



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Uncertainty in flux

*The temporal dynamics of attitudinal ambivalence and risk perception*

Pauer, S.

### Publication date

2024

[Link to publication](#)

### Citation for published version (APA):

Pauer, S. (2024). *Uncertainty in flux: The temporal dynamics of attitudinal ambivalence and risk perception*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

### General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

### Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

---

## References

---

- Abelson, R. P. (1959). Modes of resolution of belief dilemmas. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 3(4), 343–352. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002200275900300403>
- Aberson, C. L. (2019). *Applied power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd Edition). Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Afshin, A., Sur, P. J., Fay, K. A., Cornaby, L., Ferrara, G., Salama, J. S., Mullany, E. C., Abate, K. H., Abbafati, C., Abebe, Z., Afarideh, M., Aggarwal, A., Agrawal, S., Akinyemiju, T., Alahdab, F., Bacha, U., Bachman, V. F., Badali, H., Badawi, A., ... Murray, C. J. L. (2019). Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet*, 393(10184), 1958–1972. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)30041-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30041-8)
- Ahmad, F., Jhaji, A. K., Stewart, D. E., Burghardt, M., & Bierman, A. S. (2014). Single item measures of self-rated mental health: A scoping review. *BMC Health Services Research*, 14(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-14-398>
- Ajzen, I. (2002). Perceived Behavioral Control, Self-Efficacy, Locus of Control, and the Theory of Planned Behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 32(4), 665–683. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2002.tb00236.x>
- Ajzen, I., & Madden, T. J. (1986). Prediction of goal-directed behavior: Attitudes, intentions, and perceived behavioral control. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 22(5), 453–474. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031\(86\)90045-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(86)90045-4)
- Akerlof, K., Maibach, E. W., Fitzgerald, D., Ceden, A. Y., & Neuman, A. (2013). Do people “personally experience” global warming, and if so how, and does it matter? *Global Environmental Change*, 23(1), 81–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.07.006>
- Akhtar, N., Siddiqi, U. I., Akhtar, M. N., Usman, M., & Ahmad, W. (2020). Modeling attitude ambivalence and behavioral outcomes from hotel reviews. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 32(9), 2831–2855. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-11-2019-0962>
- Albarraçin, D., & Wyer, R. S. (2000). The Cognitive Impact of Past Behavior: Influences on Beliefs, Attitudes, and Future Behavioral Decisions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79(1), 5–22.
- Alhakami, A. S., & Slovic, P. (1994). A Psychological Study of the Inverse Relationship Between Perceived Risk and Perceived Benefit. *Risk Analysis*, 14(6), 1085–1096. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.1994.tb00080.x>
- Allen, M. S., Iliescu, D., & Greiff, S. (2022). Single Item Measures in Psychological Science: A Call to Action. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 38(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000699>
- Allport, G. W. (1954). *The nature of prejudice*. Addison-Wesley.
- Altman, D. G. (2018). Avoiding bias in trials in which allocation ratio is varied. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 111(4), 143–144. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0141076818764320>
- Amiot, C. E., & Bastian, B. (2015). Toward a psychology of human–animal relations. *Psychological Bulletin*, 141(1), 6–47. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038147>
- Amiot, C. E., Sukhanova, K., & Bastian, B. (2020). Social identification with animals: Unpacking our psychological connection with other animals. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 118(5), 991–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000199>

- Anderson, C. J. (2003). The psychology of doing nothing: Forms of decision avoidance result from reason and emotion. *Psychological Bulletin*, *129*(1), 139–167. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.1.139>
- Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Kaiser, R. H., Turner, A. E. J., Reineberg, A. E., Godinez, D., Dimidjian, S., & Banich, M. T. (2013). A penny for your thoughts: Dimensions of self-generated thought content and relationships with individual differences in emotional wellbeing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *4*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00900>
- Antonovsky, A. (1996). The salutogenic model as a theory to guide health promotion. *Health Promotion International*, *11*(1), 11–18. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/11.1.11>
- Anvari, F., Bachmann, J., Sanchez-Burks, J., & Schneider, I. K. (2023). Is “Neutral” Really Neutral? Mid-point Ratings in the Affective Norms English Words (ANEW) May Mask Ambivalence. *Collabra: Psychology*, *9*(1), 82204. <https://doi.org/10.1525/collabra.82204>
- Appelbaum, M., Cooper, H., Kline, R. B., Mayo-Wilson, E., Nezu, A. M., & Rao, S. M. (2018). Journal article reporting standards for quantitative research in psychology: The APA Publications and Communications Board task force report. *American Psychologist*, *73*(1), 3–25. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000191>
- Arbit, N., Ruby, M., & Rozin, P. (2017). Development and validation of the meaning of food in life questionnaire (MFLQ): Evidence for a new construct to explain eating behavior. *Food Quality and Preference*, *59*, 35–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2017.02.002>
- Armitage, C. J., & Arden, M. A. (2007). Felt and Potential Ambivalence across the Stages of Change. *Journal of Health Psychology*, *12*(1), 149–158. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105307071749>
- Armitage, C. J., & Conner, M. (1999). Distinguishing Perceptions of Control From Self-Efficacy: Predicting Consumption of a Low-Fat Diet Using the Theory of Planned Behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, *29*(1), 72–90. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1999.tb01375.x>
- Armitage, C. J., & Conner, M. (2000). Attitudinal Ambivalence: A Test of Three Key Hypotheses. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *26*(11), 1421–1432. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167200263009>
- Aronson, E., Fried, C., & Stone, J. (1991). Overcoming denial and increasing the intention to use condoms through the induction of hypocrisy. *American Journal of Public Health*, *81*(12), 1636–1638. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.81.12.1636>
- Aronson, E., & Mills, J. (1959). The effect of severity of initiation on liking for a group. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, *59*(2), 177–181. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0047195>
- Aronson, J., Blanton, H., & Cooper, J. (1995). From dissonance to disidentification: Selectivity in the self-affirmation process. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *68*(6), 986–996. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.68.6.986>
- Bailen, N. H., Green, L. M., & Thompson, R. J. (2019). Understanding Emotion in Adolescents: A Review of Emotional Frequency, Intensity, Instability, and Clarity. *Emotion Review*, *11*(1), 63–73. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073918768878>
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a Unifying Theory of Behavioral Change. *Psychological Review*, *84*(2), 191–215.
- Bandura, A., Barbaranelli, C., Caprara, G. V., & Pastorelli, C. (1996). Mechanisms of moral disengagement in the exercise of moral agency. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *71*(2), 342–364.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *51*(6), 1173–1182. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173>
- Bassili, J. N. (1995). Response Latency and the Accessibility of Voting Intentions: What Contributes to Accessibility and How it Affects Vote Choice. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *21*(7), 686–695. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167295217003>
- Bastian, B. (2019). Changing Ethically Troublesome Behavior: The Causes, Consequences, and Solutions to Motivated Resistance. *Social Issues and Policy Review*, *13*(1), 63–92. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sipr.12048>

- Bastian, B., & Loughnan, S. (2017). Resolving the Meat-Paradox. A Motivational Account of Morally Troublesome Behavior and Its Maintenance. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 21(3), 278–299.
- Bastian, B., Loughnan, S., Haslam, N., & Radke, H. R. M. (2012a). Don't mind meat? The denial of mind to animals used for human consumption. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 38(2), 247–256.
- Bastian, B., Loughnan, S., Haslam, N., & Radke, H. R. M. (2012b). Don't Mind Meat? The Denial of Mind to Animals Used for Human Consumption. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 38(2), 247–256. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167211424291>
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2014). *Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models using lme4*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.5823>
- Becker, D., Jostmann, N. B., Hofmann, W., & Holland, R. W. (2019). Spoiling the pleasure of success: Emotional reactions to the experience of self-control conflict in the eating domain. *Emotion*, 19(8), 1377–1395. <https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000526>
- Belloch, A., Morillo, C., & Giménez, A. (2004). Effects of suppressing neutral and obsession-like thoughts in normal subjects: Beyond frequency. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 42(7), 841–857. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2003.07.007>
- Bem, D. J. (1967). Self-perception: An alternative interpretation of cognitive dissonance phenomena. *Psychological Review*, 74(3), 183–200. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0024835>
- Benningstad, N. C. G., & Kunst, J. R. (2020). Dissociating meat from its animal origins: A systematic literature review. *Appetite*, 147, 104554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104554>
- Berger, S., & Wyss, A. M. (2021). Measuring pro-environmental behavior using the carbon emission task. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 75, 101613. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2021.101613>
- Berndsen, M., & van der Pligt, J. (2004). Ambivalence towards meat. *Appetite*, 42(1), 71–78. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6663\(03\)00119-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6663(03)00119-3)
- Berrios, R., Totterdell, P., & Kellett, S. (2015). Eliciting mixed emotions: A meta-analysis comparing models, types, and measures. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00428>
- Bleuler, E. (1911). *Dementia Praecox oder die Gruppe der Schizophrenia*. Franz Deuticke.
- Bodenhausen, G. V. (1990). Stereotypes as Judgmental Heuristics: Evidence of Circadian Variations in Discrimination. *Psychological Science*, 1(5), 319–322. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.1990.tb00226.x>
- Bohm, P. (1972). Estimating demand for public goods: An experiment. *European Economic Review*, 3(2), 111–130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-2921\(72\)90001-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-2921(72)90001-3)
- Bohner, G., & Dickel, N. (2011). Attitudes and Attitude Change. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62(1), 391–417. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.121208.131609>
- Bolderdijk, J. W., & Cornelissen, G. (2022). “How do you know someone’s vegan?” They won’t always tell you. An empirical test of the do-gooder’s dilemma. *Appetite*, 168, 105719. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105719>
- Bolderdijk, J. W., & Jans, L. (2021). Minority influence in climate change mitigation. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 6.
- Bolger, N., & Laurenceau, J.-P. (2013). *Intensive longitudinal methods: An introduction to diary and experience sampling research*. Guilford Press.
- Boon, S. D., & Holmes, J. G. (1991). The dynamics of interpersonal trust: Resolving uncertainty in the face of risk. In R. A. Hinde & H. Groebel (Eds.), *Cooperation and prosocial behavior* (pp. 190–211). Cambridge University Press.
- Bord, R. J., & O’Connor, R. E. (1992). Determinants of Risk Perceptions of a Hazardous Waste Site. *Risk Analysis*, 12(3), 411–416. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.1992.tb00693.x>
- Bosshard, A., Berger, S., Lange, F., Sosa, A., Kankaanpää, E., Fellegi, E., Dydula, J., Pulicelli, M., Aliyeva, O., & Brick, C. (2024). Limited overlap between behavioral tasks, pro-environmental propensity, and carbon footprint. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 102297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2024.102297>
- Bouwman, E. P., Bolderdijk, J. W., Onwezen, M. C., & Taufik, D. (2022). “Do you consider animal welfare to be important?” activating cognitive dissonance via value activation can promote

- vegetarian choices. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 83, 101871. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2022.101871>
- Brandt, M. J., & Morgan, G. S. (2022). Between-Person Methods Provide Limited Insight About Within-Person Belief Systems. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 15.
- Brehm, J. W. (1956). Postdecision changes in the desirability of alternatives. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 52(3), 384–389. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0041006>
- Breit, M., Preuß, J., Scherrer, V., & Preckel, F. (2023a). Why the use of segmented regression analysis to explore change in relations between variables is problematic: A simulation study. *Psychological Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000576>
- Breit, M., Preuß, J., Scherrer, V., & Preckel, F. (2023b). Why the use of segmented regression analysis to explore change in relations between variables is problematic: A simulation study. *Psychological Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000576>
- Brick, C., Bosshard, A., & Whitmarsh, L. (2021). Motivation and climate change: A review. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 20(42), 82–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2021.04.001>
- Brick, C., Botzet, L., Costello, C. K., Batruch, A., Arslan, R. C., Kline, M., Sommet, N., Green, J., Nuijten, M. B., & Conley, M. A. (2019). *Directory of free, open psychological datasets*. <https://osf.io/th8ew/>
- Brick, C., Hood, B., Ekroll, V., & de-Wit, L. (2022). Illusory Essences: A Bias Holding Back Theorizing in Psychological Science. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 17(2), 491–506. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691621991838>
- Briggs, S. R., & Cheek, J. M. (1986). The role of factor analysis in the development and evaluation of personality scales. *Journal of Personality*, 54(1), 106–148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1986.tb00391.x>
- Brouwer, C., Bolderdijk, J., Cornelissen, G., & Kurz, T. (2022). Communication strategies for moral rebels: How to talk about change in order to inspire self-efficacy in others. *WIREs Climate Change*, 13(5), e781. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.781>
- Brown, V. A. (2021). An Introduction to Linear Mixed-Effects Modeling in R. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, 4(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1177/25152459209>
- Brug, J., van Assema, P., Kok, G., Lenderink, T., & Glanz, K. (1994). Self-rated dietary fat intake: Association with objective assessment of fat, psychosocial factors, and intention to change. *Journal of Nutrition Education*, 26(5), 218–223. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3182\(12\)80890-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3182(12)80890-9)
- Bruine de Bruin, W., Saw, H.-W., & Goldman, D. P. (2020). Political polarization in US residents' COVID-19 risk perceptions, policy preferences, and protective behaviors. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 61(2), 177–194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11166-020-09336-3>
- Buecker, S., Denissen, J. J. A., & Luhmann, M. (2021). A propensity-score matched study of changes in loneliness surrounding major life events. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 121(3), 669–690. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000373>
- Bullens, L., van Harreveld, F., Förster, J., & van der Pligt, J. (2013). Reversible decisions: The grass isn't merely greener on the other side; it's also very brown over here. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 49(6), 1093–1099. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2013.07.011>
- Bullock, J. G., Green, D. P., & Ha, S. E. (2010). Yes, but what's the mechanism? (Don't expect an easy answer). *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 98(4), 550–558. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018933>
- Burger, A. M. (2024). Political Ideology and Attitudinal Ambivalence: Investigating the Role of Ideological Extremity. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 19485506231222960. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19485506231222958>
- Buttler, B., Lambrich, A., McCaughey, L., & Schneider, I. (2024). *Too Much Information? A Systematic Investigation of the Antecedents and Consequences of Ambivalence-Induced Information Seeking*.
- Buttler, B., & Pauer, S. (2024). *Disentangling the Meat Paradox: A Comparative Review of Meat-Related Ambivalence and Dissonance*. <https://osf.io/preprints/psyarxiv/6kse4>

- Buttlar, B., Pauer, S., Ruby, M. B., & Scherrer, V. (2024). Two sides of the same fence: A model of the origins and consequences of meat-related conflict in omnivores and veg\*ans. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *94*, 102241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2024.102241>
- Buttlar, B., Pauer, S., Ruby, M., Chambon, M., Klingberg, A.-K. J., Scherf, J., & Scherrer, V. (2023). The Meat Ambivalence Questionnaire: Assessing Domain-Specific Meat-Related Conflict in Omnivores and Veg\*ans. *Collabra: Psychology*, *9*(1), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/pnd4y>
- Buttlar, B., Pauer, S., Scherrer, V., & Hofmann, W. (2024). *Attitude-Based Self-Regulation: An Experience Sampling Study on the Role of Attitudes in the Experience and Resolution of Self-Control Conflicts in the Context of Vegetarians*. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/mvzrn>
- Buttlar, B., Pauer, S., & van Harreveld, F. (2024, forthcoming). The Model of Ambivalent Choice and Dissonant Commitment: An Integration of Dissonance and Ambivalence Frameworks. *European Review of Social Psychology*. <https://psyarxiv.com/5k9as/>
- Buttlar, B., Rothe, A., Kleinert, S., Hahn, L., & Walther, E. (2021). Food for Thought: Investigating Communication Strategies to Counteract Moral Disengagement Regarding Meat Consumption. *Environmental Communication*, *15*(1), 55–68. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2020.1791207>
- Buttlar, B., & Walther, E. (2018). Measuring the meat paradox: How ambivalence towards meat influences moral disengagement. *Appetite*, *128*, 152–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2018.06.011>
- Buttlar, B., & Walther, E. (2019). Dealing with the meat paradox: Threat leads to moral disengagement from meat consumption. *Appetite*, *137*, 73–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.02.017>
- Buttlar, B., & Walther, E. (2022). Escaping from the meat paradox: How morality and disgust affect meat-related ambivalence. *Appetite*, *168*, 105721. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105721>
- Cabrieto, J., Tuerlinckx, F., Kuppens, P., Hunyadi, B., & Ceulemans, E. (2018). Testing for the Presence of Correlation Changes in a Multivariate Time Series: A Permutation Based Approach. *Scientific Reports*, *8*(1), 769. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-19067-2>
- Cacioppo, J. T., Gardner, W. L., & Berntson, G. G. (1997). Beyond Bipolar Conceptualizations and Measures: The Case of Attitudes and Evaluative Space. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, *1*(1), 3–25. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0101\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0101_2)
- Caddick, Z. A., & Feist, G. J. (2022). When beliefs and evidence collide: Psychological and ideological predictors of motivated reasoning about climate change. *Thinking & Reasoning*, *28*(3), 428–464. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13546783.2021.1994009>
- Cancino-Montecinos, S., Björklund, F., & Lindholm, T. (2020). A General Model of Dissonance Reduction: Unifying Past Accounts via an Emotion Regulation Perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 14.
- Carneiro, H. A., & Mylonakis, E. (2009). Google Trends: A Web-Based Tool for Real-Time Surveillance of Disease Outbreaks. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *49*(10), 1557–1564. <https://doi.org/10.1086/630200>
- Carver, C. S., Weintraub, J. K., & Scheier, M. F. (1989). Assessing Coping Strategies: A Theoretically Based Approach. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, *56*(2), 267–283.
- Caviola, L., Everett, J. A., & Faber, N. (2019). The Moral Standing of Animals: Towards a Psychology of Speciesism. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, *116*(6), 1011–1029.
- Caviola, L., Kahane, G., Everett, J. A. C., Teperman, E., Savulescu, J., & Faber, N. S. (2020). Utilitarianism for animals, Kantianism for people? Harming animals and humans for the greater good. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000988>
- Chambon, M., Dalege, J., Borsboom, D., Waldorp, L., van der Maas, H., & van Harreveld, F. (2022). Temporal dynamics of compliance and well-being during pandemics: A longitudinal COVID-19 study. *British Journal of Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/m2spb>
- Chambon, M., Dalege, J., Elberse, J., & van Harreveld, F. (2022). A psychological network approach to attitudes and preventive behaviors during pandemics: A COVID-19 study in the United Kingdom and the Netherlands. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *13*(1), 233–245. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/es45v>

- Chambon, M., Dalege, J., Waldorp, L., van der Maas, H., Borsboom, D., & van Harreveld, F. (2021). *A complex systems perspective on compliance with behavioral measures during the COVID-19 pandemic in the Netherlands: How psychological networks can inform interventions*. [Preprint]. PsyArXiv. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/fmu9w>
- Chambon, M., Elberse, J. E., Dalege, J., Beijer, N. R. M., & van Harreveld, F. (2023). Understanding public perceptions toward sustainable healthcare through psychological network analysis of material preference and attitudes toward plastic medical devices. *Scientific Reports*, *13*(1), 17938. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-45172-6>
- Chater, N., & Loewenstein, G. (2022). The i-frame and the s-frame: How focusing on individual-level solutions has led behavioral public policy astray. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 1–60. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X22002023>
- Cheng, C., Lau, H.-P. B., & Chan, M.-P. S. (2014). Coping flexibility and psychological adjustment to stressful life changes: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, *140*(6), 1582–1607. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037913>
- Chernev, A., Böckenholt, U., & Goodman, J. (2015). Choice overload: A conceptual review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, *25*(2), 333–358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2014.08.002>
- Cialdini, R. B., Trost, M. R., & Newsom, J. T. (1995). Preference for consistency: The development of a valid measure and the discovery of surprising behavioral implications. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *69*(2), 318.
- Clark, B., Stewart, G. B., Panzone, L. A., Kyriazakis, I., & Frewer, L. J. (2016). A Systematic Review of Public Attitudes, Perceptions and Behaviours Towards Production Diseases Associated with Farm Animal Welfare. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, *29*(3), 455–478. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10806-016-9615-x>
- Clark, J. K., Wegener, D. T., & Fabrigar, L. R. (2008). Attitudinal Ambivalence and Message-Based Persuasion: Motivated Processing of Proattitudinal Information and Avoidance of Counterattitudinal Information. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *34*(4), 565–577. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167207312527>
- Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G., & Aiken, L. S. (2003). *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences* (3rd ed). Erlbaum Associates.
- Cole, M. (2011). From “Animal Machines” to “Happy Meat”? Foucault’s Ideas of Disciplinary and Pastoral Power Applied to ‘Animal-Centred’ Welfare Discourse. *Animals*, *1*, 83–101. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani1010083>
- Coleman, G. (2007). Public perceptions of animal pain and animal welfare. *Proceedings of the Australian Animal Welfare Strategy Science Summit on Pain and Pain Management*, *27*(1), 1–8.
- Cologna, V., & Siegrist, M. (2020). The role of trust for climate change mitigation and adaptation behaviour: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *69*, 101428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2020.101428>
- Colquitt, J. A., Scott, B. A., & LePine, J. A. (2007). Trust, trustworthiness, and trust propensity: A meta-analytic test of their unique relationships with risk taking and job performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *92*(4), 909–927. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.4.909>
- Conner, M., & Armitage, C. J. (2008). Attitudinal ambivalence. In *Attitudes and attitude change*. (pp. 261–286). Psychology Press.
- Conner, M., Povey, R., Sparks, P., James, R., & Shepherd, R. (2003). Moderating role of attitudinal ambivalence within the theory of planned behaviour. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, *42*(1), 75–94. <https://doi.org/10.1348/014466603763276135>
- Conner, M., & Sparks, P. (2002). Ambivalence and Attitudes. *European Review of Social Psychology*, *12*(1), 37–70. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14792772143000012>
- Conner, M., Wilding, S., van Harreveld, F., & Dalege, J. (2020). Cognitive-Affective Inconsistency and Ambivalence: Impact on the Overall Attitude–Behavior Relationship. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 014616722094590. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167220945900>
- Converse, P. (1970). Attitudes and Non-Attitudes: Continuation of a Dialogue. In E. Tufte (Ed.), *The Quantitative Analysis of Social Problems*. Addison-Wesley.
- Cooper, J. (2007). *Cognitive dissonance: Fifty years of a classic theory*. SAGE.

- Cooper, J., & Fazio, R. H. (1984). A New Look at Dissonance Theory. In *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 17, pp. 229–266). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(08\)60121-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60121-5)
- Correa, Á., Alguacil, S., Ciria, L. F., Jiménez, A., & Ruz, M. (2020). Circadian rhythms and decision-making: A review and new evidence from electroencephalography. *Chronobiology International*, 37(4), 520–541. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07420528.2020.1715421>
- Cortina, J. M. (1993). Interaction, Nonlinearity, and Multicollinearity: Implications for Multiple Regression. *Journal of Management*, 19(4), 915–922.
- Critchley, H. D., & Harrison, N. A. (2013). Visceral Influences on Brain and Behavior. *Neuron*, 77(4), 624–638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.02.008>
- Crites, S. L., Fabrigar, L. R., & Petty, R. E. (1994). Measuring the Affective and Cognitive Properties of Attitudes: Conceptual and Methodological Issues. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 20(6), 619–634. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167294206001>
- Cruwys, T., Greenaway, K. H., Ferris, L. J., Rathbone, J. A., Saeri, A. K., Williams, E., Parker, S. L., Chang, M. X.-L., Croft, N., Bingley, W., & Grace, L. (2021). When trust goes wrong: A social identity model of risk taking. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 120(1), 57–83. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000243>
- Csikszentmihalyi, M., & Larson, R. (1987). Validity and reliability of the experience-sampling method. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 175(9), 526–536.
- Dalege, J., Borsboom, D., van Harreveld, F., van den Berg, H., Conner, M., & van der Maas, H. L. J. (2016). Toward a formalized account of attitudes: The Causal Attitude Network (CAN) model. *Psychological Review*, 123(1), 2–22. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039802>
- Dalege, J., Borsboom, D., van Harreveld, F., & van der Maas, H. L. J. (2018). The Attitudinal Entropy (AE) Framework as a General Theory of Individual Attitudes. *Psychological Inquiry*, 29(4), 175–193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2018.1537246>
- de Boer, J., & Aiking, H. (2018). Prospects for pro-environmental protein consumption in Europe: Cultural, culinary, economic and psychological factors. *Appetite*, 121, 29–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.10.042>
- de Boer, J., Schöslér, H., & Boersema, J. J. (2013). Climate change and meat eating: An inconvenient couple? *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 33, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2012.09.001>
- De Groot, B., & Rosenfeld, D. L. (2021). Morally admirable or moralistically deplorable? A theoretical framework for understanding character judgments of vegan advocates. *Appetite*, 168, 105693. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105693>
- DeCoster, J., Gallucci, M., & Iselin, A.-M. R. (2011). Best Practices for Using Median Splits, Artificial Categorization, and their Continuous Alternatives. *Journal of Experimental Psychopathology*, 2(2), 197–209. <https://doi.org/10.5127/jep.008310>
- Dejonckheere, E., Mestdagh, M., Verdonck, S., Lafit, G., Ceulemans, E., Bastian, B., & Kalokerinos, E. K. (2019). The relation between positive and negative affect becomes more negative in response to personally relevant events. *Emotion*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000697>
- DeMarree, K. G., Christian Wheeler, S., Briñol, P., & Petty, R. E. (2014). Wanting other attitudes: Actual–desired attitude discrepancies predict feelings of ambivalence and ambivalence consequences. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 53, 5–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2014.02.001>
- DeMarree, K. G., Morrison, K. R., Wheeler, S. C., & Petty, R. E. (2011). Self-Ambivalence and Resistance to Subtle Self-Change Attempts. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 37(5), 674–686. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167211400097>
- Derksen, M., & Morawski, J. (2022). Kinds of Replication: Examining the Meanings of “Conceptual Replication” and “Direct Replication.” *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 174569162110411. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17456916211041116>
- Diener, E., Northcott, R., Zyphur, M. J., & West, S. G. (2022). Beyond Experiments. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 17(4), 1101–1119. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17456916211037670>
- Diener, E., Wirtz, D., Tov, W., Kim-Prieto, C., Choi, D., Oishi, S., & Biswas-Diener, R. (2010). New Well-being Measures: Short Scales to Assess Flourishing and Positive and Negative Feelings. *Social Indicators Research*, 97(2), 143–156. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-009-9493-y>



- Dow, B. J., Johnson, A. L., Wang, C. S., Whitson, J., & Menon, T. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic and the search for structure: Social media and conspiracy theories. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, *15*(9), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12636>
- Dowsett, E., Semmler, C., Bray, H., Ankeny, R. A., & Chur-Hansen, A. (2018). Neutralising the meat paradox: Cognitive dissonance, gender, and eating animals. *Appetite*, *123*, 280–288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2018.01.005>
- Durso, G. R. O., Briñol, P., & Petty, R. E. (2016). From Power to Inaction: Ambivalence Gives Pause to the Powerful. *Psychological Science*, *27*(12), 1660–1666. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797616669947>
- Durso, G. R. O., Petty, R. E., Briñol, P., Siev, J. J., Hinsenkamp, L. D., & Sawicki, V. (2021). Dampening affect via expectations: The case of ambivalence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *121*(6), 1172–1194. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000248>
- Earle, T. C., & Cvetkovich, G. (1995). *Social trust: Toward a cosmopolitan society*. Greenwood Publishing Group.
- Earle, T. C., & Cvetkovich, G. (1997). Culture, Cosmopolitanism, and Risk Management. *Risk Analysis*, *17*(1), 55–65. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.1997.tb00843.x>
- Earle, T. C., & Siegrist, M. (2008). Trust, Confidence and Cooperation model: A framework for understanding the relation between trust and Risk Perception. *International Journal of Global Environmental Issues*, *8*(1/2), 17–50. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJGENVI.2008.017257>
- Earle, T. C., Siegrist, M., & Gutscher, H. (2010). Trust, risk perception and the TCC model of cooperation. In M. Siegrist, T. C. Earle, & H. Gutscher (Eds.), *Trust in risk management: Uncertainty and scepticism in the public mind* (pp. 1–49). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781849773461>
- Effron, D. A., & Helgason, B. A. (2023). Moral inconsistency. In *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 67, pp. 1–72). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aesp.2022.11.001>
- Eisenbruch, A. B., & Krasnow, M. M. (2022). Why Warmth Matters More Than Competence: A New Evolutionary Approach. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *17*(4), 1621–1670. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17456916211071087>
- Elkin, R. A., & Leippe, M. R. (1986). Physiological Arousal, Dissonance, and Attitude Change: Evidence for a Dissonance-Arousal Link and a “Don’t Remind Me” Effect. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, *51*(1), 55–65.
- Emmons, R. A., & King, L. A. (1988). Conflict Among Personal Strivings: Immediate and Long-Term Implications for Psychological and Physical Well-Being. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, *54*(6), 1040–1048.
- Enders, A. M., Uscinski, J. E., Seelig, M. I., Klofstad, C. A., Wuchty, S., Funchion, J. R., Murthi, M. N., Premaratne, K., & Stoler, J. (2023). The Relationship Between Social Media Use and Beliefs in Conspiracy Theories and Misinformation. *Political Behavior*, *45*(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-021-09734-6>
- Enders, C. K., & Tofighi, D. (2007). Centering predictor variables in cross-sectional multilevel models: A new look at an old issue. *Psychological Methods*, *12*(2), 121–138. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.12.2.121>
- Erber, R., & Fiske, S. T. (1984). Outcome Dependency and Attention to Inconsistent Information. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, *47*(4), 704–726.
- Eschenbeck, H., Kohlmann, C.-W., & Lohaus, A. (2007). Gender Differences in Coping Strategies in Children and Adolescents. *Journal of Individual Differences*, *28*(1), 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-0001.28.1.18>
- Eurobarometer. (2005). *Attitudes of consumers towards the welfare of farmed animals* (Vol. 229). European Commission. [https://ruralcat.gencat.cat/migracio\\_resources/627177\\_euro\\_barometer25\\_en.pdf](https://ruralcat.gencat.cat/migracio_resources/627177_euro_barometer25_en.pdf)
- Eurobarometer. (2016). *Attitudes of Europeans towards animal welfare*. European Commission. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2875/884639>
- Fadnes, L. T., Økland, J.-M., Haaland, Ø. A., & Johansson, K. A. (2022). Estimating impact of food choices on life expectancy: A modeling study. *PLOS Medicine*, *19*(2), e1003889. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003889>

- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G\*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39(2), 175–191. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03193146>
- Faure, R., McNulty, J. K., Meltzer, A. L., & Righetti, F. (2022). Implicit Ambivalence: A Driving Force to Improve Relationship Problems. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 13(2), 500–511. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19485506211034277>
- Fazio, R. H. (1989). On the power and functionality of attitudes: The role of attitude accessibility. In A. R. Pratkanis, S. J. Breckler, & A. G. Greenwald (Eds.), *Attitude structure and function*. (pp. 153–179). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture. (2016). *Deutschland, wie es isst. Der BMEL-Ernährungsreport*. Bonifatius.
- Feinberg, M., Kovacheff, C., Teper, R., & Inbar, Y. (2019). Understanding the Process of Moralization: How Eating Meat Becomes a Moral Issue. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 117(1), 50–72.
- Feinberg, M., Willer, R., & Kovacheff, C. (2020). The activist’s dilemma: Extreme protest actions reduce popular support for social movements. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000230>
- Feng, C., Wang, H., Lu, N., Chen, T., He, H., Lu, Y., & Tu, X. M. (2014). Log-transformation and its implications for data analysis. *Shanghai Archives of Psychiatry*, 26(2), 5.
- Ferrer, R. A., & Klein, W. M. (2015). Risk perceptions and health behavior. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 5, 85–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.03.012>
- Fessler, D., & Navarrete, C. D. (2003). Meat Is Good to Taboo: Dietary Proscriptions as a Product of the Interaction of Psychological Mechanisms and Social Processes. *Journal of Cognition and Culture*, 3(1), 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156853703321598563>
- Festinger, L. (1957). *A theory of cognitive dissonance*. Stanford University Press.
- Festinger, L. (1964). *Conflict, decision, and dissonance*. Stanford U. Press.
- Festinger, L., Riecken, H. W., & Schachter, S. (1956). *When prophecy fails*. (pp. vii, 257). University of Minnesota Press. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10030-000>
- Fiedler, K., Harris, C., & Schott, M. (2018). Unwarranted inferences from statistical mediation tests – An analysis of articles published in 2015. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 75, 95–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2017.11.008>
- Finkhäuser, M., Sherrer, V., Pauer, S., & Buttlar, B. (2024). *Feeling Pushed and Feeling Pulled: A Panel Study on the Temporal Dynamics of Meat-Related Ambivalence, Morality, and Behavioural Consequences*. Manuscript under review
- Fischhoff, B., Slovic, P., Lichtenstein, S., Read, S., & Combs, B. (1978). How safe is safe enough? A psychometric study of attitudes towards technological risks and benefits. *Policy Sciences*, 9(2), 127–152. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00143739>
- Fiske, S. T., Cuddy, A. J. C., & Glick, P. (2007). Universal dimensions of social cognition: Warmth and competence. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11(2), 77–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.11.005>
- Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An Analysis of Coping in a Middle-Aged Community Sample. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 21(3), 219. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2136617>
- Ford, B. Q., & Troy, A. S. (2019). Reappraisal Reconsidered: A Closer Look at the Costs of an Acclaimed Emotion-Regulation Strategy. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 28(2), 195–203. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721419827526>
- Freud, S. (1913). *Totem und Tabu*. Internationaler Psychoanalytischer Verlag.
- Freudenburg, W. R. (1993). Risk and Recreancy: Weber, the Division of Labor, and the Rationality of Risk Perceptions. *Social Forces*, 71(4), 909–932.
- Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 11(2), 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2787>
- Fritsche, I. (2022). Agency Through the We: Group-Based Control Theory. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 31(2), 194–201. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09637214211068838>
- Fritsche, I., Jonas, E., Ablasser, C., Beyer, M., Kuban, J., Manger, A.-M., & Schultz, M. (2013). The power of we: Evidence for group-based control. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 49(1), 19–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2012.07.014>

- Furnham, A., & Ribchester, T. (1995). Tolerance of ambiguity: A review of the concept, its measurement and applications. *Current Psychology*, 21.
- Gabriel, A. S., Podsakoff, N. P., Beal, D. J., Scott, B. A., Sonnentag, S., Trougakos, J. P., & Butts, M. M. (2019). Experience Sampling Methods: A Discussion of Critical Trends and Considerations for Scholarly Advancement. *Organizational Research Methods*, 22(4), 969–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428118802626>
- Gainsburg, I., Pauer, S., Abboub, N., Aloyo, E. T., Mourrat, J.-C., & Cristia, A. (2023). How Effective Altruism Can Help Psychologists Maximize Their Impact. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 18(1), 239–253. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17456916221079596>
- Gall, P. (2021). *Schönfärberei statt Fakten: Die Kommunikation des Bundesagrarministeriums zur Nutztierhaltung in Deutschland*. Foodwatch e.V.
- Gardner, D. G., Cummings, L. L., Dunham, R. B., & Pierce, J. L. (1998). Single-Item Versus Multiple-Item Measurement Scales: An Empirical Comparison. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 58(6), 898–915. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164498058006003>
- Gawronski, B., & Brannon, S. M. (2019). What is cognitive consistency, and why does it matter? In E. Harmon-Jones (Ed.), *Cognitive dissonance: Reexamining a pivotal theory in psychology (2nd ed.)*. (pp. 91–116). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0000135-005>
- Geiger, N., & Brick, C. (2023). Core social motives explain responses to collective action issues. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12732>
- Gigerenzer, G. (2004). Dread Risk, September 11, and Fatal Traffic Accidents. *Psychological Science*, 15(4), 286–287. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0956-7976.2004.00668.x>
- Giner-Sorolla, R., Aberson, C., Bostyn, D., Carpenter, T., Conrique, B., Lewis, N., & Soderberg, C. (2019). *Power to detect what? Considerations for planning and evaluating sample size*. <https://osf.io/jnmya/>
- Glick, P., & Fiske, S. T. (1996). The Ambivalent Sexism Inventory: Differentiating hostile and benevolent sexism. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 70(3), 491–512. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.70.3.491>
- Godfray, H. C. J., Aveyard, P., Garnett, T., Hall, J. W., Key, T. J., Lorimer, J., Pierrehumbert, R. T., Scarborough, P., Springmann, M., & Jebb, S. A. (2018). Meat consumption, health, and the environment. *Science*, 361(6399), eaam5324. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam5324>
- Godin, G., Sheeran, P., Conner, M., Delage, G., Germain, M., Bélanger-Gravel, A., & Naccache, H. (2010). Which survey questions change behavior? Randomized controlled trial of mere measurement interventions. *Health Psychology*, 29(6), 636–644. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021131>
- Google. (2022). *ReCAPTCHA v3*. <https://developers.google.com/recaptcha/docs/v3>
- Gosling, P., Denizeau, M., & Oberlé, D. (2006). Denial of responsibility: A new mode of dissonance reduction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 90(5), 722–733. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.90.5.722>
- Graça, J., Calheiros, M. M., & Oliveira, A. (2014). Moral Disengagement in Harmful but Cherished Food Practices? An Exploration into the Case of Meat. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, 27(5), 749–765. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10806-014-9488-9>
- Graça, J., Calheiros, M. M., & Oliveira, A. (2015). Attached to meat? (Un)Willingness and intentions to adopt a more plant-based diet. *Appetite*, 95, 113–125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.06.024>
- Graça, J., Calheiros, M. M., & Oliveira, A. (2016). Situating moral disengagement: Motivated reasoning in meat consumption and substitution. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 90, 353–364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2015.11.042>
- Graça, J., Calheiros, M. M., Oliveira, A., & Milfont, T. L. (2018). Why are women less likely to support animal exploitation than men? The mediating roles of social dominance orientation and empathy. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 129, 66–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.03.007>
- Graça, J., Oliveira, A., & Calheiros, M. M. (2015). Meat, beyond the plate. Data-driven hypotheses for understanding consumer willingness to adopt a more plant-based diet. *Appetite*, 90, 80–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.02.037>

- Graça, J., Truninger, M., Junqueira, L., & Schmidt, L. (2019). Consumption orientations may support (or hinder) transitions to more plant-based diets. *Appetite*, *140*, 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.04.027>
- Gradidge, S., Zawisza, M., Harvey, A. J., & McDermott, D. T. (2021). A structured literature review of the meat paradox. *Social Psychological Bulletin*, *16*(3), e5953. <https://doi.org/10.32872/spb.5953>
- Granados Samayoa, J. A., Ruisch, B. C., Moore, C. A., Boggs, S. T., Ladanyi, J. T., & Fazio, R. H. (2021). When does knowing better mean doing better? Trust in President Trump and in scientists moderates the relation between COVID-19 knowledge and social distancing. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties*, *31*(1), 218–231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457289.2021.1924744>
- Greenaway, K. H., Haslam, S. A., Cruwys, T., Branscombe, N. R., Ysseldyk, R., & Heldreth, C. (2015). From “we” to “me”: Group identification enhances perceived personal control with consequences for health and well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *109*(1), 53–74. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000019>
- Greenberg, J., Koole, S. L., & Pyszczynski, T. A. (Eds.). (2004). *Handbook of experimental existential psychology*. Guilford Press.
- Grimm, K. J., Ram, N., & Estabrook, R. (2017). *Growth modeling: Structural equation and multilevel modeling approaches*. Guilford Press.
- Gross, J. J. (1998). The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Review of General Psychology*, *2*(3), 271–299.
- Grosz, M. P., Rohrer, J. M., & Thoemmes, F. (2020). The Taboo Against Explicit Causal Inference in Nonexperimental Psychology. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *13*.
- Grothmann, T., & Patt, A. (2005). Adaptive capacity and human cognition: The process of individual adaptation to climate change. *Global Environmental Change*, *15*(3), 199–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2005.01.002>
- Grundy, E. A. C., Slattery, P., Saeri, A. K., Watkins, K., Houlden, T., Askin, H., Lee, J., Mintoft-Jones, A., Cyna, S., Dziegielewska, A., Gelber, R., Rowe, A., Mathur, M. B., Timmons, S., Zhao, K., Wilks, M., Harris, J., Rosenfeld, D. L., Bryant, C., ... Australia, B. (2022). Interventions that Influence Animal-Product Consumption: A Meta-Review. *Future Foods*, *49*. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/mcdsq>
- Haddock, G., Foad, C., Windsor-Shellard, B., Dummel, S., & Adarves-Yorno, I. (2017). On the attitudinal consequences of being mindful: Links between mindfulness and attitudinal ambivalence. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *43*(4), 439–452.
- Hahn, L., Buttler, B., Künne, R., & Walther, E. (2024). Introducing the trier univalence neutrality ambivalence (TUNA) database: A picture database differentiating complex attitudes. *PLOS ONE*, *19*(5), e0302904. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0302904>
- Hahn, L., Buttler, B., & Walther, E. (2021). Unpacking Plastic: Investigating Plastic Related Ambivalence. *Sustainability*, *13*(4), 2186. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042186>
- Hamaker, E. L. (2012). Why researchers should think “within-person”: A paradigmatic rationale. In M. R. Mehl & T. S. Conner (Eds.), *Handbook of research methods for studying daily life*. (pp. 43–61). The Guilford Press.
- Hamann, K. R. S., Wullenkord, M. C., Reese, G., & van Zomeren, M. (2023). Believing That We Can Change Our World for the Better: A Triple-A (Agent-Action-Aim) Framework of Self-Efficacy Beliefs in the Context of Collective Social and Ecological Aims. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, *10888683231178056*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10888683231178056>
- Hampel, P., & Petermann, F. (2005). Age and Gender Effects on Coping in Children and Adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *34*(2), 73–83. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-005-3207-9>
- Harari, G. M., & Gosling, S. D. (2023). Understanding behaviours in context using mobile sensing. *Nature Reviews Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-023-00235-3>
- Hardisty, D. J., Beall, A. T., Lubowski, R., Petsonk, A., & Romero-Canyas, R. (2019). A carbon price by another name may seem sweeter: Consumers prefer upstream offsets to downstream taxes. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *66*, 101342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2019.101342>

- Harguess, J. M., Crespo, N. C., & Hong, M. Y. (2020). Strategies to reduce meat consumption: A systematic literature review of experimental studies. *Appetite, 144*, 104478. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104478>
- Harmon-Jones, E. (2019). *Cognitive Dissonance: Reexamining a Pivotal Theory in Psychology* (2nd ed.). American Psychological Association.
- Harmon-Jones, E., Amodio, D. M., & Harmon-Jones, C. (2009). Chapter 3 Action-Based Model of Dissonance. In *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 41, pp. 119–166). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(08\)00403-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)00403-6)
- Harmon-Jones, E., & Harmon-Jones, C. (2002). Testing the action-based model of cognitive dissonance: The effect of action orientation on postdecisional attitudes. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 28*(6), 711–723.
- Hartmann, C., Dohle, S., & Siegrist, M. (2013). Importance of cooking skills for balanced food choices. *Appetite, 65*, 125–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2013.01.016>
- Hartmann, C., & Siegrist, M. (2020). Our daily meat: Justification, moral evaluation and willingness to substitute. *Food Quality and Preference, 80*, 103–799. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2019.103799>
- Hass, R. G., Katz, I., Rizzo, N., Bailey, J., & Moore, L. (1992). When racial ambivalence evokes negative affect, using a disguised measure of mood. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 18*(6), 786–797.
- Hastie, T., & Tibshirani, R. (1986). Generalized Additive Models. *Statistical Science, 1*(3), 297–318.
- Hayes, A. F. (2013). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach*. The Guilford Press.
- Hayes, A. F. (2017). Hacking PROCESS for Estimation and Probing of Linear Moderation of Quadratic Effects and Quadratic Moderation of Linear Effects. *Unpublished*, 1–18.
- Hayes, A. F. (2018). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach* (2nd ed.). The Guilford Press.
- Hayes, A. F., & Krippendorff, K. (2007). Answering the Call for a Standard Reliability Measure for Coding Data. *Communication Methods and Measures, 1*(1), 77–89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19312450709336664>
- Haynes, A., & Robinson, E. (2019). Who are we testing? Self-selection bias in laboratory-based eating behaviour studies. *Appetite, 141*, 104330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104330>
- Health Canada. (2019). *Welcome to Canada's food guide*. <https://food-guide.canada.ca/en/healthy-eating-recommendations/>
- Heavey, C. L., Moynihan, S. A., Brouwers, V. P., Lapping-Carr, L., Krumm, A. E., Kelsey, J. M., Turner, D. K., & Hurlburt, R. T. (2019). Measuring the Frequency of Inner-Experience Characteristics by Self-Report: The Nevada Inner Experience Questionnaire. *Frontiers in Psychology, 9*, 2615. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02615>
- Hecht, M., & Zitzmann, S. (2021). Sample Size Recommendations for Continuous-Time Models: Compensating Shorter Time Series with Larger Numbers of Persons and Vice Versa. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 28*(2), 229–236. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2020.1779069>
- Heckhausen, J., & Schulz, R. (1995). A life-span theory of control. *Psychological Review, 102*(2), 284–304. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.102.2.284>
- Heckhausen, J., Wrosch, C., & Schulz, R. (2010). A motivational theory of life-span development. *Psychological Review, 117*(1), 32–60. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017668>
- Heider, F. (1958). *The Psychology of Interpersonal Relations*. Wiley.
- Heine, S. J., Proulx, T., & Vohs, K. D. (2006). The Meaning Maintenance Model: On the Coherence of Social Motivations. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 10*(2), 88–110. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr1002\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr1002_1)
- Hertwig, R., Barron, G., Weber, E. U., & Erev, I. (2004). Decisions from Experience and the Effect of Rare Events in Risky Choice. *Psychological Science, 15*(8), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0956-7976.2004.00715.x>
- Hertwig, R., & Erev, I. (2009). The description–experience gap in risky choice. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 13*(12), 517–523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2009.09.004>

- Hertwig, R., & Wulff, D. U. (2022). A Description–Experience Framework of the Psychology of Risk. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 17(3), 631–651. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17456916211026896>
- Herzog, H., Grayson, S., & McCord, D. (2015). Brief Measures of the Animal Attitude Scale. *Anthrozoos: A Multidisciplinary Journal of The Interactions of People & Animals*, 28(1), 145–152. <https://doi.org/10.2752/089279315X14129350721894>
- Hillman, J. G., Fowlie, D. I., & MacDonald, T. K. (2022). Social Verification Theory: A New Way to Conceptualize Validation, Dissonance, and Belonging. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 108886832211383. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10888683221138384>
- Hirsh, J. B., Mar, R. A., & Peterson, J. B. (2012). Psychological entropy: A framework for understanding uncertainty-related anxiety. *Psychological Review*, 119(2), 304–320. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026767>
- Hoffman, L. (2015). *Longitudinal Analysis Modeling Within-Person Fluctuation and Change*. Routledge.
- Hoffman, L., & Walters, R. W. (2022). Catching Up on Multilevel Modeling. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 73(1), 659–689. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-020821-103525>
- Hofmann, S. G., Heering, S., Sawyer, A. T., & Asnaani, A. (2009). How to handle anxiety: The effects of reappraisal, acceptance, and suppression strategies on anxious arousal. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 47(5), 389–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2009.02.010>
- Hofmann, W., Baumeister, R. F., Förster, G., & Vohs, K. D. (2012). Everyday temptations: An experience sampling study of desire, conflict, and self-control. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 102(6), 1318–1335. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026545>
- Hofmann, W., & Grigoryan, L. (2023). The social psychology of everyday life. In *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (p. S006526012300014X). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aesp.2023.06.001>
- Hofmann, W., Vohs, K. D., & Baumeister, R. F. (2012). What People Desire, Feel Conflicted About, and Try to Resist in Everyday Life. *Psychological Science*, 23(6), 582–588. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612437426>
- Hofmann, W., Wisneski, D. C., Brandt, M. J., & Skitka, L. J. (2014). Morality in everyday life. *Science*, 345(6202), 1340–1343. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251560>
- Hohm, I., Wormley, A. S., Schaller, M., & Varnum, M. E. W. (2024). Homo temporus: Seasonal Cycles as a Fundamental Source of Variation in Human Psychology. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*.
- Hohman, Z. P., Peabody, J., & Neighbors, C. (2022). Strengthening personalized norm feedback interventions: The ambivalent-attitude effect. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 16, 100461. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2022.100461>
- Holbrook, A. L., Berent, M. K., Krosnick, J. A., Visser, P. S., & Boninger, D. S. (2005). Attitude Importance and the Accumulation of Attitude-Relevant Knowledge in Memory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88(5), 749–769. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.88.5.749>
- Holland, K. J., & Cortina, L. M. (2017). The evolving landscape of Title IX: Predicting mandatory reporters’ responses to sexual assault disclosures. *Law and Human Behavior*, 41(5), 429–439. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000253>
- Hopwood, C. J. (2022). The link between vegetarian diet and depression might be explained by depression among meat-reducers. *Food Quality and Preference*, 102, 104679. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2022.104679>
- Hopwood, C. J., Bleidorn, W., Schwaba, T., & Chen, S. (2020). Health, environmental, and animal rights motives for vegetarian eating. *PLOS ONE*, 20.
- Hopwood, C. J., Bleidorn, W., & Wright, A. G. C. (2022). Connecting Theory to Methods in Longitudinal Research. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 11.
- Hopwood, C. J., Piazza, J., Chen, S., & Bleidorn, W. (2021). Development and Validation of the Motivations to Eat Meat Inventory. *Appetite*. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/s5aw8>
- Hormes, J. M., & Rozin, P. (2011). The temporal dynamics of ambivalence: Changes in positive and negative affect in relation to consumption of an “emotionally charged” food. *Eating Behaviors*, 12(3), 219–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2011.02.001>

- Hornsey, M. J., & Fielding, K. S. (2016). A cautionary note about messages of hope: Focusing on progress in reducing carbon emissions weakens mitigation motivation. *Global Environmental Change*, 39, 26–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.04.003>
- Hornsey, M. J., & Fielding, K. S. (2020). Understanding (and Reducing) Inaction on Climate Change. *Social Issues and Policy Review*, 14(1), 3–35. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sipr.12058>
- Hornsey, M. J., Fielding, K. S., McStay, R., Reser, J. P., Bradley, G. L., & Greenaway, K. H. (2015). Evidence for motivated control: Understanding the paradoxical link between threat and efficacy beliefs about climate change. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 42, 57–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.02.003>
- Hornsey, M. J., Harris, E. A., Bain, P. G., & Fielding, K. S. (2016). Meta-analyses of the determinants and outcomes of belief in climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(6), 622–626. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2943>
- Houben, M., Ceulemans, E., & Kuppens, P. (2020). Modeling Intensive Longitudinal Data. In A. G. C. Wright & M. N. Hallquist (Eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Research Methods in Clinical Psychology* (1st ed., pp. 312–326). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316995808.030>
- Hox, J. J. (2018). *Multilevel Analysis: Techniques and Applications*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis.
- Huang, J. L., Curran, P. G., Keeney, J., Poposki, E. M., & DeShon, R. P. (2012). Detecting and Detering Insufficient Effort Responding to Surveys. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 27(1), 99–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-011-9231-8>
- Hull, J. G., Tedlie, J. C., & Lehn, D. A. (1992). Moderator Variables in Personality Research: The Problem of Controlling for Plausible Alternatives. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 18(2), 115–117. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167292182001>
- Hurlburt, R. T., Heavey, C. L., Lapping-Carr, L., Krumm, A. E., Moynihan, S. A., Kaneshiro, C., Brouwers, V. P., Turner, D. K., & Kelsey, J. M. (2022). Measuring the Frequency of Inner-Experience Characteristics. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 17(2), 559–571. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691621990379>
- Iacobucci, D., Posavac, S. S., Kardes, F. R., Schneider, M. J., & Popovich, D. L. (2015). Toward a more nuanced understanding of the statistical properties of a median split. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 25(4), 652–665. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2014.12.002>
- IPCC. (2014). *Climate Change 2014, Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.
- Itzchakov, G., Amar, M., & Van Harreveld, F. (2020). Don't let the facts ruin a good story: The effect of vivid reviews on attitude ambivalence and its coping mechanisms. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 88, 103938. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2019.103938>
- Itzchakov, G., & van Harreveld, F. (2018). Feeling torn and fearing rue: Attitude ambivalence and anticipated regret as antecedents of biased information seeking. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 75, 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2017.11.003>
- Jang, S. M. (2013). Framing responsibility in climate change discourse: Ethnocentric attribution bias, perceived causes, and policy attitudes. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 36, 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.07.003>
- Janis, I. L., & Mann, L. (1965). Effectiveness of emotional role-playing in modifying smoking habits and attitudes. *Journal of Experimental Research in Personality*.
- Janis, I. L., & Mann, L. (1977). *Decision making: A psychological analysis of conflict, choice, and commitment*. New York, NY, US: Free Press.
- Jarcho, J. M., Berkman, E. T., & Lieberman, M. D. (2011). The neural basis of rationalization: Cognitive dissonance reduction during decision-making. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 6(4), 460–467. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsq054>
- Jebb, A. T., Tay, L., Wang, W., & Huang, Q. (2015). Time series analysis for psychological research: Examining and forecasting change. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00727>
- Joel, S., Stanton, S. C. E., Page-Gould, E., & MacDonald, G. (2021). One Foot Out the Door: Stay/Leave Ambivalence Predicts Day-to-Day Fluctuations in Commitment and Intentions to

- End the Relationship. *European Journal of Social Psychology*.  
<https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/7gdqn>
- John, O. P., & Gross, J. J. (2004). Healthy and Unhealthy Emotion Regulation: Personality Processes, Individual Differences, and Life Span Development. *Journal of Personality*, 72(6), 1301–1334. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2004.00298.x>
- Johnson, B. B., & Slovic, P. (1995). Presenting Uncertainty in Health Risk Assessment: Initial Studies of Its Effects on Risk Perception and Trust. *Risk Analysis*, 15(4), 485–494.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.1995.tb00341.x>
- Joireman, J., Shaffer, M. J., Balliet, D., & Strathman, A. (2012). Promotion Orientation Explains Why Future-Oriented People Exercise and Eat Healthy: Evidence From the Two-Factor Consideration of Future Consequences-14 Scale. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 38(10), 1272–1287. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167212449362>
- Jonas, E., McGregor, I., Klackl, J., Agroskin, D., Fritsche, I., Holbrook, C., Nash, K., Proulx, T., & Quirin, M. (2014). Threat and Defense. In *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 49, pp. 219–286). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800052-6.00004-4>
- Jonas, E., Schulz-Hardt, S., Frey, D., & Thelen, N. (2001). Confirmation Bias in Sequential Information Search After Preliminary Decisions: An Expansion of Dissonance Theoretical Research on Selective Exposure to Information. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*.
- Jonas, K., Broemer, P., & Diehl, M. (2000). Attitudinal Ambivalence. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 11(1), 35–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14792779943000125>
- Jonas, K., Diehl, M., & Brömer, P. (1997). Effects of Attitudinal Ambivalence on Information Processing and Attitude-Intention Consistency. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 33(2), 190–210. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jesp.1996.1317>
- Jost, J. T., Glaser, J., Kruglanski, A. W., & Sulloway, F. J. (2003). Political conservatism as motivated social cognition. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(3), 339–375. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.3.339>
- Joy, M. (2011). *Why we love dogs, eat pigs, and wear cows: An introduction to carnism*. Conari press.
- Judge, M., Bouman, T., Steg, L., & Bolderdijk, J. W. (2024). Accelerating social tipping points in sustainable behaviors: Insights from a dynamic model of moralized social change. *One Earth*, S2590332224001477. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2024.04.004>
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–291.
- Kaplan, K. J. (1972). On the ambivalence-indifference problem in attitude theory and measurement: A suggested modification of the semantic differential technique. *Psychological Bulletin*, 77(5), 361–372. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0032590>
- Karasek, R. A. (1979). Job Demands, Job Decision Latitude, and Mental Strain: Implications for Job Redesign. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 24(2), 285. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2392498>
- Kasperson, R. E., Renn, O., Slovic, P., Brown, H. S., Emel, J., Goble, R., Kasperson, J. X., & Ratick, S. (1988). The Social Amplification of Risk: A Conceptual Framework. *Risk Analysis*, 8(2), 177–187. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.1988.tb01168.x>
- Katsuya, T. (2002). Difference in the Formation of Attitude Toward Nuclear Power. *Political Psychology*, 23(1), 191–203. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0162-895X.00277>
- Kay, A. C., Gaucher, D., Napier, J. L., Callan, M. J., & Laurin, K. (2008). God and the government: Testing a compensatory control mechanism for the support of external systems. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 95(1), 18–35. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.95.1.18>
- Kay, A. C., Whitson, J. A., Gaucher, D., & Galinsky, A. D. (2009). Compensatory Control: Achieving Order Through the Mind, Our Institutions, and the Heavens. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 18(5), 264–268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01649.x>
- Keller, A., Marsh, J. E., Richardson, B. H., & Ball, L. J. (2022). A systematic review of the psychological distance of climate change: Towards the development of an evidence-based construct. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 81, 1–19.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2022.101822>
- Keller, C., & Siegrist, M. (2015). Ambivalence toward palatable food and emotional eating predict weight fluctuations. Results of a longitudinal study with four waves. *Appetite*, 85, 138–145.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.11.024>



- Kellstedt, P. M., Zahran, S., & Vedlitz, A. (2008). Personal Efficacy, the Information Environment, and Attitudes Toward Global Warming and Climate Change in the United States. *Risk Analysis*, 28(1), 113–126. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2008.01010.x>
- Kelly, R. E., Wood, A. M., Shearman, K., Phillips, S., & Mansell, W. (2012). Encouraging acceptance of ambivalence using the expressive writing paradigm: A writing intervention for ambivalence. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*, 85(2), 220–228. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8341.2011.02023.x>
- Khara, T., Riedy, C., & Ruby, M. B. (2020). “We have to keep it a secret” – The dynamics of front and backstage behaviours surrounding meat consumption in India. *Appetite*, 149, 104615. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2020.104615>
- Khara, T., Riedy, C., & Ruby, M. B. (2021). A cross cultural meat paradox: A qualitative study of Australia and India. *Appetite*, 164, 105227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105227>
- Klein, W. M., & Kunda, Z. (1993). Maintaining Self-Serving Social Comparisons: Biased Reconstruction of One’s Past Behaviors. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 19(6), 732–739. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167293196008>
- Koch, T. (2017). Again and again (and again): A repetition-frequency-model of persuasive communication. *Studies in Communication | Media*, 6(3), 218–239. <https://doi.org/10.5771/2192-4007-2017-3-218>
- Kormos, C., & Gifford, R. (2014). The validity of self-report measures of proenvironmental behavior: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 40, 359–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2014.09.003>
- Krippendorff, K. (2004). Reliability in Content Analysis.: Some Common Misconceptions and Recommendations. *Human Communication Research*, 30(3), 411–433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.2004.tb00738.x>
- Krosnick, J. A. (1989). Attitude Importance and Attitude Accessibility. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 15(3), 297–308. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167289153002>
- Kubberød, E., Ueland, Ø., Tronstad, Å., & Risvik, E. (2002). Attitudes towards meat and meat-eating among adolescents in Norway: A qualitative study. *Appetite*, 38(1), 53–62. <https://doi.org/10.1006/appe.2002.0458>
- Kuhnle, C., Hofer, M., & Kilian, B. (2010). The relationship of value orientations, self-control, frequency of school–leisure conflicts, and life-balance in adolescence. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 20(3), 251–255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2010.02.003>
- Kunda, Z. (1990). The case for motivated reasoning. *Psychological Bulletin*, 108(3), 480.
- Kunst, J. R., & Hohle, S. M. (2016). Meat eaters by dissociation: How we present, prepare and talk about meat increases willingness to eat meat by reducing empathy and disgust. *Appetite*, 105, 758–774. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2016.07.009>
- Kunst, J. R., & Palacios Haugestad, C. A. (2018). The effects of dissociation on willingness to eat meat are moderated by exposure to unprocessed meat: A cross-cultural demonstration. *Appetite*, 120, 356–366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.09.016>
- Kuppens, P., Tuerlinckx, F., Russell, J. A., & Barrett, L. F. (2013). The relation between valence and arousal in subjective experience. *Psychological Bulletin*, 139(4), 917–940. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030811>
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., & Christensen, R. H. B. (2017). lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 82(13). <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v082.i13>
- Landau, M. J., Kay, A. C., & Whitson, J. A. (2015). Compensatory control and the appeal of a structured world. *Psychological Bulletin*, 141(3), 694–722. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038703>
- Lange, F., & Dewitte, S. (2019). Measuring pro-environmental behavior: Review and recommendations. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 63, 92–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2019.04.009>
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal, and coping*. Springer publishing company.
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1987). Transactional theory and research on emotions and coping. *European Journal of Personality*, 1(3), 141–169. <https://doi.org/10.1002/per.2410010304>

- Lea, E. J., Crawford, D., & Worsley, A. (2006). Public views of the benefits and barriers to the consumption of a plant-based diet. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *60*(7), 828–837. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602387>
- Leach, C. W., & Iyer, A. (2024). Moral Improvement of Self, Social Relations, and Society. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *75*(1), 295–310. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-032420-031614>
- LeBel, E. P., McCarthy, R. J., Earp, B. D., Elson, M., & Vanpaemel, W. (2018). A Unified Framework to Quantify the Credibility of Scientific Findings. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, *1*(3), 389–402. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1177/2515245918787489>
- Lee, I., & Preacher, K. (2013). *Calculation for the test of the difference between two dependent correlations with one variable in common [Computer software]*. <http://quantpsy.org>
- Leiserowitz, A. (2006). Climate Change Risk Perception and Policy Preferences: The Role of Affect, Imagery, and Values. *Climatic Change*, *77*(1–2), 45–72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9059-9>
- Levine, D. W., & Dunlap, W. P. (1982). Power of the F Test With Skewed Data: Should One Transform or Not? *Psychological Bulletin*, *92*(1), 272–280.
- Leviston, Z., & Uren, H. V. (2020). Overestimating One’s “Green” Behavior: Better-Than-Average Bias May Function to Reduce Perceived Personal Threat from Climate Change. *Journal of Social Issues*, *76*(1), 70–85. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12365>
- Lewin, K. (1935). *A dynamic theory of personality*. (pp. ix, 286). McGraw-Hill.
- Linden, M., Baumann, K., Lieberei, B., & Rotter, M. (2009). The post-traumatic embitterment disorder Self-Rating Scale (PTED Scale). *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, *16*(2), 139–147. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.610>
- Lo, A. Y. (2013). The role of social norms in climate adaptation: Mediating risk perception and flood insurance purchase. *Global Environmental Change*, *23*(5), 1249–1257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.07.019>
- Lob, A. B., & Frey, R. (2023). *Modeling the influence of perceived situational uncertainty on everyday risky choices*. Society for Judgment and Decision Making – Annual Conference, San Francisco, CA.
- Loewenstein, G. F., Weber, E. U., Hsee, C. K., & Welch, N. (2001). Risk as feelings. *Psychological Bulletin*, *127*(2), 267–286. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.2.267>
- Loh, W. W., & Ren, D. (2023). Adjusting for Baseline Measurements of the Mediators and Outcome as a First Step Toward Eliminating Confounding Biases in Mediation Analysis. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*.
- Loughnan, S., Bastian, B., & Haslam, N. (2014). The Psychology of Eating Animals. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *23*(2), 104–108. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721414525781>
- Loughnan, S., Haslam, N., & Bastian, B. (2010). The role of meat consumption in the denial of moral status and mind to meat animals. *Appetite*, *55*(1), 156–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2010.05.043>
- Luce, M. F. (1998). Choosing to Avoid: Coping with Negatively Emotion-Laden Consumer Decisions. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *24*(4), 409–433. <https://doi.org/10.1086/209518>
- Luce, M. F., Bettman, J. R., & Payne, J. W. (1997). Choice Processing in Emotionally Difficult Decisions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *23*(2), 384–405.
- Luttrell, A., Petty, R. E., & Briñol, P. (2016). Ambivalence and certainty can interact to predict attitude stability over time. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *63*, 56–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2015.11.008>
- Luttrell, A., Stillman, P. E., Hasinski, A. E., & Cunningham, W. A. (2016). Neural dissociations in attitude strength: Distinct regions of cingulate cortex track ambivalence and certainty. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *145*(4), 419–433. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000141>
- Luttrell, A., & Togans, L. J. (2021). The Stability of Moralized Attitudes Over Time. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *47*(4), 551–564. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167220935737>
- Ma, A., Savani, K., Liu, F., Tai, K., & Kay, A. C. (2023). The mutual constitution of culture and psyche: The bidirectional relationship between individuals’ perceived control and cultural

- tightness–looseness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 124(5), 901–916. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000327>
- MacAskill, W., Bykvist, K., & Ord, T. (2020). *Moral Uncertainty*. Oxford University Press, 237.
- Major, J. T., Johnson, W., & Deary, I. J. (2014). Linear and nonlinear associations between general intelligence and personality in Project TALENT. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 106(4), 638–654. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035815>
- Markus, H., & Zajonc, R. B. (1985). The cognitive perspective in social psychology. *Handbook of Social Psychology*, 1(1), 137–230.
- Martinie, M.-A., Olive, T., Milland, L., Joule, R.-V., & Capa, R. L. (2013). Evidence that dissonance arousal is initially undifferentiated and only later labeled as negative. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 49(4), 767–770. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2013.03.003>
- Mata, F., Martins, P. S. R., Lopes-Silva, J. B., Mansur-Alves, M., Saeri, A., Grundy, E., Slattery, P., & Smith, L. (2021). Age and education moderate the relationship between confidence in health and political authorities and intention to adopt COVID-19 health-protective behaviours. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 41(9/10), 963–978. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-01-2021-0007>
- Mathur, M. B., Peacock, J., Reichling, D. B., Nadler, J., Bain, P. A., Gardner, C. D., & Robinson, T. N. (2021). Interventions to reduce meat consumption by appealing to animal welfare: Meta-analysis and evidence-based recommendations. *Appetite*, 164, 105277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105277>
- Mathur, N. (2014). Modernity, consumer culture and construction of urban youth identity in India: A disembedding perspective. In N. Mathur (Ed.), *Consumer culture, modernity and identity* (pp. 89–121). SAGE Publications India Pvt Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9789351507932.n4>
- Mayer, R. C., & Davis, J. H. (1999). The Effect of the Performance Appraisal System on Trust for Management: A Field Quasi-Experiment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 84(1), 123–136.
- Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An Integrative Model of Organizational Trust. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 709–734. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258792>
- Mazzucato, M. (2011). *The entrepreneurial state*. Demos.
- Mazzucato, M. (2021). *Mission economy: A moonshot guide to changing capitalism*. Harper Business.
- McCabe, C. J., Kim, D. S., & King, K. M. (2018). Improving Present Practices in the Visual Display of Interactions. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, 1(2), 147–165. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245917746792>
- McClelland, D. C., & Apicella, F. S. (1945). A functional classification of verbal reactions to experimentally induced failure. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 40(4), 376–390. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0058520>
- McDonald, R. I., Chai, H. Y., & Newell, B. R. (2015). Personal experience and the ‘psychological distance’ of climate change: An integrative review. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 44, 109–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2015.10.003>
- McGrath, A. (2017). Dealing with dissonance: A review of cognitive dissonance reduction. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 11(12), e12362. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12362>
- McGrath, J. E., & Tschan, F. (2004). *Temporal matters in social psychology: Examining the role of time in the lives of groups and individuals*. American Psychological Association.
- McGregor, I., Newby-Clark, I. R., & Zanna, M. P. (2019). Dissonance now: How accessible discrepancies moderate distress and diverse defenses. In E. Harmon-Jones (Ed.), *Cognitive dissonance: Reexamining a pivotal theory in psychology (2nd ed.)*. (pp. 117–138). American Psychological Association. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0000135-006>
- McKenna, F. P. (1993). It won’t happen to me: Unrealistic optimism or illusion of control? *British Journal of Psychology*, 84(1), 39–50. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8295.1993.tb02461.x>
- McKeown, G. J., & Sneddon, I. (2014). Modeling continuous self-report measures of perceived emotion using generalized additive mixed models. *Psychological Methods*, 19(1), 155–174. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034282>
- Mehta, A., Formanowicz, M., Uusberg, A., Uusberg, H., Gross, J. J., & Suri, G. (2020). The regulation of recurrent negative emotion in the aftermath of a lost election. *Cognition and Emotion*, 34(4), 848–857. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931.2019.1682970>

- Meijers, M. H. C., & Rutjens, B. T. (2014). Affirming belief in scientific progress reduces environmentally friendly behaviour: Progress and environmental behaviour. *European Journal of Social Psychology, 44*(5), 487–495. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2009>
- Meijers, M. H. C., Wonneberger, A., Azrout, R., Torfadóttir, R. “Heather,” & Brick, C. (2022). Introducing and testing the personal-collective-governmental efficacy typology: How personal, collective, and governmental efficacy subtypes are associated with differential environmental actions. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 101*915. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2022.101915>
- Meinhold, J. L., & Malkus, A. J. (2005). Adolescent Environmental Behaviors: Can Knowledge, Attitudes, and Self-Efficacy Make a Difference? *Environment and Behavior, 37*(4), 511–532. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916504269665>
- Milfont, T. L. (2012). The Interplay Between Knowledge, Perceived Efficacy, and Concern About Global Warming and Climate Change: A One-Year Longitudinal Study: Knowledge, Perceived Efficacy, and Concern About Global Warming and Climate Change Over Time. *Risk Analysis, 32*(6), 1003–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2012.01800.x>
- Miller, J. M., Saunders, K. L., & Farhart, C. E. (2016). Conspiracy Endorsement as Motivated Reasoning: The Moderating Roles of Political Knowledge and Trust. *American Journal of Political Science, 60*(4), 824–844. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajps.12234>
- Miller, T. M., Abdel-Maksoud, M. F., Crane, L. A., Marcus, A. C., & Byers, T. E. (2008). Effects of social approval bias on self-reported fruit and vegetable consumption: A randomized controlled trial. *Nutrition Journal, 7*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2891-7-18>
- Minson, J. A., & Chen, F. S. (2022). Receptiveness to Opposing Views: Conceptualization and Integrative Review. *Personality and Social Psychology Review, 26*(2), 93–111. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10888683211061037>
- Minson, J. A., & Monin, B. (2012). Do-Gooder Derogation: Disparaging Morally Motivated Minorities to Defuse Anticipated Reproach. *Social Psychological and Personality Science, 3*(2), 200–207. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550611415695>
- Mirman, D. (2014). *Growth Curve Analysis and Visualization Using R*. Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Moberly, N. J., & Dickson, J. M. (2018). Goal conflict, ambivalence and psychological distress: Concurrent and longitudinal relationships. *Personality and Individual Differences, 129*, 38–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.03.008>
- Mooijman, M. (2023). Power dynamics and the reciprocation of trust and distrust. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 125*(4), 779–802. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000424>
- Mooijman, M., van Dijk, W. W., van Dijk, E., & Ellemers, N. (2019). Leader power, power stability, and interpersonal trust. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 152*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2019.03.009>
- Moore, M. M., & Martin, E. A. (2022). Taking Stock and Moving Forward: A Personalized Perspective on Mixed Emotions. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 17*4569162110547. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17456916211054785>
- Motta, M., Callaghan, T., & Sylvester, S. (2018). Knowing less but presuming more: Dunning-Kruger effects and the endorsement of anti-vaccine policy attitudes. *Social Science & Medicine, 211*, 274–281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2018.06.032>
- Murphy, J. J., Allen, P. G., Stevens, T. H., & Weatherhead, D. (2005). A Meta-Analysis of Hypothetical Bias in Stated Preference Valuation. *Environmental and Resource Economics, 30*, 313–325.
- Murphy, L., & Dockray, S. (2018). The consideration of future consequences and health behaviour: A meta-analysis. *Health Psychology Review, 12*(4), 357–381. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2018.1489298>
- Muthukrishna, M., Henrich, J., & Slingerland, E. (2021). Psychology as a Historical Science. *Annual Review of Psychology, 72*(1), 717–749. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-082820-111436>
- Myin-Germeys, I., & Kuppens, P. (2021). *The Open Handbook of Experience Sampling Methodology*.
- Newby-Clark, I. R., McGregor, I., & Zanna, M. P. (2002). Thinking and caring about cognitive inconsistency: When and for whom does attitudinal ambivalence feel uncomfortable? *Journal*

- of Personality & Social Psychology*, 82(2), 157–166. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.82.2.157>
- Nielsen, K. S., Cologna, V., Lange, F., Brick, C., & Stern, P. (2021). The case for impact-focused environmental psychology. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/w39c5>
- Nisa, C. F., Belanger, J., Schumpe, B. M., & Faller, D. G. (2019). Meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials testing behavioural interventions to promote household action on climate change. *Nature Communications*, 10, 1–13.
- Noar, S. M., Benac, C. N., & Harris, M. S. (2007). Does tailoring matter? Meta-analytic review of tailored print health behavior change interventions. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133(4), 673–693. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.4.673>
- Noar, S. M., & Zimmerman, R. S. (2005). Health Behavior Theory and cumulative knowledge regarding health behaviors: Are we moving in the right direction? *Health Education Research*, 20(3), 275–290. <https://doi.org/10.1093/her/cyg113>
- Nohlen, H. U., van Harreveld, F., & Cunningham, W. A. (2019). Social evaluations under conflict: Negative judgments of conflicting information are easier than positive judgments. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, nsz045. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsz045>
- Nohlen, H. U., van Harreveld, F., Rotteveel, M., Barends, A. J., & Larsen, J. T. (2016). Affective responses to ambivalence are context-dependent: A facial EMG study on the role of inconsistency and evaluative context in shaping affective responses to ambivalence. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 65, 42–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2016.02.001>
- Nohlen, H. U., van Harreveld, F., Rotteveel, M., Lelieveld, G.-J., & Crone, E. A. (2014). Evaluating ambivalence: Social-cognitive and affective brain regions associated with ambivalent decision-making. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 9(7), 924–931. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nst074>
- Noordewier, M. K., & Rutjens, B. T. (2021). Personal need for structure shapes the perceived impact of reduced personal control. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 170(15), 110478. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110478>
- Nordgren, L. F., van der Pligt, J., & van Harreveld, F. (2007). Unpacking perceived control in risk perception: The mediating role of anticipated regret. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 20(5), 533–544. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.565>
- Nordgren, L. F., van Harreveld, F., & van der Pligt, J. (2006). Ambivalence, discomfort, and motivated information processing. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 42(2), 252–258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2005.04.004>
- Norris, C. J., Do, E., Close, E., & Deswert, S. (2019). Ambivalence toward healthy and unhealthy food and moderation by individual differences in restrained eating. *Appetite*, 140, 309–317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.05.033>
- Norris, P. (2022). *In praise of skepticism: Trust but verify*. Oxford University Press.
- Norris, P., & Inglehart, R. (2019). *Cultural backlash: Trump, Brexit, and authoritarian populism*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nosek, B. A., & Errington, T. M. (2020). What is replication? *PLOS Biology*, 18(3), e3000691. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000691>
- OECD, & FAO. (2020). *OECD-FAO Agriculture Outlook*. FAO. <https://data.oecd.org/agrooutput/meat-consumption.htm>
- Onwezen, M. C., Reinders, M. J., & Sijtsema, S. J. (2017). Understanding intentions to purchase bio-based products: The role of subjective ambivalence. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 52, 26–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2017.05.001>
- Onwezen, M. C., & van der Weele, C. N. (2016). When indifference is ambivalence: Strategic ignorance about meat consumption. *Food Quality and Preference*, 52, 96–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2016.04.001>
- Oppenheimer, D. M., Meyvis, T., & Davidenko, N. (2009). Instructional manipulation checks: Detecting satisficing to increase statistical power. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 45(4), 867–872. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2009.03.009>
- Osgood, C. E., Suci, G. J., & Tannenbaum, P. H. (1957). *The measurement of meaning*. (p. 342). Univer. Illinois Press.

- Ostrom, T. M. (1969). The relationship between the affective, behavioral, and cognitive components of attitude. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 5(1), 12–30.
- Pang, J., Keh, H. T., Li, X., & Maheswaran, D. (2017). “Every coin has two sides”: The effects of dialectical thinking and attitudinal ambivalence on psychological discomfort and consumer choice. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 27(2), 218–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2016.10.001>
- Pauer, S., Gainsburg, I., Aloyo, E. T., & Cristia, A. (2023). *How scientists can optimize their impact on pressing societal problems: Commentary on Chater and Lowenstein (2022) ’s argument for research on systemic change*. <https://psyarxiv.com/t5yd2>
- Pauer, S., Linne, R., & Erb, H.-P. (2024, forthcoming). From the Illusion of Choice to Actual Control: Reconsidering the Induced-Compliance Paradigm of Cognitive Dissonance. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/25152459241265002>
- Pauer, S., Rutjens, B. T., Brick, C., Lob, A., Buttlar, B., Noordewier, M., Schneider, I., & van Harreveld, F. (2024, forthcoming). Is the effect of trust on risk perceptions a matter of knowledge, control, or time? A direct replication attempt and extension of Siegrist and Cvetkovich (2000). *Social Psychological and Personality Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19485506241263884>
- Pauer, S., Rutjens, B. T., Hofmann, W., & van Harreveld, F. (2024). *The Temporal Dynamics of Attitudinal Conflict in Daily Life: An Experience Sampling Study of Conflict Emergence and Resolution*. <https://osf.io/preprints/psyarxiv/utp46>
- Pauer, S., Rutjens, B. T., Ruby, M. B., Perino, G., & van Harreveld, F. (2022). Meating Conflict: Toward a Model of Ambivalence-Motivated Reduction of Meat Consumption. *Foods*, 11(7), 921. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11070921>
- Pauer, S., Rutjens, B. T., & van Harreveld, F. (2024, forthcoming). Trust is good, control is better: The role of trust and personal control in response to threat. *Journal of applied Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/dvb5x>
- Pauer, S., Rutjens, B. T., & van Harreveld, F. (2023). *Torn again: Repeated experiences of ambivalence motivate effortful problem-focused coping*. PsyArXiv. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/de8qm>
- Pauling, A., Luterbacher, J., Casty, C., & Wanner, H. (2006). Five hundred years of gridded high-resolution precipitation reconstructions over Europe and the connection to large-scale circulation. *Climate Dynamics*, 26(4), 387–405. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-005-0090-8>
- Pearlin, L. I., & Schooler, C. (1978). The Structure of Coping. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 19(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2136319>
- Perino, G., & Schwirplies, C. (2021). Meaty arguments and fishy effects: Field experimental evidence on the impact of reasons to reduce meat consumption. *SSRN Preprint*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3920980>
- Perino, G., & Schwirplies, C. (2022). Meaty arguments and fishy effects: Field experimental evidence on the impact of reasons to reduce meat consumption. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 114, 102667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2022.102667>
- Permut, S., Fisher, M., & Oppenheimer, D. M. (2019). TaskMaster: A Tool for Determining When Subjects Are on Task. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, 2(2), 188–196. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245919838479>
- Perugini, M., & Bagozzi, R. P. (2001). The role of desires and anticipated emotions in goal-directed behaviours: Broadening and deepening the theory of planned behaviour. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 40(1), 79–98. <https://doi.org/10.1348/014466601164704>
- Peterson, C. R., & Beach, L. R. (1967). Man as an intuitive statistician. *Psychological Bulletin*, 68, 29–46. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0024722>
- Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 123–205.
- Petty, R. E., Tormala, Z. L., Briñol, P., & Jarvis, W. B. G. (2006). Implicit ambivalence from attitude change: An exploration of the PAST model. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 90(1), 21–41. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.90.1.21>

- Piazza, J., Hodson, G., & Oakley, A. (2020). Butchers' and deli workers' psychological adaptation to meat. *Emotion*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000738>
- Piazza, J., Ruby, M. B., Loughnan, S., Luong, M., Kulik, J., Watkins, H. M., & Seigerman, M. (2015). Rationalizing meat consumption. The 4Ns. *Appetite*, *91*, 114–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.04.011>
- Piazza, J., Simpson, V., & McGuire, L. (2023). Why children moralise harm to animals but not meat. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *27*(8), 685–688. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2023.05.004>
- Post, M. J., Levenberg, S., Kaplan, D. L., Genovese, N., Fu, J., Bryant, C. J., Negowetti, N., Verzijden, K., & Moutsatsou, P. (2020). Scientific, sustainability and regulatory challenges of cultured meat. *Nature Food*, *1*(7), 403–415. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-0112-z>
- Priester, J. R., & Petty, R. E. (1996). The gradual threshold model of ambivalence: Relating the positive and negative bases of attitudes to subjective ambivalence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *71*(3), 431.
- Priester, J. R., & Petty, R. E. (2001). Extending the bases of subjective attitudinal ambivalence: Interpersonal and intrapersonal antecedents of evaluative tension. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *80*(1), 19–34. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.80.1.19>
- Prochaska, J. O. (2008). Decision Making in the Transtheoretical Model of Behavior Change. *Medical Decision Making*, *28*(6), 845–849. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X08327068>
- Prochaska, J. O., & DiClemente, C. C. (1983). Stages and processes of self-change of smoking: Toward an integrative model of change. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *51*(3), 390–395. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-006X.51.3.390>
- Proulx, T., Inzlicht, M., & Harmon-Jones, E. (2012). Understanding all inconsistency compensation as a palliative response to violated expectations. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *16*(5), 285–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2012.04.002>
- Purdon, C. (2001). Appraisal of obsessional thought recurrences: Impact on anxiety and mood state. *Behavior Therapy*, *32*(1), 47–64. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7894\(01\)80043-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7894(01)80043-5)
- Rees, J. H., Bamberg, S., Jäger, A., Victor, L., Bergmeyer, M., & Friese, M. (2018). Breaking the Habit: On the Highly Habitualized Nature of Meat Consumption and Implementation Intentions as One Effective Way of Reducing It. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01973533.2018.1449111>
- Reich, T. (2016). The good and bad of ambivalence: Desiring ambivalence when the outcome is uncertain. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 38.
- Reich, T., Fulmer, A. G., & Dhar, R. (2022). In the face of self-threat: Why ambivalence heightens people's willingness to act. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *168*, 104106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2021.104106>
- Rhodes, R. E., & Dickau, L. (2012). Experimental evidence for the intention–behavior relationship in the physical activity domain: A meta-analysis. *Health Psychology*, *31*(6), 724–727. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027290>
- Righetti, F., Schneider, I., Ferrier, D., Spiridonova, T., Xiang, R., & Impett, E. A. (2020). The bittersweet taste of sacrifice: Consequences for ambivalence and mixed reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000750>
- Rodman, A. M., Powers, K. E., Kastman, E. K., Kabotyanski, K. E., Stark, A. M., Mair, P., & Somerville, L. H. (2023). Physical Effort Exertion for Peer Feedback Reveals Evolving Social Motivations From Adolescence to Young Adulthood. *Psychological Science*.
- Rohrer, J. M. (2018). Thinking Clearly About Correlations and Causation: Graphical Causal Models for Observational Data. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, *1*(1), 27–42. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1177/251524591774562>
- Roisman, G. I., Newman, D. A., Fraley, R. C., Haltigan, J. D., Groh, A. M., & Haydon, K. C. (2012a). Distinguishing differential susceptibility from diathesis–stress: Recommendations for evaluating interaction effects. *Development and Psychopathology*, *24*(2), 389–409. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579412000065>
- Roisman, G. I., Newman, D. A., Fraley, R. C., Haltigan, J. D., Groh, A. M., & Haydon, K. C. (2012b). Distinguishing differential susceptibility from diathesis–stress: Recommendations for evaluating interaction effects. *Development and Psychopathology*, *24*(2), 389–409. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579412000065>

- Roos, Y., Krämer, M. D., Richter, D., Schoedel, R., & Wrzus, C. (2023). Does Your Smartphone “Know” Your Social Life? A Methodological Comparison of Day Reconstruction, Experience Sampling, and Mobile Sensing. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, 6(3), 1–12.
- Rothbaum, F., Weisz, J. R., & Snyder, S. S. (1982). Changing the World and Changing the Self: A Two-Process Model of Perceived Control. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 42(1), 5–37.
- Rothgerber, H. (2013). Real men don’t eat (vegetable) quiche: Masculinity and the justification of meat consumption. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, 14(4), 363–375. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030379>
- Rothgerber, H. (2018). “But I Don’t Eat that Much Meat”: Situational Underreporting of Meat Consumption by Women. *Society & Animals*. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685306-12341468>
- Rothgerber, H. (2020). Meat-related cognitive dissonance: A conceptual framework for understanding how meat eaters reduce negative arousal from eating animals. *Appetite*, 146, 104511. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104511>
- Rothgerber, H., & Rosenfeld, D. L. (2021). Meat-related cognitive dissonance: The social psychology of eating animals. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, spc3.12592. <https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12592>
- Rothgerber, H., Rosenfeld, D. L., Keiffer, S., Crable, K., Yeske, A., Berger, L., Camp, P., Smith, K., Fischer, O., & Head, A. (2022). Motivated Moral Outrage Among Meat-Eaters. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 13(5), 916–926. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19485506211041536>
- Rothman, N. B., Pratt, M. G., Rees, L., & Vogus, T. J. (2017). Understanding the Dual Nature of Ambivalence: Why and When Ambivalence Leads to Good and Bad Outcomes. *Academy of Management Annals*, 11(1), 33–72. <https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2014.0066>
- Rousseau, D. M., Sitkin, S. B., Burt, R. S., & Camerer, C. (1998). Not So Different After All: A Cross-Discipline View Of Trust. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(3), 393–404. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1998.926617>
- Rozin, P. (2001). Social Psychology and Science: Some Lessons From Solomon Asch. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 5(1), 2–14. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327957PSPR0501\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327957PSPR0501_1)
- Rozin, P. (2007a). Food and eating. In S. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), *Handbook of cultural psychology* (pp. 391–416). Guilford Press.
- Rozin, P. (2007b). Food and eating. In S. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), *Handbook of cultural psychology* (pp. 391–416). Guilford Press.
- Rozin, P., & Ruby, M. B. (2020). The moral march to meatless meals: The scripted Hebrew meat prohibitions versus the unscripted path to becoming vegetarian or vegan. In G. Hodson & K. Dhont (Eds.), *Why we love and exploit animals: Bridging insights from academia and advocacy* (pp. 284–302). Routledge.
- Ruby, M. B. (2012). Vegetarianism. A blossoming field of study. *Appetite*, 58(1), 141–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2011.09.019>
- Ruby, M. B., Alvarenga, M. S., Rozin, P., Kirby, T. A., Richer, E., & Rutzstein, G. (2016). Attitudes toward beef and vegetarians in Argentina, Brazil, France, and the USA. *Appetite*, 96, 546–554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.10.018>
- Ruby, M. B., & Heine, S. J. (2011). Meat, morals, and masculinity. *Appetite*, 56(2), 447–450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2011.01.018>
- Rutjens, B. T., Heine, S. J., Sutton, R. M., & van Harreveld, F. (2018). Attitudes Towards Science. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 57, 125–165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aesp.2017.08.001>
- Rutjens, B. T., & van der Lee, R. (2020). Spiritual skepticism? Heterogeneous science skepticism in the Netherlands. *Public Understanding of Science*, 29(3), 335–352.
- Rutjens, B. T., van der Pligt, J., & van Harreveld, F. (2009). Things Will Get Better: The Anxiety-Buffering Qualities of Progressive Hope. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 35(5), 535–543. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167208331252>



- Rutjens, B. T., van Harreveld, F., & van der Pligt, J. (2010). Yes We Can: Belief in Progress as Compensatory Control. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *1*(3), 246–252. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550610361782>
- Sailer, M., Stadler, M., Botes, E., Fischer, F., & Greiff, S. (2022). Science knowledge and trust in medicine affect individuals' behavior in pandemic crises. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, *37*(1), 279–292. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-021-00529-1>
- Sargent, R. H., & Newman, L. S. (2020). Conservatism and attitudinal ambivalence: Investigating conflicting findings. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 109996. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.109996>
- Savage, I. (1993). Demographic Influences on Risk Perceptions. *Risk Analysis*, *13*(4), 413–420. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.1993.tb00741.x>
- Sawicki, V., Wegener, D. T., Clark, J. K., Fabrigar, L. R., Smith, S. M., & Durso, G. R. (2013). Feeling conflicted and seeking information: When ambivalence enhances and diminishes selective exposure to attitude-consistent information. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *39*(6), 735–747.
- Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W. A., Brovkin, V., Carpenter, S. R., Dakos, V., Held, H., van Nes, E. H., Rietkerk, M., & Sugihara, G. (2009). Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature*, *461*(7260), 53–59. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08227>
- Scheffer, M., Van De Leemput, I., Weinans, E., & Bollen, J. (2021). The rise and fall of rationality in language. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(51), e2107848118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2107848118>
- Schilke, O., Reimann, M., & Cook, K. S. (2015). Power decreases trust in social exchange. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *112*(42), 12950–12955. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1517057112>
- Schneider, I. K., & Mattes, A. (2021). Mix is different from nix: Mouse tracking differentiates ambivalence from neutrality. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *95*, 104106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2021.104106>
- Schneider, I. K., Novin, S., Harreveld, F., & Genschow, O. (2021). Benefits of being ambivalent: The relationship between trait ambivalence and attribution biases. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, *bjso.12417*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12417>
- Schneider, I. K., Novin, S., & van Harreveld, F. (2022). *The Ambivalent Individual: Validation Studies for the Trait Ambivalence Scale* [Preprint]. Open Science Framework. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/4cbex>
- Schneider, I. K., & Schwarz, N. (2017). Mixed feelings: The case of ambivalence. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, *15*, 39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.05.012>
- Schneider, I. K., van Harreveld, F., Rotteveel, M., Topolinski, S., van der Pligt, J., Schwarz, N., & Koole, S. L. (2015). The path of ambivalence: Tracing the pull of opposing evaluations using mouse trajectories. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *6*, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00996>
- Schösler, H., de Boer, J., Boersema, J. J., & Aiking, H. (2015). Meat and masculinity among young Chinese, Turkish and Dutch adults in the Netherlands. *Appetite*, *89*, 152–159.
- Schubert, S., Caviola, L., & Faber, N. S. (2019). The psychology of existential risks: Moral Judgments about Human Extinction. *Scientific Reports*, *9*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50145-9>
- Schulz, R. (1976). Effects of control and predictability on the physical and psychological well-being of the institutionalized aged. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *33*(5), 563–573. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.33.5.563>
- Schwarz, N. (1998). Accessible Content and Accessibility Experiences: The Interplay of Declarative and Experiential Information in Judgment. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, *2*(2), 87–99. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0202\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0202_2)
- Schwarz, N. (1999). Self-reports—How the questions shape the answers. *American Psychologist*, *54*(2), 93–105.
- Schwarz, N. (2007). Attitude Construction: Evaluation in Context. *Social Cognition*, *25*(5), 638–656. <https://doi.org/10.1521/soco.2007.25.5.638>

- Schwarz, N. (2012). Why researchers should think “real-time”: A cognitive rationale. In M. R. Mehl & T. S. Conner (Eds.), *Handbook of research methods for studying daily life*. (pp. 22–42). The Guilford Press.
- Schwarz, N., Bless, H., Strack, F., Klumpp, G., Rittenauer-Schatka, H., & Simons, A. (1991). Ease of Retrieval as Information: Another Look at the Availability Heuristic. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, *61*(2), 195–202.
- See, K. E. (2009). Reactions to decisions with uncertain consequences: Reliance on perceived fairness versus predicted outcomes depends on knowledge. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *96*(1), 104–118. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0013266>
- Seligman, M. E. (1975). *Helplessness: On depression, development and death*. Freeman.
- Sénémeaud, C., & Somat, A. (2009). Dissonance Arousal and Persistence in Attitude Change. *Swiss Journal of Psychology*, *68*(1), 25–31. <https://doi.org/10.1024/1421-0185.68.1.25>
- Shepherd, S., & Kay, A. C. (2012). On the perpetuation of ignorance: System dependence, system justification, and the motivated avoidance of sociopolitical information. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *102*(2), 264–280. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026272>
- Sheppes, G., & Gross, J. J. (2011). Is Timing Everything? Temporal Considerations in Emotion Regulation. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, *15*(4), 319–331. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868310395778>
- Sheppes, G., Scheibe, S., Suri, G., & Gross, J. J. (2011). Emotion-Regulation Choice. *Psychological Science*, *22*(11), 1391–1396. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797611418350>
- Shockley, E., & Fairdosi, A. S. (2015). Power to the People? Psychological Mechanisms of Disengagement From Direct Democracy. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, *6*(5), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550614568159>
- Shockley, E., & Shepherd, S. (2016). Compensatory institutional trust: A “dark side” of trust. In *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Trust* (pp. 193–202). Springer.
- Siddiqi, U. I., & Akhtar, N. (2020). Effects of conflicting hotel reviews shared by novice and expert traveler on attitude ambivalence: The moderating role of quality of managers’ responses. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing & Management*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2020.1778595>
- Siegrist, M. (1999). A Causal Model Explaining the Perception and Acceptance of Gene Technology. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, *29*(10), 2093–2106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1999.tb02297.x>
- Siegrist, M. (2000). The Influence of Trust and Perceptions of Risks and Benefits on the Acceptance of Gene Technology. *Risk Analysis*, *20*(2), 195–204. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0272-4332.202020>
- Siegrist, M. (2021). Trust and Risk Perception: A Critical Review of the Literature. *Risk Analysis*, *41*(3), 480–490. <https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.13325>
- Siegrist, M., & Árvai, J. (2020). Risk Perception: Reflections on 40 Years of Research. *Risk Analysis*, *40*(S1), 2191–2206. <https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.13599>
- Siegrist, M., & Bearth, A. (2021). Worldviews, trust, and risk perceptions shape public acceptance of COVID-19 public health measures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(24), e2100411118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2100411118>
- Siegrist, M., & Cvetkovich, G. (2000). Perception of Hazards: The Role of Social Trust and Knowledge. *Risk Analysis*, *20*(5), 713–720. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0272-4332.205064>
- Siegrist, M., Earle, T. C., & Gutscher, H. (2003). Test of a Trust and Confidence Model in the Applied Context of Electromagnetic Field (EMF) Risks. *Risk Analysis*, *23*(4), 705–716. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1539-6924.00349>
- Siegrist, M., & Hartmann, C. (2020). Perceived naturalness, disgust, trust and food neophobia as predictors of cultured meat acceptance in ten countries. *Appetite*, *155*, 104814. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2020.104814>
- Siegrist, M., Visschers, V. H. M., & Hartmann, C. (2015). Factors influencing changes in sustainability perception of various food behaviors: Results of a longitudinal study. *Food Quality and Preference*, *46*, 33–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2015.07.006>

- Siev, J. J., Durso, G. R. O., & Wegener, D. T. (2024). Endorsing both sides, pleasing neither: Ambivalent individuals face unexpected social costs in political conflicts. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*.
- Siev, J. J., & Petty, R. E. (2024). Ambivalent attitudes promote support for extreme political actions. *Science Advances*, *10*(24), eadn2965. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adn2965>
- Simon, L., Greenberg, J., & Brehm, J. (1995). Trivialization: The Forgotten Mode of Dissonance Reduction. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, *68*(2), 247–260.
- Simonsohn, U. (2024). Interacting With Curves: How to Validly Test and Probe Interactions in the Real (Nonlinear) World. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*, *7*(1), 1–22.
- Sincoff, J. (1990). The psychological characteristics of ambivalent people. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *10*(1), 43–68. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7358\(90\)90106-K](https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-7358(90)90106-K)
- Singer, P. (2011). *Practical ethics* (3rd ed.). Cambridge university press.
- Skinner, E. A. (1996). A guide to constructs of control. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *71*(3), 549–570. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.71.3.549>
- Slovic, P. (1987). Perception of Risk. *Science*, *236*(4799), 280–285. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.1126/science.3563507>
- Slovic, P. (1992). Perceptions of Risk: Reflections on the Psychometric Paradigm. In D. Golding & S. Krimsky (Eds.), *Theories of Risk*. Praeger.
- Slovic, P. (1993). Perceived Risk, Trust, and Democracy. *Risk Analysis*, *13*(6), 675–682. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.1993.tb01329.x>
- Slovic, P. (2016). Understanding Perceived Risk: 1978–2015. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, *58*(1), 25–29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00139157.2016.1112169>
- Slovic, P., Finucane, M. L., Peters, E., & MacGregor, D. G. (2004a). Risk as Analysis and Risk as Feelings: Some Thoughts about Affect, Reason, Risk, and Rationality. *Risk Analysis*, *24*(2), 311–322. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0272-4332.2004.00433.x>
- Slovic, P., Finucane, M. L., Peters, E., & MacGregor, D. G. (2004b). Risk as Analysis and Risk as Feelings: Some Thoughts about Affect, Reason, Risk, and Rationality. *Risk Analysis*, *24*(2), 311–322. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0272-4332.2004.00433.x>
- Smiley, A. H., & Fisher, M. (2022). The Golden Age Is Behind Us: How the Status Quo Impacts the Evaluation of Technology. *Psychological Science*, *33*(9), 1605–1614. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09567976221102868>
- Snyder, A. I., & Tormala, Z. L. (2017). Valence asymmetries in attitude ambivalence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *112*(4), 555–576. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000075>
- Sommet, N., Weissman, D. L., Cheutin, N., & Elliot, A. J. (2023). How Many Participants Do I Need to Test an Interaction? Conducting an Appropriate Power Analysis and Achieving Sufficient Power to Detect an Interaction. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*.
- Spence, A., Poortinga, W., Butler, C., & Pidgeon, N. F. (2011). Perceptions of climate change and willingness to save energy related to flood experience. *Nature Climate Change*, *1*(1), 46–49. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1059>
- Spence, A., Poortinga, W., & Pidgeon, N. (2012). The Psychological Distance of Climate Change: Psychological Distance of Climate Change. *Risk Analysis*, *32*(6), 957–972. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2011.01695.x>
- Spencer-Rodgers, J., Williams, M. J., & Peng, K. (2010). Cultural Differences in Expectations of Change and Tolerance for Contradiction: A Decade of Empirical Research. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, *17*.
- Springmann, M., Clark, M., Mason-D’Croz, D., Wiebe, K., Boudry, B. L., Lassaletta, L., de Vries, W., Vermeulen, S. J., Herrero, M., Carlson, K. M., Jonell, M., Troell, M., DeClerck, F., Gordon, L. J., Zurayk, R., Scarborough, P., Rayner, M., Loken, B., Fanzo, J., ... Willett, W. (2018). Options for keeping the food system within environmental limits. *Nature*, *562*(7728), 519–525. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0594-0>
- Sproesser, G., Ruby, M. B., Arbit, N., Akotia, C. S., Alvarenga, M. dos S., Bhangaokar, R., Furumitsu, I., Hu, X., Imada, S., Kaptan, G., Kaufer-Horwitz, M., Menon, U., Fischler, C., Rozin, P., Schupp, H. T., & Renner, B. (2019). Understanding traditional and modern eating: The TEP10 framework. *BMC Public Health*, *19*(1), 1606. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7844-4>

- Starr, C. (1969). Social Benefit versus Technological Risk. *Science*, *165*, 7.
- Steele, C. M. (1988). The Psychology of Self-Affirmation: Sustaining the Integrity of the Self. In *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 21, pp. 261–302). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(08\)60229-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60229-4)
- Steiger, J. H. (1980). Tests for comparing elements of a correlation matrix. *Psychological Bulletin*, *87*(2), 245.
- Stone, J., Wiegand, A. W., Cooper, J., & Aronson, E. (1997). When Exemplification Fails: Hypocrisy and the Motive for Self-Integrity. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, *72*(1), 54–65.
- Strathman, A., Gleicher, F., Boninger, D. S., & Edwards, C. S. (1994). The consideration of future consequences: Weighing immediate and distant outcomes of behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *66*(4), 742–752. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.66.4.742>
- Sweeny, K., & Vohs, K. D. (2012). On Near Misses and Completed Tasks: The Nature of Relief. *Psychological Science*, *23*(5), 464–468. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797611434590>
- Tay, L. (2023). *ExpiWell* [Computer software]. <https://www.expiwell.com/>
- Thompson, M. M., & Zanna, M. P. (1995). The Conflicted Individual: Personality-Based and Domain Specific Antecedents of Ambivalent Social Attitudes. *Journal of Personality*, *63*(2), 259–288. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1995.tb00810.x>
- Thompson, M. M., Zanna, M. P., & Griffin, D. W. (1995). Let's not be indifferent about (attitudinal) ambivalence. *Attitude Strength: Antecedents and Consequences*, *4*, 361–386.
- Thomson, R., & Brandenburg, H. (2019). Trust and Citizens' Evaluations of Promise Keeping by Governing Parties. *Political Studies*, *67*(1), 249–266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032321718764177>
- Tian, Q., Hilton, D., & Becker, M. (2016). Confronting the meat paradox in different cultural contexts: Reactions among Chinese and French participants. *Appetite*, *96*, 187–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.09.009>
- Tilman, D., & Clark, M. (2014). Global diets link environmental sustainability and human health. *Nature*, *515*(7528), 518–522. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13959>
- Tobler, C., Visschers, V. H. M., & Siegrist, M. (2012). Consumers' knowledge about climate change. *Climatic Change*, *114*(2), 189–209. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0393-1>
- Ton, G. M. (2024). *Between the Devil and the Deep Blue Sea?: Exploring the unique experience of ambivalence in the context of societal debates* [University of Groningen]. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.929745289>
- Ton, G. M., Stroebe, K., & van Zomeren, M. (2023). The Social Tensions Felt Within: Explaining felt ambivalence about polarized societal debates through perceived opinion discrepancies in the social environment. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, *62*, 30–46.
- Toribio-Flórez, D., van Harreveld, F., & Schneider, I. K. (2020). Ambivalence and Interpersonal Liking: The Expression of Ambivalence as Social Validation of Attitudinal Conflict. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 525301. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.525301>
- Tourangeau, R., & Rasinski, K. A. (1988). Cognitive Processes Underlying Context Effects in Attitude Measurement. *Psychological Bulletin*, *103*(3), 299–314.
- Trope, Y., & Liberman, N. (2010). Construal-level theory of psychological distance. *Psychological Review*, *117*(2), 440–445. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018963>
- Trull, T. J., & Ebner-Priemer, U. (2013). Ambulatory Assessment. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *9*(1), 151–176. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185510>
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1973). Availability: A heuristic for judging frequency and probability. *Cognitive Psychology*, *5*(2), 207–232. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285\(73\)90033-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285(73)90033-9)
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974a). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Utility, Probability, and Human Decision Making*, *Science*(184), 1124–1131.
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974b). Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases. *Science*, *185*(4157), 1124–1131. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4157.1124>
- Tversky, A., & Shafir, E. (1992). Choice under Conflict: The Dynamics of Deferred Decision. *Psychological Science*, *3*(6), 358–361. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.1992.tb00047.x>
- Tybur, J. M., Laakasuo, M., Ruff, J., & Klauke, F. (2016). How pathogen cues shape impressions of foods: The omnivore's dilemma and functionally specialized conditioning. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, *37*(5), 376–386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2016.03.002>

- United Nations. (2021). *Our Common Agenda. Report of the Secretary-General*. United Nations.
- United Nations Development Programme. (2020). *Human Development Report 2020*. United Nations.
- United Nations General Assembly. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. United Nations. <https://sdgs.un.org/publications/transforming-our-world-2030-agenda-sustainable-development-17981>
- Unkelbach, C., Alves, H., & Koch, A. (2020). Negativity bias, positivity bias, and valence asymmetries: Explaining the differential processing of positive and negative information. In *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (Vol. 62, pp. 115–187). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aesp.2020.04.005>
- Vaccaro, A. G., Kaplan, J. T., & Damasio, A. (2020). Bittersweet: The Neuroscience of Ambivalent Affect. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 15(5), 1187–1199. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691620927708>
- Vaidis, D., & Bran, A. (2019). Respectable Challenges to Respectable Theory: Cognitive Dissonance Theory Requires Conceptualization Clarification and Operational Tools. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 1189. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01189>
- Vaidis, D., Slegers, W., Bran, A., Priolo, D., DeMarree, K., Verschuere, B., Sætrevik, B., Smith, A., Schmidt, K., Ribeiro, G., Gourdon-Kanhukamwe, A., Eritsyan, K., Toribio-Flórez, D., Mayer, Z., Kodapanakkal, R., Protzko, J., Depow, G., Bayrak, F., Cristea, M., ... Halimi-Falkowicz, S. (2024). A Multi-Lab Replication of the Induced Compliance Paradigm of Cognitive Dissonance. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*. <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/52WPJ>
- van der Linden, S. (2015). The social-psychological determinants of climate change risk perceptions: Towards a comprehensive model. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 41, 112–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2014.11.012>
- van der Linden, S. (2017). Determinants and Measurement of Climate Change Risk Perception, Worry, and Concern. In S. van der Linden, *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Climate Science*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228620.013.318>
- van Gent, M. J., Onwezen, M. C., Renes, R. J., & Handgraaf, M. (2024). BETWIXT AND BETWEEN: The role of ambivalence in environmental behaviours: a systematic review. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 102311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2024.102311>
- van Harreveld, F., Nohlen, H. U., & Schneider, I. K. (2015). The ABC of Ambivalence. Affective, Behavioral, and Cognitive Consequences of Attitudinal Conflict. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 52, 285–324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aesp.2015.01.002>
- van Harreveld, F., & Rutjens, B. T. (2020). *The impact of threat to personal control on trust in experts and non-experts communicating about risk*. <https://osf.io/8nq47>
- van Harreveld, F., Rutjens, B. T., Rotteveel, M., Nordgren, L. F., & Van der Pligt, J. (2009). Ambivalence and decisional conflict as a cause of psychological discomfort: Feeling tense before jumping off the fence. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 45(1), 167–173.
- van Harreveld, F., Rutjens, B. T., Schneider, I. K., Nohlen, H. U., & Keskinis, K. (2014). In doubt and disorderly: Ambivalence promotes compensatory perceptions of order. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 143(4), 1666–1676. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036099>
- van Harreveld, F., van der Pligt, J., & de Liver, Y. N. (2009). The Agony of Ambivalence and Ways to Resolve It: Introducing the MAID Model. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 13(1), 45–61. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868308324518>
- van Kleef, G. A., & Lelieveld, G.-J. (2022). Moving the self and others to do good: The emotional underpinnings of prosocial behavior. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 44, 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2021.08.029>
- van Valkengoed, A. M., Steg, L., & Perlaviciute, G. (2023). The psychological distance of climate change is overestimated. *One Earth*, 6(4), 362–391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2023.03.006>
- van Zomeren, M., d'Amore, C., Pauls, I. L., Shuman, E., & Leal, A. (2024). The Intergroup Value Protection Model: A Theoretically Integrative and Dynamic Approach to Intergroup Conflict Escalation in Democratic Societies. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 28(2), 225–248. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10888683231192120>

- Većkalov, B., Zarzeczna, N., McPhetres, J., Van Harreveld, F., & Rutjens, B. T. (2022). Psychological Distance to Science as a Predictor of Science Skepticism Across Domains. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*.
- Većkalov, B., Zarzeczna, N., Niehoff, E., McPhetres, J., & Rutjens, B. T. (2021). A matter of time ... consideration of future consequences and temporal distance contribute to the ideology gap in climate change scepticism. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 101703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2021.101703>
- Viechtbauer, W. (2021). Statistical methods for ESM data. In I. Myin-Germeys & P. Kuppens (Eds.), *The open handbook of experience sampling methodology* (pp. 153–183).
- Vissschers, V. H. M., Keller, C., & Siegrist, M. (2011). Climate change benefits and energy supply benefits as determinants of acceptance of nuclear power stations: Investigating an explanatory model. *Energy Policy*, 39(6), 3621–3629. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.03.064>
- Vissschers, V. H. M., & Siegrist, M. (2013). How a Nuclear Power Plant Accident Influences Acceptance of Nuclear Power: Results of a Longitudinal Study Before and After the Fukushima Disaster: *Risk Analysis*, 33(2), 333–347. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2012.01861.x>
- Wachinger, G., Renn, O., Begg, C., & Kuhlicke, C. (2013). The Risk Perception Paradox-Implications for Governance and Communication of Natural Hazards: The Risk Perception Paradox. *Risk Analysis*, 33(6), 1049–1065. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6924.2012.01942.x>
- Walster, E., Berscheid, E., & Barclay, A. M. (1967). A determinant of preference among modes of dissonance reduction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 7(2, Pt.1), 211–216. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0024992>
- Wang, S., Leviston, Z., Hurlstone, M., Lawrence, C., & Walker, I. (2018). Emotions predict policy support: Why it matters how people feel about climate change. *Global Environmental Change*, 50, 25–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.03.002>
- Weathers, S. T., Caviola, L., Scherer, L., Pfister, S., Fischer, B., Bump, J. B., & Jaacks, L. M. (2020). Quantifying the Valuation of Animal Welfare Among Americans. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, 33(2), 261–282. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10806-020-09824-1>
- Webb, T. L., Miles, E., & Sheeran, P. (2012). Dealing with feeling: A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of strategies derived from the process model of emotion regulation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 138(4), 775–808. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027600>
- Weber, E. U. (2006). Experience-Based and Description-Based Perceptions of Long-Term Risk: Why Global Warming does not Scare us (Yet). *Climatic Change*, 77(1–2), 103–120. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-006-9060-3>
- Weber, E. U., Blais, A., & Betz, N. E. (2002). A domain-specific risk-attitude scale: Measuring risk perceptions and risk behaviors. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 15(4), 263–290. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.414>
- Weber, E. U., Shafir, S., & Blais, A.-R. (2004). Predicting Risk Sensitivity in Humans and Lower Animals: Risk as Variance or Coefficient of Variation. *Psychological Review*, 111(2), 430–445. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.111.2.430>
- Wegner, D. M., & Gold, D. B. (1995). Fanning Old Flames: Emotional and Cognitive Effects of Suppressing Thoughts of a Past Relationship. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 68(5), 11.
- Wegner, D. M., Schneider, D. J., III, S. R. C., & White, T. L. (1987). Paradoxical Effects of Thought Suppression. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 53(1), 9.
- Weingarten, N., & Lagerkvist, C.-J. (2022). Can images and textual information lead to meat avoidance? The mediating role of cognitive dissonance. *Food Quality and Preference*, 104, 104747. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2022.104747>
- Weinstein, D. (1980). Unrealistic Optimism About Future Life Events. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 36(5), 806–820.
- Weiss, A., Michels, C., Burgmer, P., Mussweiler, T., Ockenfels, A., & Hofmann, W. (2020). Trust in everyday life. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000334>
- White, R. W. (1959). Motivation reconsidered: The concept of competence. *Psychological Review*, 66(5), 297–333.

- Whitson, J., Dow, B., Menon, T., & Wang, C. (2022). Sense of Control and Conspiracy Perceptions: Generative Directions on a Well-Worn Path. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 101389. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2022.101389>
- WHO. (2020). *COVID-19 Snapshot MOnitoring (COSMO Standard): Monitoring knowledge, risk perceptions, preventive behaviours, and public trust in the current coronavirus outbreak—WHO standard protocol*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.23668/psycharchives.2782>
- Wilks, M., Caviola, L., Kahane, G., & Bloom, P. (2021). Children prioritize humans over animals less than adults do. *Psychological Science*. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/eugjw>
- Willett, W. (2012). *Nutritional epidemiology* (3rd edition). Oxford university press.
- Willett, W., Rockström, J., Loken, B., Springmann, M., Lang, T., Vermeulen, S., Garnett, T., Tilman, D., DeClerck, F., Wood, A., Jonell, M., Clark, M., Gordon, L. J., Fanzo, J., Hawkes, C., Zurayk, R., Rivera, J. A., De Vries, W., Majele Sibanda, L., ... Murray, C. J. L. (2019). Food in the Anthropocene: The EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *The Lancet*, 393, 447–492. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31788-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31788-4)
- Wilson, T. D., Centerbar, D. B., Kermer, D. A., & Gilbert, D. T. (2005). The Pleasures of Uncertainty: Prolonging Positive Moods in Ways People Do Not Anticipate. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88(1), 5–21. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.88.1.5>
- Wingen, T., Berkessel, J. B., & Englich, B. (2019). No Replication, No Trust? How Low Replicability Influences Trust in Psychology. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 194855061987741. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550619877412>
- Witte, K., & Allen, M. (2000). A Meta-Analysis of Fear Appeals: Implications for Effective Public Health Campaigns. *Health Education & Behavior*, 27(5), 591–615. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109019810002700506>
- Wojciszke, B., Bazinska, R., & Jaworski, M. (1998). On the Dominance of Moral Categories in Impression Formation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 24(12), 1251–1263. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672982412001>
- Wood, D., Harms, P. D., Lowman, G. H., & DeSimone, J. A. (2017). Response Speed and Response Consistency as Mutually Validating Indicators of Data Quality in Online Samples. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 8(4), 454–464. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550617703168>
- Wood, S. N. (2017). *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R*. CRC Press.
- Woods, A. (2012). From cruelty to welfare: The emergence of farm animal welfare in Britain, 1964–71. *Endeavour*, 36(1), 14–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.endeavour.2011.10.003>
- Wrosch, C., Heckhausen, J., & Lachman, M. E. (2000). Primary and secondary control strategies for managing health and financial stress across adulthood. *Psychology and Aging*, 15(3), 387–399. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.15.3.387>
- Wulff, D. U., Mergenthaler-Canseco, M., & Hertwig, R. (2018). A meta-analytic review of two modes of learning and the description-experience gap. *Psychological Bulletin*, 144(2), 140–176. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000115>
- Wynes, S., & Nicholas, K. A. (2017). The climate mitigation gap: Education and government recommendations miss the most effective individual actions. *Environmental Research Letters*, 12(7). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa7541>
- Yarkoni, T. (2022). The generalizability crisis. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 45, 1–78. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X20001685>
- Yzerbyt, V. Y., Muller, D., & Judd, C. M. (2004). Adjusting researchers' approach to adjustment: On the use of covariates when testing interactions. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 40(3), 424–431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2003.10.001>
- Zanna, M. P., & Aziza, C. (1976). On the interaction of repression-sensitization and attention in resolving cognitive dissonance. *Journal of Personality*, 44(4), 577–593. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.1976.tb00139.x>
- Zhu, Y., He, G., & Gao, L. (2022). Complementarity or substitution? The interaction effects between knowledge and trust on public attitudes toward genetic modification. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 34(3), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edac027>
- Zivot, E., & Wang, J. (2003). *Modeling Financial Time Series with S-Plus®*. Springer New York. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-21763-5>

- Zizzo, D. J. (2010). Experimenter demand effects in economic experiments. *Experimental Economics*, 13(1), 75–98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10683-009-9230-z>
- Zoppolat, G., & Righetti, F. (2024). It's Complicated: The Good and Bad of Ambivalence in Romantic Relationships. *Emotion*.
- Zoppolat, G., Righetti, F., Faure, R., & Schneider, I. K. (2023). A Systematic Study of Ambivalence and Well-Being in Romantic Relationships. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 194855062311655. <https://doi.org/10.1177/19485506231165585>
- Zur, I., & Klöckner, C. (2014). Individual motivations for limiting meat consumption. *British Food Journal*, 116(4), 629–642. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-08-2012-0193>
- Zwicker, M. V., Nohlen, H. U., Dalege, J., Gruter, G.-J. M., & van Harreveld, F. (2020). Applying an attitude network approach to consumer behaviour towards plastic. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 101433. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2020.101433>