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1. Introduction

The search for the Standard Model (SM) Higgs boson [1–3] is a major goal of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) programme. Direct searches at the CERN LEP $e^+e^-$ collider led to a lower limit on the Higgs boson mass, $m_H$, of 114.4 GeV at 95% confidence level (CL) [4]. The searches at the Fermilab Tevatron $p\bar{p}$ collider have excluded at 95% CL the region 156 GeV $< m_H <$ 180 GeV [5]. Results from the 2010 LHC run extended the search in the region 200 GeV $< m_H <$ 600 GeV by excluding a Higgs boson with cross section larger than 5–20 times the SM prediction [6,7].

This Letter presents a search for the SM Higgs boson in the mass range from 110 to 600 GeV in the channel $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\ell'^+\ell'^-$, where $\ell, \ell' = e, \mu$. Three distinct final states, $\mu\mu\mu\mu$ (4\mu), $ee\mu\mu$ (2e2\mu), and $eeee$ (4e), are selected. The largest background to this search comes from continuum $ZZ^{(*)}$ production. For $m_H <$ 180 GeV, contributions from $Z +$ jets and $t\bar{t}$ processes, where the additional charged leptons arise either from semi-leptonic decays of heavy flavour or from light flavour jets misidentified as leptons, are important. The $pp$ collision data were recorded with the ATLAS detector at the LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and correspond to an average integrated luminosity of 2.1 fb$^{-1}$ [8].

2. The ATLAS detector

The ATLAS detector [9] is a multi-purpose particle physics apparatus with forward–backward symmetric cylindrical geometry. The inner tracking detector (ID) consists of a silicon pixel detector, a silicon microstrip detector, and a transition radiation tracker. The pseudorapidity $\eta$ is defined as $\eta = -\ln(\tan(\theta/2))$ where $\theta$ is the polar angle.

3. Data and simulation samples

The accumulated data are subjected to quality requirements ensuring that the relevant detector components were operating
which includes the complete NLO QCD derived to next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in QCD for the next-to-leading log (NNLL).\[15,16\] for the simulation of photos whereas for b-section calculations are used for the inclusive NLO and gluon fusion. The inclusive is used, which includes both quark–antiquark annihilation at QCD processes in pp collisions at \(\sqrt{s} = 7\) TeV. The cross sections include the branching ratio of \(H \to 4\ell\), with \(\ell = e, \mu\). The errors are the total theoretical systematic uncertainty.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
m_H [GeV] & \sigma(gg \to H) [pb] & \sigma(qq \to H) [pb] & BR(H \to 4\ell) \cdot 10^{-3} \\
\hline
130 & 14.1^{+2.7}_{-1.9} & 1.154^{+0.032}_{-0.037} & 0.19 \\
150 & 10.5^{+2.0}_{-1.6} & 0.962^{+0.028}_{-0.031} & 0.38 \\
200 & 5.2^{+1.0}_{-0.8} & 0.637^{+0.022}_{-0.024} & 1.15 \\
240 & 3.6^{+0.6}_{-0.5} & 0.464^{+0.019}_{-0.021} & 1.32 \\
300 & 2.4^{+0.3}_{-0.3} & 0.301^{+0.014}_{-0.015} & 1.38 \\
400 & 2.0^{+0.3}_{-0.3} & 0.162^{+0.010}_{-0.011} & 1.21 \\
600 & 0.33^{+0.06}_{-0.056} & 0.058^{+0.005}_{-0.006} & 1.23 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

The number of pp interactions in the same bunch crossing (pileup) is included in the simulation. The MC samples are reweighted to reproduce the observed distribution in the data.

4. Physics object identification and event selection

The data considered in this analysis were selected using single-lepton triggers. For electrons the threshold on the transverse energy, \(E_T\), was 20–22 GeV depending on the LHC instantaneous luminosity and for muons the threshold on \(p_T\) was 18 GeV. Both triggers are more than 99.5% efficient for events passing the offline selection described below.

Electron candidates consist of clusters of energy deposited in the electromagnetic calorimeter associated to ID tracks. The electrons must satisfy the “medium” electron criteria\[43\], which require the shower profiles to be consistent with those expected for electromagnetic showers and a well reconstructed ID track pointing to the corresponding cluster. The electron transverse momentum is computed from the cluster energy and the track direction.

Muons candidates are reconstructed by matching ID tracks with either full or partial tracks in the MS\[43\]. For the former case, the two independent momentum measurements are combined, whereas for the latter case the momentum is measured using the ID information only, with the MS providing muon identification. To reject cosmic rays, tracks are required to be consistent with having originated from the primary vertex, defined as the reconstructed vertex with the highest \(\sum p_T^2\) of associated tracks.

Leptons from Higgs boson decays are expected to be isolated and to originate from a common vertex. Track and calorimeter isolation as well as transverse impact parameter significance requirements are therefore applied to further reduce the \(Z+\)jets and \(tt\) contributions. The sum of \(p_T\) of tracks within \(\Delta R < 0.2\) of the lepton divided by the lepton \(p_T\) is required to be less than 0.15, while the sum \(E_T\) of the calorimeter cells within \(\Delta R < 0.2\) around the lepton divided by the lepton \(p_T\) is required to be less than 0.3. In the case of electrons, the calorimeter cells corresponding to the electromagnetic shower are subtracted. The transverse impact parameter significance, defined as the transverse impact parameter of the lepton with respect to the primary vertex divided by its uncertainty, for the two lowest \(p_T\) leptons of the quadruplet in events with \(m_{4\ell} < 190\) GeV is required to be less than 3.5 and 6 for muons and electrons respectively. The selection efficiency of the isolation and impact parameter requirements has been studied using data both for isolated leptons, with \(Z \to \ell\ell\) decays and non-isolated leptons from semi-leptonic b- and c-quark decays in a heavy-flavour enriched dijet sample. Good agreement is observed between data and simulation.

Higgs boson candidates are searched by selecting two same-flavour, opposite-sign isolated lepton pairs in an event. Each lepton must satisfy \(p_T > 7\) GeV and be measured in the pseudorapidity range \(|\eta| < 2.47\) for electrons and \(|\eta| < 2.5\) for muons. The electron \(p_T\) threshold is increased to 15 GeV in the transition region between the barrel and end-cap calorimeters (1.37 < \(|\eta| < 1.52\)). At least two leptons must have \(p_T > 20\) GeV. The leptons are required to be well separated from each other with \(\Delta R > 0.1\). The invariant mass of the lepton pair closest to the nominal Z boson mass \(m_Z\) is denoted by \(m_{12}\) and it is required that \(|m_{12} - m_Z| < 15\) GeV. The invariant mass of the remaining lepton pair, \(m_{34}\), as summarized in Table 2. The final discriminative variable is \(m_{4\ell}\), where the Higgs boson production would appear as a clustering of events. The width of the reconstructed Higgs boson mass distribution is dominated by experimental resolution at low \(m_{4\ell}\) values, normally. The resulting average integrated luminosity of 2.1 fb\(^{-1}\) corresponds to 2.28 fb\(^{-1}\) and 1.98 fb\(^{-1}\) for the 4\(\mu\), 2\(\mu\)2\(\mu\) and 4\(\ell\) final states, respectively.

The cross sections for Higgs boson production, the corresponding branching fractions, as well as their uncertainties\[17\], are derived to next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) in QCD for the gluon fusion\[18–23\] and vector-boson fusion\[24\] processes. In addition, QCD soft-gluon resumptions up to NNLL are available for the gluon fusion process\[25\], while the NLO electroweak (EW) corrections are applied to both the gluon fusion\[26,27\] and vector-boson fusion\[28,29\] processes. The Higgs boson decay branching ratio to the four-lepton final state is predicted by PROPHECY4\[30,31\], which includes the complete NLO QCD + EW corrections, interference effects between identical final state fermions and leading two-loop heavy Higgs boson corrections to the four-fermion width. Table 1 gives the production cross sections for the \(H \to 4\ell\) for several Higgs boson masses.

The ZZ\(^{(a)}\) background is generated using PYTHIA, taking into account \(Z\gamma\) interference. For the inclusive total cross section and the shape of the \(m_{ZZ}\) spectrum, the MC\[32,33\] prediction is used, which includes both quark-antiquark annihilation at QCD NLO and gluon fusion. The inclusive Z boson production, \(Z +\) jets, is modelled using ALPGEN\[34\] and is divided into \(Z +\) light flavour jets and \(Zbb\); overlaps between the two samples are removed. Specifically, \(bb\) pairs with separation \(\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta\phi^2 + \Delta\eta^2} > 0.4\) between the b-jets are taken from the matrix-element calculation, whereas for \(\Delta R < 0.4\) the parton-shower jets are taken. PYTHIA is also used as a cross-check of the ALPGEN results. In this search the \(Z +\) jets production is normalized from the data, but for comparisons the QCD NNLO FEWZ\[35,36\] and the MC\[32,33\] cross section calculations are used for the inclusive Z boson and the \(Zbb\) production, respectively. The \(t\bar{t}\) background is modelled using MC@NLO\[37\] and is normalized to the approximately NNLO cross section calculated using HATHOR\[38\]. Both ALPGEN and MC@NLO are interfaced to HERWIG\[39\] for parton shower hadronization and to JIMMY\[40\] for the underlying event simulations.

All generated events undergo a full detector simulation performed using GEANT4\[41,42\].
with a full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) which varies according to decay mode and is between 4.5 (4$\mu$) and 6.5 (4e) GeV for $m_H = 130$ GeV. At high $m_H$ the reconstructed width is dominated by the natural width of the Higgs boson with a FWHM of approximately 35 GeV at $m_H = 400$ GeV.

5. Background estimation

The dominant $ZZ^{(*)}$ background is estimated using MC simulation. Generated events are required to pass the complete analysis selection and the final yield is normalized to the integrated luminosity.

The $\bar{t}\bar{t}$ background is also estimated using MC simulation. Comparison of data to MC predictions, in a control sample of events with opposite sign electron–muon pairs consistent with the Z boson mass and with one or two additional charged leptons, are used to verify that the $\bar{t}\bar{t}$ background is small with respect to the dominant $ZZ^{(*)}$ process and in agreement with expectation.

The $Z +$ jets background is normalized using data. The control sample is formed by selecting events with a pair of same-flavour, opposite-sign isolated leptons consistent with the Z boson mass, $|m_Z - m_{Z\ell}| < 15$ GeV, and a second same-flavour, opposite-sign lepton pair where only kinematic, but no isolation or impact parameter, requirements are applied. At this stage, the dominant background source depends on the flavour of the second lepton pair: $Z +$ light flavour jets dominates the final states with a second electron pair, while $Zbb$ production dominates the final states with a second muon pair after the contributions from $t\bar{t}$, $ZZ^{(*)}$, and muons from in-flight $\pi$ and $K$ decays which correspond to 44% of the event yield are subtracted. The observed background, which is found to be in good agreement with expectation, is extrapolated to the signal region by means of the MC simulation.

6. Systematic uncertainties

Uncertainties on lepton reconstruction and identification efficiency, and on the momentum resolution and momentum scale are determined using samples of $W$, $Z$ and $J/\psi$ decays. The muon efficiency uncertainty results in an acceptance uncertainty on the signal and the irreducible background which is uniform over the mass range of interest and amounts to 1.7% (1.2%) for the $4\mu$ ($2e2\mu$) channel. The uncertainty on the electron efficiency results in an acceptance uncertainty of 3% (2%) for the $4e$ ($2e2\mu$) channel at $m_{4\ell} = 600$ GeV reaching 15% (6%) at $m_{4\ell} = 110$ GeV.

A conservative theoretical uncertainty of 15% is assigned to the $ZZ^{(*)}$ background contribution [44]. The $Z +$ light flavour jets and $Zbb$ backgrounds are evaluated using data. A systematic uncertainty between 20% and 40% is assigned on their normalization to account for the statistical uncertainty in the control sample and the MC-based extrapolation to the signal region. The uncertainty on the $t\bar{t}$ cross section is found to be 10% by adding linearly the contributions from variations of the renormalization and factorization scales to those of the parton distribution functions.

The theoretical uncertainties on the Higgs boson production cross section are 15–20% for the gluon fusion process and 3–9% for the vector-boson fusion process [17], depending on the Higgs boson mass. They include uncertainties on the QCD scale and on the parton distribution functions [46–49]. An additional 2% uncertainty is added to the signal selection efficiency due to the modelling of the signal kinematics. This is evaluated by comparing signal samples generated with PYTHIA and the default POWHEG samples.

The overall uncertainty on the total integrated luminosity is 3.7% [8].

7. Results

The number of events observed in each final state, separately for $m_{4\ell} < 180$ GeV and $m_{4\ell} \geq 180$ GeV, are compared with the ex-
Fig. 1. Invariant mass distributions (a) $m_{12}$, (b) $m_{34}$, and (c) $m_{4\ell}$ for the selected candidates. The data (dots) are compared to the background expectations from the dominant $ZZ^{(*)}$ process and the sum of $t\bar{t}$, $Zb\bar{b}$ and $Z+$ light flavour jets processes. Error bars represent 68.3% central confidence intervals.

Fig. 2. $m_{4\ell}$ distribution of the selected candidates, compared to the background expectation. Error bars represent 68.3% central confidence intervals. The signal expectation for three $m_H$ hypotheses is also shown.

Fig. 3. The expected (dashed) and observed (full line) 95% CL upper limits on the Higgs boson production cross section as a function of the Higgs boson mass, divided by the expected SM Higgs boson cross section. The green and yellow bands indicate the expected sensitivity with ±1σ and ±2σ fluctuations, respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this Letter.)

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass (GeV)</th>
<th>Expected</th>
<th>Observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>12.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Summary
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Upper limits are set on the Higgs boson cross section at 95% CL using the $C_L$ modified frequentist formalism [50] with the profile likelihood test statistic [51]. The test statistic is evaluated with a maximum likelihood fit of signal and background models to the observed $m_{4\ell}$ distribution. Fig. 3 shows the expected and observed 95% CL cross section upper limits as a function of $m_H$ and Table 4 summarizes the numerical values for selected $m_H$ points. The consistency with the background-only hypothesis is quantified using the $p$-value, the probability that a background-only experiment fluctuates more than the observation. The most significant deviation from the background-only hypothesis is observed for $m_H = 242$ GeV with a $p$-value of 4.9%. These results do not account for the so-called “look-elsewhere” effect [52]. The SM Higgs boson is excluded at 95% CL in the mass ranges 191–197, 199–200 and 214–224 GeV.

Fig. 1. Invariant mass distributions (a) $m_{12}$, (b) $m_{34}$, and (c) $m_{4\ell}$ for the selected candidates. The data (dots) are compared to the background expectations from the dominant $ZZ^{(*)}$ process and the sum of $t\bar{t}$, $Zb\bar{b}$ and $Z+$ light flavour jets processes. Error bars represent 68.3% central confidence intervals.

Expectations for background and signal for various $m_H$ hypotheses in Table 3. In total 27 candidate events are selected by the analysis: 12 $4\mu$, 9 $2e2\mu$, and 6 $4e$ events, while in the same mass range 24 ± 4 events are expected from the background processes. The $m_{12}$, $m_{34}$, and $m_{4\ell}$ mass spectra are shown in Fig. 1. The $m_{4\ell}$ distribution for the total background and several signal hypotheses is compared to the data in Fig. 2. The selected events have been examined visually and no evidence for reconstruction problems was identified.

Upper limits are set on the Higgs boson cross section at 95% CL, using the $C_L$ modified frequentist formalism [50] with the profile likelihood test statistic [51]. The test statistic is evaluated with a maximum likelihood fit of signal and background models to the observed $m_{4\ell}$ distribution. Fig. 3 shows the expected and observed 95% CL cross section upper limits as a function of $m_H$ and Table 4 summarizes the numerical values for selected $m_H$ points. The consistency with the background-only hypothesis is quantified using the $p$-value, the probability that a background-only experiment fluctuates more than the observation. The most significant deviation from the background-only hypothesis is observed for $m_H = 242$ GeV with a $p$-value of 4.9%. These results do not account for the so-called “look-elsewhere” effect [52]. The SM Higgs boson is excluded at 95% CL in the mass ranges 191–197, 199–200 and 214–224 GeV.

8. Summary

A search for the Standard Model Higgs boson in the decay channel $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$ based on 2.1 fb$^{-1}$ of data recorded by the
ATLAS detector at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV during the 2011 run, has been presented. No significant excess of candidates is observed in the mass range between 110 and 600 GeV with respect to the expected SM background. The observed (expected) 95% CL upper limit on the Higgs boson production cross section, in units of the SM cross section, is 0.99 (1.01) for $m_H = 194$ GeV, the region with the best expected sensitivity for this search. The SM Higgs boson is excluded at 95% CL in the mass ranges 191–197, 199–200 and 214–224 GeV.
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