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ABSTRACT

Background Geleophysic dysplasia (GD, OMIM 231050) is an autosomal recessive disorder characterised by short stature, small hands and feet, stiff joints, and thick skin. Patients often present with a progressive cardiac valvular disease which can lead to an early death. In a previous study including six GD families, we have mapped the disease gene on chromosome 9q34.2 and identified mutations in the A Disintegrin And Metalloproteinase with Thrombospondin repeats-like 2 gene (ADAMTSL2).

Methods Following this study, we have collected the samples of 30 additional GD families, including 33 patients and identified ADAMTSL2 mutations in 14/33 patients, comprising 13 novel mutations. The absence of mutation in 19 patients prompted us to compare the two groups of GD patients, namely group 1, patients with ADAMTSL2 mutations (n=20), also including the 6 patients from our previous study, and group 2, patients without ADAMTSL2 mutations (n=19).

Results The main discriminating features were facial dysmorphism and tip-toe walking, which were almost constantly observed in group 1. No differences were found concerning heart involvement, skin thickness, recurrent respiratory and ear infections, bronchopulmonary insufficiency, laryngo-tracheal stenosis, deafness, and radiographic features.

Conclusions It is concluded that GD is a genetically heterogeneous condition. Ongoing studies will hopefully lead to the identification of another disease gene.

INTRODUCTION

Geleophysic dysplasia (GD, OMIM 231050) is a rare autosomal recessive disorder characterised by short stature, small hands and feet, stiff joints, thick skin, and pseudo-muscular hypertrophy. Facial features include round full ‘happy’ face (from the Greek geleos: ‘happy’ and physis: ‘nature’), small nose with antverted nostrils, long flat philtrum, long thin upper lip, broad nasal bridge, and narrow palpebral fissures. The radiological manifestations include brachymetacarpary/tarsy, delayed bone age, cone shaped epiphyses, shortened long tubular bones, and vertebral abnormalities (ovoid vertebral bodies, platyspondyly) (figure 1).

Patients often present with a progressive cardiac valvular disease, which may result in secondary hypertrophy and cardiac failure leading to death in the first years of life. Progressive hepatomegaly, recurrent respiratory infections and tracheal stenosis leading to severe respiratory problems are also commonly observed.

GD belongs to the group of acromelic dysplasias (group 14 of the International Classification of Genetic Skeletal Disorders) which also includes acromicric dysplasia (AD) and Weill—Marchesani syndrome.

AD is distinct from GD by the absence of cardiac valvular disease, the presence of distinct x-ray abnormalities (internal notch of the femoral head, internal notch of the second metacarpal, and external notch of the fifth metacarpal) and autosomal dominant mode of inheritance. The molecular bases remain unknown.

Weill—Marchesani syndrome is characterised by ectopia lentis and microphthalmia and is either due to FBN1 mutations, responsible for the dominant form, or ADAMTS10 mutations, responsible for the autosomal recessive form.

Studying a series of six GD families, we have mapped the disease gene on chromosome 9q34.2 and identified four distinct missense mutations and a nonsense mutation in the A Disintegrin And Metalloproteinase with Thrombospondin repeats-like 2 gene (ADAMTSL2). We also identified Latent TGFβ Binding Protein 1 (LTBP1) as a partner of ADAMTSL2 and found an enhanced transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) signalling in GD fibroblasts, suggesting a role for ADAMTSL2 in the regulation of the bioavailability of TGFβ.

We present here ADAMTSL2 molecular screening in a series of 33 additional GD cases. The absence of mutation in a significant number of patients
prompted us to compare the clinical and radiological features of mutated and non-mutated patients.

METHODS

Patients

Diagnosis of GD was assessed by a clinical geneticist. All patients included in the study fulfilled the diagnosis criteria for GD: (1) short stature (< −2 SD); (2) short hands and feet; (3) stiff joints; and (4) dysmorphic features. Cardiac valvular disease and thickened skin were not considered as mandatory criteria as these features were not present in 3/6 of our initial series.8 Skeletal survey on all patients were requested to exclude features of acromicric dysplasia, specifically internal notch of the femoral head or of the second metacarpal and external notch of the fifth metacarpal.

Eleven patients were included in the study through the French reference centre for constitutional bone disorders in Necker Hospital and 22 patients were diagnosed as GD by clinical geneticists from various countries (Belgium, UK, Germany, Netherland, Lebanon, Portugal, and Turkey). A total of 33 patients were included (21 males and 12 females), ranging in age from 2 months to 26 years and originating from Algeria, Canada, China, England, Italy, Japan, Lebanon, Morocco, Pakistan, Portugal, Russia, and Turkey. Five patients were offspring of consanguineous relationships (patient 5, 17, 21, 22, and 24); there was one sib pair (patient 32 and 33) and three cousins (patient 17, 21 and 24). Appropriate written informed consents regarding human study were obtained from all subjects.

Mutation analysis

ADAMTSL2 exon and flanking intron sequences were amplified from patient DNA by PCR using 21 couples of primers designed with the Primer 3 software. The amplicons were purified and sequenced using the BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit v3.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA) on an automatic sequencer (ABI 3100).

Statistical analyses

A non-parametric Mann–Whitney test was used to compare means and a \( \chi^2 \) test was used to compare ratios in the two patients groups.

RESULTS

ADAMTSL2 sequence analysis performed in the 33 patients allowed us to identify 14 distinct mutations in 14 patients comprising 13 novel mutations (table 1).

Table 1  ADAMTSL2 mutations identified in our series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Ethnic origin</th>
<th>Identified mutation</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>ADAMTSL2 affected domain</th>
<th>Protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>c.[150G→T]+[1273C→T]</td>
<td>Ex 2/Ex 9</td>
<td>TSR 1/N glycan rich domain</td>
<td>p.[W50C]+[R425X]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>c.[1114_1177del]</td>
<td>Ex 9?</td>
<td>N glycan rich domain</td>
<td>p.Aso383_Asp392del</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>c.[493G→A]</td>
<td>Ex 5</td>
<td>CRD</td>
<td>p.[A165T]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>c.[340G→A]</td>
<td>Ex 4?</td>
<td>CRD</td>
<td>p.[E114K]*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>c.[475C→T]+[511T→C]</td>
<td>Ex 5</td>
<td>CRD</td>
<td>p.[R153W]+[C171R]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>c.[2717C→T]</td>
<td>Ex 17?</td>
<td>PLAC</td>
<td>p.[P806L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>c.[661C→T]</td>
<td>Ex 6</td>
<td>Spacer</td>
<td>p.[R221C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>c.[661C→T]</td>
<td>Ex 6</td>
<td>Spacer</td>
<td>p.[R221C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>c.[715G→A]</td>
<td>Ex 7</td>
<td>Spacer</td>
<td>p.[A239T]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>c.[661C→T]</td>
<td>Ex 6</td>
<td>Spacer</td>
<td>p.[R221C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>c.[215G→A]+[340G→A]</td>
<td>Ex 2/Ex 4</td>
<td>TSR1/CRD</td>
<td>p.[R72Q]+[E114K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>c.[1219C→T]+[1904C→T]</td>
<td>Ex 9/Ex 13</td>
<td>N glycan rich domain/TSR 3</td>
<td>p.[C407C]+[S635L]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>c.[150G→T]+[1777C→T]</td>
<td>Ex 2/Ex 12</td>
<td>TSR 1/TSR 2</td>
<td>p.[W50C]+[R593C]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>c.[150G→T]+[1777C→T]</td>
<td>Ex 2/Ex 12</td>
<td>TSR 1/TSR 2</td>
<td>p.[W50C]+[R593C]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This mutation was previously identified (Le Goff et al8).
Mutations were present at the homozygous state in five cases while patients were compound heterozygous in six other cases. In three cases (4, 7, and 15) only a single heterozygous mutation, inherited from the mother, was detected.

The mutations were located throughout the gene (figure 2). Among them, one mutation was a nonsense mutation (p.[R425X]), one was a 30 bp deletion affecting the N glycan-rich module (c.[1148_1177del]), and 11 were missense mutations (p.[W50C], p.[R72Q], p.[E114K], p.[R159W], p.[A165T], p.[C171R], p.[R221C], p.[A239T], p.[R593C], p.[S635L] and p.[P906L]). We also identified the p.[C407C] mutation which was predicted to alter splicing but mRNA was not available for this patient. Except for p.[E114K], none of these mutations had been previously described. All mutations cosegregated with the disease and were not identified in 200 control chromosomes. The missense mutations consistently involved residues conserved across species and across the ADAMTSL family.

The absence of mutation in 19/33 patients (58%) prompted us to compare the clinical and radiological features of the two groups of GD patients (table 2), namely group 1, patients with ADAMTSL2 mutations (n=20, also including the six patients from our initial study), and group 2, patients without ADAMTSL2 mutation (n=19). Importantly, blinded comparison was made by clinical geneticists unaware of the molecular diagnosis.

No consanguinity was found in group 2 whereas half of the families of group 1 were consanguineous. Antenatal history showed polyhydramnios and prenatal growth retardation in 91% and 76% of group 1 patients, versus 18% and 31% of group 2 patients, respectively. Birth term was 2 weeks earlier in group 1 than in group 2 (because of a higher proportion of induced deliveries due to prenatal growth retardation) and birth head circumference was 4.1 SD (range 1.2 to 6.1 SD) in both groups, but short stature was more severe in group 2 (−5.8 SD vs −4.1 SD). Tip-toe walking (restriction of dorsiflexion of the feet as a consequence of the extreme joint limitations and contractures) was almost constantly observed in group 1 whereas it was rarely reported in group 2 (28% vs 18%). Concerning facial dysmorphism, thin upper lip, long flat philtrum, and narrow palpebral fissures were much more frequent in group 1 than in group 2 (figure 3). No significant difference was found concerning heart involvement and skin thickness (which were observed in approximately 70% of both groups), recurrent respiratory and ear infections, bronchopulmonary insufficiency, laryngotracheal stenosis, high pitched voice, hepatomegaly, ophthalmologic symptoms, deafness, and radiographic features (delayed bone age, cone shaped epiphyses, shortened long tubular bones, abnormal femoral heads, platyspondyly, and ovoid vertebral bodies). One patient from group 1 had a severe systemic hypertension. However, the long term follow-up of patients from both groups did not reveal any difference in the course of the disease. Two mutated patients and five non-mutated patients died of cardiorespiratory failure (mean age 5.6 years).

**DISCUSSION**

We report here the identification of 14 ADAMTSL2 mutations in 14/33 GD patients (42%), comprising 13 novel mutations located throughout the gene, with a majority of missense mutations involving highly conserved residues.
The absence of identified mutations in 58% of GD patients may have different explanations. First, only direct sequencing of ADAMTSL2 was performed. One cannot exclude partial intragenic deletions or mutations in the introns or promoter region. This is probably the case for at least three patients (4, 7, and 15) where only a single heterozygous mutation was detected. However, the limit of our screening probably does not account for such a high proportion (58%) of non-mutated patients.

The absence of an identified mutation could be also due to overlapping diagnosis. Indeed GD is closely related to AD, which is the main differential diagnosis, and the distinction can be difficult especially in the absence of cardiac valvular disease. Importantly, recurrent sibs or consanguineous parents were never observed in the non-mutated patients group. However, all patients fulfilled the diagnosis criteria for GD and at least nine non-mutated patients presented with characteristic valvular cardiac disease.

Finally, we did not find any significant difference in the main clinical and radiological features characteristic of GD, namely cardiorespiratory involvement, skin thickness, laryngeal stenosis, hepatomegaly, natural history of the disorder, and severe outcome. By contrast, we found minor discriminating features including facial dysmorphism (thin upper lip, long flat philtrum and narrow palpebral fissures) and tip-toe walking, only consistently observed in the ADAMTSL2 mutated group.

Our study supports the proposal that GD is a genetically heterogeneous condition, with ADAMTSL2 mutations being identified in 42% of GD patients. Ongoing studies will hopefully lead to the identification of another GD gene presumably also involved in TGFβ bioavailability.
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