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Abstract—In this paper time-augmented Petri nets are used to model people in the transit hall of an airport. Their behavior is strongly influenced by an event with a clear deadline (their flight), but typically there is so much time left that they linger and can be tempted to show random other behaviors, often induced by the location (encountering a coffee corner or a toilet). All behaviors are stochastic, but the firing rate is made a function of both location and time. This framework allows to show a rich set of behaviors; the diversity of the emergent behaviors is initiated with probabilities from observations in an actual transit hall of an airport.

I. INTRODUCTION

Simulation of pedestrians is a widely studied topic. Simulation could predict the emergent patterns in the movements of people, for instance when monitoring them in public places [1]. The local interactions of multiple people generate regular patterns of motion, although less frequent interactions could be interpreted as suspicious behavior. The detection of this suspicious behavior would be the reason why the pedestrians are monitored in the first place, yet it could be easily confused with less frequent but normal behavior as for instance a patrolling police officer or somebody waiting on another. By enriching the behaviors of pedestrians, the addition of suspicious behavior can be made less obvious, which allows to easily create artificial testing data for suspicious behavior detection algorithms and such. In this paper the enrichment of the behaviors is made possible by initiating the interaction of the environment.

Another way to look at the behavior of groups of pedestrians is to explicitly specify how they behave when they enter a certain type of situation [3]. In our approach, we would like to be able to specify behavior by drawing situations on an environment. For example, when a food stand is present in the area, we would like to be able to indicate that the agents that are placed in the neighborhood of that stand are able to perform a certain food-buying behavior, and by doing so, inject this behavior into the pedestrians nearby.

An additional requirement is that we would like to indicate a certain deadline for an agent which is the time at which its goal is not reachable any more. This approach results in roughly two types of behavior, hurried and relaxed. When the deadline approaches, less and less actions are likely to be done, since actions that take much time would result in not reaching the goal in time.

Fig. 1. A snapshot of the map of Rotterdam Airport. The numbers on the map indicate a luggage locker (9), meeting point (10), elevator (11), smoking shelter (20), shops (4,5,7) and information desks (6,8). Courtesy Rotterdam The Hague Airport.

We validated our model by mimicking certain behaviors found on Rotterdam airport. We chose Rotterdam airport because time restrictions are very prevalent in a transit hall like the one on Rotterdam airport (see Fig. 1).

II. RELATED WORK

As previously mentioned, most studies focus on aggregated crowd behavior or the behavior of an individual in such crowd [3]. In such cases the interaction with the environment is mainly reduced to collision detection. This greatly limits the applicability of the simulation. In many situations there is not a crowd with many people doing the same, but a situation where individuals could be distinguished, each individual doing something different. The obvious solution is to extend the knowledge of the pedestrians with instructions about how to interact with each other or with objects in the environment. This has been successfully done for instance by Shao and Terzopoulos [4]. They used an extensive psychological model to determine the behavior of the individuals. This led to a simulation in which the behavior looks very realistic, even when one person is followed for a long time. The downside to this method is that the behavioral model for the pedestrians has to be specifically crafted for the environment, which will be very time consuming. It would be easier to generate the virtual human agents if the environment would automatically decide for the agents what interactions are possible and appropriate. The first step towards this focus was made by Kallmann and Thalmann who introduced the principle of smart objects [5]. Their agents’ behavior is determined by both their current condition (e.g. hungry or tired) and the available objects placed
in the environment. In the most basic approach, these smart objects take complete control of the agents for a short period of time and let them interact with the object. The big advantage of this method is that the information for interacting with a specific object does not need to be stored in the pedestrians, but is stored in the objects themselves. This way, additional behavior can be added to a pedestrian by simply placing a new object in the environment. The object also keeps track about how many agents can interact with it at the same time and if the interaction should be the same for all agents. For instance, an elevator modeled as a smart object will make the first agent interacting with it press the button, but not the next agents that approach this object. By using smart objects, the internal model of the pedestrians can be kept very simple, because they do not need to remember specific information about how to interact with the objects. Furthermore, this means that the pedestrians do not have to be specifically designed for the current simulation environment, because the environment will tell them how to act. In the most basic approach to smart objects, the agents lose all their autonomy when they approach a smart object.

The challenge approached in this paper is to combine the smart object approach with time-driven behaviors; the pedestrians are typically on a location with a goal and have to perform a number of behaviors before a deadline. To model a pedestrian’s daily motion patterns. An important element without which the system is proposed in this paper adds an element of time to the system. This is needed to enable the system to deal with daily motion patterns. An important element without which the system cannot succeed is knowledge about how long actions are going to take. Only when this information is known to the agent (or system) it can be decided whether taking a certain action will result exceeding the deadline for the goal.

Central in our design is path planning to a base place, where a check is made if it is still possible to reach the (time constrained) goal. Then, we will compute for every transition that will not take the pedestrian to its goal, how much time it takes to get back to the base state. Then we can check whether the goal place is still accessible from the base state when a certain transition has been taken. We use this information to modify the timed transition rate, so pedestrians are more likely to choose the actions that leave them more time to reach their goal. This modification implies that the Petri net is no longer non-stationary.

B. Assumptions

The method we propose is based on various assumptions which have to be clarified. An important assumption is that the environment of the pedestrians is designed in such a way that it helps creating realistic behavior. This means that situations have to be defined in such a way that a pedestrian will walk into them and act in the appropriate way. In many cases, intuitively designed environments will cause the right behavior. For instance, in most train stations, the eating stands are placed in such a way that pedestrians will have to walk close to them in order to get to their destination.

Another assumption we make is that the pedestrians have to be at a certain place at a certain time. This makes the
system more suitable for daily routine type situations rather than cases in which pedestrians are walking around without a proper goal. However, most situations can be described as having a deadline (e.g. eventually, most people have to go to bed), so this assumption is not necessarily very restrictive.

C. Path Planning

To initiate the stochastic Petri net, it has to be known how long an action will take. However, in many cases the duration of action is depended on the travelled distance. It is possible to do perform path-planning between often used places and store them before running the simulation. The travel distance can easily be computed using the Dijkstra shortest path algorithm [13]. In our system we can use this to compute the time from any place to the goal place (the source). This can be very useful when we would like to compute an estimate of how long a pedestrian will be busy with executing a behavior in a certain situation. But since the Petri nets are probabilistic, it will never be possible to give an exact prediction of the time it takes to execute a certain behavior.

In our implementation, we used the MASON multiagent simulation toolkit to create a 2 dimensional environment for our pedestrian agents.

IV. RESULTS

A. Quantitative Experiment

It is very difficult to quantitatively establish whether lifelike behavior has been modeled. However, it is possible to check whether the mechanics of time planning work as predicted. In order to do this, we log the pedestrian’s relative time when they arrive at their goal to check how much time they had left until their deadline. If the model works correctly, this time should roughly correlate to how the time probability function has been chosen. We will discuss the various functions we have used to model the probabilities over time.

B. Qualitative Experiment

Apart from quantitative analysis, we will also qualitatively judge the pedestrians’ behavior. We will do this by comparing our modeled behavior with real-life behavior from recordings of Rotterdam airport. We have picked a couple of specific behaviors that we have modeled with our system.

1) The Behaviors:

   Going to the toilet: In the videos, we observed that a typical behavior that manifests itself multiple times in the video material is that one person goes to the toilet, and another one waits until this person has come back. The Petri net used for this can be found in figure 2.

   Standing in the queue for the check-in desk: One constant factor in the video material seemed to be the people lining up in front of the check-in desk. There are many ways to model this behavior with our framework. The situation area is chosen such that the pedestrians in it will together form an orderly line.
Fig. 4. Complete behavior model of a human in a transit hall resembles the map as given in Fig. 1.

Fig. 6 shows how the remaining time towards the security check is distributed when a large fraction of the pedestrians have decided to go the toilet (45% of the simulated agents). Detailed analysis of the logs showed that some pedestrians waited on each other and went to the security check in pairs. Furthermore, this shows that by specifying the probabilities for going to the pedestrians’ goal, the probabilities for other actions are also affected.

V. Conclusion

In this paper an innovative method to model pedestrian behavior is presented. A time-augmented Petri net is used to make the behavior stochastic. The probability of starting a behavior is made a function of both the location and the time left. This framework is demonstrated on a departure hall scenario, where the time left is the departure time (in this case of an airplane). The conclusion can be made that this framework allows to generate a rich set of emergent motions, which makes it applicable to generate artificial testing data for suspicious behavior detection algorithms.
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