



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Good science, bad science: Questioning research practices in psychological research

Bakker, M.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Bakker, M. (2014). Good science, bad science: Questioning research practices in psychological research

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Contents

1. Introduction	7
2. The (mis)reporting of statistical results in psychology journals	15
3. Willingness to share research data is related to the strength of the evidence and the quality of reporting of statistical results	37
4. Outlier removal and the relation with reporting errors and quality of psychological research	49
5. Outlier removal, sum scores, and the inflation of the Type I error rate in independent samples t tests. The power of alternatives and recommendations	63
6. The rules of the game called psychological science	93
7. Flawed intuitions about power in psychological research	109
8. Discussion: Towards improving psychological science	121
Appendices	
A Appendix to Chapter 5	129
B Appendix to Chapter 6	133
C Dwelling on the past	139
References	143
Nederlandse samenvatting	165
Dankwoord	169