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ABSTRACT

Background: Delirium in elderly patients is associated with various long-term sequelae that include cognitive impairment and affective disturbances, although the latter is understudied.

Methods: A prospective cohort study of elderly patients undergoing hip fracture surgery. Baseline characteristics, affective and cognitive functioning were assessed preoperatively. During hospital admission presence of delirium was assessed daily. Three months after hospital discharge, affective and global cognitive functioning was evaluated again in patients free from delirium at the time of this follow-up. This study compared baseline characteristics and affective functioning between patients with and without in-hospital delirium. We investigated whether in-hospital delirium is associated with increased anxiety and depressive levels, and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms three months after discharge.

Results: Among 53 eligible patients, 23 (43.4%) patients experienced in-hospital delirium after hip fracture repair. Patients who had experienced in-hospital delirium showed more depressive symptoms at follow-up after three months compared to the 30 patients without in-hospital delirium. This association persisted in a multivariate model controlling for age, baseline cognition, baseline depressive symptoms and living situation. The level of anxiety and symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) at follow-up did not differ between both groups.

Conclusion: This study suggests that in-hospital delirium is associated with an increased burden of depressive symptoms three months after discharge in elderly patients who were admitted to the hospital for surgical repair of hip fracture. Symptoms of depression in patients with previous in-hospital delirium cannot be fully explained by persistent (sub)syndromal delirium or baseline cognitive impairment.
INTRODUCTION

Postoperative delirium is a common neuropsychiatric complication in hospitalized elderly patients, with an incidence up to 56% after hip-surgery. This acute disorder is characterized by a decline in attention and cognition. Psychotic symptoms may occur, such as delusions and hallucinations, as well as mood instability. Although delirium is usually considered a brief transient state, it can persist and/or have long-term negative outcomes like cognitive deterioration and institutionalization.

Much less is known about the impact of delirium upon affective functioning. Preoperative delirium is an identified risk factor for delirium, but what about postoperative delirium as a risk factor for depression afterwards? Previous work suggests that approximately 50% of the patients who experience in-hospital delirium have clinically significant depressive symptoms after hospital discharge, while other work suggests that depressive symptoms may be evident up to 2 years afterwards. Screening for depression after hip fracture found that the majority cases emerge within the first ten weeks. A review from Davydow identified eight studies relating to delirium and affective disturbances, of which four studies found an association between in-hospital delirium and subsequent depressive symptoms, two of the studies concerned delirium after hip fracture. Almost all of these studies are based on screening questionnaires only, with the exclusion of one study that used a semi-structured diagnostic interview in a cardiac surgery population. This review also noted that the existing studies were incapable of differentiating between depression after in-hospital delirium or a possible persisting delirium. A study on treatment and prevention of depression, as measured with the GDS, after hip surgery reported no significant effect of their interventions at follow-up assessments. If indeed delirium are subsequently associated with clinically manifest depression, this raises the question as to why intervention had no effect. In the case of increased depressive symptoms without full syndromal depression present, it might be that usual interventions are inappropriate. Yet, increased depressive symptoms after delirium are still of importance, because it interferes with rehabilitation after hip surgery.

Anxiety levels, PTSD symptoms and their association with delirium have been investigated in different populations, other than hip fracture patients. In a study of 52 hematopoietic cell transplantation (HTC) patients, aged between 22 and 62 years, no association was found between delirium and anxiety levels at 6 months to 1 year follow-up. A limitation of this study is that the original Delirium Rating Scale was used for delirium diagnosis, which includes a relatively narrow range of delirium symptoms. A study with 34 burn victims found that affective distress during delirium correlated with worse psychopathological adjustment. Since burn injury can be considered as a traumatizing event in itself and this study population was also relatively young, the association between delirium and long-term psychiatric symptoms in elderly patients.
remains unclear.\textsuperscript{13} In the study on HTC patients delirium was associated with more symptoms of PTSD at 1-year follow-up, whereas no association was found with PTSD in intensive care unit (ICU) patients requiring mechanical ventilation.\textsuperscript{12,14}

The aim of the present study was to examine affective functioning at follow-up, three months after hospital discharge, in elderly hip fracture patients with and without in-hospital delirium. This is the first study that simultaneously investigates anxiety and depression levels, and post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms three months after hospital discharge, and their association with delirium, in elderly hip fracture patients. The 3 months time period is relevant, since onset of depression has been suggested to be at its highest risk within the first ten weeks after hip fracture. In an attempt to clarify why previous studies have found contradicting results, this study used a variety of measurements for each symptom domain, including screening questionnaires and structured diagnostic interviews.

METHODS

Ethical considerations
This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the guidelines on Good Clinical Practice. Approval of the regional research ethics committee was obtained. All patients gave written informed consent including permission for the follow-up part of this study.

Study design and objectives
The study was conducted in a series of consecutively admitted elderly hip fracture patients to a teaching hospital in Alkmaar, the Netherlands. Eligibility was checked for all patients 75 years and older admitted for primary surgical repair of hip fracture, from March 2008 to March 2009. A subgroup of this study cohort also participated in a clinical trial that compared the effectiveness of taurine versus placebo in reducing morbidity and one-year mortality in elderly hip fracture patients (Clinicaltrials.gov; registration number NCT00497978; Research on delirium has been published previously.\textsuperscript{15}

Patients were ineligible to participate in the study if they had no surgery, had a malignancy, had a previous hip-fracture on the identical side, were in contact isolation, incapable of participating in interviews (language barrier, aphasia, coma), had no acute trauma, were transferred to another hospital or received a total hip prosthesis.

Examining affective functioning three months after hospital discharge in patients who did or did not develop delirium during hospitalization was a pre-specified secondary aim of the study. All patients with delirium during hospital admission were asked to participate in the follow-up. Based on random selection by a computer generated randomization code a subgroup of patients without delirium during hospitalization were
selected and invited to participate as controls. Declination to participate in the follow-up study did not significantly differ between people with and without in-hospital delirium. Because we were specifically interested in the effects of postoperative in-hospital delirium on affective functioning three months after hospital discharge, we excluded patients with prevalent delirium (already delirious at admission) from all the analysis. At the follow-up assessment we excluded patients who were still delirious from the analysis. An additional analysis was performed where we excluded patients with subsyndromal delirium. Definition of (full syndromal) delirium and subsyndromal delirium were based on Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) criteria. Patients who met full Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) criteria were classified as delirious (CAM item 1 and 2 plus either 3 or 4). Those patients with two CAM criteria but without full criteria were classified as having subsyndromal delirium. Before surgery a standardized baseline assessment had been completed to document patient characteristics, risk factors of delirium, and global cognitive performance. During hospital admission presence of delirium was assessed daily from time of admission until the fifth postoperative day or discharge, in case of delirium until it remitted for three consecutive days or until discharge. At the follow-up assessment patients were asked about additional hospitalizations or possible delirium episodes between discharge and follow-up. Three months after discharge patients underwent a comprehensive assessment that included among others the repetition of several tests presented at baseline. Baseline characteristics and neuropsychiatric test scores were compared between patients with and without in-hospital delirium. When applicable, we compared baseline and follow-up scores within groups. We also performed additional analyses to further examine the association between delirium and affective functioning in specific subgroups. Since participants were at high risk for delirium during hospitalization (i.e. age 75 years or older, and acute hospital admission) they all received routine care, according to hospital guidelines, with prophylactic treatment of 0.5 mg haloperidol, three times daily, from time of admission until postoperative day three, unless contraindications regarding its use were present.

Baseline (preoperative) assessment
The baseline assessment was completed within 12 hours of admission and before surgery. It consisted of patient and proxy interviews, assessment of delirium, and inspection of all available medical records. We documented the following demographic variables: age, gender, and educational level. To assess mental status we used the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) as a measure of baseline cognitive functioning on a scale of 0 (poor) to 30 (good) with scores lower than 24 indicating cognitive impairment. The 16 item Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly Short-Form (IQCODE-N) was used as an estimate of pre-fracture cognitive decline and was scored by a close relative or caregiver on a scale of 16 (improvement) to 70 (decline). A score higher than 57 (i.e.
mean item score of 3.6) indicates cognitive decline.\textsuperscript{21} We used the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale Part A (HADS-A) to measure anxiety levels.\textsuperscript{22} This screening tool consists of seven questions, on a four-point (1-4) scale. The maximum score is 28, with higher scores indicating more anxiety. Depressive symptoms were assessed with the Geriatric Depression Scale 15 (GDS-15) a 15 item self-rating scale for depression with higher scores indicating depression.\textsuperscript{23} Based on the items of the GDS we also calculated a separate core depression (items 1, 3-8,10-12,14-15) and apathy (items 2, 9 and 13) score.\textsuperscript{24} Burden of illness included the number and type of medical co-morbidities and medications before hospital admission. We also reviewed the medical record to document the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status classification system (range of 1 (normal health patient) to 5 (moribund patient)) and the Acute Physiology Age and Chronic Health Examination (APACHE II) score (range of 0 (no acute health problems) to 70 (severe acute health problems)).\textsuperscript{25,26} Functional status comprised pre-fracture living arrangement, visual acuity, activities of daily living (ADL) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). Visual acuity was assessed with the standardized Snellen test for visual impairment and visual impairment was defined as binocular near vision, after correction, worse than 20/70.\textsuperscript{27} Pre-fracture ADL functioning was determined with the Barthel Index (BI) which is scored by a close relative or caregiver on a scale from 0 (dependence) to 20 (independence).\textsuperscript{28} IADL was also assessed by a close relative or caregiver on the Lawton IADL scale with a range of 8 (no disability) to 31 (severe disability).\textsuperscript{29}

A diagnosis of delirium was defined according to the criteria of the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) which consists of an acute onset and fluctuating course of cognitive function, inattention, and either disorganized thinking and/or altered level of consciousness.\textsuperscript{16} The CAM algorithm was rated on the basis of an interview with the patient and hospital staff, brief cognitive assessment with the MMSE and the expanded digit span test, and screening of the medical and nursing records for signs of delirium.\textsuperscript{30} CAM positive patients were those that demonstrated an acute change or fluctuation in their mental status plus the accompanying inattention and disorganized thinking and/or altered level of consciousness. Delirium severity was measured using the Delirium Rating Scale Revised-98 (DRS-R-98), a 16-item rating scale comprised of thirteen severity items and 3 diagnostic items. The item-scores range of 0 (no severity) to 3 (maximum severity). Possible total severity scores range of 0 (no severity) to 39 (maximum severity).\textsuperscript{31} Delirium assessments continued until delirium remitted for three consecutive days or until discharge.

In case of the IQCODE-N, BI, and Lawton IADL, proxies were asked to describe the patient’s condition a week before the fracture as to determine function unbiased by the event of hip fracture itself or any acute or sub-acute event leading to hip fracture.
Follow-up assessment at three months
The CAM was used to screen for delirium symptoms at follow-up and to exclude patients with persistent (i.e. from hospital discharge until follow-up) or recurring delirium.

Several neuropsychiatric questionnaires were administered three months after hospital discharge by two trained neuropsychologists. The questionnaires were selected to assess several affective domains and contained standardized and validated instruments. The collection consisted of the following tests: The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.), The Post-Traumatic Stress Syndrome Scale 10 (PTSS-10), the HADS-A and the GDS-15.32 It took approximately 1 hour to complete the whole follow-up assessment. Most patients were examined at home, but some patients preferred to visit the hospital.

The M.I.N.I. was used to assess the presence of a depressive episode, generalized anxiety disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders IV (DSM IV) criteria.34 Each domain is assessed with several yes/no questions. In addition to the M.I.N.I. the rating scale PTSS-10 was used to assess the presence of posttraumatic stress disorder. The PTSS-10 is a self-report questionnaire assessing symptoms related to post-traumatic stress disorder. The PTSS-10 consists of 10 items, each of which ranges from 1 point (none) to 7 points (always). The 10 symptoms are: sleeping problems, nightmares, gloom, jumpiness, the need to draw back from contact with other people, irritability, mood swings, the feeling of guiltiness, fear of locations or situations that remind the patient of the fall or hospitalization, and tensed muscles. The total score ranges from 10 to 70, with higher scores indicating more symptoms; scores of 35 or above are considered indicative of PTSD.35,36

Outcome
Scores on neuropsychiatric questionnaires three months after hospital discharge.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical calculations were performed using SPSS for Windows, version 19 (SPSS; Inc. Chicago, Il., USA). Descriptive statistics are provided to characterize patients with and without previous delirium. Quantitative variables are presented as mean (standard deviation (SD)) or median (inter-quartile range (IQR)). We presented raw test scores of neuropsychological tests. Chi-Square or Fisher Exact tests were used to analyze categorical variables. The assumption of normality was tested with the Kolmogrov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables were analyzed with student t-tests or Mann-Whitney U tests for between group comparisons and paired t-tests or Wilcoxon’s signed ranks tests for within group comparisons. To examine whether delirium is associated with scores on neuropsychiatric questionnaires independent of important covariates we fitted a multiple linear regression model for those affective measures that were associated with delirium in univariate analyses. In the multivariate models we entered delirium
as an independent variable together with age, baseline MMSE score (continuous variable), living independently (yes/no) and baseline measure of the outcome variable. These covariates were selected based on their potential to influence delirium and neuropsychiatric symptoms. Given our sample size we aimed to restrict the number of independent variables to a maximum of 5. We repeated the analysis with the variable treatment allocation (taurine or placebo for the patients who participated in the RCT). In the regression models that are presented in the results section we entered the MMSE, and not the IQCODE-N, as a measure of pre-existent cognitive impairment. Compared with the IQCODE-N (which measures intra-individual differences) the MMSE provides a score that can more easily be compared between patients. The core assumptions of linear regression modelling were tested for each model: linearity of the relationship between dependent and independent variables, independence of the errors, constant variance of the errors and normality of the error distribution. Statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

The final patient sample consisted of 53 patients of whom 23 (43.4\%) experienced in-hospital delirium (Figure 1).

*Figure 1. Flow Diagram of the Study.*
Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Patients With and Without In-hospital Delirium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Delirium (n=23)</th>
<th>No delirium (n=30)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>84.3 ± 5.1</td>
<td>82.5 ± 6.1</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gender n/N (%)</td>
<td>17/23 (73.9)</td>
<td>24/30 (80)</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low educational level, n/N (%)</td>
<td>9/23 (39.1)</td>
<td>11/29 (37.9)</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affective functioning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS score</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.7</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS-A score</td>
<td>8.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>9.8 ± 2.5</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mental status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE total score</td>
<td>22.9 ± 3.8</td>
<td>25.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutoff &lt; 24, n/N(%)</td>
<td>11/22 (50)</td>
<td>6/28 (21.4)</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQCODE-N</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutoff &gt; 3.6, n/N(%)</td>
<td>14/22 (63.6)</td>
<td>4/30 (13.3)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living independently, n/N (%)</td>
<td>17/23 (73.9)</td>
<td>30/30 (100)</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual impairment, n/N (%)</td>
<td>0/20 (0)</td>
<td>0/30 (0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>17.2 ± 3</td>
<td>18.2 ± 3.3</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawton IADL</td>
<td>15.5 ± 5</td>
<td>12.8 ± 5.4</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burden of illness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE II</td>
<td>13.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>13.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA group, n/N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I;</td>
<td>5/20 (25)</td>
<td>8/26 (30.8)</td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II;</td>
<td>12/20 (60)</td>
<td>13/26 (50)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III;</td>
<td>3/20 (15)</td>
<td>5/26 (19.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of co-morbid diseases</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>2.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of medications at home</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.4</td>
<td>4.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotropics at admission</td>
<td>7/23 (30.4)</td>
<td>8/30 (26.7)</td>
<td>.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment (taurine)</td>
<td>12/20 (60)</td>
<td>12/26 (46.2)</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as means ± SD or n/N is number with characteristic/total number with available data, (%) is percentage.

GDS is Geriatric Depression Scale, range 0 (depression not likely) to 15 (depression very likely).

HADS-A is Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale Part A to measure anxiety levels. Seven questions with a four-point (1-4) scale. The maximum score is 28.

MMSE is Mini Mental State Examination, range 0 (severe cognitive impairment) to 30 (no cognitive impairment), score <24 indicates cognitive impairment.

IQCODE-N is Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly - Short Form, range 16 (cognitive improvement) to 80 (severe cognitive decline), mean score >3.6 indicates cognitive decline.

Visual impairment measured with the standardized Snellen test for visual impairment and defined as binocular near vision worse than 20/70 after correction.

BI is Barthel Index, range 0 (severe disability) to 20 (no disability).

Lawton IADL is Lawton Instrumental Activities of Daily Living scale, range 8 (no disability) to 31 (severe disability).

APACHE II is Acute Physiological and Chronic Health Evaluation II, range 0 (no acute health problems) to 70 (severe acute health problems).

ASA is American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification system, range 1 (normal health patient) to 5 (moribund patient).

Treatment, taurine or placebo for patients who participated in the RCT.
The baseline characteristics of the 23 patients with and 30 without in-hospital delirium are presented in Table 1. Patients who developed in-hospital delirium were more cognitively impaired and more often institutionalized compared to patients who remained free from delirium postoperatively. Anxiety and depressive symptoms at admission did not differ between the patients with and without delirium, and no patient had a GDS-15 score higher than 7, indicative of more severe depressive symptoms.

Three months after hospital discharge

At follow-up patients who had experienced in-hospital delirium had more depressive symptoms as reflected in the higher GDS-15 total scores and they remained more cognitively impaired compared to patients without delirium (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic at follow-up:</th>
<th>In-hospital delirium (n=23)</th>
<th>No delirium during admission (n=30)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GDS total score</td>
<td>4.9 ± 3.4</td>
<td>3.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS-A total score</td>
<td>11.7 ± 3.9</td>
<td>10.5 ± 3.0</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTSS-10 total score</td>
<td>19.0 ± 5.3</td>
<td>17.8 ± 5.3</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.I.N.I. Major Depressive Episode with melancholic features</td>
<td>2/21 (9.5)</td>
<td>3/27 (11.1)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.I.N.I. Major Depressive Episode</td>
<td>5/21 (23.8)</td>
<td>4/27 (14.8)</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.I.N.I. Generalized Anxiety Disorder</td>
<td>1/20 (3.7)</td>
<td>1/27 (3.7)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.I.N.I. Posttraumatic stress disorder</td>
<td>0/20 (0)</td>
<td>0/27 (0)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as means ± SD or n/N is number with characteristic/total number with available data, (%) is percentage.

GDS is Geriatric Depression Scale, range 0 (depression not likely) to 15 (depression very likely).

HADS-A is Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale Part A to measure anxiety levels. Seven questions with a four-point (1-4) scale. The maximum score is 28.

PTSS-10 is The Post-Traumatic Stress Syndrome Scale 10, range 10 to 70, with higher scores indicating more symptoms; scores of 35 or above are considered indicative of PTSD.

M.I.N.I is Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview.

Further analysis showed that patients with in-hospital delirium scored higher on the core depressive symptoms of the GDS (P=.02), and not on the total score of the apathy items, compared to patients without in-hospital delirium at follow-up. Figure 2 shows the relative frequency of the GDS total scores at follow up within the delirium and control group. This figure shows that the scores of the non-delirious patients are centred within a lower range compared to the delirious patients, indicating that the difference between both groups are not caused by outliers.
Repetition of this analysis without patients who had subsyndromal delirium during hospitalization or at follow-up showed that patients with in-hospital delirium (n=18) had again significantly more depressive symptoms at follow-up compared to patients without delirium (n=23) (P=.004). 5/23 patients with in-hospital delirium had subsyndromal delirium at follow-up, and 7/30 patients without full-syndromal delirium did experience subsyndromal delirium during hospitalization.

At follow-up the HADS-A score did not differ between patients with and without in-hospital delirium. Patients with and without delirium did not differ on diagnoses of generalized anxiety disorder and major depressive episode according to the M.I.N.I. However, almost half (47.1%) of the patients that had been delirious previously, did have three or more depressive symptoms according to the M.I.N.I compared to 5/20 (25%) patients without in-hospital delirium, this difference was not significant. Patients with and without delirium did not differ on PTSS-10 total scores. Also, no patients were diagnosed with posttraumatic stress disorder according to the M.I.N.I.

**Within group analysis**

Within group analysis showed that both in the post-delirium group (P=.003) and the control group (P=.04) HADS-A scores increased from baseline to follow-up. Depressive
symptoms showed a significant increase from baseline to follow-up within the post-delirium group \( (P = .007) \), while no significant change was noted among controls who had remained free from delirium.

**Multivariate analysis**

In multivariate analysis we examined the association between in-hospital delirium and GDS-15 scores at follow-up. We adjusted for age, MMSE at baseline, GDS-15 at baseline and living situation. In-hospital delirium remained associated with higher GDS-15 scores at 3 months follow-up (Table 4), also when excluding the apathy associated items from the score \( (B = 1.72, 95\% \text{ CI} 0.42 - 3.02, P = .01) \).

Repeating the multivariate analysis with treatment allocation to either taurine or placebo as a covariate did not change the results.

**Table 3. Multiple Linear Regression Models of GDS Scores at Follow-up and In-hospital Delirium.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3 months follow-up</th>
<th>GDS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( B )</td>
<td>( P )-value</td>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE baseline</td>
<td>-.21</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delirium</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Independently baseline</td>
<td>-5.99</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS baseline score</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GDS is Geriatric Depression Scale, range 0 (depression not likely) to 15 (depression very likely).

MMSE is Mini Mental State Examination, range 0 (severe cognitive impairment) to 30 (no cognitive impairment), score <24 indicates cognitive impairment.

Living Independently (yes or no) before hospital admission.

**DISCUSSION**

This study highlights the importance of monitoring elderly hip fracture patients after in-hospital delirium. It examined the association between in-hospital delirium after hip fracture surgery and affective functioning three months after hospital discharge. Depressive symptoms, anxiety levels and posttraumatic stress symptoms were assessed in an elderly population free from delirium at a 3-month follow-up. The main finding of this study is that the occurrence of in-hospital delirium was independently associated with increased depressive symptoms 3 months after hospital discharge.

Although increased depressive symptoms at follow-up was associated with in-hospital delirium, this association did not equate with the diagnosis of major depressive
disorder as measured with the M.I.N.I. This might explain why interventions after hip surgery had no effect on depression in a study with elderly hip fracture patients.\textsuperscript{10} Patients received six weekly sessions short after hip surgery aimed at either treatment or prevention of depression. Patients were assessed at follow-up, after six weeks, three months and six months. In this study the GDS-15 was used to identify depression and patients with delirium were excluded. In our study persistent or recurring full syndromal delirium does not explain the increased depressive symptoms, because patients with delirium at follow-up were excluded from analysis. An alternate explanation might be that the depressive symptoms might have been part of an existing subsyndromal delirium. However, repetition of the analysis in this study without patients that had subsyndromal delirium showed that patients with in-hospital delirium had again significantly more depressive symptoms at follow-up compared to patients without delirium.

Experimental findings and neuropathological observations suggest that activation of microglia is pivotal for mediation of the acute behavioural and cognitive effects of systemic inflammation.\textsuperscript{37} A mild systemic inflammatory response suffices to increase the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines within the brain when microglia are already “primed” by chronic pathologic events as chronic neurodegeneration or advanced age.\textsuperscript{38} After hip surgery the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines as a consequence of fracture and surgery induces a systemic inflammatory response. In older hip-fracture patients delirium was associated with higher postoperative serum levels of proinflammatory cytokines while no differences were seen in the preoperative serum levels between the delirium and non-delirium patients.\textsuperscript{39,40} A recent study with elderly, hip-fracture patients found that depressive symptoms were associated with increased cytokine levels at 1 year follow-up.\textsuperscript{41} However, rates of delirium were not reported in this study. In animal studies the acute behavioural changes induced by a single dose of lipopolysaccharide (as a bacterial mimic) was followed by an increase of depressive-like symptoms. There was a temporal dissociation between the acute behavioural changes and the symptoms of depression with a time interval varying from hours to weeks depending on the eliciting conditions.\textsuperscript{42} The inflammation-associated depression was found to be mediated by a pro-inflammatory cytokines induced elevated activity of the tryptophan-degrading enzyme indoleamine 2,3 dioxogenase (IDO). Blockade of IDO activation either indirectly by the microglia inhibitor minocycline or directly by a specific IDO antagonist prevented the development of the inflammation-associated depressive symptoms. These findings indicate that the temporal dissociation between the acute behavioural changes and the depressive symptoms are based on distinct and time-related differences in the underlying biological mechanisms. In the present study we found that patients with in-hospital delirium have increased depressive symptoms three months later, in the absence of (sub)syndromal delirium. So, increased depressive symptoms after a delirious episode can not readily be explained by prolonged delirium or (sub)syndromal delirium. The animal
studies discussed above may provide a biological rationale for this temporal dissociation in clinical symptoms.

Another explanation for the increase in depressive symptoms after in-hospital delirium in elderly patients could be underlying cognitive impairments. Depression and dementia are suggested to be related, with increased prevalence of depression in people suffering from dementia. People with delirium were more cognitively impaired at baseline and were still more cognitively impaired three months after hospital discharge. This is why we controlled in this study for baseline cognitive functioning in multivariate analysis, after which in-hospital delirium was still associated with more depressive symptoms at follow-up. In a sub-sample of patients without evidence of cognitive impairment at baseline (MMSE >23 and IQCODE-N<3.6) again that patients with in-hospital delirium had more depressive symptoms at follow-up compared to controls.

Previous research found mixed results concerning the association between delirium and anxiety levels at follow-up. Some did find an association between delirium and subsequent anxiety, others did not. In the present study no difference was found in anxiety levels between patients with and without in-hospital delirium three months after hospital discharge. However, anxiety levels did increase in both the delirious and control group. This might be related to the effects of hospitalization and having experienced surgery and interventions.

The present study did not find an association between in-hospital delirium and PTSD symptoms at follow-up. A previous study in 90 patients undergoing hematopoietic cell transplantation did find that delirium was associated with higher levels of post traumatic stress at one year follow-up. The average duration of a delirium episode in this study, 2 days, and average total score of 19 on the PTSS-10 is comparable to previous research, which does not explain the current findings. It has been suggested that higher levels of PTSD symptoms are less likely to occur in older patients, like the patients in the present study. It is suggested that the development of PTSD symptoms is associated with delusional memories. Experiencing delusions and hallucinations during delirium might be the underlying link between in-hospital delirium and neuropsychiatric symptoms at follow-up. Further work might explore this relation more into detail by monitoring the occurrence of these symptoms during the delirious period and investigating the association with neuropsychiatric symptoms at follow-up.

Strengths of the current study are the detailed investigation of depressive symptoms using screening questionnaires as well as structured diagnostic interviews. We extensively investigated the possible effect of (subs syndromal) delirium, cognitive impairment and differentiated between increased depressive symptoms and actual depression. Moreover this study used standardized and validated instruments to assess affective functioning in the hospital and at follow-up. The limitations are that the sample is not a pure observational cohort. Taurine was administered to a part of the sample, which might have influenced
outcome measures. However, treatment allocation was not associated with the incidence of delirium or affective functioning at follow-up. We controlled for treatment allocation in multivariate analysis, and found that a strong significant association between depressive symptoms at follow-up and in-hospital delirium remained. A larger cohort could however control for more factors that possibly interact with delirium and affective functioning, as well as reduce the likelihood of type II errors.

To conclude, the findings in this study suggest that in-hospital delirium relates to poor affective functioning afterwards, namely increased depressive symptoms. The increase in depressive symptoms do not seem to be a reflection of an ongoing (subsyndromal) delirium, or be part of an actual major depressive disorder.
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