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ABSTRACT

Asteroseismology, i.e. the study of the internal structures of stars via their global oscillations, is a valuable tool to obtain stellar parameters such as mass, radius, surface gravity and mean density. These parameters can be obtained using certain scaling relations which are based on an asymptotic approximation. Usually the observed oscillation parameters are assumed to follow these scaling relations. Recently, it has been questioned whether this is a valid approach, i.e. whether the order of the observed oscillation modes is high enough to be approximated with an asymptotic theory. In this work, we use stellar models to investigate whether the differences between observable oscillation parameters and their asymptotic estimates are indeed significant. We compute the asymptotic values directly from the stellar models and derive the observable values from adiabatic pulsation calculations of the same models. We find that the extent to which the atmosphere is included in the models is a key parameter. Considering a larger extension of the atmosphere beyond the photosphere reduces the difference between the asymptotic and observable values of the large frequency separation. Therefore, we conclude that the currently suggested discrepancies in the scaling relations might have been overestimated. Hence, based on the results presented here we believe that the suggestions of Mosser et al. should not be followed without careful consideration.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Oscillations in low-mass main-sequence stars, subgiants and red-giant stars are stochastically excited in the turbulent outer layers of these stars. These so-called solar-like oscillations form a distinct pattern of near equidistant peaks in the power spectrum. For p modes, the typical frequency separation between modes of the same degree and consecutive orders is the large frequency separation ($\Delta \nu$). $\Delta \nu$ is related to the sound speed profile in the star and proportional to the square root of the mean density of the star, i.e.

$$\Delta \nu = \left(2 \int_{0}^{R} \frac{dr}{c} \right)^{-1} \propto \sqrt{\bar{\rho}},$$

with $c$ being sound speed, $\bar{\rho}$ mean density and $R$ the stellar radius.

The definition of the large frequency separation relies on the asymptotic theory (Tassoul 1980; Gough 1986). This definition is valid for large values of the eigenfrequencies corresponding to $\ell/n \to 0$, with $\ell$ being the degree and $n$ the radial order of the mode ($\Delta \nu_{as}$, as defined in equation 1). In practice, the observed large frequency separation ($\Delta \nu_{obs}$) is obtained from the strongest observed oscillation modes, i.e. modes in the frequency range surrounding $\nu_{max}$ (the frequency of maximum oscillation power). Recently, Mosser et al. (2013, hereafter Mosser13) have presented an investigation regarding the difference between the asymptotic large frequency separation and the large frequency separation observed in low-mass main-sequence stars, subgiants and red-giant stars. To properly account for these differences, Mosser13 derive a relation between the asymptotic and observed large frequency separation. Following this relation they infer $\Delta \nu_{as}$ from $\Delta \nu_{obs}$ for a large sample of stars and suggest new reference values to be used in the asteroseismic scaling relations (e.g. Kjeldsen & Bedding 1995).

The analysis and conclusions presented by Mosser13 are purely based on observed data. Here, we present for the first time a relation between the observable and asymptotic large frequency separation based on stellar models. We derive asymptotic values from stellar models.
structure models as well as observable quantities from adiabatic frequency calculations.

2 ASYMPTOTIC RELATIONS

The asymptotic relation that is important here is the equation based on the derivation by Tassoul (1980, see also Mosser13):

\[ \nu_{n,\ell} = \left( n + \frac{\ell}{2} + \epsilon_0 \right) \Delta v_{\text{as}} - \ell (\ell + 1) D_0 + d_1 \nu_{n,\ell}, \]  

(2)

with \( \nu \) being frequency and \( \epsilon \) a constant term. The subscript 'as' refers to the asymptotic approximation. \( D_0 \) is related to the gradient of the sound speed integrated over the stellar interior and \( d_1 \) is a complex function.

A form of the relation that has common usage in application to observed frequencies is

\[ \nu_{n,\ell} \approx \left( n + \frac{\ell}{2} + \epsilon_{\text{obs}} \right) \Delta v_{\text{obs}} - \ell (\ell + 1) D_0, \]  

(3)

with \( D_0 = (\nu_{n,\ell} - \nu_{n-1,\ell+2})/(4\ell + 6) \). \( \Delta v_{\text{obs}} \) can be obtained from pair-wise differences of radial frequencies (\( \Delta v_{\text{obs}} = v_{n,\ell} - v_{n-1,\ell+2} \)).

Mosser13 state that \( \Delta v_{\text{obs}} \) is not obtained at radial orders that are high enough that \( \Delta v_{\text{obs}} \) is equivalent to \( \Delta v_{\text{as}} \). In this case, \( \Delta v_{\text{obs}} \) is not linked to the mean density of the star in the same way as expressed by equation (1).

To investigate this further Mosser13 combined equations (2) and (3) using only radial modes (equation 4). They included terms to describe the curvature: \( A_\text{as} \) and \( A_{\text{obs}} \) for the asymptotic and observed curvature, respectively. The curvature accounts for deviations from the regular pattern of radial modes due to stellar internal structure changes. This equation is as follows:

\[ \left( n + \epsilon_{\text{as}} + \frac{A_{\text{as}}}{n} \right) \Delta v_{\text{as}} = \left( n + \epsilon_{\text{obs}} + \frac{A_{\text{obs}}}{n} [n - n_{\text{max}}^2 + 1] \right) \Delta v_{\text{obs}}, \]  

(4)

with \( n_{\text{max}} = v_{\text{max}}/\Delta v_{\text{obs}} \) and \( A_{\text{obs}} = d \ln \Delta v_{\text{obs}}/dn \) (Mosser et al. 2011). This results in the following relation between the asymptotic and observed \( \Delta v \) (equation 11 in Mosser13):

\[ \Delta v_{\text{as}} = \Delta v_{\text{obs}} \left( 1 + \frac{n_{\text{max}} A_{\text{obs}}}{2} \right). \]  

(5)

The observed value of \( A_{\text{obs}} \) is then used to compute the relative difference between \( \Delta v_{\text{as}} \) and \( \Delta v_{\text{obs}} \). This relative difference and thus a probe of \( \Delta v_{\text{as}} \) is in essence computed only from the curvature of the frequencies as opposed to equation (1) where \( \Delta v_{\text{as}} \) is computed from an integration of a stellar model.

In this work, we derive the relative difference between \( \Delta v_{\text{as}} \) and \( \Delta v_{\text{obs}} \), using stellar models. We use the observationally derived method by Mosser13 (equation 5) on computed adiabatic frequencies as well as \( \Delta v_{\text{as}} \), computed directly from the stellar structure models (equation 1) combined with \( \Delta v_{\text{obs}} \) obtained from adiabatic frequencies.

3 STELLAR EVOLUTION MODELS

In this work, we use a large set of YREC models (Demarque et al. 2008) as well as CESAM (Morel 1997; Morel & Lebreton 2008), GARSTEC (Weiss & Schlattl 2008) and MESA (Paxton et al. 2011) models to investigate the model dependence of the results. An overview of the physics included in these models is shown in Table 1.

In this work, we use sequences of models with masses between 1.0 and 1.6 M\(_\odot\). These masses are chosen to bracket the masses of stars presented by Mosser13. The models encompass evolutionary phases from the zero-age main sequence up to the tip of the red-giant branch (RGB; YREC), pre-main sequence up to the tip of the RGB (GARSTEC), the main sequence until and including early red-giant phase (CESAM) and main sequence till the end of the He-core-burning phase (MESA). In addition to the models described above and in Table 1 we also computed an additional sequence of 1.0 M\(_\odot\) YREC models constructed with the Krishna Swamy T–\( \tau \) relation (Krishna Swamy 1966) and a sequence of 1.0 M\(_\odot\) YREC models with [Fe/H] = 0.3 dex. Furthermore, there are CESAM models with overshooting of 0.2\( \Delta \rho \) and \( Z_0 = 0.01, 0.02 \) and 0.03.

The oscillations for the YREC models are computed using the updated version of the JIG code of Guenther (1991). In a few cases, the results were checked using the pulsation code used by Antia & Basu (1994). For the GARSTEC, CESAM and MESA models the oscillations are computed using the ADIPLS code (Christensen-Dalsgaard 2008).

4 ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

We introduce here the analysis and results for the large frequency separations, curvature and resulting differences between \( \Delta v_{\text{as}} \) and \( \Delta v_{\text{obs}} \). We discuss these results in Section 5.

4.1 Large frequency spacing

For the models, we compute \( \Delta v_{\text{obs}} \) from the adiabatic frequencies in three different ways. We compute (a) the median of the pair-wise differences between radial modes, (b) \( \Delta v_{\text{obs}} \) as the slope of a linear
fit of the frequencies versus radial order and (c) we use equation 10 from Mosser13, namely
\[
\frac{v_{n+1} - v_{n-1}}{2} = (1 + \alpha_{\text{obs}}[n - n_{\text{max}}])\Delta v_{\text{obs}},
\]  
(6)
and apply this to several orders. The values obtained from these different approaches are consistent within a few per cent. Furthermore, we checked how consistent the estimated values of $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ from these methods are with observational techniques such as the power spectrum of the power spectrum (PSPS). A comparison of a subsample of red-giant stars observed with Kepler is shown in Fig. 1. This figure shows that the median of the pair-wise frequency differences (black solid line) as well as a linear fit of frequencies versus radial order (red dashed line) provide a $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ value that resembles the one obtained from the PSPS. A Gaussian weighting of the frequencies (green dash–dotted line) does not have a significant influence on the resulting $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ values (Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistic of 0.056, indicating a significance level of >99.9 per cent for the weighted and unweighted distributions to be similar). $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ obtained from equation (6) provides less consistent results when used with frequencies measured directly from the highest peaks in the power spectrum (blue triple dot–dashed line), but this improves when the universal pattern (UP, Mosser et al. 2011) is used (magenta long dashed line).

In Fig. 2, we show the relative difference between $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{mod}}$. These results are based on $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ computed following equation (1) combined with $\Delta v_{\text{mod}}$ values computed using a Gaussian weighted linear fit of $v$ versus $n$. To investigate the impact of the frequency range on these results, we computed $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ over 5, 9 and 13 orders centred around $v_{\text{max}}$, respectively, i.e. $v_{\text{max}} \pm 2\Delta v$, $v_{\text{max}} \pm 4\Delta v$, $v_{\text{max}} \pm 6\Delta v$. These showed similar trends and only the results computed over nine orders are shown in Fig. 2. This figure is comparable with fig. 4 of Mosser13. From top to bottom, we show the impact of the frequency range over which $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ is computed: 5, 9 and 13 orders centred around $v_{\text{max}}$, respectively.

The values of $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ are used to compute the relative difference in $\Delta v$ following equation (5). This resembles the approach by Mosser13. The results are shown in the right-hand panels of Fig. 3 as a function of $n_{\text{max}}$.

5 DISCUSSION

In this analysis of stellar models, we find that the estimated values of $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ depend on the frequency range over which they are computed. When using nine orders or wider we obtain for all models a correlation between $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ and $n_{\text{max}}$. For nine orders the curvature obtained from the models exceeds the curvature obtained by Mosser13. This is less so for 13 orders. A similar pattern is present when looking at the relative differences in $\Delta v$ computed from $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$.

For the relative difference in $\Delta v$ computed using $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ (linear fit of $v$ versus $n$) and $\Delta v_{\text{mod}}$ (equation 1) there is again a correlation with $n_{\text{max}}$ (see Fig. 2). However, there are clear differences between the results from different models as well as with the results obtained using $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ (Fig. 3). The reasons for these discrepancies could possibly lie in the fact that only adiabatic frequencies are used to
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compute $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ and that a full integration of the stellar models is used in equation (1).

The integration range in equation (1) is a possible source of the discrepant results between the different models for the relative difference in $\Delta \nu_{\text{asm}} - \Delta \nu_{\text{obs}}$. For all models the integration is performed over the same radius as used to calculate the frequencies. However, the MESA models take a larger radius into account than the YREC models, which in turn take a larger radius into account than the GARSTEC models (see Fig. 4). To verify the impact of the radius that is taken into account, we performed some additional tests for the Sun (dots in Figs 2 and 3). We use YREC models of the Sun and integrate equation (1) over the full radius to obtain $\Delta \nu_{\text{asm}}$. All YREC models extend to approximately a density of $10^{-10}$, which is equivalent to a maximum fractional radius of 1.0012080 for the solar model, which contains 2721 grid points. This full radius is also used to compute the frequencies. Next, we truncate the model by 50, 200 and 500 grid points, respectively (equivalent with maximum fractional radii of 1.0010530; 1.0007120 and 1.0000990) and compute $\Delta \nu_{\text{asm}}$ and the frequencies for these truncated models. This cutting off of the atmosphere leads to an increase in the relative difference between $\Delta \nu_{\text{asm}}$ and $\Delta \nu_{\text{obs}}$ when computed using $\Delta \nu_{\text{obs}}$ (linear fit of $\nu$ versus $n$) and $\Delta \nu_{\text{asm}}$ (equation 1). The results of the truncated models are consistent with the results from GARSTEC, CESAM and Mosser13 in Fig. 2. By truncating the models the value
of $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ increases as expected from equation (1); hence, increasing the relative difference between $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ for truncated models of the Sun.

The effect of the extent of the atmosphere on $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ is much larger for giants than for main-sequence stars. This truncation together with the fact that there are differences in the sound speed profiles of the different stellar models most likely cause the larger discrepancies in the present result for giants compared to main-sequence stars. The difference in sound speed profile is most notable for the GARSTEC models for which we find lower values of $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ from these values of $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ also show general agreement. We emphasize however that to obtain such reliable values of $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ a large frequency range of preferably 13 orders, i.e. $\nu_{\text{max}} \pm 6 \Delta \nu$, is needed. For red giants it is typically possible to observe 7 or 8 orders (Mosser et al. 2010), which is possibly too limited to obtain a reliable value for $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$. For small frequency ranges $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ is poorly defined.

The relative differences between $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ from computations of $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ from stellar models do not show agreement with Mosser13, nor between the different stellar models used. This is mainly due to the extent into the atmosphere used to compute $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and the frequencies. The models including a larger part of the atmosphere in the computation of $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and frequencies show the smallest relative difference between $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$. Based on current experience with computation of solar frequencies, the inclusion of a larger part of the atmosphere could best resemble reality. Neither the atmospheric structure as defined by the $T-\tau$ relations, nor metallicity has significant influence on the relative difference between $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$. There are however secondary effects due to the boundary conditions and differences in stellar structure models.

For the models including a larger part of the stellar atmosphere the relative differences between $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ from direct calculations are smaller than the ones observed in Mosser13 indicating that the corrections to the asymptotic scaling relations proposed by Mosser13 might be overestimated. Hence, based on the results presented here, we believe that the suggestions of Mosser13 should not be followed without careful consideration.

6 CONCLUSIONS

For $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ (curvature accounting for deviations from the regular pattern of radial modes due to stellar internal structure changes) obtained over a large frequency range of 13 orders there is remarkable agreement between Mosser13 and the different stellar models. Hence, the relative difference between $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ computed from these values of $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ also show general agreement. We emphasize however that to obtain such reliable values of $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ a large frequency range of preferably 13 orders, i.e. $\nu_{\text{max}} \pm 6 \Delta \nu$, is needed. For red giants it is typically possible to observe 7 or 8 orders (Mosser et al. 2010), which is possibly too limited to obtain a reliable value for $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$. For small frequency ranges $\alpha_{\text{obs}}$ is poorly defined.

The relative differences between $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ from computations of $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ from stellar models do not show agreement with Mosser13, nor between the different stellar models used. This is mainly due to the extent into the atmosphere used to compute $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and the frequencies. The models including a larger part of the atmosphere in the computation of $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and frequencies show the smallest relative difference between $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$. Based on current experience with computation of solar frequencies, the inclusion of a larger part of the atmosphere could best resemble reality. Neither the atmospheric structure as defined by the $T-\tau$ relations, nor metallicity has significant influence on the relative difference between $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$. There are however secondary effects due to the boundary conditions and differences in stellar structure models.

For the models including a larger part of the stellar atmosphere the relative differences between $\Delta v_{\text{as}}$ and $\Delta v_{\text{obs}}$ from direct calculations are smaller than the ones observed in Mosser13 indicating that the corrections to the asymptotic scaling relations proposed by Mosser13 might be overestimated. Hence, based on the results presented here, we believe that the suggestions of Mosser13 should not be followed without careful consideration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

SH acknowledges financial support from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). YE and WJC acknowledge support from STFC (The Science and Technology Facilities Council, UK). SB acknowledges NSF grant AST-1105930 and NASA grant NNX13AE70G. AM acknowledges support from the NIUS Centre is provided by The Danish National Research Foundation (grant agreement no. DNRF106). The research is supported by the ASTERISK project (ASTERoseismic Investigations with SONG and Kepler) funded by the European Research Council (grant agreement no. 267864).

REFERENCES

Adelberger E. G. et al., 1998, Rev. Mod. Phys., 70, 1265
The asymptotic large frequency separation


This paper has been typeset from a TeX/LaTeX file prepared by the author.