



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Brain training improves recovery after stroke but waiting list improves equally: A multicenter randomized controlled trial of a computer-based cognitive flexibility training

van de Ven, R.M.; Buitenweg, J.I.V.; Schmand, B.A.; Veltman, D.J.; Aaronson, J.A.; Nijboer, T.C.W.; Kruiper-Doesborgh, S.J.C.; van Bennekom, C.A.M.; Rasquin, S.M.C.; Ridderinkhof, K.R.; Murre, J.M.J.

Published in:
PLoS ONE

DOI:
[10.1371/journal.pone.0172993](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172993)

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van de Ven, R. M., Buitenweg, J. I. V., Schmand, B., Veltman, D. J., Aaronson, J. A., Nijboer, T. C. W., ... Murre, J. M. J. (2017). Brain training improves recovery after stroke but waiting list improves equally: A multicenter randomized controlled trial of a computer-based cognitive flexibility training. *PLoS ONE*, 12, [e0172993]. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172993>

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

S2 Table. Results from Bayesian independent samples t-test with training groups combined versus waiting list group.

Moderate evidence for H0	BF ₀₁	Anecdotal evidence for H0	BF ₀₁	Evidence for H1	BF ₀₁
Inhibition	3.77	Click	2.87	DSC online	0.82
Corsi	3.77	Semantic fluency	2.52		
ShipleY	3.75	Switch Acc	2.47		
TMT B	3.74	Peg	2.29		
Raven PM	3.70	Fluency Switch	2.28		
ToL	3.60	Drag	2.20		
N-back	3.58	Phonetic fluency	2.04		
PASAT	3.57	Dual Acc	1.65		
DKEF TMT motor	3.57	Switch RT	1.04		
RAVLT direct	3.57				
DKEF TMT switch	3.42				
TMT A	3.41				
Dual RT	3.35				
RAVLT delayed	3.25				
DSC paper	3.13				
Letter Number Seq.	3.07				

Note . Larger values represent more evidence for H0. For example, BF₀₁ of 3 indicates that H0 is 3 times more likely than H1. Likewise, values lower than one represent evidence in favor of H1, thus, 0.33 indicates that the H1 is 3 times more likely than H0. H1: training groups ≠ waiting list group. DKEF= Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System; TMT = Trail Making Test; Seq. = Sequencing; ToL = Tower of London; Acc = accuracy; PASAT = Paced Auditory Serial Addition Task; DSST = Digit-Symbol-Coding; PM = Progressive Matrices.