



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Fiscal policy and the business cycle: the impact of government expenditures, public debt, and sovereign risk on macroeconomic fluctuations

Kirchner, M.K.

Publication date
2011

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kirchner, M. K. (2011). *Fiscal policy and the business cycle: the impact of government expenditures, public debt, and sovereign risk on macroeconomic fluctuations*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam]. Thela Thesis.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

List of Figures

| | | |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1 | Data used in the benchmark specification | 26 |
| 2.2 | Time-invariant impulse responses I – benchmark specification | 30 |
| 2.3 | Time-invariant impulse responses II – extended specification | 31 |
| 2.4 | Time-varying impulse responses I – selected quarters | 33 |
| 2.5 | Time-varying impulse responses II – each year | 34 |
| 2.6 | Time-varying impulse responses III – selected horizons | 35 |
| 2.7 | Pair-wise joint posterior dist. of time-varying impulse responses | 36 |
| 2.8 | Time-varying impulse responses IV – extended specifications | 37 |
| 2.9 | Robustness I – smaller prior scaling factor k_Q | 39 |
| 2.10 | Robustness II – smaller prior scaling factor k_S | 40 |
| 2.11 | Robustness III – smaller prior scaling factor k_W | 41 |
| 2.12 | Robustness IV – stationarity conditions imposed | 42 |
| 2.13 | Potential determinants of spending multipliers | 49 |
| 2.14 | Convergence diagnostics for state vectors | 63 |
| 3.1 | Model impulse responses I – both types of spending shocks | 75 |
| 3.2 | Model impulse responses II – unanticipated spending shock | 77 |
| 3.3 | Model impulse responses III – anticipated spending shock | 78 |
| 3.4 | Monte Carlo impulse responses – standard SVAR scheme I | 84 |
| 3.5 | Monte Carlo impulse responses – standard SVAR scheme II | 85 |

| | | |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 3.6 | Monte Carlo impulse responses – expectations-based scheme I | 86 |
| 3.7 | Monte Carlo impulse responses – expectations-based scheme II | 87 |
| 3.8 | Monte Carlo impulse responses – alternative scheme | 88 |
| 3.9 | Robustness I – Monte Carlo impulse responses in small samples | 90 |
| 3.10 | Robustness II – model impulse responses to a productivity shock when spending can react to lagged productivity | 91 |
| 3.11 | Robustness III – Monte Carlo impulse responses to an anticipated spend- ing shock when spending reacts to lagged productivity | 92 |
| 3.12 | Robustness IV – Monte Carlo impulse responses to an anticipated spend- ing shock when spending reacts to lagged productivity (observed) | 93 |
| 3.13 | Robustness V – Monte Carlo impulse responses to an unanticipated spending shock when spending reacts to current productivity | 95 |
| 3.14 | Robustness VI – Monte Carlo impulse responses to an unanticipated spending shock when spending reacts to current productivity (observed) | 96 |
| 3.15 | Robustness VII – Monte Carlo impulse responses under non-fundamental scheme | 97 |
| 3.16 | Expectational errors on U.S. federal government spending | 100 |
| 3.17 | Empirical impulse responses I – expectations-based scheme | 101 |
| 3.18 | Empirical impulse responses II – standard SVAR scheme | 102 |
| 3.19 | Empirical impulse responses III – both schemes | 103 |
| 3.20 | Identified spending shocks | 106 |
| 3.21 | Empirical impulse responses IV – extended specification | 108 |
| 3.22 | Empirical impulse responses V – alternative scheme | 110 |
| 4.1 | Impulse responses to a surprise spending shock | 141 |
| 4.2 | Impulse responses to a pre-announced spending shock I | 143 |
| 4.3 | Impulse responses to a pre-announced spending shock II | 144 |

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 4.4 | Crisis policy I – immediate spending stimulus | 146 |
| 4.5 | Crisis policy II – delayed spending stimulus | 148 |
| 4.6 | Crisis policies III to V – immediate transfers to intermediaries, delayed transfers, and zero interest loans with delayed repayment | 149 |
| 4.7 | Crisis policy VI – loans at penalty interest rates | 150 |
| 4.8 | Output gains from penalty-rate loans | 151 |
| 4.9 | Output gains from stimuli and transfers under mixed financing | 152 |
| 5.1 | Estimated expected default rate $E_t\delta_{t+1}$ and EMBIG Turkey spreads | 180 |
| 5.2 | Observed variables and their one-step ahead forecasts | 183 |
| 5.3 | Estimated and counterfactual impulse responses to a productivity shock | 185 |
| 5.4 | Estimated impulse responses to a productivity shock in both models | 187 |
| 5.5 | Estimated expected default rates $E_t\delta_{t+1}$ for alternative models | 191 |