

Co-production in distributed generation: Renewable energy and creating space for fitting infrastructure within landscapes – Appendix

Maarten Wolsink

This is the appendix for: Wolsink, M (2018) ‘Co-production in distributed generation: renewable energy and creating space for fitting infrastructure within landscapes’. *Landscape Research*, 43, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01426397.2017.1358360> (Publication Open Access, online ahead of print)

In this appendix all literature is listed, that has been used for the assessment of landscape impact and co-production of spatial decision on implementation of DGRS infrastructure, distributed generation of renewable energy systems. These are all academic sources used for the review.¹³⁶

This review describes the infrastructural elements of the socio-technical system of power supply based on renewables and the role of landscape concerns in decision-making for establishing renewable energy in emerging ‘intelligent grids’. The considerable land areas required for energy infrastructure, call for large amounts of ‘distributed generation’ close to energy consumption. Securing community acceptance of renewables’ infrastructure, perceived impacts on the community, and ‘landscape justice’ require two types of co-production: in power supply, and in making space available.

With coproduction landscape issues are prominent, for some options even dominant. However, ‘objectification’ of landscape, e.g. the use of ‘visibility’ as proxy for ‘visual impact’, is part of lingering centralized and hierarchical approaches to renewables’ deployment. For achieving ‘distributed generation’, institutional tendencies of centralization and hierarchy, in power supply management as well as in siting, should be replaced by coproduction. Renewable energy is a natural resource, and for studying coproduction common pool resources theory is highly relevant. Coproduction is the key to respecting landscape values, furthering justice, and achieving community acceptance.

The reference list of this review paper only contains references that have been used in the text. All qualifications as are published in *Landscape Research* in table1 and table 2¹³⁶, are based upon a much longer list. These references are given in this appendix; all have been indicated at their most relevant place in the tables below.

Table 1. Distributed Generation, options for co-production, spatial claims and landscape-issues.

Type of infrastructure ³	Size (capacity)	Relevance for co-production/participation ³	Spatial claims (amount / type) ^{12,96.}	Landscape relevance / type ⁹⁹
Combustion turbine CHP (pref. biomass/biofuel) ^{45,62,129}	1–250MW	Single owner / co-operative / or central	<i>Small / Spot / Large / crops</i> ⁸⁷	<i>Low</i> Visual impact; Ecology crop cult.
Micro-CHP (combustion; pref. biofuel) ^{70,129}	35 kW–1MW	Single owner / co-operative / ¹¹¹	<i>Moderate / Spots; Larger numbers/ crops</i> ⁸⁷	<i>Low</i> Visual impact; Ecology crop cult.
Biomass, e.g. gasification ⁵⁶	100 kW–20MW	Co-operative / Possibly single owner	<i>Large (crops) / Areas for growing crops</i> ⁸⁷	<i>High</i> Ecology crop cultivation
Stirling engine (micro CHP; pref. biofuel) ⁴	2–10kW	Single owner ^{4,111}	<i>None</i>	<i>None</i> Ecology: fuel
Small hydro ²⁹	1–100MW	Possibly co-operative/ shareholders ⁹	<i>Substantial</i> basin, ^{72,78}	<i>Substantial</i> Ecology river ¹¹⁹
Micro hydro	25 kW–1MW	Co-operative / Single owner ^{9,29}	<i>Small</i>	<i>Low</i> Ecology stream ¹¹⁹
Wind farm onshore / near shore ^{19, 33,42}	5-500MW	Possibly co-operative / shareholder ^{14, 134}	<i>Moderate / Area combined use</i> ^{50,127,131,136}	<i>High</i> Visual impact / ^{8,38,64,89,93, 121,130}
Off-shore wind farm ^{44, 55}	20-1000MW	Possibly co-operative / shareholder	<i>Huge / Wide area sailing prohibited</i> ¹⁰³	<i>High / Ecology / possibly positive</i> ¹³²
PV panels, crystalline / silicone based	20 Watt–10 kW	Single owner / co-operative ^{57,77, 113,115,124}	<i>Moderate / Large numbers; Combined use</i> ^{70,}	<i>Moderate / Visual impact / Ecology when sited on soil</i> ²
PV arrays / silicone or perovskite based ^{49,118}	20 kW–100 kW	Single owner / co-operative ^{2,32,57,90,124}	<i>Moderate / Large numbers; Combined</i> ⁹⁰	<i>Moderate / Visual impact / Ecology when sited on soil</i> ⁹²
PV plants / panels based / ground based ^{30,98}	1-500MW	Central; possibly co-operative or shareholder ^{32,36,113,}	<i>Large / Large areas; hard to combine</i> ^{26,35}	<i>High / Visual impact / Ecology soil</i> ^{30, 36,43,92,114}
Solar central thermal receiver (mirror based) ¹⁷	1–10MW	Central; possibly co-operative or shareholders	<i>Large / Large area; hard to combine</i> ^{108,110}	<i>Substantial / Visual impact / Ecology: soil</i>
Fuel cells, phosphoric / molten / etc. ^{39,59, 86} (also table 2)	200 kW–5 MW	Single owner / co-operative / shareholder ^{139,142}	<i>Small</i> Spot; vehicles	<i>Low / Visual impact</i>
Fuel cells, proton exchange (also table 2: H ₂) ^{59,97,141}	1 kW–250kW	Single owner / co-operative	<i>Small</i> Spot; indoor; vehicles	<i>None</i>

Type of infrastructure 3	Size (capacity)	Relevance for co-production/participation 3	Spatial claims (amount / type) 12,96.	Landscape relevance / type 99
Geothermal 18,54	5–100MW	Single owner / co-operative / shareholder 5, 47, 109	Moderate Spot; track (pipe) 27,101,105,107	Low / Visual impact 120
Marine energy: 16,34,61,73,100				
Waves	500kW-50MW	Co-operative / shareholder	Moderate Island; coastal 6, 11,16,20,34,88,136	Moderate Ecology shallows
Tidal flows 41,82	200 kW–250MW	Co-operative / shareholder 135	Moderate Estuary / bay 6,34,16,20,81	Substantial Ecology estuaries 34,61
Wind turbines off-shore/near shore 55,102	200 Watt–5MW	Private and/or co-operative / shareholder	Small; combined with mariculture 51,73,136	Substantial Visual impact / Ecology: birds,bats 79,61,100,132
Saline’fresh water gradient: Reverse Electr. Dialysis 16,65	100 kW-5MW	Co-operative / shareholder 48	Moderate Mainly estuary 73	Substantial Ecology estuaries 20, 61,100
Saline gradient: Osmotic Pressure 16,65	4 kW-50MW	Co-operative / shareholder	Moderate Mainly estuary 20	Substantial Ecology estuaries,61
Seawater cooling (saving power for Airco)	4MW-50MW	Co-operative / shareholder	Moderate Coastal / Islands 84	Low Deep coastal water
Ocean Thermal Energy conversion 16,94,128	50kW-50MW	Co-operative / shareholder	Small Islands 84,94	Low Ecology 20,100

Table 2. Spatial claims, options for co-production and issues of landscape values of other intelligent grid infrastructures.

Type of infrastructure	Size (capacity)	Relevance for co-production and participation	Spatial claims (amount / type) 63	Landscape relevance / type
Distributed Storage 21,95				
Heat storage (electric boilers)	1-4kW	Single owner 10	None indoor	None
Heat stored buildings (solar, electric heat pumps) 77,80,116	10-500kW	Single owner / co-operative 10,22,52	Low Resource rights passive solar	Low Orientation sun, planning design
‘Cold’ storage (cooling systems) 52	1-100 kW	Single owner 52	None Indoor	None
Battery storage 15,23,60,76,86	500 kW–5 MW	Single owner / co-operative 124	Small Indoor or spot	Low Visual Moderate waste 86

Type of infrastructure	Size (capacity)	Relevance for co-production and participation	Spatial claims (amount / type) 63	Landscape relevance / type
Electrolizer/ Fuel cell hydrogen storage 23,97,106	50-1kW	Single owner 46,139	Small Indoor or spot	None
Electric vehicles (Vehicle-to-grid) 85,123	10-100 kW	Single owner / private cars /co-owned 7,58	Very small Recharging points possible indoor	None
Electric vehicles public transport; freight 7,85	10-100 kW	Public / private / co-operative	Small Recharging points possible indoor	None
Storage Renewable Energy in non heat consumption				
Neighborhood Water systems	10kW-1000kW	Co-operative / public / shareholder	Moderate Level in basins / groundwater level 72	Low ecology groundwater
Pumped hydro (high altitude water basins) 76,78	1MW-1000MW	Centralized 24,53,71	Large Land use change as with large hydro 28	High Ecology; abandon functions like Agriculture
Desalination: reservoirs 5,40	10kW-50 MW	Co-operative / shareholder / public	Moderate plant; basin 5	Low visual
Transmission of RES generated power				
HVAC Transmission 68	10-150kV	Public / private /centralized 13,112,126	Large Track in open air 31,75,104,117	High Ecology (mod.) Visual impact 1,37,83,138
Super Conducting HVDC Transmission 66	100-1000 kV	Public / private / shareholder	Small Narrow track underground 122	Low Ecology underground 122
Low voltage grid (DC) 25,67,69	20-100V	Co-operative / household 29,69,91, 125,133.	Small Indoor / Underground	None
Low voltage grid (AC) 69	220V-25kV	Public / co-operative 125	Small Indoor / Underground 133	Low Visual in case of in open air

References.

1. Aas, Ø, Devine-Wright, P., Tangeland, T., Batel, S. & Ruud A. (2014) Public beliefs about high-voltage powerlines in Norway, Sweden and the United Kingdom: A comparative survey. *Energy Research & Social Science* 2, 30-37
2. Abi-Ghanem, D., Haggett, C. (2011) Shaping people's engagement with micro-generation technology – The case of solar photovoltaics in UK homes. In : Devine-Wright, P. (Ed.) *Renewable Energy and the Public: From NIMBY to Participation*. Earthscan: London.pp.149-166.
3. Ackermann, T., Andersson, G. & Söder, L. (2001). Distributed generation: a definition. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 57, 195–204.

4. Ahmadi, M. H., Sayyaadi, H., Dehghani, S., & Hosseinzade, H. (2013). Designing a solar powered Stirling heat engine based on multiple criteria: maximized thermal efficiency and power. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 75, 282-291.
5. Akbari, M., Mahmoudi, S., Yari, M., & Rosen, M. A. (2014). Energy and Exergy analyses of a new combined cycle for producing electricity and desalinated water using geothermal energy. *Sustainability*, 6(4), 1796-1820.
6. Alexander, K., Wilding, T.A. & Heymans, J.J. (2013). Attitudes of Scottish fishers towards marine renewable energy. *Marine Policy*, 37, 239-244.
7. Andersson, S. L., Elofsson, A. K., Galus, M. D., Göransson, L., Karlsson, S., Johnsson, F., & Andersson, G. (2010). Plug-in hybrid electric vehicles as regulating power providers: Case studies of Sweden and Germany. *Energy Policy*, 38(6), 2751-2762.
8. Anshelm, J. & Simon, H. (2016). Power production and environmental opinions – Environmentally motivated resistance to wind power in Sweden. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 57, 1545–1555.
9. Armstrong, A. & Bulkeley, H. (2014). Micro-hydro politics: Producing and contesting community energy in the North of England. *Geoforum*, 56, 66-76.
10. Arteconi, A., Hewitt, N. J., & Polonara, F. (2012). State of the art of thermal storage for demand-side management. *Applied Energy*, 93, 371-389.
11. Bailey, I., West, J., & Whitehead, I. (2011). Out of sight but not out of mind? Public perceptions of wave energy. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 13(2), 139-157.
12. Baltas, A. E. & Dervos, A.N. (2012). Special framework for the spatial planning & the sustainable development of renewable energy sources. *Renewable Energy*, 48, 358-363.
13. Batel, S., Devine-Wright, P. (2015) A critical and empirical analysis of the national-local 'gap' in public responses to large-scale energy infrastructures. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 58, 1076- 1095
14. Bauwens, T., Gotchev, B., & Holstenkamp, L. (2016). What drives the development of community energy in Europe? The case of wind power cooperatives. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 13, 136-147.
15. Beaudin, M., Zareipour, H., Schellenberglobe, A., & Rosehart, W. (2010). Energy storage for mitigating the variability of renewable electricity sources: An updated review. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 14(4), 302-314.
16. Bedard, R., Jacobson, P. T., Previsic, M., Musial, W. & Varley, R. (2010). Overview of Ocean Renewable Energy Technologies. *Oceanography*, 23, 369-378.
17. Behar, O., Khellaf, A., & Mohammedi, K. (2013). A review of studies on central receiver solar thermal power plants. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 23, 12-39.
18. Bertani, R. (2012). Geothermal power generation in the world 2005–2010 update report. *Geothermics*, 41, 1-29.
19. Betakova, V., Vojar, J. & Sklenicka, P. (2015). Wind turbines location: How many and how far? *Applied Energy*, 151, 23-31.
20. Bonar, P.A., Bryden, I.G. & Borthwick, A.G. (2015). Social and ecological impacts of marine energy development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 47, 486-495.

21. Bozchalui, M. C., Hashmi, S. A., Hassen, H., Cañizares, C. A., & Bhattacharya, K. (2012). Optimal operation of residential energy hubs in smart grids. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 3(4), 1755-1766.
22. Brahman, F., Honarmand, M., & Jadid, S. (2015). Optimal electrical and thermal energy management of a residential energy hub, integrating demand response and energy storage system. *Energy and Buildings*, 90, 65-75.
23. Brownson, D.A.C, Kampouris, D.K. & C.E. Banks (2011). An overview of graphene in energy production and storage applications. *Journal of Power Sources*, 196 (11), 4873-4885
24. Bueno, C., & Carta, J. A. (2006). Wind powered pumped hydro storage systems, a means of increasing the penetration of renewable energy in the Canary Islands. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 10(4), 312-340.
25. Burmester, D., Rayudu, R., Seah, W., & Akinyele, D. (2017). A review of nanogrid topologies and technologies. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 67, 760-775.
26. Carlisle, J.E., Solan, D., Kane, S.L., Joe, J. (2016) Utility-scale solar and public attitudes toward siting: A critical examination of proximity. *Land Use Policy* 58, 491–501.
27. Carr-Cornish, S., & Romanach, L. (2014). Differences in public perceptions of geothermal 27, energy technology in Australia. *Energies*, 7(3), 1555-1575.
28. Castillo, A. & Gayme, D. F.(2014) Grid-scale energy storage applications in renewable energy integration: a survey. *Energy Conversion and Management* 87, 885–894.
29. Chauhan, A., & Saini, R. P. (2014). A review on integrated renewable energy system based power generation for stand-alone applications: configurations, storage options, sizing methodologies and control. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 38, 99-120.
30. Chiabrando, R., Fabrizio, E.& Garnero, G. (2010). The territorial and landscape impacts of photovoltaic systems: Definition of impacts and assessment of the glare risk . *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 13, 2441-2451
31. Ciupuliga, A. R., & Cuppen, E. (2013). The role of dialogue in fostering acceptance of transmission lines: the case of a France–Spain interconnection project. *Energy Policy*, 60, 224-233.
32. Cludius, J., Hermann, H., Matthes, F.C. & Graichen, V. (2014). The merit order effect of wind and photovoltaic electricity generation in Germany 2008–2016: Estimation and distributional implications. *Energy Economics*, 44, 302-313.
33. Cowell, R., Bristow, G. & Munday, M. (2011). Acceptance, acceptability and environmental justice: the role of community benefits in wind energy development. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 54, 539-557.
34. de Groot, J., & Bailey, I. (2016). What drives attitudes towards marine renewable energy development in island communities in the UK?. *International Journal of Marine Energy*, 13, 80-95.
35. Delfanti, L., Colantoni, A., Recanatesi, F., Bencardino, M., Sateriano, A., Zambon, I., & Salvati, L. (2016). Solar plants, environmental degradation and local socioeconomic contexts: A case study in a Mediterranean country. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 61, 88-93.

36. Delicado, A., Figueiredo, E., & Silva, L. (2016). Community perceptions of renewable energies in Portugal: Impacts on environment, landscape and local development. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 13, 84-93.
37. Devine-Wright, P. & Batel, S. (2011) Explaining public preferences for high-voltage pylon designs. *Land Use Policy*, 31, 640-649.
38. Devine-Wright, P. & Howes, Y. (2010). Disruption to place attachment and the protection of restorative environments: A wind energy case study. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30, 271–280.
39. ElMekawy, A., Hegab, H. M., Vanbroekhoven, K., & Pant, D. (2014). Techno-productive potential of photosynthetic microbial fuel cells through different configurations. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 39, 617-627.
40. Eltawil, M. A., Zhengming, Z., & Yuan, L. (2009). A review of renewable energy technologies integrated with desalination systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 13(9), 2245-2262.
41. Fairley, I., Evans, P., Wooldridge, C., Willis, M., & Masters, I. (2013). Evaluation of tidal stream resource in a potential array area via direct measurements. *Renewable Energy*, 57, 70-78.
42. Fast, S., Mabee, W., Baxter, J., Christidis, T, Driver, L., Hill, S....., Tomkow M. (2016). Lessons learned from Ontario wind energy disputes. *Nature Energy*, 1, 15028.
43. Fernandez-Jimenez, A., Mendoza-Villena, M., Zorzano-Santamaria, P., Garcia-Garrido, E., Lara-Santillan, P., Zorzano-Alba, E.& Falces, A. (2015) Site selection for new PV power plants based on their observability. *Renewable Energy*, 78, 7-15.
44. Firestone, J, Kempton, W., Krueger, A. (2011) *Public Acceptance of Offshore Wind Power Projects in the USA*. *Wind Energy* 12, 183-202.
45. François, J., Abdelouahed, L., Mauviel, G., Patisson, F., Mirgoux, O., Rogaume, C., ... & Dufour, A. (2013). Detailed process modeling of a wood gasification combined heat and power plant. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 51, 68-82.
46. Gahleitner, G. (2013). Hydrogen from renewable electricity: An international review of power-to-gas pilot plants for stationary applications. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 38(5), 2039-2061.
47. Gerber, L., & Maréchal, F. (2012). Environomic optimal configurations of geothermal energy conversion systems: Application to the future construction of Enhanced Geothermal Systems in Switzerland. *Energy*, 45(1), 908-923.
48. Gilron, J. (2014). Water-energy nexus: matching sources and uses. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, 16(8), 1471-1479.
49. Gong, J., Darling, S. B., & You, F. (2015). Perovskite photovoltaics: life-cycle assessment of energy and environmental impacts. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 8(7), 1953-1968.
50. Grassi, S., Klein, T.M. (2016) 3D augmented reality for improving social acceptance and public participation in wind farms planning. *Journal of Physics: Conference series* 749, 012020 DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/749/1/012020

51. Griffin, N.C., Denu, D., Guerry, A., Kim, C-K. & Ruckelshaus, M. (2015). Incorporating the visibility of coastal energy infrastructure into multi-criteria siting decisions. *Marine Policy*, 62, 218-223.
52. Gu, W., Wu, Z., Bo, R., Liu, W., Zhou, G., Chen, W., & Wu, Z. (2014). Modeling, planning and optimal energy management of combined cooling, heating and power microgrid: A review. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 54, 26-37.
53. Gurung, A.B, Borsdorf, A., Fuereder, L., Kienast, F., Matt, P., Scheidegger, C., Schmocker, L., Zappa, M., Volkart, K. (2016) Rethinking Pumped Storage Hydropower in the European Alps A Call for New Integrated Assessment Tools to Support the Energy Transition. *Mountain Research and Development*, 36, 222-232
54. Haehnlein, S., Bayer, P., & Blum, P. (2010). International legal status of the use of shallow geothermal energy. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(9), 2611-2625.
55. Haggett, C. (2008). Over the sea and far away? A consideration of the planning, politics and public perception of offshore wind farms. *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*, 10, 289-306.
56. Heidenreich, Steffen, & Foscolo, P.U.. New concepts in biomass gasification. *Progress in Energy and Combustion science* 46 (2015): 72-95.
57. Hicks, J. & Ison, N. (2011) Community-owned renewable energy (CRE): Opportunities for rural Australia. *Rural Sociology*, 20, 244-255.
58. Honarmand, M., Zakariazadeh, A. & Jadid, S. (2014). Integrated scheduling of renewable generation and electric vehicles parking lot in a smart microgrid. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 86, 745-755.
59. Hussein, A. K. (2015). Applications of nanotechnology in renewable energies—A comprehensive overview and understanding. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 42, 460-476.
60. Ibrahim, H., Ilinca, A., & Perron, J. (2008). Energy storage systems—characteristics and comparisons. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 12(5), 1221-1250.
61. Inger, R., Attrill, M.J., Bearhop, S., Broderick, A.C., James Grecian, W., Hodgson, D.J.... Godley, B. J. (2009). Marine renewable energy: potential benefits to biodiversity? An urgent call for research. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 46, 1145-1153.
62. Ismail, H.M., Ng, H.K., Gan, S. & Lucchini, T. (2013). Computational study of biodiesel-diesel fuel blends on emission characteristics for a light-duty diesel engine using OpenFOAM. *Applied Energy*, 111, 827-841.
63. Jacquet, J. B., & Stedman, R. C. (2014). The risk of social-psychological disruption as an impact of energy development and environmental change. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 57(9), 1285-1304.
64. Jensen, C.U., Panduro, T.E. & Lundhede, T.H. (2014). The vindication of Don Quixote: The impact of noise and visual pollution from wind turbines. *Land Economics*, 90, 668-682.
65. Jia, Z., Wang, B., Song, S., & Fan, Y. (2014) Blue energy: current technologies for sustainable power generation from water salinity gradient. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 31, 91-100

66. Jones, H. (2008). Superconductors in the transmission of electricity and networks. *Energy Policy*, 36(12), 4342-4345.
67. Justo, J.J., Mwasilu, F., Lee, J. & Jung, J.W. (2013). AC-microgrids versus DC-microgrids with distributed energy resources: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 24, 387-405.
68. Kalair, A., Abas, N., & Khan, N. (2016). Comparative study of HVAC and HVDC transmission systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 59, 1653-1675.
69. Karabiber, A., Keles, C., Kaygusuz, A. & Alagoz, B.B. (2013). An approach for the integration of renewable distributed generation in hybrid DC/AC microgrids. *Renewable Energy*, 52, 251-259.
70. Karellas, S., & Braimakis, K. (2016). Energy-exergy analysis and economic investigation of a cogeneration and trigeneration ORC-VCC hybrid system utilizing biomass fuel and solar power. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 107, 103-113.
71. Katsaprakakis, D. A., Christakis, D. G., Zervos, A., Papantonis, D., & Voutsinas, S. (2008). Pumped storage systems introduction in isolated power production systems. *Renewable Energy*, 33(3), 467-490.
72. Kaushal, S. S., & Belt, K. T. (2012). The urban watershed continuum: evolving spatial and temporal dimensions. *Urban Ecosystems*, 15(2), 409-435.
73. Kerr, S., Watts, L., Colton, J., Conway, F., Hull, A., Johnson, K., ... & Potts, T. (2014). Establishing an agenda for social studies research in marine renewable energy. *Energy Policy*, 67, 694-702.
74. Kienast, F., Huber, N., Hergert, R., Bolliger, J., Moran, L. S., & Hersperger, A. M. (2017). Conflicts between decentralized renewable electricity production and landscape services—A spatially-explicit quantitative assessment for Switzerland. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 67, 397-407.
75. Komendantova, N., Battaglini, A. (2016) Beyond Decide-Announce-Defend (DAD) and Not-in-My-Backyard (NIMBY) models? Addressing the social and public acceptance of electric transmission lines in Germany. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 22, 224-231
76. Koohi-Kamali, S., Tyagi, V. V., Rahim, N. A., Panwar, N. L., & Mokhlis, H. (2013). Emergence of energy storage technologies as the solution for reliable operation of smart power systems: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 25, 135-165.
77. Kousksou, T., Bruel, P., Jamil, A., El Rhafiki, T., & Zeraouli, Y. (2014). Energy storage: Applications and challenges. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, 120, 59-80.
78. Kusakana, K. (2015). Feasibility analysis of river off-grid hydrokinetic systems with pumped hydro storage in rural applications. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 96, 352-362.
79. Ladenburg, J. (2010). Visual impact assessment of offshore wind farms and prior experience. *Applied Energy*, 86, 380-387.
80. Lazos, D., Sproul, A. B., & Kay, M. (2014). Optimisation of energy management in commercial buildings with weather forecasting inputs: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 39, 587-603.

81. Leary, D., & Esteban, M. (2009). Climate change and renewable energy from the ocean and tides: calming the sea of regulatory uncertainty. *The International Journal of Marine and Coastal Law*, 24, 617-651.
82. Lewis, M., Neill, S. P., Robins, P. E., & Hashemi, M. R. (2015). Resource assessment for future generations of tidal-stream energy arrays. *Energy*, 83, 403-415.
83. Lienert, P., Suetterlin, B. & Siegrist, M. (2015) Public acceptance of the expansion and modification of high-voltage power lines in the context of the energy transition. *Energy Policy*, 87, 573-583.
84. Lilley, J., Konan, D. E., & Lerner, D. T. (2015). Cool as a (sea) cucumber? Exploring public attitudes toward seawater air conditioning in Hawai'i. *Energy Research & Social Science* 8, 173–183.
85. Lund, H., & Kempton, W. (2008). Integration of renewable energy into the transport and electricity sectors through V2G. *Energy Policy*, 36(9), 3578-3587.
86. Luo, X., Wang, J., Dooner, M., & Clarke, J. (2015). Overview of current development in electrical energy storage technologies and the application potential in power system operation. *Applied Energy*, 137, 511-536.
87. MacKay, D.J.C. (2009). *Sustainable Energy – without the hot air*. Cambridge: UIT.
88. MacLachlan, C. (2011) Symbolic interpretations of wave energy in the UK : Surfer's perspectives. In Devine-Wright, P. (2011) (Ed.) *Renewable Energy and the Public: From NIMBY to Participation*. Earthscan: London. pp.275-288.
89. Maehr, A.M., Watts, G.R., Hanratty, J.& Talmi, D. (2015). Emotional response to images of wind turbines: A psychophysiological study of their visual impact on the landscape. *Landscape and urban Planning*, 142, 71-79.
90. Michel, A.H., Buchecker, M. & Backhaus, N. (2015). Renewable Energy, authenticity, and tourism: Social acceptance of photovoltaic installations in a Swiss Alpine region. *Mountain Research and Development*, 5, 161-170.
91. Mignon, I. & Rüdinger A. (2016) The impact of systemic factors on the deployment of cooperative projects within renewable electricity production – An international comparison. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 65, 478-488
92. Minelli, A., Marchesini, I., Taylor, F.E., De Rosa, P., Casagrande, L. & Cenci, M. (2014). An open source GIS tool to quantify the visual impact of wind turbines and photovoltaic panels. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 49, 70-77.
93. Molnarova, K., Sklenicka, P., Stiborek, J., Svobodova, K., Salek, M.& Brabec, E. (2012). Visual preferences for wind turbines: Location, numbers and respondent characteristics. *Applied Energy*, 92, 269-278.
94. Osorio, A. F., Arias-Gaviria, J., Devis-Morales, A., Acevedo, D., Velasquez, H. I., & Arango-Aramburo, S. (2016). Beyond electricity: The potential of ocean thermal energy and ocean technology ecoparks in small tropical islands. *Energy Policy*, 98, 713-724.
95. Paliwal, P., Patidar, N.& Nema, R.K. (2014). Planning of grid integrated distributed generators: a review of technology, objectives and techniques. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 40, 557–570.

96. Palmas, C., Siewert, A. & von Haaren, C. (2015) Exploring the decision-space for renewable energy generation to enhance spatial efficiency. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 52, 9-17.
97. Panagiotopoulou, P., Papadopoulou, C., Matralis, H., & Verykios, X. (2014). Production of renewable hydrogen by reformation of biofuels. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment*, 3(3), 231-253.
98. Pasqualetti, M., Schwartz, C. (2011) Siting solar power in Arizona : A public value failure? In : Devine-Wright, P. (Ed.) *Renewable Energy and the Public: From NIMBY to Participation*. Earthscan: London. pp.167-186.
99. Pasqualetti, M.J. (2011) Social barriers to renewable energy landscapes. *Geographical Review*, 101 , 201-223.
100. Pelc, R., & Fujita, R. M. (2002). Renewable energy from the ocean. *Marine Policy*, 26, 471-479.
101. Pellizzone, A., Allansdottir, A., De Franco, R., Muttoni, G., & Manzella, A. (2015). Exploring public engagement with geothermal energy in southern Italy: A case study. *Energy Policy*, 85, 1-11.
102. Perveen, R., Kishor, N., & Mohanty, S. R. (2014). Off-shore wind farm development: Present status and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 29, 780-792.
103. Phadke, R. (2010). Steel forests or smoke stacks: the politics of visualisation in the Cape Wind controversy. *Environmental Politics*, 19, 1-20.
104. Porsius, J. T., Claassen, L., Weijland, P. E., & Timmermans, D. R. (2016). “They give you lots of information, but ignore what it's really about”: residents' experiences with the planned introduction of a new high-voltage power line. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 59(8), 1495-1512.
105. Procesi, M., Cantucci, B., Buttinelli, M., Armezzani, G., Quattrocchi, F., & Boschi, E. (2013). Strategic use of the underground in an energy mix plan: synergies among CO₂, CH₄ geological storage and geothermal energy. Latium Region case study (Central Italy). *Applied Energy*, 110, 104-131.
106. Pudukudy, M., Yaakob, Z., Mohammad, M., Narayanan, B., & Sopian, K. (2014). Renewable hydrogen economy in Asia—Opportunities and challenges: An overview. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 30, 743-757.
107. Quattrocchi, F., Boschi, E., Spina, A., Buttinelli, M., Cantucci, B., & Procesi, M. (2013). Synergic and conflicting issues in planning underground use to produce energy in densely populated countries, as Italy: geological storage of CO₂, natural gas, geothermics and nuclear waste disposal. *Applied Energy*, 101, 393-412.
108. Reddy, V. S., Kaushik, S. C., Ranjan, K. R., & Tyagi, S. K. (2013). State-of-the-art of solar thermal power plants—A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 27, 258-273.
109. Rees, S., & Curtis, R. (2014). National deployment of domestic geothermal heat pump technology: Observations on the UK experience 1995–2013. *Energies*, 7(8), 5460-5499.
110. Romero, M., & González-Aguilar, J. (2014). Solar thermal CSP technology. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment*, 3(1), 42-59.

111. Rosato, A., Sibilio, S., & Ciampi, G. (2013). Energy, environmental and economic dynamic performance assessment of different micro-cogeneration systems in a residential application. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 59(1), 599-617.
112. Samus, T., Lang, B. & Rohn, H. (2013). Assessing the natural resource use and the resource efficiency potential of the Desertec concept. *Solar Energy*, 87, 176–183.
113. Schoettl, J., Lehman-Ortega, K. (2011) Photovoltaic business models: threat or opportunity for utilities? In: R. Wüstenhagen & R. Wuebker (Eds.) *Handbook of research on energy entrepreneurship*. (pp. 145–164) Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
114. Scognamiglio, A. (2016). ‘Photovoltaic landscapes’: Design and assessment. A critical review for a new transdisciplinary design vision. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 55, 629–661.
115. Simpson, G. & Clifton, J. (2015). The emperor and the cowboys: The role of government policy and industry in the adoption of domestic solar microgeneration systems. *Energy Policy*, 81, 141-151.
116. Soares, N., Costa, J. J., Gaspar, A. R., & Santos, P. (2013). Review of passive PCM latent heat thermal energy storage systems towards buildings’ energy efficiency. *Energy and buildings*, 59, 82-103.
117. Stegert, P. & Klagge, B. (2015). Akzeptanzsteigerung durch Bürgerbeteiligung beim Übertragungsnetzausbau? Theoretische Überlegungen und empirische Befunde. *Geographische Zeitschrift*, 103, 171–190.
118. Sum, T.C. & Mathews, N. (2014). Advancements in perovskite solar cells: photophysics behind the photovoltaics. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 7, 2518-2534.
119. Tabi, A. & Wüstenhagen, R. (2017) Keep it local and fish-friendly: Social acceptance of hydropower projects in Switzerland. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 68, 763–773
120. Tester, J. W., Anderson, B. J., Batchelor, A. S., Blackwell, D. D., DiPippo, R., Drake, E. M., ... & Petty, S. (2007). Impact of enhanced geothermal systems on US energy supply in the twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 365(1853), 1057-1094.
121. Thayer, R.L. & Freeman, C. (1987). Public perceptions of a wind energy landscape. *Landscape & Urban Planning*, 14, 379-398.
122. Thomas, H., Marian, A., Chervyakov, A., Stückrad, S., Salmieri, D. & Rubbia. C. (2016). Superconducting Transmission Lines - Sustainable electric energy transfer with higher public acceptance ? *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 55, 59-72.
123. Tie, S. F., & Tan, C. W. (2013). A review of energy sources and energy management system in electric vehicles. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 20, 82-102.
124. Toledo, O.M., Oliveira Filho, D. & Diniz, A.S.A.C. (2010). Distributed photovoltaic generation and energy storage systems: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14, 506–511.
125. Unamuno, E., & Barrena, J. A. (2015). Hybrid ac/dc microgrids—Part I: Review and classification of topologies. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 52, 1251-1259.

126. van der Graaf, T. & Sovacool, B. (2014). Thinking big: Politics, progress, and security in the management of Asian and European energy megaprojects. *Energy Policy*, 74, 16–27.
127. van der Horst, D., Toke, D. (2010) Exploring the landscape of wind farm developments; local area characteristics and planning process outcomes in rural England. *Land Use Policy*, 27, 214-221
128. Vega, L. A. (2002) Ocean Thermal Energy Conversion Primer. *Marine Technology Society Journal*, 36, 4, 25-35
129. Wang, J. J., Yang, K., Xu, Z. L., & Fu, C. (2015). Energy and exergy analyses of an integrated CCHP system with biomass air gasification. *Applied Energy*, 142, 317-327.
130. Wolsink, M. (1988). The social impact of a large wind turbine. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 8, 323-334.
131. Wolsink, M. (2007). Planning of renewables schemes: Deliberative and fair decision-making on landscape issues instead of reproachful accusations of non-cooperation. *Energy Policy*, 35, 2692-2704.
132. Wolsink, M. (2010). Near-shore wind power—Protected seascapes, environmentalists’ attitudes, and the technocratic planning perspective. *Land Use Policy*, 27(2), 195-203.
133. Wolsink, M. (2012). The research agenda on social acceptance of distributed generation in smart grids: Renewable as common pool resources. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16, 822–835.
134. Wolsink, M. (2013). Wind Power: Basic Challenge Concerning Social Acceptance. In: M. Kaltschmitt, N.J. Themelis, L.Y. Bronicki, L. Söder & L.A. Vega (Eds.) *Renewable Energy Systems* (pp.1785-1821). New York: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-5820-3
135. Wolsink, M. (2013). Acceptation sociale de l’innovation en matière d’énergie renouvelable: en quoi l’offshore est-il différent?. In: Gueguen-Hallouët, G., Levrel, H (Eds.) *Energies marines renouvelables: enjeux juridiques en socio-économiques: actes du colloque de Brest, 11 et 12 octobre 2012*. A. Pedone Paris. pp. 215-238.
136. Wolsink, M (2018) Co-production in distributed generation: renewable energy and creating space for fitting infrastructure within landscapes. *Landscape Research*, 43, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01426397.2017.1358360>
137. Woods, M. (2003) Conflicting environmental visions of the rural: Windfarm development in mid Wales. *Sociologia Ruralis*, 43, 271-
138. Zaunbrecher, B.S., Stieneker, M. De Doncker, R.W., Ziefle, M. (2016) Does transmission technology influence acceptance of overhead power lines? An empirical study. *Smartgreens — 5th International Conference on Smart Cities and Green ICT Systems*, Rome; Italy. 189-200.
139. Zhang, L., Gari, N., & Hmurcik, L. V. (2014). Energy management in a microgrid with distributed energy resources. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 78, 297-305.
140. Zhang, X., Chan, S. H., Ho, H. K., Tan, S. C., Li, M., Li, G., ... & Feng, Z. (2015). Towards a smart energy network: The roles of fuel/electrolysis cells and technological perspectives. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 40(21), 6866-6919.

141. Zhang, Y. H. P. (2013). Next generation biorefineries will solve the food, biofuels, and environmental trilemma in the energy–food–water nexus. *Energy Science & Engineering*, 1(1), 27-41.
142. Zhang, Y., Gatsis, N. & Giannakis, G.B. (2013) Robust energy management for microgrids with high-penetration renewables. *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy* 4, 944-953.