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ABSTRACT

We present the results of the most sensitive and comprehensive survey yet undertaken for radio pulsars and fast transients in the Andromeda galaxy (M31) and its satellites, using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) at a central frequency of 328 MHz. We used the WSRT in a special configuration called 8gr8 (eight-grate) mode, which provides a large instantaneous field of view, about 5 deg2 pointing−1, with good sensitivity, long dwell times (up to 8 h pointing−1) and good spatial resolution (a few arcminutes) for locating sources. We have searched for both periodicities and single pulses in our data, aiming to detect bright, persistent radio pulsars and rotating radio transients (RRATs) of either Galactic or extragalactic origin. Our searches did not reveal any confirmed periodic signals or bright single bursts from (potentially) cosmological distances. However, we do report the detection of several single-pulse events, some repeating at the same dispersion measure, which could potentially originate from neutron stars in M31. One in particular was seen multiple times, including a burst of six pulses in 2000 s, at a dispersion measure of 54.7 pc cm−3, which potentially places the origin of this source outside our Galaxy. Our results are compared to a range of hypothetical populations of pulsars and RRATs in M31 and allow us to constrain the luminosity function of pulsars in M31. They also show that, unless the pulsar population in M31 is much dimmer than in our Galaxy, there is no need to invoke any violation of the inverse square law for pulsar fluxes.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Extragalactic radio pulsars, i.e. pulsars located in galaxies beyond our own Galaxy and its satellites, have yet to be detected. This is primarily because they are at least 100 times more distant than the majority of the known population of radio pulsars in our Galaxy. To date, the most distant pulsars detected have been found inside the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) and the Small Magellanic Cloud, located at distances of 49 and 57 kpc, respectively (e.g. Manchester et al. 2006). Several attempts to detect extragalactic radio pulsars have been made in the past. Linscott & Erkes (1980) reported the detection of highly dispersed radio pulses from M87 with a duration of 50 ms. These findings, however, were not confirmed by Hankins et al. (1981), McCulloch et al. (1981) or Taylor, Backus & Damashek (1981).

The brightest radio bursts known are the giant pulses seen from the Crab pulsar (Cordes et al. 2004) and a handful of other neutron stars. These pulses are very narrow (in some cases with structure still unresolved at 2-ns time resolution) and very bright (>10⁵ Jy) as reported by Hankins et al. (2003). Due to their brightness, giant pulses may in principle be detected in other galaxies, and McLaughlin & Cordes (2003) performed searches for giant pulses from M33, the LMC, NGC 253, NGC 300, Fornax, NGC 6300 and NGC 7793, but with no confirmed detections. More recently, Bhat et al. (2011) undertook a search for pulsars in M33 at 1400 MHz, also with null results.

Generally, pulsar surveys use two complementary search techniques: Fourier-based periodicity searches as well as searches for dispersed single pulses. Periodicity searches are poorly...
optimized for sources that exhibit pulses with a wide range of intensities, as comprehensively discussed by Cordes & McLaughlin (2003). Single-pulse searches can be more powerful than periodicity searches if the source emits bright but rare pulses and/or shows a shallow power-law pulse energy distribution. In these searches, individual bright, dispersed pulses are recorded and subsequently analysed to establish a possible underlying periodicity, which does not necessarily show up in a periodicity search.

In recent years, single-pulse search methods have become standard practice and are now employed in most of the ongoing pulsar surveys. This has resulted in two important discoveries. The first is the population of rotating radio transients (RRATs; McLaughlin et al. 2006), objects which are characterized by their sporadic, bright radio pulses, and which possibly represent a subset of the total radio pulsar population. McLaughlin et al. (2006) show that RRATs are among the most luminous radio sources known when they emit \( L_{1400} \approx 1-3.6 \text{ Jy kpc}^2 \), though they are still weaker than the giant pulses seen from, for example, the Crab pulsar and PSR B1937+21. RRATs can account for a considerable fraction of the active radio-emitting neutron stars in our Galaxy, and their high luminosity may make it possible to detect them in nearby galaxies. The second discovery is the detection of what appears to be a single radio pulse of extragalactic origin: the ‘Lorimer burst’ (Lorimer et al. 2007), an extremely bright \( S_{1400} \approx 30 \text{ Jy} \), around 5-ms-wide pulse from a high Galactic latitude and with a high dispersion measure (DM) of about 375 pc cm\(^{-3}\), both of which suggest an origin well beyond our galaxy \((d > 1 \text{ Gpc})\). Such a burst could, for example, be associated with the coalescence of two neutron stars (Hansen & Lyutikov 2001) or the evaporation of a black hole (Rees 1977). Other detections of this kind (Keane et al. 2011) with other observatories and, especially, where the source position can be better localized, would help elucidate the true nature of this phenomenon.

The search for extragalactic pulsars is motivated by gaining a better understanding of galactic pulsar populations, especially when the star formation rate and stellar evolution history differ from those in our Galaxy. The sensitivity of current radio telescopes is such that we are in a position to detect only the most luminous pulsars in the nearby galaxies of our Local Group. The direct comparison of a pulsar location with that of the known supernova remnants in M31, such as those found by Gelfand, Lazio & Gaensler (2005), would be difficult due to the small angular size of these remnants. However, if the pulsar age is also determined, associations may be possible if the supernova remnants are still bright (Narayan & Schaudt 1988). This correlation can help determine the formation ratio of rotation-powered pulsars versus other manifestations of neutron stars like magnetars and other compact objects like black holes in nearby galaxies. By measuring the DM of sources in M31 and comparing them with the models of free electrons for our Galaxy, we can estimate limits on the contributions to the DM due to the interstellar medium in M31, assuming that we know the contribution due to our own galaxy, within the limits of accuracy of the NE2001 model of Cordes & Lazio (2002) (the contribution of the intergalactic medium is likely to be negligible). Finally, by measuring the rotation measure (RM) it might also be possible to obtain an estimate of the magnetic field along the line of sight to Andromeda.

The detection of pulsars in other Local Group galaxies is challenging, however. In the case of M31, the disc of the galaxy covers a significant area of the sky \((190 \times 60 \text{ arcmin}^2)\), and the distance of \(\sim 778 \text{ kpc} \) (Karachentsev et al. 2004) means that any pulsar signal is likely to be extremely faint. An effective search also requires long dwell times in order to catch rare, bright bursts. A deep search of M31 can be achieved by using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) in a special mode called 8gr8, which makes optimal use of the array’s linear configuration, in combination with the Pulsar Machine (PuMa; Voûte et al. 2002) backend. The 8gr8 mode provides an \( \sim 2.5 \text{ wide field of view (FoV)} \), that of the primary beam of the individual 25-m WSRT dishes, as well as the full sensitivity and angular resolution of all the dishes combined in a tied array. This enables the possibility of locating new sources with good accuracy (a few arcminutes) if the source emits bright periodic pulses or, at least, multiple bright bursts at different hour angles.

In this paper, we present a search of the Andromeda galaxy (M31) and its satellites (M32, M110 and a few other dwarf galaxies). In Section 2 we describe the observations and the 8gr8 technique; in Section 3 we present the data analysis and describe the search methodology; in the following sections we present the search results; and in Section 5 we compare our results to our current understanding of the luminosity distributions of pulsars and RRATs in our own Galaxy. Lastly, our conclusions are presented in Section 6.

2 WSRT 8gr8 OBSERVATIONS

We observed two main pointings along the disc of M31 (solid circles of Fig. 1) and later also one complementary pointing centred on the core of the galaxy (dashed circle of Fig. 1). Each of the main pointings was observed for 32 h in total, divided into four 8-h observations, separated by intervals of approximately 1 d. The complementary pointing was observed for 16 h in two 8-h sessions at a later epoch. We recorded two polarizations (summed in quadrature), each with 10 MHz of bandwidth at a centre frequency of 328 MHz. The digital filter-bank PuMa (Voûte et al. 2002) was used to write spectra with 256 frequency channels and \( t_c = 409.6 \text{ ms} \) sampling, producing \( 2^{26} \) samples per observation. Table 1 summarizes these observations, which were performed in 2005 October using the WSRT in 8gr8 mode (see also Janssen et al. 2009). In this mode, 12 dishes of 25 m in diameter, and equally spaced by 144 m, are

![Figure 1](http://mnras.oxfordjournals.org/) A Sloan Digital Sky Survey image of M31. The circles represent the half-power beam width of the individual WSRT dishes at a frequency of 328 MHz, which cover approximately 5 deg\(^2\) each. The solid lines represent the two main pointings of this survey, PNT01 (south) and PNT02 (north), while the dotted line represents PNT03, a complementary pointing centred on the core of M31 (see Table 1 for reference). M32 and M110 also fit within the beams (see labels on the figure).
used to form an array with the equivalent collecting area of a single 74-m dish.

When the signals of the 12 dishes are coherently added, having corrected for instrumental and geometrical phase delays, we obtain an elliptically shaped fan beam. The major axis of the fan beam spans the entire primary beam of the 25-m dishes, and the minor axis is inversely proportional to the separation of the furthest dishes. Due to the regular spacing of the dishes along the east–west axis, they produce a grating response on the sky, with parallel fan beams equally spaced across the primary beam and separated by $c/(B\nu)$ radians, where $B$ is the projected baseline between the dishes, $\nu$ is the observing frequency and $c$ is the speed of light. Each such collection of fan beams is referred to as a ‘grating group’ from now on. Since the WSRT has eight independent signal chains, which can each have their own separate phase-tracking centre, we can simultaneously form and record eight grating groups on the sky.

These grating groups allow us to almost fully tessellate the entire primary beam. At a later stage, the eight grating groups can be linearly combined in software into $N_{\text{total}}$ elliptical sub-beams to cover the entire FoV of the primary beam by making use of the fact that the grating groups rotate relative to the sky during the observation. Each of the sub-beams covers an angular size of approximately $\sim 1.8$ arcmin, at an observing frequency of 328 MHz, and is spaced in such a way as to overlap with adjacent sub-beams at the half-power point. The total number of sub-beams $N_{\text{total}}$ varies per observation between 900 and 1100, and depends on the position of the main beam on the sky (hour angle) and the length of the observation. Each sub-beam has a comparable sensitivity to a coherent combination of all 12 dishes. Each of these sub-beams is searched for dispersed, pulsed signals and single bursts. The sub-beams allow us to localize sources with modest precision when we have detections from observations made at different hour angles, since the different orientations of the fan beams allow one to localize the source at the crossing points of these beams. Having a large number of sub-beams also permits us to distinguish spurious detections from real ones because genuine single bursts will be detected in multiple sub-beams.

In the case of periodicity searches, a candidate should appear in multiple detection regions in an observation, as shown in the upper panel of Fig. 2. The number of beams in which the candidate is detected depends on the intensity of the source. For single-pulse searches, localization is more complicated because the source will appear in more sub-beams. A genuine astrophysical detection should appear in a number of sub-beams $N_b$ such that $N_{\text{max}} \geq N_b \geq N_{\text{min}}$, where $N_{\text{max}} \sim 1/8N_{\text{total}}$ and $N_{\text{min}} \sim 1$ per cent $N_{\text{total}}$, with the sub-beams making a specific pattern within the primary beam as shown in the lower panel of Fig. 2. Here we show the detection of eight pulses in total, divided into two groups of four pulses, each group from a different observation performed at a significantly different hour angle. A detection appearing in too many sub-beams or too many fan beams ($N_b > N_{\text{max}}$) is likely to be impulsive radio frequency interference (RFI). In contrast, a detection that appears in too few sub-beams ($N_b < N_{\text{min}}$) is likely to be a statistical fluctuation.

### Table 1. WSRT observations of M31. $N_p$ is the number of pointings at each position. The start times of the individual pointing are given in Table A1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pointing name</th>
<th>RA (J2000.0) (hms)</th>
<th>Dec. (J2000.0) (° ′ ′′)</th>
<th>$N_p$</th>
<th>Dwell time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M31 PNT01</td>
<td>00 41 30</td>
<td>+41 00 00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31 PNT02</td>
<td>00 44 00</td>
<td>+41 30 00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31 PNT03</td>
<td>00 42 45</td>
<td>+41 15 00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. An example of how sources can be localized using the 8gr8 method. The panels show two examples of beam plots. The upper panel shows the original and confirmation observations in which PSR J1937+2950 was detected using a periodicity search (position shown with a cross; figure taken from Janssen et al. 2009). These observations were each 2 h long and were done at hour angles HA1 = 138±24 and HA2 = 201±31. In the lower plot we show the original and confirmation observations in which single pulses from the known pulsar PSR B1946+35 were detected (position shown with a cross). These observations were done at hour angles HA1 = 174±45 and HA2 = 244±45, respectively. In both panels solid dots represent sub-beams in which the pulsar was detected in the first observation, while the open circles represent detections made in the confirmation observation. The sizes of all the circles are proportional to the S/N of the detections. In both cases, the two observations at different hour angles allow us to accurately locate the source.

### 3 DATA REDUCTION

As we describe in detail below, we searched for both periodic and sporadic signals. We first discuss the common elements of the analysis.

The NE2001 model (Cordes & Lazio 2002) predicts a DM = 55 ± 10 pc cm$^{-3}$ for a pulsar at the outer edge of our Galaxy, along the line of sight to M31, which lies well out of the Galactic plane ($b = -21°$). To detect sources located well inside M31, we searched

---

1 The systematic error on this predicted maximum DM is ill defined, but from other examples it is conceivable that this value could be off by 20 per cent or more.
a broad range of trial DMs from 0 to 350 pc cm\(^{-3}\). This DM range covers sources located within our Galaxy (DM < 45 pc cm\(^{-3}\)), as well as objects that might be located in M31. Our upper limit for the DM trials was set due to the fact that at this high DM the radio pulses are likely severely scattered at 328 MHz. We consider that any value of DM larger than ~45 pc cm\(^{-3}\) may indicate a source location in M31; assuming that the electron distribution in M31 is not too dissimilar to the one in our Galaxy, this DM range would allow us to detect sources reasonably deep into M31, even though it is inclined at only about 13\(^{\circ}\) to our line of sight (Simien et al. 1978). For example, an excess in DM of 10 pc cm\(^{-3}\) (above that contributed by our Galaxy) would imply a location about 1 kpc inside the outer edge of Andromeda.

Due to the large data volume, each 8-h observation was subdivided into chunks of 1 h for further processing. For each 1-h chunk, we prepared partially dedispersed time series for each of the eight grating groups. This was done using a modified version of the tree dedispersion algorithm developed by Taylor (1974), which divides the bandwidth of each fan beam into smaller frequency sub-bands where we assume the dispersive delay to be linear. From these, we form time series for all trial DMs (see below), each consisting of \(2^{23}\) samples. Lastly, a geometrical correction was applied before combining the data sets from each of the eight fan beams into a collection of sub-beams spanning the whole hour.

Each of these sub-beams was searched for periodicities and bright pulses. We searched 549 trial DMs, with step sizes of 0.348, 0.697 and 1.392 pc cm\(^{-3}\), each corresponding to the spacing below the first and second ‘diagonal DM’,\(^2\) located at DM = 83 and 249 pc cm\(^{-3}\), respectively. The DM step sizes were chosen so that the maximum error in the delay between the highest and lowest frequency channels respectively. The DM step sizes were chosen so that the maximum error in the delay between the highest and lowest frequency channels was equal to the sampling time. This search used the FSE code from the Parkes High-Latitude Pulsar Survey (Edwards et al. 2001), with single-pulse search extensions developed by us (see Section 3.2).

### 3.1 Periodicity search analysis

We performed a periodicity search following the same procedure used by Janssen et al. (2009), but adapted to the specific properties of our data. We calculated the power spectra for each DM trial of each sub-beam using a fast Fourier transform (FFT), removing frequencies known to be related to RFI. Interpolation of the spectra was used to recover spectral features lying between Fourier bins (Ransom, Eikenberry & Middleditch 2002), repeating the process after summing two, four, eight and 16 harmonics. All the spectral features with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) > 7 were recorded, later keeping only the signals that were detected at multiple DMs and appearing to be harmonically related. This was done to find the most significant candidates and to determine their DM and fundamental frequency. For each candidate, the initial period from the spectral search was optimized by folding the data at a range of periods, assuming a maximum error in the pulse frequency equal to the width of one Fourier bin. No acceleration searches were done. Typically, there were a few tens of candidates per sub-beam, i.e. about 30 000 candidates per pointing. Those combinations of DM and spin frequency that produced the highest S/N candidates were stored for later inspection. This process was performed on each of the \(N_{\text{total}}\) sub-beams. Once this analysis was completed, a list of candidates from the sub-beams was collated and those candidates with high S/N appearing in more than one sub-beam were stored. Later, these detections were compared with the lists from the other follow-up pointings, and those candidates that matched with similar periods, DMs and S/N were inspected by eye.

### 3.2 Single-pulse search analysis

We searched for single pulses following two selection criteria: (i) single, relatively bright pulses (S/N > 7.0) or (ii) multiple, fainter pulses below this threshold, but repeating at the same DM. We first discuss the general algorithm to search for single pulses, and then discuss the details of the two selection criteria.

As mentioned in Section 3, for each sub-beam dedispersed, time series are generated at all trial DMs. Finding single-pulse candidates simply consists of recording those individual events that show an amplitude above some threshold of S/N, which is statistically significant and reduces the number of candidates due to RFI. An extensive description of the method for these searches can be found in Cordes & McLaughlin (2003).

We developed a code to extract individual pulses from each of the time series. We first determine the statistics of the noise in the time series, which each contain \(N_{\text{samp}}\) samples. This is done by calculating the mean and the rms using an iterative process where the strongest pulses are removed until one reaches the expected number of spurious detections (\(N_{\text{noise}}\)) for a Gaussian distribution with probability \(P(x)\), given by \(N_{\text{noise}} = N_{\text{samp}}P(\sigma > \text{threshold})\), and then searching again for pulses above a \(\sigma\) threshold. This process keeps the mean and the rms from being biased towards very strong individual pulses. The S/N of a detection in the time series depends on the intrinsic width of the pulse \(W\) as S/N \(\propto W^{-1/2}\), where the pulses with the highest peak S/N will be those with a width similar to the time resolution of the time series. Therefore, we explored a range of widths, such that \(W_i \leq t_s\), by adding between 2\(^0\) and 2\(^7\) adjacent samples, corresponding to a range in time resolution of 0.4096–52.4288 ms. Each of these smoothed time series was then searched for single bright pulses, recording all the pulses that showed a peak S/N \(\geq 5\). For each pulse detected we record the DM, the arrival time of the pulse relative to the start of the observation and its S/N. Hereafter, we will call this information DM–S/N–time arrays. The S/N threshold was chosen based on the expected number of false detections \(N(\text{S/N}<\text{threshold})\) above that minimum S/N by assuming that the noise is purely Gaussian. For a time series, the number of expected false detections, above a given S/N, can be calculated using a relation shown by Cordes & McLaughlin (2003):

\[
N(\text{S/N}<\text{threshold}) \approx 2N_{\text{samp}}\int_0^\infty S_{\text{S/N}}\exp\left(-x^2/2\right)\,dx.
\]

For a full-resolution, 1-h data set, we expect \(N(>5\sigma) \sim 2700\) false detections from all the time series required to cover the 549 DM trials.

After searching the dedispersed time series for pulses above the S/N threshold, we created diagnostic plots like the one shown in Fig. 3 to better assess whether any of the signals were astronomical in origin. Such a plot was made for each of the sub-beams. When sorting/inspecting the diagnostic plots, we searched for both (i) single bright pulses (S/N > 7.0) and (ii) multiple, weaker pulses recurring at the same DM. As can be seen in Fig. 3, the signature of a single bright pulse appears in the top panel of the figure as a large circle (e.g. one can see RFI between \(t \approx 500\) and \(1000\) s), while the signature of multiple weak pulses at the same DM appears as a peak (in this case at DM = 54 pc cm\(^{-3}\)) in either of the two histograms in the lower-left area of the plot.

\(^2\) At the diagonal DM, the dispersion delay across a frequency channel is equal to twice the sampling time.
3.3 Single bright pulse search

We recorded all the single pulses with a $S/N > 5$ in the DM–$S/N$–time arrays for each of the smoothed time series, keeping only the information corresponding to those pulses that were detected in a reasonable number of beams ($1/SN_{\text{total}} > N_b > 1$ per cent $N_{\text{total}}$). For each candidate, we recorded the DM, $S/N$, time of the event, the number of sub-beams in which the pulse was detected and in which sub-beam the detection was strongest. We then searched for possible associations, i.e. other pulses occurring at the same DM at later times or in other observations. Those events that had high $S/N$ ($> 7.0$) but that did not show any associations were classified as single bright pulses.

3.4 Multiple pulses at the same DM

To search for multiple, potentially weaker events occurring at the same DM we performed a statistical analysis, searching for any excess of $S/N$ in the $S/N$–DM space. Any excess of $S/N$ due to real pulses would create peaks like those shown in the lower-left panels of Fig. 3. Detections showing features of this kind, and appearing in a reasonable number of sub-beams ($N_b > 1$ per cent $N_{\text{total}}$), were recorded in a list for further inspection. Since there are 900–1100 sub-beams per hour of observation, this produced a very large number of candidates. In order to reduce the number of candidates to inspect, we filtered the list by searching each candidate for ‘adjacent friends’, i.e. other detections located at adjacent DM trials and occurring at the same time. Cordes & McLaughlin (2003) quantify how the $S/N$ decreases when a pulse is detected at an incorrect DM. Narrow pulses will be detected in a few DM bins and therefore will show few adjacent friends, while broader pulses are detected along many DM trials. An example event with adjacent friends is shown in the lower-left panels of Fig. 3. These criteria were implemented to estimate the number of adjacent friends a signal of a given DM should have, given the $S/N$ of two adjacent detections. Once the data were filtered in this way, the remaining diagnostic plots were inspected by eye.
3.5 Radio frequency interference excision

To excise RFI from the single-pulse diagnostic plots, we inspected the DM–S/N–time arrays of each of the eight grating groups. Events aligned in time and appearing along all trial DMs in all eight data sets were flagged as RFI and recorded in a mask file, in order to excise those time bins when the single-pulse analysis was performed.

3.6 Sensitivity of WSRT in 8gr8 mode

For periodicity searches, the minimum detectable flux density is given by the modified radiometer equation as follows (Lorimer & Kramer 2005):

$$S_{\text{min}} = \beta \frac{S/N_{\text{min}} T_{\text{sys}}}{G \sqrt{n_p \Delta f}} \sqrt{\frac{W}{P - W}},$$

(2)

where $\beta$ is an efficiency factor $\sim 1.3$ related to the 2-bit sampling, $S/N_{\text{min}}$ is the detection threshold, $T_{\text{sys}}$ is the system temperature in K ($T_{\text{sky}} + T_{\text{receiver}}$), $G$ is the gain of the telescope (in K Jy$^{-1}$), $n_p$ is the number of polarizations, $\Delta f$ is the bandwidth, $P$ is the pulsar period and $W$ is the intrinsic width of the pulse. Using $T_{\text{sys}}/G = 140$ Jy, $\Delta f = 10$ MHz, $n_p = 2$, a dwell time of $t_d = 3600$ s and assuming a mean duty cycle of 5 per cent, we can reach a minimum flux density of $\sim 0.25$ mJy for a $S/N = 7$ detection.

Similarly, for the single-pulse searches, our sensitivity is given by the radiometer equation, assuming matched filtering to a pulse that is temporally resolved, as follows (Cordes & McLaughlin 2003):

$$S_{\text{min}} = \frac{S/N_{\text{min}} T_{\text{sys}}}{G \sqrt{n_p \Delta f}} \sqrt{W},$$

(3)

The minimum detectable flux density depends on the pulse width as $W^{-1/2}$, assuming a constant peak amplitude, so the sensitivity appears to improve with increasing width. As described in Section 3.2, we smoothed the data before recording the peak fluxes in order to detect pulses of different widths. The sensitivity curves for each smoothing are shown in Fig. 4 for a threshold $S/N_{\text{min}} = 5$. It is important to note that the sensitivity decreases for broader pulses because we have effectively added more bins above the threshold.

![Figure 4](http://example.com/figure4.png)

Figure 4. Minimum detectable flux density for single bright pulses as a function of the number of samples added, assuming a constant peak amplitude, so that sensitivity appears to improve with increasing width. The raw sampling time of our data is $t_s = 409.6$ µs and a minimum threshold of $S/N = 5$ was used. Each horizontal line represents the minimum detectable flux for each smoothed time series adding from top to bottom, $2^0$–$2^7$ samples.

![Figure 5](http://example.com/figure5.png)

Figure 5. The main panel shows only detections recorded with a DM $> 10$ pc cm$^{-3}$ (to avoid low-DM RFI), following the procedures described in Section 3.3. All the pulses presented here appear dispersed, were detected in many sub-beams and showed adjacent friends. The inset shows the number of expected false detections for purely Gaussian noise, i.e. not including detections due to RFI. The tail of this distribution is shown with the dashed line in the lower-left corner of the main figure. Note the vertical logarithmic scale.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Periodicity searches

As described in Section 3.1, we performed a periodicity search on each 1-h chunk of data. No periodic sources were found using this method. We note that there are no known foreground pulsars in the direction of our observations. The closest known pulsar is PSR B0053+47, located about 7.11 away from the centre of our nearest pointing. In this search, the minimum detectable period for a DM $\sim 50$ pc cm$^{-3}$ pulsar is roughly a few milliseconds.

4.2 Single-pulse searches

The single-pulse search produced a number of interesting candidates. As explained in Sections 3.3 and 3.4, we sifted our single-pulse candidates to look for both bright individual pulses ($S/N > 7.0$) and for multiple, weaker pulses occurring at the same DM. We discuss the results of each sifting method below. In Fig. 5 we show a histogram with the distribution of the S/N for the brightest pulses reported here. All these pulses were detected according to the methodology described in Section 2. The excess of detections above $7\sigma$ that match our selection criteria and that are shown in this plot implies that these pulses may have an astrophysical origin in the absence of RFI or instrument-related noise.

4.2.1 Single bright pulses

We have detected a number of signals, not obviously associated with RFI, with $S/N > 7$, DM in the range $10 < \text{DM} < 315$ pc cm$^{-3}$ and pulse widths of $1 < W < 27$ ms, as calculated from the (downsampled) time series they were detected in. We present a table of these detections, as well as the corresponding diagnostic plots for selected candidates with high DM, in Appendix A (see also Figs A1 and A2).
Table 2. Summary of the pulses shown in Fig. 3. These pulses all peak in S/N at DM = 54.7 pc cm$^{-3}$ and were detected in the same smoothed time series (pulse width of ~3.2 ms). The arrival times are relative to MJD 53707.72175926 (topocentric).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DM (pc cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Arrival times (s)</th>
<th># Sub-beams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>623.0975</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>658.2706</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>849.0459</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1345.6613</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1616.5904</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2617.5119</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 Multiple pulses at the same DM

Our search for multiple pulses at the same DM revealed a few events that satisfy the conditions described in Section 3.3. The most convincing detection is shown in Fig. 3, in which six weak bursts (5.1 ≤ S/N ≤ 5.8) were recorded during a single hour. The details of each of these detections are listed in Table 2. This is the most tantalizing detection made in our survey; we did not observe any other candidate with the same burst profusion. At this DM, other pulses were detected in the remaining 31 h of data, but these occurred only sporadically.

For a Gaussian noise distribution, and using the dwell times of our observations, the sampling time and the number of beams, we estimate that the probability of having a detection of ~5.5σ with adjacent friends is about $<10^{-7}$ and that the probability of having six bursts with adjacent friends is much lower (~$<10^{-7}$).

Using the PRESTO tool rrat_period, which performs a brute-force period search given a list of pulse arrival times, we determined two candidate periods based on the burst times listed in Table 2. This analysis assumes that the pulse period is >50 ms. If the actual period is less than this, then the time gap between the pulses is too large to unambiguously determine a period. Using all six arrival times, the best candidate period is 0.232 94 s, implying the following number of rotations between the six arrival times: 150.9977, 818.9964, 2131.9647, 1163.09577 and 4296.9455 s. Dropping the first, weakest burst, the best candidate period is 0.295 78 s, implying the following number of rotations between the five arrival times: 644.9923, 1679.0073, 915.9843, and 3384.0160 s. To check how robust these candidate periods are, we performed numerous trials in which we adjusted the pulse arrival times to arrive randomly within a 10-s window after the true arrival time. We then reran rrat_period. Most of these trials resulted in candidate periods that fit the randomized arrival times just as well as for the real data. Thus, we caution that the candidate periods we present here do not conclusively indicate that these bursts have an underlying periodicity.

To better test whether these bursts are indeed astronomical in nature, we compared the measured S/N of the sum of all six pulses, as a function of DM, with the expected theoretical curve (following equations 12 and 13 given in Cordes & McLaughlin 2003). Fig. 6 shows that the summed signals match the theoretical curve well. To make this summed profile, we added the time series of each pulse, using the peak of each burst as the fiducial alignment point. The resulting best pulse profile is shown in the top panel of Fig. 7. From this, we can conclude that the pulse width is comparable or shorter than the sampling time of these time series, i.e. ≤3.2 ms. The bottom panel of Fig. 7 shows the time series of adjacent DM
Table 3. The positions of our best four candidates detected using the criteria described in Section 4.2.2 for multiple pulses detected at the same DM. For each candidate we list the right ascension (RA) and declination (Dec.) of the best positions (found using the method described in Section 2), the number of bursts detected per hour \( N \) (incorporating the full data set), the DM, the inferred distance \( d \) using the NE2001 Galactic free electron model and the width of the individual pulses \( W \) corresponding to the time resolution at which the pulses had maximum significance in the search. The errors in position for RA can be up to 0.5 arcmin, while in Dec. they are 1.5 arcmin. The numbers in parentheses represent the uncertainty on the least significant digit in the DM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>RA (J2000) (°:m)</th>
<th>Dec. (J2000) (°:′)</th>
<th>( N ) (h(^{-1}) )</th>
<th>( N ) (pc cm(^{-3}) )</th>
<th>( d ) (kpc)</th>
<th>( W ) (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M31–BC1</td>
<td>00 43</td>
<td>+40 22</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>54(1)</td>
<td>≥2.9</td>
<td>∼3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31–BC1</td>
<td>00 44</td>
<td>+40 39</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31–BC1</td>
<td>00 43</td>
<td>+40 58</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>00 46</td>
<td>+41 26</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>00 44</td>
<td>+41 44</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31–BC2</td>
<td>00 39</td>
<td>+41 38</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>56(1)</td>
<td>≥3.2</td>
<td>∼3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31–BC2</td>
<td>00 39</td>
<td>+41 14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31–BC2</td>
<td>00 39</td>
<td>+40 30</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31–BC3</td>
<td>00 37</td>
<td>+40 24</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>63(1)</td>
<td>&gt;48</td>
<td>∼3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31–BC3</td>
<td>00 47</td>
<td>+40 53</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31–BC3</td>
<td>00 40</td>
<td>+40 40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31–BC4</td>
<td>00 45</td>
<td>+40 54</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>147(2)</td>
<td>&gt;48</td>
<td>∼6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31–BC4</td>
<td>00 43</td>
<td>+40 45</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. Beam plot of the bursts detected at DM = 54.7 pc cm\(^{-3}\). The size of the circles is proportional to the S/N of the combined detections. The highest S/N regions are located in the upper-left side of the plot (see crosses) and are RA = 11:62, Dec. = +41:44 and RA = 11:19, Dec. = +41:69, respectively. The large circle represents the approximate size of the primary beam.

and including all the detections, we find the best locations for the candidates described in Section 4.2.2 and listed in Table 3.

4.2.4 Follow-up observations

The candidates shown in Table 3 were followed up first by observations using the standard WSRT tied-array mode. These were performed at the same observing frequency and using the same number of frequency channels as in our 8gr8 observations. At this frequency, the WSRT tied-array beam has a radius of ∼3 arcmin, about half the size of an 8gr8 sub-beam. Each position was observed for approximately 2 h. These observations did not confirm any of the candidates. The low S/N of most of these detections makes differentiation between real pulses and spurious (noise-related) pulses very difficult, even though the pulses appear dispersed and are recorded in multiple sub-beams. This might introduce systematic errors in the inferred positions that are larger than the 8gr8 sub-beams, and hence it is entirely possible that we were simply not pointing in the right direction during the confirmation attempts.

In order to circumvent this problem, we decided to attempt confirmations with an instrument that provides larger beam size and greater sensitivity: the Green Bank Telescope (GBT) and Pulsar Spigot backend (Kaplan et al. 2005) at a centre frequency of 350 MHz. Each of the best positions was observed for 2 h, for a total campaign time of 16 h. The pointings observed with the GBT are shown in Fig. 9. We used a bandwidth \( \Delta f = 50 \text{ MHz} \) with 1024 lags and a sampling time of 81.92 μs. The GBT and Pulsar Spigot provided roughly a factor of 2 higher gain and five times the recorded bandwidth, meaning an improvement of a factor of 4 in sensitivity over the original 8gr8 observations. At this frequency the beam size of the GBT is 0.6. We reduced these observations using the PRESTO package (Ransom et al. 2002), applying both periodicity and single-pulse searches. From the burst rates cited in Table 3, we were expecting to detect at least one of these candidates, but no

4.2.3 Localization of the candidates

Due to the sporadic nature of the single pulses, and the linear nature of the WSRT array, we were not able to unambiguously locate any of the sources reported here within the primary beams. To unambiguously locate a source, we require at least a handful of bright single-pulse detections at different hour angles, as explained in Section 2. We show an example of this procedure for the pulses of the candidate M31–BC1 (see Table 3). Combining only the S/N of the detections listed in Table 2 we obtain two best locations for this source, which are shown in Fig. 8. Applying this procedure

trials, after being corrected for dispersion and spaced in DM as in our original search (see Section 3). The figure clearly shows the dispersed nature of these bursts.

In total we have detected 31 other candidates where weak pulses repeated with a lower burst rate than the candidate described above. From these 31 candidates, we report here those with the highest burst rate. Their characteristics are shown in Table 3. These sources have been detected throughout the area covered by our observations, and some were found where our observations overlap (see Fig. 1). They were identified as promising because of a significant overabundance of pulses at a specific DM. The S/N values of these pulses are in the range 5 < S/N < 7. The typical burst rate detected with the WSRT for these candidates was between 1 and 3 h\(^{-1}\). The DMs of these detections are generally consistent with that of an object located at least in the outskirts of our Galaxy and potentially in M31. For each of the sources listed in Table 3, we calculated the most likely location within the primary beam by combining the data from the individual pulses with the highest S/N in each observation. In Table B1, we present the brightest multiple pulse detections we recorded. These events repeated more than once at the same DM and with a similar pulse width.
In our pulsar population model, we simulate the distribution of pulsar periods $N(P)$, luminosities as a function of pulse period $L(P)$, and the distribution of pulse energies for each pulsar. Each synthesized population contains about 40,000 pulsars, which is based on simulations of our own Galaxy (see e.g., Lorimer 2009). In other words, we assume that the number of pulsars in M31 and our Galaxy is similar. We do not simulate millisecond pulsars because they do not represent the bulk of the population of pulsars in our Galaxy and, in any case, we had poor sensitivity to them. For the period distribution we use the function found by Lorimer et al. (2006):

$$N(\log P) = A \exp \left( -\frac{(\log P - B)^2}{2C^2} \right).$$

For the distribution of luminosities, we derived an empirical relation from a sample of 663 pulsars with known $S_{300}$, which we obtained from the Australia Telescope National Facility (ATNF\(^3\)) catalogue (Manchester et al. 2005). We then fit a log–log distribution with a normal distribution given by

$$\log(L) = D \log(P) + E + \zeta,$$

where $\zeta$ is a normal distribution centred at the origin with standard deviation $0.6$. The parameters of our population models are summarized in Table 4. An example of the period and luminosity distributions for one of our models is shown in Fig. 10.

For each pulsar, we generated a random pulse-energy distribution, which was either (i) normal, (ii) lognormal or (iii) a power law. These are the distributions observed in known pulsars (e.g., Cairns, Johnston & Das 2001; Weltevrede et al. 2006). For power-law distributions, the index $\alpha$ was assigned randomly, with values in the range $1 < \alpha < 4$. For the normal and lognormal distributions, the mean was assumed to be the mean flux density of each pulsar calculated from the luminosities given by equation (5), using the definition of luminosity $L_{328} = S_{328}d^2$, with $d = 778$ kpc (the distance to M31; Karachentsev et al. 2004). For the normal distributions, we randomly assigned a $\sigma$ to simulate the distributions of pulses in the range $100 > \sigma > 1$. For the lognormal distribution, we followed the same approach, assigning randomly a $\sigma$ that would produce a range of distributions. For each pulsar, we also calculated the number of pulses that we should observe in one of our 8-h observations (given by $N_p = t_i/P$). To build these models, the luminosities of pulsars at 328 MHz ($L_{328}$) have been scaled from those at 400 MHz, following the relation $S \propto v^{-\alpha}$, where $S$ is the flux at 328 MHz, $v$ is the observing frequency and $\alpha$ is the spectral index, assumed to be on average $\alpha = -1.82$ from Maron et al. (2000).

5.1 Detectability of pulsars and RRATs in M31

Here we consider the detectability of pulsars in M31 using the periodicity and single-pulse search techniques. This, in turn, is used to place constraints on the total pulsar population of M31. To do this, we constructed a simple population synthesis code to model the basic properties of a galactic pulsar and RRAT population. Using the sensitivity thresholds listed in Section 3.6 and our synthesis code, we model different populations to find an average expected number of detectable pulsars and RRATs. For periodicity searches we used integration times of 1 h, while for single-pulse searches we used observation times of 8 h.

5.1.1 Modelling pulsars

In our pulsar population model, we simulate the distribution of pulsar periods $N(P)$, luminosities as a function of pulse period $L(P)$ and

\(^3\) http://www.atnf.csiro.au/research/pulsar/psrcat/
\(^4\) http://www.as.wvu.edu/~pulsar/rratolog/
Table 4. Summary of the fitted parameters from our pulsar and RRAT models. The various parameters used are described in equations (4)–(8). The columns marked with $d^{-2}$ are the model fits using the standard inverse square law for flux as a function of distance. The columns marked with $d^{-1}$ and $d^{-1.5}$ show the fits for other assumed flux scaling laws, as explained in Section 5.2. The spaces marked with ‘–’ indicate that the models did not require those parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pulsar</th>
<th>$d^{-2}$</th>
<th>$d^{-1.5}$</th>
<th>$d^{-1}$</th>
<th>RRATs</th>
<th>$d^{-2}$</th>
<th>$d^{-1.5}$</th>
<th>$d^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>-0.467</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>-0.467</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>-0.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.710</td>
<td>2.710</td>
<td>2.710</td>
<td>679.31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>679.31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>679.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>-0.230</td>
<td>-0.211</td>
<td>-0.1931</td>
<td>-0.045</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
<td>2648</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>3.212</td>
<td>2.363</td>
<td>-0.0645</td>
<td>2.590</td>
<td>3.478</td>
<td>2.590</td>
<td>3.478</td>
<td>2.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.917</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.917</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. One example model realization for radio pulsars. The left-hand panel shows a histogram of the number of pulsars versus period. Our models cover periods ranging from a few tens of milliseconds to a few seconds. The right-hand panel shows the distribution of luminosities versus period. The dotted line represents the most luminous known pulsar in our Galaxy, PSR B1302−64, which has an $L_{328} \sim 37 \text{Jy kpc}^2$.
Search for pulsars and fast transients in M31

Figure 11. One example model realization for RRATs. As in Fig. 10, the left-hand panel shows the distribution of the number of RRATs versus period, based on the known periods of RRATs. The right-hand panel shows the distribution of luminosities versus period. The dotted line shows the most luminous RRAT known in our Galaxy, J1819−1458, with peak luminosity $L_{328} \sim 5170 \text{ mJy kpc}^2$. The luminosities shown here were scaled with frequency as explained in the text.

OR RRATs that were above the minimum threshold were recorded along with their fluxes $S$ and pulse-energy distributions. The results are summarized in Tables 5 and 6. They show in each column the average number of simulated pulsars detected for each assumed distribution. In the case of single-pulse detections, we also show the details of the power law and normal or lognormal distributions for those cases where we had a detection. A general result of our simulations is that normal radio pulsars can be more easily detected than RRAT-like objects.

Table 5 shows that we should be able to detect at least a few single pulses from normal radio pulsars located at the distance of M31 only if the intensity distribution of their individual pulses shows either a shallow power law ($1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$) or a lognormal distribution. This is the case for all known pulsars, which have fluxes that scale proportional to $d^{-2}$. As can also be seen in Table 5, if the population of pulsars in M31 would be at least an average of five times more luminous than the one in our Galaxy, our periodicity searches might detect a similar number of pulsars as our single-pulse searches. Thus, PSR B1302−64 with $L_{328} = 37 \text{ Jy kpc}^2$, the most luminous known pulsar in our Galaxy (Manchester et al. 1978), could be detected in M31 if it were five times brighter. Based on our model of the luminosity distribution, RRATs located in M31 are less likely to be found than pulsars, and only those populations exhibiting more than five times the observed luminosity of RRATs in our Galaxy and having individual pulses with fluxes distributed with either a lognormal or a shallow power law could be detected. We note that this result was obtained by assuming that the average spectral index of RRATs is the same as that for normal radio pulsars. If the average index is a factor of 2 less steep we might detect at least one of these objects in M31. We note that a source like J1819−1458, the most luminous RRAT known with $L_{328} = 5170 \text{ mJy kpc}^2$ (McLaughlin et al. 2006), would have to emit pulses about 25 times brighter to be detected in M31. It is important to mention here that the dwell time of the observations plays a key role in the detection of a single pulse; the longer we observe, the higher the chances of observing a bright pulse.

The fact that we have not detected any pulsars in our periodicity searches can be attributed to a few reasons. The first could simply be that pulsars are not luminous enough to be detected at that distance with the WSRT. If that is the case, these observations imply that there are no pulsars with luminosities $L_{328} > 185 \text{ Jy kpc}^2$. Secondly, the population of pulsars in M31 could be smaller than that of our Galaxy. This argument is supported by observations made at other wavelengths which show that M31 has a star formation rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Average # of pulsars detected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Periodicity search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>$d^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2×$L$</td>
<td>0 8 808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5×$L$</td>
<td>0 13 2445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10×$L$</td>
<td>2 80 7705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 375 145 65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Monte Carlo simulations for different luminosity distribution scalings (shown in the first column) for pulsars. In the other columns we show the number of pulsars detected using the different methods, namely periodicity and single-pulse searches. We did simulations using shallow ($\alpha \sim 1$) and steep ($\alpha \geq 2$) power-law indices. For each case we show the number of detectable pulsars using a flux law that scales as $d^{-2}$, $d^{-1.5}$ and $d^{-1}$ (see text in Section 5.2). The spaces marked with ‘−’ indicate that we did not model those conditions since they were intermediate between the lowest and highest luminosity models.
of 0.35 M⊙ yr⁻¹ (Williams 2003; Barmby et al. 2006), about a factor of 3 lower than in our Galaxy. Even though the numbers quoted here are uncertain, this suggests that the number of neutron stars recently produced in M31 is less than in our Galaxy. Further evidence in favour of a smaller population of radio pulsars comes from the low metallicity observed in M31, a factor of 2 lower than that in our Galaxy (Smartt et al. 2001; Trundle et al. 2002). Photometric surveys made in the disc of M31 have revealed a large population of old metal-poor stars (Renda et al. 2005) which may mainly form black holes when they collapse as supernovae (see Heger et al. 2003). Therefore, the population of pulsars in M31 might also be lower than in our Galaxy, with most of its members being too faint to be detected. Beaming could also cause us to miss the few pulsar candidates found by Gelfand et al. (2005).  

5.2 Constraining the \( d^{-2} \) flux law for radio pulsars

The ‘pseudo-luminosity’ of a pulsar at a given observing frequency (\( L_o \)) and the distance to the pulsar (\( d \) in kpc) relate as \( S_o \propto L_o/d^2 \). The mean flux density \( S_o \) is based on the integrated intensity under the pulse, averaged over the full period. The most widely used method for determining distance is the ‘DM distance’, based on the NE2001 model (Cordes & Lazio 2002) for the distribution of free electrons in our Galaxy (\( n_e \)). This model allows distances to be estimated to an accuracy of about 20 per cent on average for large samples but can give an error of 50 per cent or more for individual objects. Since the model describes the entire distribution of free electrons in our Galaxy, it is used to estimate distances to pulsars from their measured DM.

Singleton et al. (2009) made a maximum likelihood analysis using the observed fluxes and DM distances of pulsars. The conclusions of their analysis are that either (i) the distances are radically and consistently incorrect by factors of 10 or (ii) pulsars have a flux that falls off more slowly with distance than expected, following a \( \propto d^{-1} \) or \( \propto d^{-1.5} \) power law. This discrepancy in fluxes is explained by the presence of a component in the flux due to the emission of superluminal polarization currents (Ardavain et al. 2008). In order to test this claim, we performed simulations like those described in Section 5.1, but assuming that the flux scales as \( S_o \propto L_o/d^{\epsilon} \), with \( \epsilon = 1, 1.5 \) and 2, in order to predict the number of detectable pulsars at the distance of M31. Our detailed simulations paid particular attention to the luminosity of the sources and a range of different models for the single-pulse intensity distributions. The results are summarized in the Tables 5 and 6 for pulsars and RRATs, respectively. Our results clearly show that if the flux density obeys a \( d^{-1} \) law, then we should have detected many hundreds of pulsars. The fact that our observations and those made of M33 (McLaughlin & Cordes 2003) revealed only a handful of single bright pulses, and that our simulations using the fluxes scaled as Singleton et al. (2009) show a large number of detections, leads us to conclude that the flux of pulsars does not scale as \( d^{-1} \) or \( d^{-1.5} \) but simply as \( d^{-2} \) as always assumed (unless the pulsars in M31 and M33 are systematically far weaker than in our Galaxy). This argues that some other effect than distance errors or a modified luminosity law is responsible for the effect seen by Singleton et al. (2009). We suggest that the observed deviation may be caused by an unaccounted selection effect in the flux measurements of radio pulsar surveys.

5.3 What is the detection at DM = 54.7 pc cm⁻³?

The dispersed nature of the pulses detected at DM = 54.7 pc cm⁻³ and their similar properties (intrinsic width and peak flux) are consistent with individual bright pulses observed in known pulsars. The individual pulses show peak flux densities between 2.8 and 3.2 Jy and a luminosity \( >30 \) Jy kpc⁻² assuming they are located in our Galaxy. However, given that the DM contribution of our Galaxy may be as low as 45 pc cm⁻³, this makes it possible that these pulses originate in M31, in which case these pulses would be \(~70\,000 \) times more luminous. Neither the arrival-time distribution of individual pulses shown by the candidate at DM = 54.7 pc cm⁻³ nor the intrinsic width of the pulses is consistent with that observed in the Crab’s giant pulses, as reported in McLaughlin & Cordes (2003) and Karuppusamy, Stappers & Van Straten (2010). If these pulses would be giant pulses from a distant Crab-like pulsar, then we would have detected a similar or higher number of pulses per hour (\( N > 10 \) h⁻¹ for the Crab) in many, if not all, of our observations. Assuming the signals are indeed astronomical in nature, it is thus more likely that the source is showing normal pulsar or RRAT-like pulses in a burst, as has been seen in other known sources.

5.4 Detectability of cosmological millisecond radio bursts

Though we did not obviously detect any such events, the long dwell times of our observations provided the potential to also detect extragalactic millisecond radio bursts occurring at cosmological
distances and in the general direction of M31 (cf. Lorimer et al. 2007). Here we estimate the number of such bursts that could be observable in our data. Lorimer et al. (2007) estimated the rate of such events by using the properties of the Parkes Multibeam Survey over an area of 5 deg² (1/8250 of the entire sky) at any given time over a 20-d period. Assuming the bursts to be distributed isotropically over the sky, they infer a nominal rate of 8250/20 \( \approx 225 \) similar events per day. Based on those estimates, the expected number of bright single bursts per day per square degree, \( n_B \), is \( \approx 0.01 \) bursts d\(^{-1}\) deg\(^{-2}\).

We have observed for a total of 80 h (3.33 d) with an FoV \( \theta = 2.57 \) across. The area of the sky covered by the primary beam is thus \( \Omega = k[1 - \cos(\theta/2)] = 5.15 \text{ deg}^2 \), with \( k = 2 \times 3283 \times \pi \). Therefore, we estimate the total expected number of pulses to be

\[
n_{B-M31} = \frac{0.01}{\text{d deg}^{-2}} \times 3.33 \text{d} \times 5.15 \text{deg}^2 = 0.17.
\]

This implies that we would likely need to observe longer to detect such an extragalactic millisecond radio burst, assuming that a cosmological radio burst can still be detected at 328 MHz after crossing near M31. The bright single pulses listed in Table A1 are arguably not like the millisecond radio burst detected by Lorimer et al. (2007), because (i) they are not nearly as bright as the one reported there, (ii) we do not have precise localization of these sources and hence they may well originate in M31 and (iii) they are likely not at cosmological distances due to the DMs observed, which can be easily explained by the galactic medium of M31.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the most sensitive search for pulsars and radio transients ever made in the direction of M31. We present our conclusions below.

(i) We have detected a handful of single bright pulses with a DM that locates them beyond our Galaxy and plausibly within M31. Moreover, we detected a few repeating bright pulses that consistently show the same pulse width and DM. Some of them have a DM consistent with that of a source at the distance of M31, while others could be located within our own Galaxy.

(ii) We performed simulations using a simple synthesis code to estimate the properties of a population of pulsars and RRATs in M31. From our simulations, we conclude that the only means to detect pulsars in M31 with the sensitivity of the WSRT is by detecting bright pulses described by a shallow power law or a lognormal distribution and assuming that the population of pulsars in M31 has similar characteristics to that of our Galaxy. The detections reported here might be associated with pulsars or RRATs that show these characteristics. Normal pulsars are more easily detected than RRATs because the individual pulses of RRATs are generally not brighter than normal pulsar pulses.

(iii) As a consequence of observing with the WSRT in the \( 8\text{gr}B \) mode, we were not able to locate sporadic bursts to high accuracy within the primary beam. Further observations with shorter dwell times made with the GBT did not confirm these detections at the expected locations.

(iv) The fact that we do not detect any pulsars in our periodicity searches can be explained by the absence of extremely bright pulsars (\( L_{328} > 185 \text{Jy kpc}^{-2} \)) and/or a smaller population of pulsars and RRATs that show these characteristics. Normal pulsars are more easily detected than RRATs because the individual pulses of RRATs are generally not brighter than normal pulsar pulses.

(v) Our observations indicate that the flux of pulsars does not scale as \( d^{-1} \) or \( d^{-1.5} \) (as suggested by Singleton et al. 2009), but simply as \( d^{-2} \). Nevertheless, there is an inconsistency that possibly can be attributed to selection effects in the pulsar surveys which show a bias towards the detection of pulsars with low fluxes (Malmquist bias) or perhaps a combination of this effect with the distance of pulsars.

In the future, WSRT, in combination with the Aperture Tile in Focus (APERTIF) focal plane array, will allow for similar surveys to the one presented here, but with a larger instantaneous FoV and a higher observing frequency (1.4 GHz, which is likely better for detecting highly dispersed pulses). Future searches of M31 with Low Frequency Array (LOFAR) are also promising (Stappers et al. 2011), and the Square Kilometre Array (SKA) is likely to make the first detections of pulsars in the nearby galaxies visible from the Southern hemisphere.
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APPENDIX A: SINGLE BRIGHT PULSES

### Table A1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Sub-beams</th>
<th>DM (pc cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Distance (kpc)</th>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Width (ms)</th>
<th>Arrival times after start of obs. (s)</th>
<th>MID at start of obs.</th>
<th>Pointing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 pc cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>21 328.4872</td>
<td>53707.63924</td>
<td>PNT01 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>26 112.5439</td>
<td>53711.62849</td>
<td>PNT01 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>40 437.3452</td>
<td>53707.63924</td>
<td>PNT01 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>45 242.2158</td>
<td>53711.62849</td>
<td>PNT01 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>50 881.2345</td>
<td>53707.63924</td>
<td>PNT01 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 pc cm$^{-2}$</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>21 328.4872</td>
<td>53707.63924</td>
<td>PNT01 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>26 112.5439</td>
<td>53711.62849</td>
<td>PNT01 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>40 437.3452</td>
<td>53707.63924</td>
<td>PNT01 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>45 242.2158</td>
<td>53711.62849</td>
<td>PNT01 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>50 881.2345</td>
<td>53707.63924</td>
<td>PNT01 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The table shows the DM, pointings, and arrival times of the detected pulses. The columns display the name and the number of the pointing in which the detections occurred (for example PNT01 3 is the third pointing out of four pointings made with the name PNT01, etc.). The detections in boldface are shown in Figs A2 and A1 and are representative examples of pulses with DM ≥ 100 pc cm$^{-2}$.
Figure A1. Examples of the events detected as single bright pulses, which are summarized in Table A1. The panels show from top to bottom single bright pulses detected at DM = 104 pc cm$^{-3}$ ($t \sim 3436$ s), DM = 264 pc cm$^{-3}$ ($t \sim 2506$ s) and DM = 267 pc cm$^{-3}$ ($t \sim 2541$ s). In the left-hand panels we show, for clarity, the peak DM of each detection with horizontal dashed lines. The high DM of these detections suggest that they are located outside our Galaxy. The right-hand panels show the S/N versus DM plots. The x-axes of the right-hand panels go only to $t = 3500$ s.
Figure A2. Pulse profile of a single pulse detected with a DM = 139.41 pc cm$^{-3}$. The upper panel shows the time series at the DM of the detection. The lower panels show how the individual pulses decrease in S/N at adjacent DM trials both above and below DM = 139.4 pc cm$^{-3}$ (thick line). The high dispersion of this pulse locates it at a distance $>$48 kpc; therefore, the pulse plausibly originates in M31. The details of this detection are shown in Table A1.
APPENDIX B: MULTIPLE PULSES AT THE SAME DM

Table B1. Bright pulses detected more than once at the same DM during 80 h of observations of M31. We show the same parameters as in Table A1, including the arrival times of the detections (topocentric). We stress that these candidates are potentially detected from the same sky direction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Beams</th>
<th>DM (pc cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>Distance (kpc)</th>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Width (ms)</th>
<th>Arrival times after start of obs. (s)</th>
<th>MJD (2000.0) at start of obs.</th>
<th>Pointing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>25 736.9569</td>
<td>53707.63924</td>
<td>PNT01 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>20 047.8828</td>
<td>53713.62292</td>
<td>PNT01 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>&gt;48</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>31.376</td>
<td>53709.63368</td>
<td>PNT01 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>&gt;48</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>15 098.8447</td>
<td>53709.63368</td>
<td>PNT01 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>&gt;48</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>28 002.8715</td>
<td>53707.63924</td>
<td>PNT01 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>&gt;48</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>12 399.2815</td>
<td>53707.63924</td>
<td>PNT01 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>&gt;48</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>11 378.5616</td>
<td>53711.62824</td>
<td>PNT01 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>&gt;48</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>13.11</td>
<td>566.5972</td>
<td>53709.63368</td>
<td>PNT01 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>&gt;48</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>13.11</td>
<td>1938.6851</td>
<td>53712.62558</td>
<td>PNT02 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>&gt;48</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>27 776.636 314</td>
<td>53707.63924</td>
<td>PNT01 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>&gt;48</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>13 474.783 846</td>
<td>53707.63924</td>
<td>PNT01 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure B1. Pulse profile of two pulses detected at DM = 72.4 pc cm$^{-3}$ at different times, as shown in Table B1. For the two pulses, the upper panels show the time series at the DM of the detections. The lower panels show how the individual pulses decrease in S/N at adjacent DM trials both above and below DM = 72.4 pc cm$^{-3}$ (thick line). The high DMs of these pulses locates them at a distance >48 kpc; therefore, these pulses plausibly originate in M31. The details of this detection are shown in boldface in Table B1.
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