



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Experimenting with new combinations of old ideas

Linde, J.

**Publication date**  
2012

[Link to publication](#)

#### **Citation for published version (APA):**

Linde, J. (2012). *Experimenting with new combinations of old ideas*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam]. Thela Thesis.

#### **General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

#### **Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Lottery screens.	8
Figure 2.2: Average percentage of safe choices in the loss, neutral and gain situations	24
Figure 3.1: A translated example of the choice situation as presented to participants in both treatments.	39
Figure 4.1: Computer screen as seen by the participants when they formulate a strategy.	70
Figure 4.2: A cluster analysis of the 107 unique strategies.	82
Figure 4.3: Interaction between strategies from two clusters.	85
Figure 4.4: Evolutionary analyses with a starting population of 107 strategies.	87
Figure 4.5: Development of average points earned and propensity to change during the evolutionary analysis	88
Figure 4.6: Evolutionary simulations with strategies of cluster 5/6 and 6/6 excluded.	92
Figure 5.1: An example of the choice task as presented to the participants.	104
Figure 5.2: Average value of the chosen option aggregated over 5 rounds split between the nudge and control treatments.	108
Figure 5.3: Cumulative distribution of participant's tendency to rely on the default option in the second half of the experiment for both treatments.	109