



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Getting the vaccine now will protect you in the future! A pragma-dialectical analysis of strategic maneuvering with pragmatic argumentation in health brochures

van Poppel, L.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van Poppel, L. (2013). Getting the vaccine now will protect you in the future! A pragma-dialectical analysis of strategic maneuvering with pragmatic argumentation in health brochures.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Contents

Foreword	5
1 Introduction	11
1.1 Pragmatic argumentation in health brochures	11
1.2 Objectives, approach and method of the study	13
1.3 Organization of the study	16
2 Institutional preconditions for strategic maneuvering in health brochures	19
2.1 Introduction	19
2.2 The institutional point of health brochures	19
2.3 The institutional conventions of advisory health brochures	22
2.4 Advisory health brochures as an argumentative activity type	25
2.4.1 Reconstructing advisory health brochures as an argumentative discussion	25
2.4.2 The initial situation	26
2.4.3 The starting points	28
2.4.4 Argumentative means	30
2.4.5 The possible outcome	32
2.5 Institutional preconditions for strategic maneuvering in the argumentation stage	33
2.5.1 Strategic maneuvering in the argumentation stage	33
2.5.2 Making a choice from the topical potential	34
2.5.3 Adapting to audience demand	36
2.5.4 Exploiting presentational devices	37
2.5.5 Goal-framing argumentation in health brochures	38
2.6 Conclusion	41
3 The speech act of advising in health brochures	43
3.1 Introduction	43
3.2 The speech act of advising as a standpoint	43
3.3 Felicity conditions as indicators for potential criticism	46
3.4 Felicity conditions of the speech act of advising	48
3.4.1 Searle's felicity conditions	48
3.4.2 Amendments to Searle's felicity conditions	49
3.4.3 Adjusted felicity conditions	51

3.5	Contextualizing the felicity conditions of advising	52
3.5.1	Advising in the medical domain	52
3.5.2	The speaker in health advice	53
3.5.3	The hearer in health advice	53
3.5.4	The act in health advice	55
3.6	Specified felicity conditions of advising in health brochures	55
3.7	Justifying health advice	58
3.7.1	Fulfillment of the preparatory conditions	59
3.7.2	Fulfillment of the responsibility conditions	61
3.8	Conclusion	62
4	The function of pragmatic argumentation in health brochures	65
4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Using pragmatic argumentation to support an advisory standpoint	66
4.2.1	The argument scheme of pragmatic argumentation	66
4.2.2	The relation between pragmatic argumentation and advisory standpoints	69
4.3	Types of countermoves in the argumentation stage	72
4.3.1	Advancing arguments in anticipation of countermoves	72
4.3.2	Countermoves against the advisory standpoint	73
4.3.3	Countermoves against the argumentation	74
4.4	Reaching the dialectical goal by responding to countermoves	77
4.5	Dialectical routes in the argumentation stage	79
4.5.1	Four routes leading to the dialectical goal of the argumentation stage	79
4.5.2	Dialectical profile of the argumentation stage	82
4.6	Using pragmatic argumentation to respond to countermoves	83
4.6.1	Route 1: Removing doubt concerning the standpoint	83
4.6.2	Route 2: Removing doubt concerning the acceptability of the argumentation	85
4.6.3	Route 3: removing doubt concerning the justificatory force of the argumentation	87
4.6.4	Route 4: refuting counterarguments	89
4.7	Conclusion	90
5	Strategically addressing countermoves with pragmatic argumentation in health brochures	93
5.1	Introduction	93
5.2	Maneuvering strategically by choosing a dialectical route in the argumentation stage	94
5.2.1	Choosing a dialectical route in anticipation of countermoves	94

5.2.2	Topical selection, audience adaptation, and stylistic devices in a dialectical route	95
5.3	Removing doubt concerning the standpoint (route 1)	97
5.3.1	The <i>Coughs and sneezes</i> case	97
5.3.2	The <i>Tell your doctor</i> case	100
5.3.3	The <i>Cot death</i> case	102
5.4	Removing doubt concerning the propositional content of the argumentation (route 2)	103
5.4.1	The <i>Cut down on salt</i> case	104
5.4.2	The <i>Do you know the health risks of being overweight?</i> case	106
5.5	Removing doubt concerning the justificatory force of the argumentation (route 3)	109
5.5.1	The <i>The Flu. A guide for parents</i> case	109
5.5.2	The <i>Physical Activity. The Arthritis Pain Reliever</i> case	113
5.6	Refuting counterarguments (route 4)	115
5.6.1	The <i>Antibiotics</i> case	116
5.6.2	The <i>Stop kidding yourself</i> case	118
5.7	Rhetorical advantages of addressing potential countermoves	120
5.8	Conclusion	122
6	Strategic maneuvering in pragmatic argumentation at the level of the discussion move: The design of pragmatic argumentation in the 2012 HPV vaccination brochure	125
6.1	Introduction	125
6.2	Description of the brochure ‘Arm against cervical cancer. Your guide to the HPV vaccination’	126
6.2.1	The UK vaccination campaign	126
6.2.2	Criticism with respect to the HPV vaccination	128
6.2.3	Content and appearance of the brochure	130
6.3	Reconstruction of the argumentative discussion in the HPV brochure	133
6.3.1	The confrontation stage	133
6.3.2	The opening stage	133
6.3.3	The argumentation stage	134
6.3.4	The concluding stage	137
6.4	Examining the design of the pragmatic argument in the HPV brochure	138
6.4.1	Four designs of pragmatic argumentation	138
6.4.2	Strategic maneuvering resulting in a specific design of pragmatic argumentation	139
6.5	Topical choices in the design of pragmatic argumentation in the HPV brochure	140

6.5.1	The topical potential of pragmatic argumentation	140
6.5.2	The topical choice to focus on preventing the undesirable effect	142
6.6	Presentational choices in the design of pragmatic argumentation in the HPV brochure	143
6.6.1	Available presentational means to present the causal connection	143
6.6.2	Presentational choices to create positive images of the advocated action	146
6.7	Choices of audience adaptation in the design of pragmatic argumentation in the HPV brochure	148
6.7.1	Choices to adapt pragmatic argumentation to the audience	148
6.7.2	Adapting topical and presentational choices to the reader	150
6.8	Getting advice accepted by choosing a particular design of pragmatic argumentation	151
6.8.1	Anticipating criticism in the design of pragmatic argumentation	151
6.8.2	Anticipating critical question 1: the evaluative element	153
6.8.3	Anticipating critical question 2 and 3: the causal element	153
6.8.4	An argumentative strategy with pragmatic argumentation	154
6.9	Conclusion	155
7	Conclusion	157
7.1	Main findings	157
7.2	Implications of the results and suggestions for further research	163
	Summary	169
	Samenvatting	173
	References	177