



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Experimenting with new combinations of old ideas

Linde, J.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Linde, J. (2012). Experimenting with new combinations of old ideas. Amsterdam: Thela Thesis.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

References

- Abdellaoui, M., Barrios, C., and Wakker, P. P. (2007). Reconciling introspective utility with revealed preference: Experimental arguments based on prospect theory. *Journal of Econometrics*, 138, 356-378.
- Abdellaoui, M., Bleichrodt, H., and Paraschiv, C. (2007). Loss aversion under prospect theory: A parameter-free measurement. *Management Science*, 53, 1659-1674.
- Alesina, A., Di Tella, R., and MacCulloch, R. (2004). Inequality and happiness: Are Europeans and Americans different? *Journal of Public Economics*, 88: 2009-2042.
- Alexander, L. and Moore, M. (2007). Deontological Ethics. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, retrieved September 9, 2011, from <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/ethics-deontological/>
- Andreoni, J. and Petrie, R. (2004). Public goods experiments without confidentiality: a glimpse into fund-raising. *Journal of Public Economics*, 88, 1605-1623.
- Ariely, D. and Wertenbroch, K. (2002). Procrastination, deadlines, and performance: Self-control by precommitment. *Psychological Science*, 13, 219-224.
- Arthur, W.B. (1994). Inductive reasoning and bounded rationality. *American Economic Review* 84, 406-411.
- Axelrod, R. (1984). *The Evolution of Cooperation*. New York: Basic Books.
- Bartling, B. and Von Siemens, F.A. (2011). Wage Inequality and Team Production: An Experimental Analysis. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 32, 1-16
- Bault N., Coricelli, G. and Rustichini, A. (2008). Interdependent Utilities: How Social Ranking Affects Choice Behavior. *PLoS ONE*, 3, 1-10.
- Beckman, S. R., Formby, J. P., Smith, W. J., and Zheng, B. (2002). Envy, malice and pareto efficiency: An experimental examination. *Social Choice and Welfare*, 19, 349-367.
- Benartzi, S. and Richard T.H. (1995). Myopic Loss Aversion and the Equity Premium Puzzle. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110, 73-92.

References

- Bohnet, I. and Frey, B.S. (1999). The sound of silence in prisoner's dilemma and dictator games. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 38, 43-57.
- Bohnet, I. and Zeckhauser, R. (2004). Trust, risk and betrayal. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 55, 467-484.
- Bolton, G.E. and Ockenfels, A. (2000). A theory of equity, reciprocity and competition. *American Economic Review*, 100, 166-193.
- Bottazzi, G. and G. Devetag (2003). A laboratory experiment on the minority game. *Physica A* 324, 124-132.
- Bottazzi, G. and G. Devetag (2007). Competition and coordination in experimental minority games. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics* 17, 241-275.
- Brandts, J. and G. Charness (2011). The strategy versus the direct response method: a first survey of experimental comparisons. *Experimental Economics* 14, 375-398
- Brennan, G., González, L.G., Güth, W. and Vittoria Levati, M. (2008). Attitudes towards private and collective risk in individual and strategic choice situations. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 67, 253-262.
- Buunk, B. P., and Mussweiler, T. (2001). New directions in social comparison research. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 31, 467-475.
- Camerer, C., Issacharoff, S., Loewenstein, G., O'Donoghue, T. and Rabin, M. (2003). Regulation for conservatives: Behavioral economics and the case for "asymmetric paternalism." *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 151, 1211-1254.
- Camerer, C.F. and Loewenstein, G. (2004). Behavioral Economics: Past, Present, Future. In C.F. Camerer, G. Loewenstein and M. Rabin (Eds.), *Advances in Behavioral Economics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Caplin, A. and Martin, D. (2011). A Testable Theory of Imperfect Perception. Working paper.
- Carlsson, F., Daruvala, D., and Johansson-Stenman, O. (2005). Are people Inequality Averse, or just Risk Averse? *Economica*, 72, 375-396.
- Cavagna, A. (1999). Irrelevance of memory in the minority game. *Physical Review E* 59, R3783-R3786.
- Cavagna, A., J.P. Garrahan, I. Giardina and J. Sherrington (1999). Thermal model for adaptive competition in a market. *Physical Review Letters* 83, 4429-4432.
- Challet, D. and Y.-C. Zhang (1997). Emergence of cooperation and organization in an evolutionary game. *Physica A* 246, 407-418.
- Challet, D. and Y.-C. Zhang (1998). On the minority game: Analytical and numerical studies. *Physica A* 256, 514-532.

- Challet, D., M. Marsili, and Y.-C. Zhang (2000a). Modelling market mechanism with minority game. *Physica A* 276, 284-315.
- Challet, D., M. Marsili, and Y.-C. Zhang (2001). Stylized facts of financial markets and market crashes in minority games. *Physica A* 294, 514-524.
- Challet, D., M. Marsilli, and R. Zecchina (2000b). Statistical mechanics of systems with heterogeneous agents: Minority games. *Physical Review Letters* 84, 1824-1827.
- Charness, G., and Rabin, M. (2002). Understanding social preferences with simple tests. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 117, 817-869.
- Chateauneuf, A., and Wakker, P.P. (1999). An axiomatization of cumulative prospect theory for decision under risk. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 18, 137-145.
- Chmura, T. and T. Pitz (2006). Successful strategies in repeated minority games. *Physica A* 363, 477-480.
- Chmura, T., W. Guth, T. Pitz and A. Ziegelmeyer (2010). The minority of three-game: an experimental and theoretical analysis. *Jena Economic Research Paper 2010-071*,
- Choi, J.J., Laibson, D., Madrian, B.C. and Metrick, A. (2003). Optimal defaults. *American Economic Review*, 93, 180-185.
- Choi, K., (2009). Envy, Inequity Aversion, and Optimal Taxation. Working paper.
- Clark, A. E. and Oswald, A. J. (1996). Satisfaction and comparison income. *Journal of Public Economics*, 61, 359-381
- Clark, A. E., Frijters, P. and Shields, M. A. (2008). Relative income, happiness, and utility: An explanation for the easterlin paradox and other puzzles. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 46, 95-144.
- De Haan, T. and Linde, J. (2011). Nudge Lullaby. Working Paper.
- Demougin, D., Fluet, C. and Helm, C. (2006). Output and Wages with Inequality Averse Agents. *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 39, 399-413
- Devetag, G., F. Pancotto and T. Brenner (2011). The minority game unpacked: coordination and competition in a team-based experiment. *University of Bologna Working Paper DSE 770*.
- Diecidue, E., and Wakker, P. P. (2001). On the intuition of rank-dependent utility. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 23, 281-298.
- Duffy, J. and E. Hopkins (2005). Learning, information, and sorting in market entry games: theory and evidence. *Games and Economic Behavior* 51, 31-62.
- Dufwenberger, M., and Gneezy, U. (2000). Price competition and market concentration: an experimental study. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 18, 7-22.

References

- Easterlin, R. A. (1974). Does Economic Growth Improve the Human Lot? Some Empirical Evidence. In P. A. David and M.W. Reder, (Eds.), *Nations and Households in Economic Growth*, Waltham: Academic Press
- Engelmann, D. and Strobel, M., (2004). Inequality Aversion, Efficiency, and Maximin Preferences in Simple Distribution Experiments. *American Economic Review*, 94, 857-869
- Ezekiel, M. (1938). The cobweb theorem. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 52, 255-280.
- Fehr, E. and Schmidt, K.M. (1999). A Theory of Fairness, Competition and Cooperation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114, 817-868
- Fehr, E. and Schmidt, K.M. (2006). The Economics of Fairness, Reciprocity and Altruism: Experimental Evidence. In S. C. Kolm and J.M. Ythier, (Eds.), *Handbook of the Economics of Giving, Altruism and Reciprocity*, 1, New York: North Holland.
- Fehr, E., and Gächter, S. (2000). Cooperation and punishment in public goods experiments. *American Economic Review*, 90, 980-994
- Fernández, A. and S. Gómez (2008). Solving non-uniqueness in agglomerative hierarchical clustering using multidendrograms. *Journal of Classification* 25, 43-65.
- Festinger, L. (1954). A Theory of Social Comparison Processes. *Human Relations*, VII, 117-40
- Fishburn, P.C. and Kochenberger, G.A. (1979). Two-piece Von Neumann-Morgenstern utility functions. *Decision Sciences*, 10, 503-518.
- Frederick, S., Loewenstein, G. and O'donoghue, T. (2002). Time discounting and time preference: A critical review. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 40, 351-401.
- Gneezy, U. and Potters, J. (1997). Experiment on risk taking and evaluating periods. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, CXII, 631-646.
- Güth, W., Vittoria Levati, M. and Ploner, M. (2008). On the Social Dimension of Time and Risk Preferences: An Experimental Study. *Economic Inquiry*, 46, 261-272.
- Harbaugh, R. and Kornienko, T. (2000). Local status and prospect theory. Working Paper
- Ho, K.H., W.C. Man, F.K. Chow and H.F. Chau (2005). Memory is relevant in the symmetric phase of the minority game. *Physical Review E* 71, 066120.
- Hommel, C., J. Sonnemans, J. Tuinstra and H. van de Velden (2005). A strategy experiment in dynamic asset pricing. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 29, 823-843.
- Huber, J., Payne, J.W. and Puto, C. (1982). Adding Asymmetrically Dominated Alternatives: Violations of Regularity and the Similarity Hypothesis. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 9, 90-98.

- Huberman, B.A. and R.M. Lukose (1997). Social dilemmas and internet congestion. *Science* 277, 535-537.
- Iida, Y., T. Akiyama and T. Uchida (1992). Experimental analysis of dynamic route choice behavior. *Transportation Research B* 26B, 17-32.
- Johnson, N.F., P.M. Hui, R. Jonson and T.S. Lo (1999). Self-organized segregation within an evolving population. *Physical Review Letters* 82, 3360-3363.
- Kahneman, D. and Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47, 263–291.
- Kahneman, D. and Tversky, A. (Eds.). (2000). Choices, values and frames. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Kahneman, D., Knetsch, J. L. and Thaler, R. H. (1991). Anomalies: The endowment effect, loss aversion, and status quo bias. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 5, 193-206.
- Kalaycı, K. and Potters, J. (2011). Buyer confusion and market prices. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 29, 14-22
- Kets, W. (2011). Learning with fixed rules: The minority game. Forthcoming in the *Journal of Economic Surveys*.
- Kets, W. and M. Voorneveld (2007). Congestion, equilibrium and learning: The minority game. *CentER Discussion Paper 2007-61*.
- Kőszegi, B. and Rabin, M. (2006). A model of reference-dependent preferences. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 121, 1133-1165.
- Krawczyk, M. and Le Lec, F. (2010). Give me a chance! An experiment in social decision under risk. *Experimental Economics*, 13, 500-511
- Kühberger, A., Schulte-Mecklenbeck, M. and Perner, J. (1999). The Effects of Framing, Reflection, Probability, and Payoff on Risk Preference in Choice Tasks. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 78, 204-231.
- Laureti, P., P. Ruch, J. Wakeling and Y.-C. Zhang (2004). The interactive minority game: A web-based investigations of human market interactions. *Physica A* 331, 651-659.
- Layard, R. (1980). Human Satisfaction and Public Policy. *The Economic Journal*, 90, 737-750
- Leady, J.R. (2000). Learning in coordination games utilizing categorized information: Experimental evidence. *Unpublished Memo University of Michigan*.
- Li, Y., R. Riolo and R. Savit (2000a). Evolution in minority games. (I). Games with a fixed strategy space. *Physica A* 276, 234-264.

References

- Li, Y., R. Riolo and R. Savit (2000b). Evolution in minority games. (II). Games with variable strategy spaces. *Physica A* 276, 265-283.
- Lichtenstein, S. and Slovic, P. (1971). Reversals of Preference between Bids and Choices in Gambling Decisions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 89, 46-55.
- Linde, J., and Sonnemans, J. (2012). Social Comparison and Risky Choices. . *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, DOI: 10.1007/s11166-011-9135-z
- Linde, J., and Sonnemans, J. (2011). Social Preference and Private Decisions. Working Paper
- Linde, J., Sonnemans, J. and Tuinstra, J. (2011). Evolution and Strategies in the Minority Game: A Multi-Round Strategy Experiment. Working Paper.
- Liu, R.T., F. Chung and S.S. Liaw (2010). Fish play minority game as humans do. *Nature Proceedings* <http://hdl.handle.net/10101/npre.2010.5440.1>
- Lo, T.S., P.M. Hui and N.F. Johnson (2000). Theory of the evolutionary minority game. *Physical Review E* 62, 4393-4386.
- Loomes, G. and Sugden, R. (1982). Regret theory: An alternative theory of rational choice under uncertainty. *The Economic Journal*, 92, 805-824.
- Madrian, B.C., and Shea, D.F. (2001). The power of suggestion: Inertia in 401(k) participation and savings behavior. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116, 1149–87
- Manuca, R., Y. Li, R. Riolo and R. Savit (2000). The structure of adaptive competition in minority games. *Physica A* 282, 559-608.
- Medvec, Victoria Husted; Madey, Scott F.; Gilovich, Thomas (1995). When Less Is More: Counterfactual Thinking and Satisfaction Among Olympic Medalists. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69, 603–610
- Meyer, D.J., J.B. Van Huyck, R.C. Battalio and T.R. Saving (1992). History's role in coordinating decentralized allocation decisions. *Journal of Political Economy* 100, 292-316.
- Mitchell, G. (2004). Libertarian paternalism is an oxymoron. *Northwestern University Law Review*, 99, 1245-1277.
- Mussweiler, Th. and Bodenhausen, G.V. (2002). I Know You Are, But What Am I? Self-Evaluative Consequences of Judging In-Group and Out-Group Members. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82, 19-32.
- Ochs, J. (1990). The coordination problem in decentralized markets: An experiment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 105, 545-559.
- Odean, T. (1998). Are Investors Reluctant to Realize Their Losses? *The Journal of Finance*, 53, 1775-1798.

- Platkowski, T. and M. Ramsza (2003). Playing minority game. *Physica A* 323, 726-734.
- Prelec, D. (1998). The Probability Weighting Function. *Econometrica*, 66, 497-527.
- Rabin, M. (2000). Risk aversion and Expected utility theory: A calibration theorem. *Econometrica*, 68, 1281-1292.
- Rapoport, A., D.A. Seale, I. Erev and J.A. Sundali (1998). Equilibrium play in large group market entry games. *Management Science* 44, 119-141.
- Rawls J.B. (1971). *A theory of Justice*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press
- Renault, J., S. Scarlatti and M. Scarsini (2005). A folk theorem for minority games. *Games and Economic Behavior* 53, 208-230.
- Robson, Arthur (1992). Status, the distribution of wealth, private and social attitudes to risk. *Econometrica*, 60, 837-857.
- Rohde, I. and Rohde, K. (2011) Risk attitudes in a social context. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 43, 205-225
- Rosenthal, R.W. (1973). A class of games possessing pure-strategy Nash equilibria. *International Journal of Game Theory* 2, 65-67.
- Roth I. and A. Rapoport (1998). Coordination, “magic”, and reinforcement learning in a market entry game. *Games and Economic Behavior* 23, 146-175.
- Samuelson, W. and Zeckhauser, R. (1988). Status quo bias in decision making. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 1, 7-59.
- Savit, R., R. Manuca and R. Riolo (1999). Adaptive competition, market efficiency, and phase transitions. *Physical Review Letters* 82, 2203-2206.
- Schildberg-Hörisch, H. (2010). Is the veil of ignorance only a concept about risk? an experiment. *Journal of Public Economics*, 94, 1062-1066
- Seale, D.A. and A. Rapoport (2000). Elicitation of strategy profiles in large group coordination games. *Experimental Economics* 3, 153-179.
- Selten, R., T. Chmura, T. Pitz, S. Kube, M. Schreckenberg, (2007). Commuters route choice behaviour, *Games and Economic Behavior*, 58, 394-406.
- Sonnemans, J. and van Dijk, F. (forthcoming). Errors in judicial decisions: experimental evidence. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*
- Sonnemans, J., C. Hommes, J. Tuinstra and H. van de Velden (2004). The instability of a heterogeneous cobweb economy: A strategy experiment on expectation formation. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* 54, 453-481.
- Sonnemans, J., van Dijk, F. and van Winden, F. (2006). On the dynamics of social ties structures in groups. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 27, 187-204.

References

- Sugden, R. (2008). Why incoherent preferences do not justify paternalism. *Constitutional Political Economy*, 19, 226-248.
- Sundali, J.A., A. Rapoport and D.A. Seale (1995). Coordination in market entry games with symmetric players. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 64, 203-218.
- Sunstein, C. R., & Thaler, R. H. (2003). Libertarian paternalism is not an oxymoron. *University of Chicago Law Review*, 70, 1159-1202.
- Sysi-Aho, M., A. Chakraborti and K. Kaski (2005). Searching for good strategies in adaptive minority games. *Physical Review E* 69, 036125
- Szekely, G.J. and M.L.Rizzo (2005). Hierarchical clustering via joint between-within distances: Extending Ward's minimum variance method. *Journal of Classification* 22, 151-183.
- Thaler, R. H. and Sunstein, C. R. (2003). Libertarian paternalism. *American Economic Review*, 93, 175-179.
- Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*, Connecticut (USA), Yale University Press.
- Thaler, R. H., and Johnson, E. J. (1990). Gambling with the House Money and Trying to Break Even: The Effects of Prior Outcomes on Risky Choice. *Management Science*, 36, 643-660.
- Trautmann, S. T. (2009). A tractable model of process fairness under risk. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 30, 803-813
- Trautmann, S. T. and Vieider, F. M. (forthcoming). Social Influences on Risk Attitudes: Applications in Economics. In S. Roeser (Ed), *Handbook of Risk Theory*. Springer
- Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D. (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. *Science*, 211, 453-458.
- Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D. (1991). Loss aversion in riskless choice: A reference-dependent model. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106, 1039-1061.
- Tversky, A. and Kahneman, D. (1992). Advances in Prospect Theory: Cumulative Representation of Uncertainty. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 5, 297-323.
- Vendrik, M. and Woltjer, G. B. (2007). Happiness and loss aversion: Is utility concave or convex in relative income? *Journal of Public Economics*, 91, 1423-1448.
- Wakker, P. P. (2010). *Prospect Theory for Risk and Ambiguity*. Cambridge (UK): Cambridge University Press.

- Wakker, P.P. and Tversky, A. (1993). An axiomatization of cumulative prospect theory. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 7, 147-175.
- Wang, W., Y. Chen and J. Huang (2009). Heterogeneous preferences, decision-making capacity, and phase transitions in a complex adaptive system. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106, 8423-8428.
- Yip, K.F., P.M. Hui, T.S. Lo and N.F. Johnson (2003). Efficient resource distribution in a minority game with a biased pool of strategies. *Physica A*, 321, 318-324.
- Zwick, R. and A. Rapoport (2002). Tacit coordination in a decentralized market entry game with fixed capacity. *Experimental Economics*, 5, 253-272.