



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Through a magnifying glass: A study of the concept of transparency in European consumer contract law

Junuzović, M.

Publication date
2024

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Junuzović, M. (2024). *Through a magnifying glass: A study of the concept of transparency in European consumer contract law*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights


It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Through a Magnifying Glass:

A Study of the Concept of
Transparency in European
Consumer Contract Law



Mia Junuzović

**Through a Magnifying Glass:
A Study of the Concept of Transparency in
European Consumer Contract Law**

Mia Junuzović

Layout: Proefschrift AIO | Annelies Lips
Cover: Proefschrift AIO | Guntra Laivacuma
Printing: Proefschrift AIO

ISBN: 978-94-93353-98-5
© 2024 Mia Junuzović

All rights reserved. No part of this thesis may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior permission of the copyright holder, or, when applicable, with permission of the publishers of the scientific journals.

**Through a Magnifying Glass:
A Study of the Concept of Transparency in
European Consumer Contract Law**

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor

aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam

op gezag van de Rector Magnificus

prof. dr. ir. P.P.C.C. Verbeek

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde commissie,

in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Aula der Universiteit

op woensdag 11 september 2024, te 11.00 uur

door Mia Junuzović

geboren te Koper

Promotiecommissie

Promotores: prof. mr. dr. M.B.M. Loos Universiteit van Amsterdam
prof. dr. mr. J.A. Luzak University of Exeter

Overige leden: prof. dr. C. Mak Universiteit van Amsterdam
dr. K.J. Cseres Universiteit van Amsterdam
dr. A.E. Oderkerk Universiteit van Amsterdam
prof. dr. P.W. Rott Universität Oldenburg
prof. dr. E. Mišćenić University of Rijeka

Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid

Table of contents

CHAPTER 1: Introduction	13
1 The concept of transparency	14
2 The opacity of transparency in EU consumer law	18
3 The lack of concrete and coherent guidance on online transparency in EU consumer law	21
4 The role of transparency in EU consumer law	25
5 Research objectives and questions	29
6 Research scope and exclusions from it	32
7 Methodology	37
8 Book structure	38
CHAPTER 2: The concept of transparency in European consumer law – an analysis of the UCTD, the CCD and the CRD	41
2.1 The concept of transparency under the UCTD	42
2.1.1 The development of the transparency concept under the UCTD	42
2.1.1.1 The adoption and content of the UCTD	42
2.1.1.2 The UCTD's transparency requirement	43
2.1.2 The objective of transparency under the UCTD	44
2.1.3 The interpretation and assessment of transparency under the UCTD	49
2.1.3.1 The meaning of the requirement to draft contract terms in plain, intelligible language	49
2.1.3.2 The scope of the assessment of transparency	52
2.1.3.3 Factors relevant for the assessment of transparency	57
2.1.3.4 The relevant context for the assessment of transparency	58
2.1.4 Contractual consequences of a breach of transparency under the UCTD	63
2.2 The concept of transparency under the CCD	68
2.2.1 The development of the transparency concept under the CCD	68
2.2.1.1 The adoption and content of the CCD	68
2.2.1.2 The CCD's information model and transparency concept	71
2.2.1.3 The revision of the CCD and the adoption of the CCD 2023	74
2.2.2 The objective of transparency under the CCD	76
2.2.3 The interpretation and assessment of transparency under the CCD	79
2.2.3.1 The meaning of the requirements of clarity, conciseness and prominence	79

2.2.3.2	Standardisation of the pre-contractual consumer credit information and the APR	82
2.2.3.3	The obligation to give adequate explanations of pre-contractual credit information	89
2.2.4	Contractual consequences of a breach of transparency under the CCD	91
2.3	The concept of transparency under the CRD	94
2.3.1	The development of the transparency concept under the CRD	94
2.3.1.1	The adoption and content of the CRD	94
2.3.1.2	The CRD's information model and transparency concept	96
2.3.1.3	The impact of fitness checks, the MD and the DMFSD 2023 on the CRD	98
2.3.2	The objective of transparency under the CRD	101
2.3.3	The interpretation and assessment of transparency under the CRD	103
2.3.3.1	The meaning of the requirements of clarity, comprehensibility, plainness, intelligibility and legibility	103
2.3.3.2	The presentation of electronic orders and prohibition of opt-outs	109
2.3.3.3	Standardisation of the information on the right of withdrawal	113
2.3.3.4	Transparency of online marketplaces	114
2.3.4	Contractual consequences of a breach of transparency under the CRD	116
CHAPTER 3:	The concept of transparency in German law implementing the UCTD, the CCD and the CRD	121
3.1	The concept of transparency in German law implementing the UCTD	122
3.1.1	The development of the transparency concept	122
3.1.1.1	Transparency within the control of incorporation of standard contract terms	124
3.1.1.2	Transparency within the interpretation of standard contract terms	129
3.1.1.3	Transparency within the control of content of standard contract terms	130
3.1.2	The objective of transparency	135
3.1.3	The interpretation and assessment of transparency	137
3.1.3.1	The systematisation of transparency in German literature	137
3.1.3.2	Relevant factors for the assessment of transparency in German case law	141
3.1.4	Contractual consequences of a breach of transparency	151
3.2	The concept of transparency in German law implementing the CCD	155
3.2.1	The development of the transparency concept	155
3.2.2	The objective of transparency	157
3.2.3	The interpretation and assessment of transparency	158
3.2.3.1	Standardisation of consumer credit information	158

3.2.3.2	Obligation to provide adequate explanations of consumer credit information	161
3.2.3.3	Clarity and comprehensibility of contractual credit information	166
3.2.4	Contractual consequences of a breach of transparency	172
3.3	The concept of transparency in German law implementing the CRD	174
3.3.1	The development of the transparency concept	174
3.3.2	The objective of transparency	177
3.3.3	The interpretation and assessment of transparency	178
3.3.3.1	The meaning of the requirements of clarity, comprehensibility and prominence	178
3.3.3.2	Transparency of the online order button	185
3.3.3.3	Standardised withdrawal instructions	194
3.3.4	Contractual consequences of a breach of transparency	196
CHAPTER 4: The concept of transparency in Croatian law implementing the UCTD, the CCD and the CRD		201
4.1	The development of consumer protection within Croatian law	202
4.2	The concept of transparency in Croatian law implementing the UCTD	206
4.2.1	The development of the transparency concept	206
4.2.1.1	The implementation of the UCTD's transparency requirement in Croatian law	206
4.2.1.2	Differences between the transparency concept under the UCTD and the ZZP 2022	207
4.2.2	The objective of transparency	209
4.2.3	The interpretation and assessment of transparency	210
4.2.3.1	The impact of the <i>Franak</i> case on the interpretation and assessment of transparency of standard contract terms	210
4.2.3.2	The requirement of plainness	212
4.2.3.3	The requirement of noticeability	213
4.2.3.4	The requirement of intelligibility	214
4.2.3.5	The application of the average(?) consumer benchmark	219
4.2.4	Contractual consequences of a breach of transparency	220
4.3	The transparency concept in Croatian law implementing the CCD	225
4.3.1	The development of the transparency concept	225
4.3.2	The objective of transparency	227
4.3.3	The interpretation and assessment of transparency	228
4.3.3.1	Standardisation or equal visibility of pre-contractual consumer credit information	228
4.3.3.2	Obligation to provide adequate explanations of consumer credit information	230

4.3.3.3	Clarity and conciseness of contractual credit information	230
4.3.3.4	Transparency of information on variable interest rates	231
4.3.3.5	Transparency of information on conversion of consumer credit agreements denominated in or pegged to Swiss francs	235
4.3.4	Contractual consequences of a breach of transparency	236
4.4	The transparency concept in Croatian law implementing the CRD	236
4.4.1	The development of the transparency concept	236
4.4.1.1	Changes to the regulation of transparency of online orders with a payment obligation under the ZZP 2022	238
4.4.1.2	The transposition of the MD	239
4.4.2	The objective of transparency	240
4.4.3	The interpretation and assessment of transparency	240
4.4.3.1	Clarity, comprehensibility and simplicity of online information	240
4.4.3.2	Easily noticeable information regarding online orders with a payment obligation	242
4.4.3.3	Language requirements	244
4.4.4	Contractual consequences of a breach of transparency	245
 CHAPTER 5: The concept of transparency in English law implementing the UCTD, the CCD and the CRD		 249
5.1	Introduction to English contract and consumer law	250
5.2	The concept of transparency in English law implementing the UCTD	253
5.2.1	The development of the transparency concept	253
5.2.1.1	The transparency concept in common law	253
5.2.1.2	The implementation of the UCTD in English law	255
5.2.1.3	The adoption of the Consumer Rights Act 2015	257
5.2.2	The objective of transparency	260
5.2.3	The interpretation and assessment of transparency	261
5.2.3.1	Transparency requirements in common law	261
5.2.3.2	Transparency requirements in statutory law	267
5.2.4	Contractual consequences of a breach of transparency	281
5.3	The concept of transparency in English law implementing the CCD	286
5.3.1	The development of the transparency concept	286
5.3.1.1	The 1974 Consumer Credit Act	286
5.3.1.2	The implementation of the CCD's transparency concept	287
5.3.1.3	The introduction of the Financial Conduct Authority and the Consumer Credit Sourcebook	289
5.3.1.4	The introduction of the FCA's Consumer Duty and the intended reform of the CCA 1974	291
5.3.2	The objective of transparency	293

5.3.3 The interpretation and assessment of transparency	294
5.3.3.1 Prominence of pre-contractual credit information	294
5.3.3.2 Standardisation of pre-contractual consumer credit information	296
5.3.3.3 Provision of adequate explanations of pre-contractual consumer credit information	297
5.3.3.4 Clarity and conciseness of contractual credit information	298
5.3.3.5 The consumer understanding outcome within the FCA's Consumer Duty	300
5.3.4 Contractual consequences of a breach of transparency	302
5.4 The concept of transparency in English law implementing the CRD	306
5.4.1 The development of the transparency concept	306
5.4.1.1 The implementation of EU distance-selling law	306
5.4.1.2 The implementation of the CRD's transparency concept	308
5.4.2 The objective of transparency	310
5.4.3 The interpretation and assessment of transparency	311
5.4.3.1 Availability of (pre-)contractual information	311
5.4.3.2 Clarity and comprehensibility of (pre-)contractual information	312
5.4.3.3 Prominence of information regarding online orders with a payment obligation	316
5.4.4 Contractual consequences of a breach transparency	318
CHAPTER 6: What's transparency got to do with it? A comparative analysis of the discrepancies in the interpretation and assessment of the transparency concept in EU, German, Croatian and English law	323
6.1 Delineation of key issues in the interpretation and assessment of the transparency concept under the UCTD, the CCD, and the CRD	324
6.2 Discrepancy between the objectives of transparency and its interpretation and assessment in practice	325
6.2.1 Lack of a dual transparency assessment	327
6.2.2 Lack of clarity with regard to contractual consequences for breaches of transparency	334
6.3 Lack of a uniform taxonomy of the transparency concept	337
6.3.1 Lack of a uniform transparency taxonomy across jurisdictions	338
6.3.2 Lack of a uniform transparency taxonomy within the same jurisdiction	343
6.4 Lack of consideration of the impact of digitalisation on the transparency concept	346
6.4.1 Lack of adaptation of transparency tools to the online environment	347
6.4.2 Lack of consideration for the transparent design of the online environment	351

EPILOGUE: Concluding remarks and recommendations	363
Bibliography	373
Case law index	389
Summary	395
Samenvatting	401
Acknowledgements	407