Germline SMARCB1 mutation and somatic NF2 mutations in familial multiple meningiomas
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ABSTRACT

Background Multiple meningiomas occur in <10% of meningioma patients. Their development may be caused by the presence of a predisposing germline mutation in the neurofi bromatosis type 2 (NF2) gene. The predisposing gene in patients with non-NF2 associated multiple meningiomas remains to be identified. Recently, SMARCB1 was reported to be a potential predisposing gene for multiple meningiomas in a family with schwannomatosis and multiple meningiomas. However, involvement of this gene in the development of the meningiomas was not demonstrated.

Results Five affected members of a large family with multiple meningiomas were investigated for the presence of mutations in SMARCB1 and NF2. A missense mutation was identified in exon 2 of SMARCB1 as the causative germline mutation predisposing to multiple meningiomas; furthermore, it was demonstrated that, in accordance with the two-hit hypothesis for tumourigenesis, the mutant allele was retained and the wild-type allele lost in all four investigated meningiomas. In addition, independently somatically acquired NF2 mutations were identified in two meningiomas of one patient with concomitant losses of the wild-type NF2 allele.

Conclusion It is concluded that, analogous to the genetic events in a subset of schwannomatosis associated schwannomas, a four-hit mechanism of tumour suppressor gene inactivation, involving SMARCB1 and NF2, might be operative in familial multiple meningiomas associated meningiomas.

INTRODUCTION

Meningiomas are among the most common intracranial primary tumours (20% of all brain tumours), usually occurring as slowly growing sporadic solitary lesions.1 They also develop in about 50% of patients with neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2). The hallmark of NF2 is the presence of bilateral vestibular schwannomas. Schwannomas at other locations are found in more than 50% of patients.2–4 NF2 patients carry a heterozygous germline mutation in the NF2 gene and the wild-type copy of this gene is often found to be lost in the NF2 associated tumours.5–7

Multiple meningiomas occur in <10% of patients with meningioma.6 These may be NF2 associated and in those cases develop as the consequence of the presence of a predisposing NF2 germline mutation. Non-NF2 associated multiple meningiomas may occur as sporadic or familial cases. The limited number of meningiomas of familial multiple meningioma patients investigated thus far did not display somatic or constitutional NF2 mutations.9 10 The multiple tumours of sporadic patients were found to carry an identical somatic NF2 mutation, which was not present in their constitutional DNAs.9–13 This might be explained by spread via the cerebrospinal fluid or distant metastasis of a single tumour or as a consequence of mosaicism with undetectable contribution of the NF2 mutation to the constitutional DNA. Alternatively, the non-NF2 sporadic and familial cases suggest that a gene different from NF2 is implicated in the development of non-NF2 associated multiple meningiomas. This contention is supported by an earlier linkage study in a family with meningiomas in which a 15 cM region around and including NF2 was excluded from harbouring the meningioma predisposing gene.14

SMARCB1 is a predisposing gene in schwannomatosis, which is characterised by the presence of multiple schwannomas in the absence of vestibular schwannomas. The gene is involved in about 30–40% of the familial cases, but in no more than 10% of the sporadic cases. In the schwannomas of these patients, the wild-type copy of SMARCB1 is found to be inactivated, usually by deletion. In addition, somatically acquired NF2 mutations have been found in schwannomas of patients with a germline SMARCB1 mutation.15–18

We wondered whether SMARCB1 might be a predisposing gene in multiple meningiomas as well. Support for this candidacy is given by the location of SMARCB1, which is proximal to marker D22S1, that is outside the region excluded by linkage analysis.14 Furthermore, somatic SMARCB1 mutations have been shown to occur in sporadic meningiomas, albeit at low frequency, that is <5% of tumours.19–21 Evidence for the involvement of SMARCB1 in multiple meningiomas was recently reported by Bacci et al.22 who showed the inheritance of a germline SMARCB1 mutation in a family with schwannomatosis and multiple meningiomas. However, the mutational status of SMARCB1 (and of NF2) in the meningiomas of the patients was not clarified. In another recent study, no germline SMARCB1 mutation could be detected in 47 patients with multiple meningiomas, including eight familial cases.23

In this study, we investigated a large family with three members affected by multiple meningiomas and two with a single meningioma. We identified the causative germline SMARCB1 mutation segregating with (multiple) meningiomas in this family and demonstrated inactivation by deletion of the wild-type copy of SMARCB1 and additional bi-allelic
and independent inactivation of NF2 in meningiomas of the patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Informed consent was obtained from all family members for using their body materials. The proband (III-6 in figure 1) was referred to our outpatient clinic with a suspected diagnosis of NF2 because of multiple meningiomas. At the age of 54 years she presented with epilepsy. CT of the brain showed three extra-axial lesions along the falx cerebri, which were suspected of being meningiomas. Two lesions were resected and pathological examination confirmed the diagnosis of meningioma. At the age of 51 years, our proband developed sensory problems and paraplegia of the legs. MRI of the brain and spinal cord showed an intradural extramedullary lesion at thoracic level (T) 7, suspected of being a meningioma. The lesion was removed and turned out to be a psammomatous meningioma, WHO grade I. Her family history revealed a twin sister (II-7 in figure 1) with a chest wall schwannoma at age 57. At age 49, she developed epilepsy and brain MRI showed histological/mixed meningiomas grade I. She underwent additional surgery for a spinal fibroblastic meningioma at T4, multiple schwannomas of the chest wall and elbow, and was diagnosed with multiple spinal lesions suspected of being schwannomas. The father of these twin sisters died at age 63 from coronary artery disease. Two of his 10 siblings had been undergone surgery for meningioma. An uncle of our proband (II-6 in figure 1) developed left-sided deafness caused by a cerebellopontine angle mass, suspected of being a vestibular schwannoma, at age 56. At age 64, a spinal fibroblastic meningioma at T1 was removed; more recently, at age 77, he developed gait difficulties and MRI showed multiple spinal intradural extramedullary lesions, suspected of being meningiomas. An aunt of our proband (II-9 in figure 1) underwent surgery on a spinal psammomatous meningioma at T10 at age 53. A fibrous meningioma at T6 was removed from the spinal cord of her daughter (III-1 in figure 1) at the age of 40 years.

None of the affected family members had neurofibromas, café-au-lait spots or freckling. Their clinical characteristics are summarised in table 1.

DNA and RNA samples

A frozen tissue sample of a brain meningioma M1 of patient III-6 and formalin fixed, paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue samples of one meningioma each of patients II-6, II-9, and III-1, and the brain and spinal cord meningiomas (M1, M2) of patient III-6, were available for molecular analysis. DNA was extracted and purified from blood samples and the tumour tissue samples according to standard methods. DNA extracted from the meningioma of patient II-9 was too heavily degraded for reliable molecular analyses.

Total RNA was extracted and purified from another part of the frozen tissue sample of meningioma M1 of patient III-6 using TRizol LS Reagent according to the protocol of the manufacturer (Invitrogen, Leek, The Netherlands). One microgram of total RNA was used to synthesise cDNA with random priming according to standard methods.

Microsatellite analysis

Genotyping of family members and loss of heterozygosity (LOH) analysis of tumours were performed by using the chromosome 22-specific microsatellite markers of the ABI Prism Linkage Mapping Set version 2.5-HDS and additional marker D22S929. Primer sequences for the latter marker were taken from Legoix et al.24 Data were analysed with Genemapper software version 4.0. (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA).

Mutation analysis

SMARCB1 and NF2 were sequenced by using genomic or cDNA as substrate for amplification by PCR. Primer sequences for mutation analysis of the SMARCB1 and NF2 exons have been published previously.15 For improved performance, some SMARCB1 primers were newly designed (sequences available on request). In degraded DNA extracted from FFPE material, the SMARCB1 exon 2 microsatellite markers of the ABI Prism Linkage Mapping Set version 2.5-HDS and additional marker D22S929. Primer sequences for the latter marker were taken from Legoix et al.24 Data were analysed with Genemapper software version 4.0. (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA).

Mutation analysis

SMARCB1 and NF2 were sequenced by using genomic or cDNA as substrate for amplification by PCR. Primer sequences for mutation analysis of the SMARCB1 and NF2 exons have been published previously.15 For improved performance, some SMARCB1 primers were newly designed (sequences available on request). In degraded DNA extracted from FFPE material, the SMARCB1 exon 2 microsatellite markers of the ABI Prism Linkage Mapping Set version 2.5-HDS and additional marker D22S929. Primer sequences for the latter marker were taken from Legoix et al.24 Data were analysed with Genemapper software version 4.0. (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA).

Mutation analysis

SMARCB1 and NF2 were sequenced by using genomic or cDNA as substrate for amplification by PCR. Primer sequences for mutation analysis of the SMARCB1 and NF2 exons have been published previously.15 For improved performance, some SMARCB1 primers were newly designed (sequences available on request). In degraded DNA extracted from FFPE material, the SMARCB1 exon 2 microsatellite markers of the ABI Prism Linkage Mapping Set version 2.5-HDS and additional marker D22S929. Primer sequences for the latter marker were taken from Legoix et al.24 Data were analysed with Genemapper software version 4.0. (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA).

Mutation analysis

SMARCB1 and NF2 were sequenced by using genomic or cDNA as substrate for amplification by PCR. Primer sequences for mutation analysis of the SMARCB1 and NF2 exons have been published previously.15 For improved performance, some SMARCB1 primers were newly designed (sequences available on request). In degraded DNA extracted from FFPE material, the SMARCB1 exon 2 microsatellite markers of the ABI Prism Linkage Mapping Set version 2.5-HDS and additional marker D22S929. Primer sequences for the latter marker were taken from Legoix et al.24 Data were analysed with Genemapper software version 4.0. (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA).

RESULTS

Sequencing of the nine exons of SMARCB1 in constitutional DNA of proband III-6 revealed a missense mutation in exon 2,
c.143C→T, P48L (figure 2), which also proved to be present in constitutional DNA of the other affected family members (III-7, II-6, II-9, and III-1). The mutation was not found in constitutional DNA of more than 100 control individuals. The proline residue that is replaced by leucine in the resulting SMARCB1 protein is evolutionarily conserved across all species for which sequence information is available (data not shown). In silico analyses with the Polyphen and SIFT programs predicted that the amino acid substitution affects SMARCB1 protein function and is probably damaging.

The four meningiomas that were available for molecular analysis all showed loss of the wild-type C allele, as demonstrated in figure 2 for the brain (M1) and spinal cord (M2) meningioma of the proband (III-6). In accordance with this, genotyping with flanking markers revealed retention of the chromosome 22 segment containing the mutant T-allele in the investigated tumours, as exemplified in figure 2 for marker D22S1174. The data are summarised in figure 1.

To investigate whether the retained SMARCB1 allele was transcribed, we extracted total RNA from frozen tissue of meningioma M1 and reverse transcribed it into cDNA. The cDNA sequence demonstrates that a stable mRNA is transcribed from the retained mutant allele (figure 2).

Schwannomatosis associated schwannomas harbour somatically acquired NF2 mutations. Considering the apparent analogy with schwannomatosis, we wondered whether these mutations might also be present in the meningiomas of the family under study. Although attempts were made to sequence NF2 in all available meningiomas, reliable sequences could only be derived from the frozen tumour M1 and the freshly fixed tumour M2, both of proband III-6. We found a missense mutation in exon 4 of meningioma M1 (c.422T→G, p.L141R) and a nonsense mutation in exon 8 (c.773G→A, p.W258X) of meningioma M2, both being absent in the corresponding constitutional DNA of the patient (figure 3). The leucine residue at position 141 is evolutionarily conserved (data not shown). The Polyphen and SIFT programs predicted that the amino acid substitution caused by the missense mutation affects NF2 protein function and is probably damaging. The sequence recordings indicate loss of the wild-type allele in both tumours. This is substantiated by LOH analysis with the intragenic NF2 marker D22S929, which shows considerable loss of the 132 bp allele in tumour M1 as well as tumour M2 (figure 3). Finally, we sequenced all exons of the NF2 gene in constitutional DNA of the affected family members (III-6, III-7, II-6, II-9, III-1), but did not detect any deviation from the wild-type sequence.

### Table 1: Clinical characteristics of affected family members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex (M/F)</th>
<th>Age (years) at diagnosis</th>
<th>Presenting symptom</th>
<th>Meningiomas (n, location)</th>
<th>Schwannomas (n, location)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III-6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>3 cranial, 1 spinal</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Chest wall mass</td>
<td>Multiple cranial, 1 spinal</td>
<td>Multiple chest wall, multiple spinal, 1 elbow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Deafness</td>
<td>Multiple spinal</td>
<td>1 vestibular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Paresis legs</td>
<td>1 spinal</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Sensory problems</td>
<td>1 spinal</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, we performed multiple ligation dependent probe amplification (MLPA) analysis of the NF2 gene in constitutional DNA of patient III-7. However, this analysis did not reveal any deletions or duplications.

**DISCUSSION**

We identified the germline mutation c.143C→T in SMARCB1 that most probably predisposes to the development of multiple meningiomas. The mutation segregates with the presence of (multiple) meningiomas in this family and, in accordance with Knudson’s two-hit hypothesis for tumourigenesis, remains in the four investigated meningiomas, each with loss of the wild-type SMARCB1 allele by LOH (figure 2). The causative nature of this missense mutation may be inferred from our in silico analyses, which demonstrated that the proline residue at position 48 is evolutionary conserved and that the change to leucine affects SMARCB1 protein function and is probably damaging. The importance of proline at that position is furthermore supported by the finding of another missense mutation causing its replacement (c.142C→T, p.P48S) in a malignant rhabdoid tumour of the kidney.26 Another missense mutation in the SMARCB1 gene, c.92A→T, p.E31V, was recently identified as the predisposing genetic event in a subset of schwannomatosis associated meningiomas, have been reported. However, the status of SMARCB1 in these tumours was not investigated.16 17 28 29 Considering the frequent occurrence of meningiomas in the general population, it cannot be excluded that their association with schwannomatosis in the latter families was coincidental. On the other hand, the missense mutation in SMARCB1 in our family, affecting amino acid residue 48, and most probably also in the family presented by Bacci et al.,22 affecting nearby amino acid residue 31, clearly predispose to the development of multiple meningiomas. Therefore, it is possible that the preference for meningioma development is, at least in part, determined by type and location of the SMARCB1 mutation. Alternatively, the co-occurrence of schwannomas and meningiomas in some SMARCB1 families and the sole occurrence of schwannomas in most other SMARCB1 families may point to the existence of modifying genes segregating in these families and affecting the phenotypic expression of the SMARCB1 mutation. Our finding, and that of Bacci et al.,22 that meningiomas are part of the schwannomatosis tumour spectrum may require the diagnostic criteria for this disease be reconsidered. Further investigation of the possible association of type and location of the SMARCB1 mutation and the development of multiple meningiomas and of possible modifying factors will require the identification and clinical evaluation of additional carriers of the mutated gene in our family and in other multiple meningiomas patients and families.

We identified additional independent somatic mutations in the NF2 gene in the brain (M1) and spinal cord (M2) meningiomas of patient III-6 (figure 3). The missense mutation in exon 4 in meningioma M1 caused replacement of the evolutionary conserved leucine residue at position 141 in the NF2 protein and its change into arginine affects, according to the in silico analyses, protein function and is probably damaging. The importance of leucine at position 141 is furthermore supported by the finding of another missense mutation causing its replacement (c.422T→C, p.L141P), which was reported as a constitutional NF2 mutation in a patient with bilateral schwannomas.30 The exon 8 mutation in meningioma M2 is a typical NF2 nonsense mutation, causing truncation of the protein.30 In accordance with Knudson’s two-hit model, loss of the wild-type copy of NF2 by LOH occurred in both tumours.25 The finding of two causative hits (NF2 mutation and deletion) in these meningiomas and our failure to detect a constitutional NF2 mutation or deletion in the affected family members strongly argue against this gene as being the multiple meningioma predisposing gene in this family.

Summarising our data, we conclude that, analogous to the genetic events in a subset of schwannomatosis associated schwannomas,16–18 a four-hit mechanism of tumour suppressor gene inactivation, involving SMARCB1 and NF2, might also be operative in familial multiple meningiomas associated meningiomas. In a recent study, no germline SMARCB1 mutations were detected in 47 patients with multiple meningiomas, including eight familial cases.25 Germline SMARCB1 mutations are infrequently found in sporadic schwannomatosis patients...
(<10% of cases). It is possible that, as in familial schwannomatosis (30–40% of cases), SMARCB1 involvement is more frequent in familial multiple meningiomas. Alternatively, other genes might be implicated as predisposing genetic factors in both diseases.
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