



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Brain training improves recovery after stroke but waiting list improves equally: A multicenter randomized controlled trial of a computer-based cognitive flexibility training

van de Ven, R.M.; Buitenweg, J.I.V.; Schmand, B.A.; Veltman, D.J.; Aaronson, J.A.; Nijboer, T.C.W.; Kruiper-Doesborgh, S.J.C.; van Bennekom, C.A.M.; Rasquin, S.M.C.; Ridderinkhof, K.R.; Murre, J.M.J.

Published in:
PLoS ONE

DOI:
[10.1371/journal.pone.0172993](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172993)

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van de Ven, R. M., Buitenweg, J. I. V., Schmand, B., Veltman, D. J., Aaronson, J. A., Nijboer, T. C. W., ... Murre, J. M. J. (2017). Brain training improves recovery after stroke but waiting list improves equally: A multicenter randomized controlled trial of a computer-based cognitive flexibility training. *PLoS ONE*, 12, [e0172993]. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172993>

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

S3 Table. Mean (standard deviation) and repeated-measures MANOVA of the outcome measures at follow-up.

measure	Intervention group (n = 38)			Active control group (n = 35)			Comparison											
	Follow-up	Pre-training		Post-training		Follow-up	Time (T0T3)			Time*group			Time (T2T3)			Time*group		
		F ^α	p-value	η_p^2	F ^α		p-value	η_p^2	F ^α	p-value	η_p^2	F ^α	p-value	η_p^2	F ^α	p-value	η_p^2	
ToL (optimal - moves)	-26.9 (17.8)	-34.0 (26.0)	-29.0 (21.5)	-25.6 (19.4)	9.2	<.001*	.61	F _(10 , 62)	.82	.09	F _(10 , 62)	<.001*	.39	F _(10 , 62)	.11	.21		
Switch RT (ms)	-321 (218)	-418 (328)	-416 (316)	-378 (293)	6.8	.01*	.09				0.7	.41	.01	4.3	.04	.06		
Switch Acc (trials)	-3.0 (3.4)	-2.2 (7.3)	-3.0 (4.9)	-3.4 (5.5)	0.8	.36	.01				0.2	.65	.00	0.2	.70	.00		
TMT B (sec) ^b	74.8 (37.6)	110.5 (47.8)	102.1 (42.3)	87.8 (41.9)	28.1	<.001*	.28				11.4	<.01*	.14					
TMT A (sec) ^b	41.4 (15.1)	57.3 (20.7)	52.5 (24.3)	48.4 (20.2)	33.3	<.001*	.32				1.8	.18	.03					
DSC online (correct)	38.5 (8.3)	31.7 (7.4)	35.0 (7.8)	34.7 (7.7)	31.1	<.001*	.30				0.2	.69	.00					
Corsi (span)	7.2 (1.2)	6.8 (1.1)	7.0 (1.0)	6.9 (1.1)	0.4	.52	.01				0.2	.70	.00					
Click (sec) ^b	28.7 (11.8)	37.6 (22.1)	30.9 (17.2)	31.3 (17.1)	12.7	<.001*	.15				0.1	.73	.00					
Drag (sec) ^b	2.1 (0.7)	3.4 (2.1)	2.7 (1.5)	2.6 (1.3)	29.3	<.001*	.29				3.8	.06	.05					
Peg (sec) ^b	66.2 (17.1)	83.5 (35.9)	71.0 (21.1)	73.6 (22.0)	20.5	<.001*	.22				2.9	.09	.04					

Note. Follow-up scores were only available for a selection of the tasks. Bold values are considered significant. Pre- and post-training scores of the intervention group can be found in table 4. * = remains significant after Bonferroni-Holm adjustment; b = lower values represent better performance; T0 = pre-training; T2 = post-training; T3 = 4 weeks after training completion; TMT = Trail Making Test; ToL = Tower of London; Acc = accuracy; DSST = Digit-Symbol-Coding.