mM glutamine, and 0.2 mM tryptophan. To obtain an exponentially growing culture for cross-linking, streaks from a glycerol stock of cells grown on LB agar plate. Following overnight growth at 37 °C a single colony was suspended in 10 mL of minimal medium in 100 mL culture flasks. From the suspension, dilutions were made in 10 mL of minimal medium for overnight growth in 100 mL flasks placed at 37 °C in a water bath shaking at 240 rpm. An overnight culture in midexponential growth as determined by an OD₆₀₀nm = 0.3 to 0.5 was used for dilution to OD₆₀₀nm = 0.01 in prewarmed minimal medium in Erlenmeyer flasks to obtain exponentially growing cultures for cross-linking. Escherichia coli strain MC4100 was cultured in MOPS medium supplemented with 0.16% (w/v) glucose and 0.1 mM NH₄Cl. To obtain an exponentially growing culture for cross-linking, an overnight culture in this growth medium was 40 times diluted in fresh medium to an OD₆₀₀nm = 0.08.

Cross-Linking in Vivo

In exponentially growing B. subtilis cultures at OD₆₀₀nm = 0.45 to 0.50, cross-linking was started by the addition of 2.0 mM BAMG from a freshly prepared stock solution of 1 M in DMSO. A magnetic stirrer was used for rapid mixing with the culture. Cross-linking was for 5 min in the shaking water bath at 37 °C. The cross-linking reaction was quenched by the addition of 1 M Tris-Cl (pH 8.0) to a final concentration of 50 mM. Cross-linked cells were harvested by centrifugation for 5 min at 4000 g, and cell pellets were stored frozen at −20 °C.

Exponentially growing Escherichia coli cells were cross-linked at OD₆₀₀nm = 0.7, corresponding to 0.21 μg dry weight per mL,15 with 2 mM BAMG for 10, 30, and 50 min. The cross-linking reaction was quenched by the addition of 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.0). We assess that 2.76 mM glucose, formed from an equivalent amount of N-acetylglucosamine,16 has been consumed for energy and biomass production at the time of cross-linking.13 Deamination of glucosamine, after deacetylation of N-acetylglucosamine, results in the formation of an equivalent amount of NH₄⁺, of which 1.88 mM NH₄⁺ has been consumed for biomass production.13 This implies that the culture contains 0.88 mM free NH₄⁺ that can react with BAMG, leaving 1.12 mM BAMG for protein cross-linking. Cross-linked cells were harvested by centrifugation for 5 min at 4000 g, and cell pellets were stored frozen at −20 °C.

Protein Extraction

Frozen B. subtilis cell pellets from 2 to 40 mL culture medium were resuspended in 1 mL of a solution containing 10 mM Tris-HCl and 0.1 mM EDTA (pH 7.5). Cell suspensions of 1 mL in 2 mL polypropylene Eppendorf vials placed in ice water were lyzed by sonication with a micro tip mounted in an MSE ultrasonic integrator operated at 21 kHz and amplitude setting 3 in six periods of 15 s with 15 s intervals in between. Lysates were centrifuged for 15 min at 16 000 g. Supernatants were used for further analysis. Cell extracts from Escherichia coli were prepared by suspending cell pellets from 1 mL cultures in SDS-PAGE sample buffer17 without β-mercaptoethanol. Suspensions were incubated for 1 h at 60 °C and then centrifuged for 2 min at 13 000 g. Proteins were concentrated with 0.5 mL of Amicon Ultra 10 kDa cutoff centrifugal filters (Millipore) before SDS-PAGE analysis.

Gel Filtration

A cross-linked protein fraction with a size distribution of approximately 400 kDa to 1 to 2 MDa was obtained by gel filtration on a Superose 6 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) operated on an Akta FPLC system (GE Healthcare) in a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 300 mM KCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT, and 20% glycerol (gel filtration buffer) at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹. Fractions of 1 mL were collected and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for storage at −20 °C.

Protein Determination and Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis in the Presence of Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS-PAGE)

Using a protein assay kit (Pierce). SDS-PAGE17 was carried out using 10 or 12% precast Novex gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
Protein Digestion

Pooled gel filtration fractions of extracted cross-linked proteins in the 400 kDa to 1 to 2 MDa range were concentrated to ~10 mg protein/mL with 0.5 mL of Amicon Ultra 10 kDa cut off centrifugal filters (Millipore). Prior to digestion, cysteines were alkylated by the addition of a solution of 0.8 M iodoacetamide (Sigma–Aldrich), followed by the addition of solutions of 1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 9.6 M urea (Bioreagent grade, Sigma–Aldrich) to obtain final concentrations of 40 mM iodoacetamide, 0.1 M Tris HCl and 6 M urea, respectively. Incubation was for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. The solution was diluted six times by the addition of 0.1 M Tris–HCl pH 8.0 and digested with trypsin (Trypsin Gold, Promega, Madison, WI) overnight at 30 °C at a 1:50 (w/w) ratio of enzyme and substrate. Peptides were desalted on C18 reversed-phase TT3 top tips (Glygen, Columbia, MD), eluted with 0.1% TFA in 50% acetonitrile, and dried in a vacuum centrifuge.

Diagonal SCX Chromatography

A protocol previously described was used with several modifications. The main difference was the use of a solution of ammonium formate instead of KCl for salt gradient elution. The use of the volatile ammonium formate avoids time-consuming desalting steps and prevents loss of material. Dry desalted peptides (∼240 μg) were reconstituted with 10 μL of a solution containing 0.1% TFA and 25% acetonitrile, followed by the addition of 0.2 mL of 10 mM ammonium formate and 25% acetonitrile pH 3.0 (buffer A), and 0.2 mL of the mixture was loaded on a poly(ethylene glycol) aspartamide column (2.1 mm ID, 10 cm length) (Poly LC, Columbia, MD) operated on an Ultimate HPLC system (LC Packings, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). For elution, at a flow rate of 0.4 mL min⁻¹, increasing amounts of buffer B (500 mM ammonium formate pH 3.0) were mixed with buffer A, according to the following scheme. At t = 5 min, 1% buffer B was added; at t = 10 min a linear gradient from 1 to 50% buffer B was started over 10 min, followed by a gradient from 50 to 100% buffer B over 3 min. Elution with 100% B lasted 2 min, after which the column was washed with buffer A for 19 min. A UV detector was used to measure absorbance at 280 nm of the eluent. Peptides started to elute at t = 14 min and were manually collected in 0.2 mL fractions and lyophilized. For secondary SCX runs, dried cross-linked enriched peptides (fractions 7–16) were dissolved in 20 μL of 40 mM TCEP (BioVegra) in 25% acetonitrile and incubated under argon for 2 h at 60 °C. The peptide solution was then diluted with 0.19 mL buffer A just before loading for the secondary SCX runs. Elution occurred under the same conditions as in the primary SCX run. Material was collected when the absorbance at 280 nm started to rise again (~30 s after the end of the elution time window of the primary fraction, until it came back to base level (high salt shifted fraction)). Collected eluent was lyophilized.

LC–MS/MS for Protein Identification

Identification of proteins by LC–MS/MS analysis of peptides in SCX fractions was performed with an amaZon Speed Iontrap with a CaptiveSpray ion source (Bruker) coupled to an EASY-nLC II (Proxeon, Thermo Scientific) chromatographic system. About 200 ng peptides from the first 12 fractions obtained by SCX chromatography were injected and separated at a flow rate of 300 nL/min on an EASY-Column 10 cm (SC 200 Thermo Scientific coupled with an SC001 2 cm precolumn) using a 30 min gradient of 0–50% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid. MS1 scans were recorded in enhanced mode (resolution about 3000) at an MS1 mass range m/z 400–1500; CID was performed in SmartFrag mode with variable energy. MS/MS scan were recorded in extreme mode in a mass window starting from m/z 100. Five precursor ions per scan cycle were selected at a mass window of m/z 4 using data-dependent acquisition with active exclusion for 30 s. LC–MS/MS data were processed with the Bruker Daltonics DataAnalysis software version 4.2. The processed data from the 12 SCX fractions combined into one mgf file were searched with the Mascot server program 2.3.02 against B. subtilis strain 168 protein database from the UniProt consortium with both forward and reversed (decoy) sequences (March 2015 release; 8436 entries in total). Trypsin was used as the enzyme, with two missed cleavage allowed. Carbamidomethylation of cysteine was used as a fixed modification. Both precursor and MS/MS fragment mass tolerance was set at 0.3 Da. A threshold peptide ions score of 20 and a protein score of 20 were applied as criteria for peptide assignment and protein identification at a false discovery rate (FDR) of 4.4%.

LC–MS/MS for Identification of Cross-Linked Peptides

Identification of cross-linked peptides enriched by diagonal SCX chromatography by LC–MS/MS analysis was performed with an Eksigent Expert nanoLC 425 system connected to the Nano spray source of a TripleTOF 5600+ mass spectrometer. Peptides were loaded onto an Eksigent trap column (Nano LC trap set, ChromXP C18, 120 Å, 350 μm × 0.5 mm) in a solution containing 0.1% TFA and 2% acetonitrile and desalted with 5% TFA and 0.1% formic acid at 2 μL/min. After loading, peptides were separated on an in-house-packed 7 cm long, 75 μm inner diameter analytical column (Magic C18 resin, 100 Å pore size, 5 μm) at 300 nL/min. Mobile phase A consisted of 0.1% formic acid in water and mobile phase B consisted of 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile. The gradient consisted of 5% B for 5 min, then 5–10% B over 10 min, followed by 10–35% B over 60 min, and then the gradient was constant at 80% B for 10 min. After each run the column was equilibrated for 20 min under starting conditions. The TripleTOF 5600+ mass spectrometer was operated with nebulizer gas of 6 PSI, curtain gas of 30 PSI, an ion spray voltage of 2.4 kV, and an interface temperature of 150 °C. The instrument was operated in high-sensitivity mode. For information-dependent acquisition, survey scans were acquired in 50 ms in the m/z range 400–1250 Da. In each cycle, 20 product ion scans were collected for 50 ms in the m/z range 100–1800 Da, if exceeding 100 counts per seconds and if the charge state was 3+ to 5+. Dynamic exclusion was used for half of the peak width (15 s), and rolling collision energy was used.

Before acquisition of two samples the mass spectrometer was calibrated using the built-in autocalibration function of Analyst 1.7. For MS calibration, 25 fmol of β-galactosidase digest (Sciex) was injected. For TOF MS calibration, ions with the following m/z values were selected: 433.88, 450.70, 528.93, 550.28, 607.86, 671.34, 714.85, and 729.40 Da. The ion at m/z 729.4 Da was selected for fragmentation, and product ions were used for TOF MS/MS calibration.

For 27 out of 29 LC–MS/MS runs, average mass deviations from calculated values of identified components varied from ~4.0 ± 2.4 to 15.3 ± 4.1 ppm. For data processing of MS/MS (MS1MS2) data by Reang (described below) and database searching of MS/MS (MS1MS2) data by Mascot, 25 ppm mass tolerance was allowed in these cases for both M1 and M2. In the two remaining runs average mass deviations of identified...
components were 31.9 ± 12.0 and 62.5 ± 7.8 ppm, respectively. In these cases, a mass tolerances of 50 and 75 ppm, respectively, was allowed for both MS1 and MS2.

Data Processing

Raw LC–MS1MS2 data were processed with Mascot Distiller version 2.2.6.0 and MS2 data were deconvoluted to MH⁺ values at the QStar default settings using the option to calculate masses for 3+ to 6+ charged precursor ions in case the charge state could not be assessed unambiguously.

Identification of Candidate Cross-Linked Peptides

For cross-link identification using the entire B. subtilis sequence database, a software tool named Reang¹⁰ was used for further processing of MS1MS2 data. The rationale of the processing by Reang described below is based on the notion that an MS1MS2 data processing. The rationale of the processing by Reang described below is based on the notion that an MS1MS2 spectrum of BAMG-cross-linked peptides provides both the information for the masses of the candidate composing peptides as well as the fragment ions for identification of the composing peptides. In brief, Reang identifies precursor ions with mass >1500 Da, potentially corresponding to a BAMG-cross-linked peptide pair A and B with the azide reduced to an amine, showing evidence of cleavage of the cross-linked amide bonds in the presumed cross-link. Such cleavage events result in product ions of the unmodified peptides A and B and in modified peptides Am and Bm fulfilling the following mass relationships

\[ M_{Am} - M_A = M_{Bm} - M_B = 125.0477 \]  
(1)
\[ M_A + M_{Am} = M_{Bm} + M_B = M_P \]  
(2)

where \( M_{Am} \) and \( M_{Bm} \) respectively, are the masses of peptides A and B modified with the remnant m of the cross-linker in the form of a γ-lactam with elemental composition \( C_6H_7NO_2 \) corresponding to a mass of 125.0477 Da, \( M_A \) and \( M_B \) are the masses of peptide A and peptide B, respectively, and \( M_P \) is the mass of the precursor P.

Reang identifies among the 30 product ions of highest signal intensity within a mass error of 25, 50, or 75 ppm depending on the LC–MS/MS run (see LC–MS/MS for Identification of Cross-Linked Peptides) (i) pairs of masses of fragment ions >500 Da differing 125.0477 Da, that is, a candidate A and Am pair or B and Bm pair, (ii) pairs of masses for A and B fulfilling the equation

\[ M_A + M_{Bm} + 125.0477 = M_P \]  
(3)

and (iii) pairs of mass values for Am and Bm fulfilling the equation

\[ M_{Am} + M_{Bm} - 125.0477 = M_P \]  
(4)

The mass values of the other pairs in the cases (i), (ii), and (iii) are calculated from eqs 1 and 2.

MS1 values of entries in the MS1MS2 data files with MS2 data fulfilling at least one of the eqs 1, 3, or 4 are replaced by MS1 values corresponding to \( M_A \), \( M_{Am} \), \( M_B \), and \( M_{Bm} \). Furthermore, fragment ions corresponding to \( M_{Am} \), \( M_{Bm} \), \( M_{Am} \), and \( M_{Bm} \) are removed from the new MS1MS2 entries as well as fragments ions larger than the new MS1 values.

The new MS1MS2 files in pkl format are input for Mascot to nominate candidate peptides for A, Am, B, and Bm by interrogating the B. subtilis strain 168 database containing both forward and reversed sequences. Reang combines the nominated peptides with a Mascot score ≥1 into candidate cross-linked peptides and assigns these candidates with a mass tolerance of 25, 50, or 75 ppm (depending on the LC–MS/MS run; see LC–MS/MS for Identification of Cross-Linked Peptides) to precursor ions in the original MS1MS2 data file. Candidates are validated based on the original MS1MS2 data files. The principle of our approach is that an MS1MS2 spectrum of cross-linked peptides provides both the information for the masses of the candidate composing peptides as well as the fragment ions for identification of the composition of the peptides.

Cross-Link Mapping and Validation

Validation and FDR determination is facilitated by a software tool called Yeun Yan.¹⁰ Only one candidate cross-linked peptide or cross-linked decoy peptide is assigned for each precursor ion, at least if the candidate fulfills certain criteria with respect to a minimum number of y ions that should be assigned with a mass tolerance of 25, 50, or 75 ppm (depending on the LC–MS/MS run; see LC–MS/MS for Identification of Cross-Linked Peptides) to each of the composing peptides in a cross-linked peptide pair. Only assigned y ions among the 100 fragments of highest signal intensity are taken into account. The number of required assigned y ions differs for intraprotein and interprotein cross-linked peptides, with the latter type of cross-links requiring more stringent criteria for assignment than the former type. This difference is based on the notion⁰¹⁰ and 19,21 that the probability of identifying cross-links as the result of a random event from a sequence database of many proteins is higher for cross-linked peptides from different protein sequences (interprotein cross-links) than for cross-linked peptides comprising different peptide sequences from the same protein sequence (intraprotein cross-links). Intraprotein cross-links comprise peptides from the same protein sequence, whereas interprotein cross-links comprise peptides from different protein sequences, unless the peptides have identical sequences and therefore must have originated from two identical protein molecules in a complex, assuming that a given protein sequence does not yield two or more identical tryptic peptides. In the case of an intraprotein cross-link, at least one unambiguous y ion should be assigned for each composing peptide and both the number of assigned y ions for each composing peptide, and the score, called the Yeun Yan score, defined below, should be the same as or more than the number of assigned y ions and the score for other possible candidates with forward sequences or one or more decoy sequences for the same precursor. No intraprotein cross-link decoy sequences consisting of reversed sequences from the same protein or hybrid forward and reversed sequences from the same protein were observed. For an interprotein cross-linked peptide pair between different proteins or decoy cross-links, the number of assigned y ions should be at least 3 for each peptide built up from up to 10 amino acid residues and at least 4 for peptides consisting of 11 amino acids or more. The number of assigned y ions for each peptide should be the same or more than the number of assigned y ions for each peptide of other possible candidates for the same precursor ion. Both the total number of y ions and the Yeun Yan score for a candidate cross-linked peptide should exceed the total number of y ions and the score for other possible candidates for the same precursor. These criteria are also used for the assignment of interprotein cross-links comprising two identical sequences. For both intra- and interprotein cross-links, a Yeun Yan score of more than 40 is required. We do not take into account the number of b ions as a requirement for assignment because b ions in our data set
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occur more than four times less than y ions, and taking them into account would require application of different statistical weights for assignment of b and y ions, which would complicate the calculations. Some spectra with a precursor mass difference of +1 Da compared with an identified cross-linked peptide were manually inspected to verify whether the precursor represents a cross-linked peptide in which the azide group was converted by TCEP to a hydroxyl group instead of an amine group. This appeared to be the case on a single occasion.

For proposed candidate cross-linked peptides, Yeun Yan calculates the masses of possible b and y fragments, b and y amide bond cleavages, and secondary fragmentation of products resulting from cross-link, and b, b0, b, y, y0, and y+ fragments resulting from secondary fragmentations of cleavage products. A prerequisite for nomination by Yeun Yan as a candidate and calculation of the corresponding score is the presence in the MS2 spectrum of at least ten fragment ions and assignment of one unambiguous y ion per peptide. A y ion is considered ambiguous if it can also be assigned to one or more other fragments. A y ion resulting from primary and secondary cleavage at the same position is counted only once for the requirement with respect to the minimal number of unambiguous y ions for validation and assignment.

The YY score is calculated according to the equation

\[ YY \text{ score} = \left( \frac{f_{\text{assigned}}}{f_{\text{total}}} \right) \times 100 \]  

in which \( f_{\text{assigned}} \) is the total number of matching fragment ions, including primary b and y fragments, b and y fragments resulting from water loss (b0, y0) and ammonia loss (b+, y+), fragment ions resulting from cleavage of the amide bonds of the cross-link, and b, b0, b+, y, y0, and y+ fragments resulting from secondary fragmentation of products resulting from cross-link amide bond cleavages, and \( f_{\text{total}} \) is the total number of fragments ions in the spectrum with a maximum of 40, starting from the fragment ion of highest intensity.

Cross-linking of Isolated RNAP

RNAP was purified from a pellet from 2 L of culture of B. subtilis BS200 (trpC2 spo0A3 rpoC-6his spc) as follows. Following lysis in 20 mM KH2PO4 pH8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM DTT and clarification, RNAP was initially purified by Ni⁺² affinity chromatography. Pooled RNAP containing fractions were dialyzed in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT and loaded onto a MonoQ column (GE Healthcare) in dialysis buffer without EDTA. RNAP was eluted using a gradient over 10 column volumes in dialysis buffer supplemented with 2 M NaCl. RNAP-containing fractions were pooled and dialyzed in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 30% glycerol, 0.1 mM DTT prior to flash freezing and storage at −80 °C. Before cross-linking RNAP was dialyzed in 20 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, pH 7.4 (cross-linking buffer). RNAP was cross-linked at a protein concentration of 0.5 mg/mL for 30 min at room temperature. The cross-link reaction was started by the addition of a solution containing 80 mM BAMG in acetonitrile to obtain a final concentration of 0.4 mM BAMG and 0.5% acetonitrile. The reaction was quenched by adding 1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.0 to a final concentration of 50 mM. Digestion of the cross-linked protein and isolation and identification of cross-linked peptides were carried out as previously described.

Determination of Spatial Distances between Cross-Linked Residues

PDB files of structural models were downloaded from the protein data bank (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home.do). Only PDB files of B. subtilis proteins or proteins with at least 40% sequence identity were used. Sequences were aligned using the BLAST algorithm to identify corresponding residues (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PAGE=Proteins). For RNAP, a homology model of B. subtilis elongation complex (EC)²³ was used. It gives similar results as with PDB file 2O5I. Structures were inspected with DeepView - Swiss-PdbViewer (http://spdbv.vital-it.ch/refs.html) for distance measurements.

In Silico Docking

A homology model of B. subtilis EC²³ was used along with other published structures identified by their protein data bank IDs (PDB ID) detailed below. The N-terminal domain of δ (PDB ID 2M4K) was used along with the EC model and in vitro and in vivo cross-linking data to produce a model using the HADDOCK2.2 web server Easy interface:²⁵ 40 models in 10 clusters (4 models per cluster) were obtained and analyzed for compliance to the maximum Cα-Cα cross-link distance permitted by BAMG (29.7 Å) in PyMol v1.8.2.0. The total cumulative distance of δ/K208-δ48, δ/K1104-δ48, and δ/K1152-δ48 Cα measurements was used to identify models that were most compliant (lowest cumulative distance) with cross-link criteria. To colocalize δ and σ 70 region 1.1, E. coli RNAP holoenzyme in which σ 70 region 1.1 was present (PDB ID 4LK1)²⁶ was superimposed over the B. subtilis EC model, and all but σ 70 region 1.1 were deleted.

RESULTS

Defined Growth Medium for in Vivo Cross-Linking

The addition of the cross-linker directly to a growth medium enables trapping of transient protein interactions in living cells that may otherwise dissociate upon washing and medium exchange. This requires a low concentration of primary amines to minimize quenching of the cross-linker in the medium. We found that the growth rate of B. subtilis in minimal medium containing only 1.2 mM glutamine as the nitrogen source was almost identical to the growth rate using the standard 5 mM glutamine, with doubling times of 45 and 43 min, respectively (Figure 1a). The addition of 2 mM of the cross-linker BAMG resulted in an immediate end to the increase in OD 600 nm indicating that biomass production ceased instantaneously (Figure S2). As shown by SDS-PAGE analysis (Figure 1b), most extracted proteins become cross-linked upon treatment of the cells with 2 mM BAMG for 5 min. The same results were obtained with DSG (Figure 1b). This indicates that the azidomethylene group in BAMG does not affect membrane permeability, with DSG and BAMG having about the same cross-linking efficiency. SDS-PAGE analysis (Figure S3) shows that the cross-linked proteins could be digested efficiently, establishing a set of experimental conditions suitable for the identification of in vivo cross-linked peptides.

In Vivo Cross-Linking of Gram-Negative Species

It is well known that the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria forms a barrier for the diffusion of lipophilic compounds due to the relatively low fluidity of the bilayer imposed by the lipopolysaccharide outer leaflet. To test whether this property prevents the use of BAMG as an effective...
cross-linker for soluble proteins in Gram-negative species, we used Escherichia coli as an example. Cells were grown in a MOPS medium with 0.16% N-acetylgalactosamine as the only source for energy, carbon, and nitrogen. The culture medium was also supplied with 0.1 mM NH$_4$Cl to provide the cells with a small amount of a nitrogen source to enable a rapid start of growth. When the NH$_4$Cl in the medium has been consumed, cells have to rely on the ammonia that is formed intracellularly during catabolism of N-acetylgalactosamine. On the basis of published data, it can be calculated that the amount of NH$_4$Cl thus formed will be enough for fast growth and will not accumulate to concentrations that will decrease the concentration of BAMG by >1 mM by reaction with the cross-linker (Experimental Procedures). The doubling time under these conditions is 56 min during midexponential growth. This compares favorably with a similar, but slightly faster, doubling time of 50–55 min reported by others using a medium containing N-acetylgalactosamine supplemented with 9.5 mM NH$_4$Cl as a nitrogen source. Upon the addition of 2 mM BAMG to the exponentially growing cells in this medium, inhibition of growth occurred (Figure S4). However, in striking contrast with the Bacillus subtilis results (Figure 1b), SDS-PAGE analysis provided no evidence of large-scale cross-linking of extracted proteins from BAMG-treated E. coli cells because the Coomassie-blue-stained patterns in the lanes from control cells and cross-linked cells were indistinguishable (Figure S5). These results are in agreement with the known slow diffusion rate of hydrophobic compounds through the outer membrane and put limitations on the use of Gram-negative organisms for rapid in vivo cross-linking by N-hydroxysuccinimidyld esters.

Mass Spectrometric Analysis Reveals a Large Number of Cross-Linked Peptides at a Low False Discovery Rate

The workflow for sample preparation of cross-linked peptides from in vivo cross-linked B. subtilis cells for LC–MS/MS analysis is shown in Figure 2b. After cross-linking and protein extraction, cross-linked proteins were fractionated by size exclusion chromatography to obtain a sample expected to be enriched in cross-links formed during transient interaction. To this end, samples with a size distribution of roughly 400 to 2000 kDa were used for further analysis. This fraction was enriched in RNAP and also contained ribosomes, that is, protein complexes involved in processes guided by many transient protein–protein interactions. A list of proteins present in this fraction, identified by peptide fragment fingerprinting and sorted according to the abundance index, is presented in Table S1. Besides subunits from ribosomes and RNAP, we also detected many proteins of high abundance with a known molecular weight far below 400 kDa that included all glycolytic and TCA cycle enzymes and many enzymes involved in amino acid synthesis, indicating that these proteins have cross-linked in vivo with other proteins.

After trypsin digestion of the extracted proteins in the high-molecular-weight fraction, cross-linked peptides were enriched by diagonal strong cation exchange (SCX) chromatography. The principle of the enrichment is schematically depicted in Figure 2b. Peptides in the cross-link-enriched SCX fractions were subjected to LC–MS/MS, data processing, and database searching according to the workflow schematically depicted in Figure 3. For efficient identification of cross-linked peptides from the entire B. subtilis sequence database with MS/MS data, it is necessary to know the masses of the two peptides in a cross-link. This is possible due to abundant signals in MS/MS spectra arising from cleavage of the two cross-linked amide bonds, shown as an example in the mass spectrum in Figure 4. Following this protocol, we identified 82 unique interprotein cross-links (Table S2) and 369 unique intraprotein cross-links (Table S3) in 299 and 1920 precursor ions, respectively, that fulfilled all criteria mentioned in Figure 3. Importantly, no decoy peptides fulfilled these criteria, indicating a low FDR.

About 39% of the 82 unique interprotein cross-linked peptides are from enzymes involved in intermediary metabolism, protein and RNA folding, and protein and RNA degradation. Most of these cross-links comprise peptides with identical sequences, showing that the parent proteins occurred in symmetric homodimers, possibly organized in higher order assemblies. About 40% of all interprotein cross-links are from translation complexes, that is, ribosomes and auxiliary proteins involved in translation, and ~18% are from transcription complexes, that is, RNAP and initiation and elongation factors (Table S1).

It is interesting to note that the ratio of identified inter- and intraprotein cross-links is about 1:1 and about 1:2, respectively, in the group of proteins associated with transcription and translation, while this ratio is only about 1:9 in the group of other proteins that end up in the >400 kDa gel filtration fraction (Tables S2 and S3). The paucity of identified interprotein cross-links in this group can be explained by assuming that a certain degree of random distribution of many low-molecular-weight proteins and protein complexes in the crowded cytoplasm has resulted in heterogeneous cross-linking, leading to the formation of covalent complexes >400 kDa. Identification of such heterogeneous cross-links with respect to protein identity and linked residues is likely below the detection

Figure 1. In vivo cross-linking in of B. subtilis in culture. (a) Growth curves of B. subtilis in minimal medium with 1.2 mM glutamine (filled diamonds) and 5 mM glutamine (open squares). (b) SDS-PAGE analysis of in vivo cross-linking with BAMG and DSG of exponentially growing B. subtilis directly in the growth medium. Control, soluble proteins from untreated exponentially growing B. subtilis. 2 mM BAMG or DSG, soluble proteins from exponentially growing B. subtilis treated with 2 mM BAMG or DSG, respectively. Molecular weights (kDa) are shown on the left-hand side adjacent to prestained molecular weight markers (MW markers).
limit, in contrast with cross-links formed between proteins engaged in a more defined spatial distribution, as required for transcription and translation.

**Use of Different Assignment Criteria for Interprotein and Intraprotein Cross-Linked Peptides**

To obtain a low FDR of both intraprotein and interprotein cross-links we used different assignment criteria for these two types of cross-links (Figure 3). Because the probability of identifying false-positive cross-linked peptide pairs from a complete sequence database is much higher for interprotein cross-links than for intraprotein cross-links, false discoveries are practically all confined to interprotein cross-links if the same assignment criteria are employed for both cross-link types. In Table S4 it is shown how variations in assignment criteria affect the number of identified cross-links and the FDR. Applying the more stringent criteria for assignment of interprotein cross-links to intraprotein cross-links only leads to a decrease of ∼20% assigned unique cross-linked peptides. Consequently, the number of assigned interprotein cross-links slightly increases upon relaxing the stringency of the criteria for assignment. However, this increase is accompanied by a relatively large increase in FDR. So, the stringent criteria that we apply here for interprotein cross-links result in efficient identification and a low FDR.

**Biological Consistency of Identified Cross-Linked Peptides**

To corroborate identified cross-linked peptides by comparison with published data, we determined spatial distances between Cα atoms of linked residues. In models of crystal structures, the maximal distance that can be spanned by BAMG varies between 25.7 and 29.7 Å, assuming a spacer length of BAMG of 7.7 Å, a lysine side chain length of 6.5 Å, and a coordinate error of 2.5−4.5 Å. The distances between 95.6% (n = 135) of Cα atom pairs of linked residues in cross-links with nonoverlapping sequences from one protein (denoted intraprotein cross-links) are <25.7 Å, including 14 interprotein cross-links between identical proteins that fit better than intraprotein species (Table S3 and Figure S6). The distances between Cα atoms of linked residues of only 2 cross-links out of the 135 exceed 29.7 Å. These results underscore the high biological consistency and thereby reliability of identified cross-linked peptides.

Table 1 lists the interprotein cross-linked peptides from transcription and translation complexes. The distances between the Cα atoms of interlinked lysine residues of all nine cross-links comprising peptides from proteins involved in transcription are in agreement with models based on crystal structures. Also, five small ribosomal interprotein cross-linked peptides nicely fit in the available structural model of a stalled ribosome. However, five small ribosomal interprotein cross-links that exceed 29.7 Å by >45 Å were notable exceptions.
1. Use MASCOT DISTILLER to process raw LC-MS/MS (LC-MS1MS2) data of diagonal SCX chromatography fractions enriched in cross-linked peptides.

2. Use the software tool REANG to identify possible mass signals for peptide A, Am, B and Bm by application of the mass equations to processed M1MS2 files.

3. Convert entries in original M1MS2 file by (i) replacing Mx (M1) values by new M1 values corresponding to Mx, Mx+n, Mx+n, and Mx, and (ii) removing M2 values exceeding the new M1 mass values.

4. Identify possible candidate peptides A, Am, B and Bm using MASCOT for interrogation of the entire B. subtilis database of both forward and reversed (decoy) sequences with the new M5/M6 files.

5. Generate candidate cross-linked peptides from candidate peptides A or Am and B or Bm nominated by MASCOT.

6. Validate candidates using YEUN YAN by applying criteria for assignment of interprotein or intraprotein cross-linked peptides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass equations used by REANG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( M_{m+n} - M_k = 125.0477 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M_x + M_y = M_k - 125.0477 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M_{x+n} + M_{y+n} = M_p + 125.0477 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria for assignment of interprotein cross-link candidates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• YY score ≥ 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Number of assigned y ions: ≥ 3 for peptides A and B with ≤ 10 amino acids and ≥ 4 for peptides A and B with ≥ 11 amino acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Highest scoring candidate for a given precursor ion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria for assignment of intraprotein cross-link candidates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• YY score ≥ 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Number of assigned y ions: ≥ 1 for peptides A and B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Highest scoring candidate for a given precursor ion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Overview of identification and validation of cross-linked peptides by mass spectrometry and database searching. A, B, Am, and Bm: free peptides A and B and peptides A and B modified by the cross-linker in the form of a γ-lactam. \( M_x, M_y, M_{x+n}, \) and \( M_{y+n} \): Masses of peptides A and B and their γ-lactam modifications. \( M_{x+n} \): Precursor mass. \( f_{\text{signal}} \): total number of assigned fragment ions. \( f_{\text{total}} \): total number of fragment ions of highest intensity taken into account with a minimum of 10 and a maximum of 40 fragments. \( \text{total}_{\text{decoy}} \): total number of assigned decoy peptides. \( \text{total}_{\text{target}} \): total number of assigned target peptides.

Because the FDR is low and the large majority of our data set is biologically consistent, it is reasonable to assume that the formation of these cross-links actually took place. Most likely these distance measurements represent the detection of ribosomal assembly intermediates or covalent links between proximal ribosomes.

**Many Cross-Links Reveal Transient Protein–Protein Interactions**

The power of our approach was demonstrated by the detection of several transient interactions between translation factors and ribosomes and between transcription factors and core RNAP (Table 1). Ribosome-recycling factor RRF forms a cross-link with ribosomal protein RL11, in agreement with cryo-EM data showing an interaction between these two proteins in the post-termination complex. A cross-link between RL19 and EF-Tu is in agreement with the presence of RL19 near the EF-Tu binding site on the ribosome. Cross-linked peptides were found between K4 and K5S of the transcription elongation factor GreA and residues β-K156 and β′-K830, respectively, in the RNAP secondary channel. This position fits with the known function of GreA and with a crystal structure of a chimeric Gfh1–GreA complex with RNAP. Likewise, the binding of NusA close to the RNA exit channel of RNAP, as revealed by a cross-link between NusA-K111 and β′-K849, is in agreement with results previously obtained that indicate the N-terminal domain of NusA binds to the β′-flap tip of RNAP. Two cross-linked peptides between the sigma A factor (σA) and RNAP were identified. The distances between Ca atoms of corresponding residues in the structure of the E. coli RNAP holoenzyme are 16.1 and 11.6 Å. Thus the spatial arrangements of the proteins involved in these transient interactions are in agreement with previously published in vitro data, underscoring the reliability of our in vivo cross-link approach.

Of great interest was the identification of novel transient interactions. A binding site of the RNA chaperone CspB on ribosomes, as revealed by a cross-link between CspB and RS2, has not been observed before to our knowledge. This interaction makes sense because cold shock proteins colocalize with ribosomes in live cells and are involved in coupling transcription and translation. The biological significance of the interaction between glutamate dehydrogenase GudB and transcription elongation factor NusA is not known, but recent work may suggest a functional link between the two proteins. The gudB gene encodes a cryptic glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH), which is highly expressed but not active. If the main GDH (RocG) is inactivated, then a frame-shift mutation activates GudB. This mutation depends on transcription of gudB and requires the transcription–repair coupling factor Mfd. Interestingly, NusA is also involved in transcription-coupled repair. Whether the interaction of GudB with NusA is relevant for the regulation of this gene decryption remains to be established.

Another noteworthy interaction is revealed by a cross-link between the β′ subunit of RNAP and a protein originally found to be associated with isolated RNAP named δ. Importantly, δ has a complex effect on transcription. It inhibits initiation from weak promoters mediated by α′, stimulates or inhibits transcription from certain other promoters, and increases RNAP recycling speed in synergy with the DNA helicase HeiD, probably by dissociation of stalled RNAP-DNA or RNAP–RNA complexes. Transcripomics experiments indicate that δ reduces nonspecific initiation of transcription, which is relatively prevalent in Gram-positive bacteria. Up to now it has remained elusive how these different effects on transcription are brought about.

The 20.4 kDa δ occurs exclusively in Gram-positive bacteria. It consists of an amino-terminal globular domain and a nucleic acid-mimicking highly acidic unstructured C-terminal half. The δ protein forms a complex with the RNAP core enzyme in a 1:1 stoichiometry. A truncated form of δ lacking the C-terminal half is sufficient for binding to RNAP, Intact δ, as well as the acidic unstructured C-terminal domain but not the truncated N-terminal domain, inhibits the binding of nucleic acids to RNAP. It has been proposed that the amino-terminal RNAP-binding domain may act both to orient and increase the local concentration of the flexible negatively charged carboxyl terminal domain to effectively shield nearby positively charged nucleic acid binding sites on RNAP. The effect of δ on promoter selectivity by α′-mediated initiation suggests an interaction of δ with the preinitiation complex. Indeed, it has been reported that δ and α′ can bind simultaneously to core RNAP with negative cooperativity. However, other experi-
ments indicated mutual exclusion of the binding of δ and σ9 to core RNAP. 24,25

We identified a cross-link between K48 of the δ subunit (RpoE) and K1104 of the RNAP β′ subunit (RpoC) (Figure 4). K1104 is located in the so-called downstream clamp region. This suggests a binding site for the δ subunit on RNAP close to the downstream DNA binding cleft. To confirm this finding, we performed in vitro cross-linking with purified δ-containing RNAP. This resulted in two additional cross-links, one between K48 of δ and residue β′-K208 and one between δ-K48 and β′-K1152, both in close proximity to β′-K1104, thereby corroborating our in vivo findings. Because only one residue in the N-terminal domain of δ was involved in cross-linking, further evidence is required to assign a preferential orientation of delta with respect to the clamp region. To this end we used an in silico docking analysis using a B. subtilis RNAP EC model and the known N-terminal domain structure of δ. 25,52

The best 10 output models were analyzed to establish which complied with the maximum Ca-Ca cross-link distance achievable with BAMG (Table S5). In all but one model at least one cross-link exceeded the 29.7 Å maximum distance; however, in published structures of RNAP, crystallographic B factors are relatively high around positions β′-K1104 and β′-K1152, implying some conformational flexibility in those regions. The model that gave the lowest cumulative Ca-Ca cross-link distance, with all predicted cross-links <29.7 Å, places δ in the downstream side of the DNA binding cleft of RNAP (Figure 5a). A position of δ inside the DNA binding cleft, as shown in Figure 5b, suggests that the N-terminal domain of δ could sterically inhibit the binding of downstream DNA. In this position, δ could also sterically inhibit the binding of the 1.1 region of σ70 because this region is expected to interact with an overlapping binding site based on crystal structures of E. coli RNAP holoenzyme with the homologous σ70 factor. 26,34 The model with the next lowest aggregate score also placed δ in this region, but the remaining eight placed it on top of the β′ subunit outside of the DNA binding cleft (Figure 5c). This position of the N-terminal domain of δ outside the downstream DNA channel but close to its entrance implies that interference with DNA binding and binding of the 1.1 region of the σ factor requires penetration of the C-terminal unstructured acid domain into the channel to interact with positive charges of the polymerase involved in DNA binding and in binding of the σ 1.1 region. Because δ is known to displace RNA more efficiently than it can DNA 47 and is important in RNAP recycling following the termination of transcription, 44 we expect that it must be oriented so that the acidic C-terminal domain is able to influence RNA binding through contact with the transcript close to or even within the RNA exit channel. However, the data prevent discrimination between models placing δ inside or outside the downstream DNA channel because both the aggregate distance scores (Table S5) and the HADDOCK scores of the best models show only small differences.

**DISCUSSION**

We have developed a new method for large-scale identification of cross-links introduced in vivo by N-hydroxysuccinimidyl esters directly in a bacterial cell culture. Within as little as 5 min extensive cross-linking was observed using 2 mM BAMG. This

---

**Figure 4.** Mass spectrum of product ions generated by collision-induced dissociation of a precursor ion of a BAMG-cross-linked peptide pair. The spectrum shows characteristic features of the fragmentation pattern of a cross-linked peptide in which the azido group in the spacer of the cross-linker has been reduced to an amine group. These features are (i) signals of high intensity resulting from cleavage of the cross-linked amide bonds leading to unmodified peptide A (CA) and peptide A modified by the remnant of the cross-linker in the form of a γ-lactam (CAM), adding 125.0477 Da to the mass of peptide, and (ii) secondary fragments resulting from cleavage of a cross-linked amide bond along with peptide bond cleavages of an unmodified peptide (blue, subscript An, Bn) or a peptide lactam (red, subscript Am, Bm). These secondary cleavages occur along with primary cleavages of the peptide bonds (black, subscript A, B). The presence of both primary fragments (resulting from cleavages of the cross-link amide bonds and peptide bonds) and secondary fragments tremendously facilitates the identification of cross-linked peptides according to the work flow schematically depicted in Figure 3b. A, fragment with NH2 loss.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mass (Da)</th>
<th>Uniprot entry name</th>
<th>position XL residue</th>
<th>sequence peptide B</th>
<th>UniProt entry name</th>
<th>position XL residue</th>
<th>(template) PDB file model</th>
<th>distance (Å) in structure model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1788.0</td>
<td>XSLEEVK</td>
<td>RPOA 294</td>
<td>XSLEEVK</td>
<td>RPOA</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21942</td>
<td>IXELGPR</td>
<td>RSPB 112</td>
<td>DTXLGPQEITR</td>
<td>RPOB</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22982</td>
<td>MYLXEIGR</td>
<td>SIGA 107</td>
<td>QILESEXYR</td>
<td>RPOC</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>4IGC</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25274</td>
<td>LLTVXIPR</td>
<td>GUBB 52</td>
<td>XDVDEVYDQR</td>
<td>NUSA</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26394</td>
<td>IGAEXDGDGLVVK</td>
<td>RPOB 837</td>
<td>GYTADANXR</td>
<td>RPOA</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>2OSIS</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26725</td>
<td>XLALK</td>
<td>RPOA 84</td>
<td>VAVAAKLXNVFTFEEQR</td>
<td>RPOC</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>2OSIS</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27265</td>
<td>AQEXVFMTAEKG</td>
<td>GREA 5</td>
<td>XGFTAVIPNR</td>
<td>RPOB</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>4WQT</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27946</td>
<td>DTXLGPQEITR</td>
<td>RPOB 803</td>
<td>TLPEDKGLFECR</td>
<td>RPOC</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30266</td>
<td>XGFTAVIPNR</td>
<td>RPOB 156</td>
<td>DXQFIVQVGAVETR</td>
<td>RPOC</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>2OSIS</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30667</td>
<td>IAATAXQVQTQ</td>
<td>NUSA 111</td>
<td>RTPXGVTELASEER</td>
<td>RPOB</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33876</td>
<td>VTPXGVTELAEER</td>
<td>RPOB 849</td>
<td>IFGPTXDEWHECGK</td>
<td>RPOC</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2OSIS</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35108</td>
<td>LVPAKTGGMXYR</td>
<td>RPOC 1179</td>
<td>ALEEDIAGLSFEDREA</td>
<td>RPOZ</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2OSIS</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37800</td>
<td>XDELQDR</td>
<td>RPOE 48</td>
<td>VIDAQDTDVLPGTTLDDHQFTEANXX</td>
<td>RPOC</td>
<td>1104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45723</td>
<td>GILARKPLEXTETIER</td>
<td>RPOC 830</td>
<td>SFGDLSSEYDASXEEQAFVEGR</td>
<td>GREA</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4WQT</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46957</td>
<td>IGAEXDGDGLVVK</td>
<td>RPOB 837</td>
<td>GYTADANXRDDQPIGVPIDSIVTVPVR</td>
<td>RPOA</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>2OSIS</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64611</td>
<td>DTXLGPQEITR</td>
<td>RPOB 803</td>
<td>EILXIAQPVSLETIGEDDSHLDGFIEDQAEATSPSDHAAYELLK</td>
<td>SIGA</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>4IGC</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16940</td>
<td>VXIYVR</td>
<td>RL7 73</td>
<td>GPEGTER</td>
<td>RL6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18381</td>
<td>XEVQQLK</td>
<td>RS2 132</td>
<td>GEVLPFXK</td>
<td>RS3</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>3J9W</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19470</td>
<td>DHEXLLK</td>
<td>RS13 62</td>
<td>QXGASADGR</td>
<td>RS1L</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20382</td>
<td>GXILPR</td>
<td>RS18 43</td>
<td>SVSXGTQLQAR</td>
<td>RS21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22172</td>
<td>XFSER</td>
<td>RS18 36</td>
<td>IDPSXLEER</td>
<td>RS5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3J9W</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23834</td>
<td>XAVIER</td>
<td>RS6 20</td>
<td>ILDQAXEIXEVATK</td>
<td>RS10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3J9W</td>
<td>149.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23923</td>
<td>AEDVALXR</td>
<td>RS5 155</td>
<td>VFLXGGNNER</td>
<td>RS8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>3J9W</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24293</td>
<td>XNEEYGGK</td>
<td>RS5 212</td>
<td>ILDQAXEIXEVATK</td>
<td>RS10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3J9W &lt;209*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24333</td>
<td>XFSER</td>
<td>RS18 36</td>
<td>ILDQAXEIXEVATK</td>
<td>RS10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3J9W</td>
<td>1161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24383</td>
<td>GEVLPTXK</td>
<td>RS3 210</td>
<td>VXVLDVINEEVR</td>
<td>RS1H</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24573</td>
<td>ILDQAXEIXEVTAKR</td>
<td>RS10 24</td>
<td>NEEGGX</td>
<td>RS3</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24985</td>
<td>XALNLSLTG</td>
<td>RS3 88</td>
<td>VXVLDVINEEVR</td>
<td>RS1H</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25003</td>
<td>GIVYXVXEDK</td>
<td>RS1H 25</td>
<td>QAQDSXVXEAAQR</td>
<td>RS2</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25394</td>
<td>GEVLPTXK</td>
<td>RS3 210</td>
<td>ILDQAXEIXEVATK</td>
<td>RS10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3J9W</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25574</td>
<td>XNEEYGGK</td>
<td>RS3 211</td>
<td>ILDQAXEIXEVATK</td>
<td>RS10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3J9W</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25714</td>
<td>XALNLSLTG</td>
<td>RS3 88</td>
<td>XQAQDSVKEEAOQR</td>
<td>RS1O</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3J9W</td>
<td>87.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26544</td>
<td>EITGLQXEAK</td>
<td>RL7 84</td>
<td>XAAGIESGSGEPNR</td>
<td>RL11</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27016</td>
<td>VVXVK</td>
<td>RL11 7</td>
<td>MLVTPTDXTAIDGIEK</td>
<td>RBF</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27254</td>
<td>GPQANXVTEAXA</td>
<td>CSPB 65</td>
<td>XQAQDSVKEEAOQR</td>
<td>RS2</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27285</td>
<td>SVSXTGLQKEAR</td>
<td>RS21 25</td>
<td>IDPSXLEER</td>
<td>RS5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27334</td>
<td>XNEXLEDAL</td>
<td>RS21 8</td>
<td>XLSYEGLQLEQE</td>
<td>RS4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27394</td>
<td>YEVGEGIEXR</td>
<td>EFTS 278</td>
<td>AEYVYLSXEEGG</td>
<td>EFTU</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>1EFU</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27499</td>
<td>ELVNDTPXPLK</td>
<td>RL7 95</td>
<td>XAAGIESGSGEPNR</td>
<td>RL11</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28455</td>
<td>AXLSGTGAEHPR</td>
<td>RL18 21</td>
<td>GGDPTFLAXIDGTVK</td>
<td>RL28</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28615</td>
<td>XNEXLEDAL</td>
<td>RS21 8</td>
<td>XKLSEYGLQLEQE</td>
<td>RS4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28815</td>
<td>IDPSXLEER</td>
<td>RS5 8</td>
<td>VXVLDVDRDNER</td>
<td>RS1H</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 1. continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mass (Da)</th>
<th>sequence peptide A</th>
<th>Uniprot entry name</th>
<th>position XL residue</th>
<th>sequence peptide B</th>
<th>Uniprot entry name</th>
<th>position XL residue</th>
<th>(template) PDB file model</th>
<th>distance (Å) in structure model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2882.6</td>
<td>IAXIEVVR</td>
<td>RL19</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>XLLDYAEAGDNIGALR</td>
<td>EFTU</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3080.6</td>
<td>VHINLEXR</td>
<td>RS3</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>ELEETPXADQEQDYR</td>
<td>RS1H</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3135.8</td>
<td>EXELVDNTPKPLK</td>
<td>RL7</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>LAFGTETHELX</td>
<td>MTNK</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3184.7</td>
<td>XQAQQSVKEAQR</td>
<td>RS2</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>ILQQAXEIVETAK</td>
<td>RS10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3J9W</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3427.8</td>
<td>EXELVDNTPKPLK</td>
<td>RL7</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>SLLGMVEGPSXGFER</td>
<td>RL6</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3524.8</td>
<td>VNTHTHTAPGVMIGK</td>
<td>RS1</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>ELEETPXADQEQDYR</td>
<td>RS1H</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3789.9</td>
<td>EXELVDNTPKPLK</td>
<td>RL7</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>AXAEAAAGAVDPVGTIDYNK</td>
<td>RL1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"X", cross-linked K residue; *, linked residue K212 (RS3) is not in structure model; distance is assumed based on a maximal distance of 4 Å between Cα atoms of K211 and K212; $, the structure of the RNAP elongation complex was modeled as described in the Experimental Procedures; n.a., model not available or linked residue not in structure. Uniprot entry names RPOA, RPOB, RPOC, RPOE, and RPOZ correspond to subunits α, β, β', δ, and ω of RNAP.
cross-link identification of transient interactions. Furthermore, in this study, we have focused on the soluble fraction of cross-linked cells. Further extraction and digestion of the insoluble fraction, enriched in membrane and cell wall proteins, is likely to reveal a rich source of interesting protein cross-links. Relative quantification of cross-linked peptides can also be employed with commercially available isotope-labeled starting materials for the synthesis route of BAMG presented here. Finally, our analytical strategy may also benefit from the option of mass spectrometry to combine collision-induced dissociation with electron-transfer dissociation\(^6,57\) to increase efficiency of identification of cross-linked peptides. The high average precursor charge state of slightly more than +4 of all identified BAMG-cross-linked peptides in our data set is favorable for the latter fragmentation method.\(^58\) Overall, we believe that the in vivo cross-linking and data analysis methods developed here will pave the way to a systems level view on dynamic protein interactions. Such a view will lead to a deeper understanding of the molecular mechanisms of biological processes guided by dynamic protein–protein interactions in the cell.

## CONCLUSIONS

A system has been developed for rapid in vivo protein cross-linking by an amine-specific bifunctional reagent added directly to a culture of Bacillus subtilis. We identified several stable and dynamic interactions in intracellular protein complexes with a size range of about 400 to 2000 kDa by mass spectrometric analysis of isolated cross-linked peptides and database searching using the entire species-specific sequence database. In-culture cross-linking of cytoplasmic protein in Gram-positive bacteria is much faster than in Gram-negative species. In combination with affinity purification of target proteins, our in vivo cross-link technology will be useful to obtain insight into the molecular mechanisms of processes guided by dynamic protein–protein interactions in large assemblies.
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**Figure 5.** Model of B. subtilis RNAP in complex with δ. (a) Zoomed region of δ (blue) located within the DNA binding cleft of RNAP (gray). The cross-linked amino acids are shown in red, and the distances in angstroms between the Cα carbon of δ K48 and RNAP β′ K208, K1104, and K1152 are indicated. (b) Model of RNAP (gray) in complex with δ (blue) with σ region 1.1 (purple) and DNA (green, template strand; orange, nontemplate strand) shown as semitransparent cartoons. The active site Mg\(^{2+}\) is shown as a cyan sphere and RNA is shown as a red cartoon. Part of the unstructured C-terminal domain, attached at the C-terminal end of the structured N-terminal domain, is depicted as a yellow squiggle, pointing in the direction of the RNA export channel. (c) Compilation of all 10 docked models (all cyan) with the C-terminal 5 amino acids of the structured N-terminal domain colored red.

Table S4. Effect of variations of assignment criteria on the number of identified cross-linked peptides and the false discovery rate. Table S5. Distance measurements for HADDOCK output data on the combined invitro and in vivo cross-linking data for the interaction of δ with the β′ subunit of RNAP. Figure S1. Structures of cross-linkers used in this study. Figure S2. Growth arrest of Bacillus subtilis after the addition of various concentrations of BAMG. Figure S3. Extracted proteins after in vivo cross-linking with different concentrations BAMG of exponentially growing B. subtilis can be digested efficiently. Figure S4. Growth curve of E. coli in MOPS medium supplemented with 0.16% N-acetylglucosamine and 0.1 mM NH\(_4\)Cl. Figure S5. Coomassie Brilliant Blue stained SDS-PAGE of extracted proteins from exponentially growing E. coli before and after the addition of 2 mM of the cross-linker BAMG directly in the growth medium. Figure S6. Distribution of 135 cross-link distances derived from 31 crystal structures comprising 43 different proteins with nonoverlapping cross-linked peptide sequences from the same protein sequence, denoted intraprotein cross-links. (PDF)
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