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Effects of subclinical diabetic neuropathy on sciatic nerve block

Based on
Subclinical Diabetic Neuropathy Increases In Vivo Lidocaine Block Duration But Not In Vitro Neurotoxicity.
Introduction

Local anesthetics are widely used to block nerve conduction for surgical anesthesia, or to manage acute and chronic pain. Unfortunately, local tissue damage, in particular neurotoxicity induced by local anesthetics, remains a substantial concern.\(^1\) This concern may apply even more to nerves with pre-existing pathology.\(^2\) Neuropathy due to diabetes mellitus (DM) may be a relevant risk factor for regional anesthetic procedures.\(^3\)\(^4\) It is the most common neuropathy worldwide, affecting a large proportion of diabetes patients.\(^5\) The relevance of diabetic neuropathy for daily anesthetic practice lies in the fact that because of their frequent comorbidities, diabetic patients are predestined to undergo many types of surgery under regional anesthesia. Results from some experimental trials suggest that diabetic neuropathic nerves are more susceptible to local anesthetic-induced neurotoxicity than healthy control nerves during peripheral nerve blockade,\(^6\)\(^7\) while another recent trial found no difference in toxicity when effects of spinal anesthesia were examined.\(^8\) Epidemiological data suggest that nerve damage following regional anesthesia may be up to ten times more frequent in diabetic patients as compared to the general patient population.\(^2\)\(^9\) The effect of isolated hyperglycemia on local anesthetic-induced neurotoxicity has not been investigated.

According to the “double-crush-hypothesis”, pre-existing nerve damage may further predispose nerves to suffer from local anesthetic-induced neurotoxicity.\(^10\) One pathogenic pathway activated in both diabetic neuropathy and local anesthetic-induced neuropathy is activation of the p38 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK).\(^3\) Neurotoxicity of the prototype local anesthetic, lidocaine, is mediated by specific activation of the p38 MAPK, while its inhibition significantly attenuates toxicity.\(^11\) Similarly, expression of the p38 MAPK has been correlated with the development of diabetic sensorimotor neuropathy in a streptozotocin-induced rat diabetic model, while its inhibition resulted in restoration of normal nerve conduction.\(^12\)

Further, it has been controversially discussed whether diabetic peripheral neuropathy affects block duration.\(^3\) Three studies investigated this in a streptozotocin-induced rat model, with one study demonstrating normal,\(^6\) and two
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studies showing prolonged block duration. In diabetic patients, spinal anesthesia has been reported to last longer than in healthy control patients. The present study therefore aimed to test in a rat model of Type II diabetes, the hypothesis that pre-existing subclinical neuropathy, but not isolated hyperglycemia, would predispose nerves to injury by local anesthetics. Our secondary goals were to determine length of nerve block, and examine potential neuroprotective effects of an inhibitor of the p38 MAPK, SB 203580.

Methods

Experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Austrian Federal Ministry of Science, Education and Culture (BMWF-66.011/0097-C/GT/2007) and the Harvard Medical Area Standing Committee on Animals (Boston, MA).

Drugs

For in vitro experiments, the pH of the lidocaine stock solution 1 M was 4.65 (in dimethylsulfoxide). The pH of the final solution added to medium was 7.38 for lidocaine 40 mM (Sigma Aldrich, Vienna, Austria), which corresponds to a concentration of approximately 1%. The concentration of dimethylsulfoxide (Sigma, Vienna) in treated cultures was not significantly higher than in control cultures incubated with vehicle only. The inhibitor of the p38 MAPK, SB203580, was co-incubated with lidocaine in selected cultures at a final concentration of 10 µM. D-Glucose (Sigma, Vienna) was prepared in a 1 M stock solution in distilled water. For experiments, we diluted glucose in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-medium supplemented with B27- and antibiotic additives to achieve a final concentration in medium of 5 mM (control) or 25 mM (hyperglycaemic). Incubation of neuronal cell cultures with lidocaine, with or without addition of SB203580 (Sigma, Vienna), was performed for 24 hours. This timeframe was used in previous investigations and, combined with the lidocaine concentration of 40 mM, resulted in approximately 50% of cell death.
Animals

We employed adult male Zucker Diabetic Fatty (ZDF) rats, a combined congenital / dietary inbred model of type II diabetes mellitus obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Sulzfeld, Germany or Wilmington, MA). The main pathogenic mechanism in these animals is a homozygous missense mutation in the leptin receptor (ZDF<sup>fa/fa</sup> genotype). ZDF rats develop metabolic syndrome, including hyperglycemia. Two types of ZDF rats were used: the genotype homozygous for obesity and metabolic syndrome (ZDF<sup>fa/fa</sup>, “diabetic”), and heterozygous normoglycemic animals (ZDF<sup>fa/-</sup>, “control”). The progression from pre-diabetic to diabetic state has been described in detail. Diabetic rats suffer from obesity, insulin resistance, and overt type II diabetes mellitus starting at 7 to 10 weeks of age. At age 12-14 weeks, ZDF rats develop slowing of conduction velocity indicative of diabetic neuropathy. Animals were used at 6 weeks of age for cell culture experiments into acute and chronic hyperglycemia, and at 12 weeks of age for in vitro and in vivo experiments into subclinical diabetic neuropathy. Until experiments, diabetic rats received water and irradiated Purina diet #5008 (Charles River Laboratories) ad libitum. This diet has been shown to most reliably promote the progression of metabolic syndrome in ZDF rats and has been used in previous investigations on diabetic neuropathy in this model, e.g.,

Primary sensory neuron culture

Dorsal root ganglion (DRG) cultures were obtained as described previously. Neurons were acutely harvested from (ZDF<sup>fa/fa</sup>) or ZDF control rats, which were euthanized by carbon dioxide narcosis according to institutional protocol (Animal Care and Use Committee of the Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Vienna, Austria). DRGs were de-sheathed and incubated in collagenase 5000 U/ml for 90 min at 37°C, followed by 15 min in 0.25% trypsin/EDTA. After dissociation in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) medium containing 10% horse/5% fetal bovine serum, neurons were plated in RPMI medium supplemented with B27 additives (1:100) and antibiotics (penicillin, 1000 units/ml; streptomycin, 1000 µg/ml; and amphotericin B, 25 µg/ml in 0.85% saline), all purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Neurons were allowed to adhere to
the glass floor of dishes coated with poly-D-lysine/laminin for 24 h. Poly-D-lysine was applied at a concentration of 0.1 mg/ml in distilled H2O and laminin at 7 μg/ml in RPMI solution. Cell cultures were kept at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

**In vitro model of diabetic metabolism**

After harvesting ganglions from healthy ZDF<sup>+/−</sup> control rats, cell cultures had 24 hours time to stabilize until continued treatment with glucose. Subsequently, we maintained control (5 mM) and high (25 mM) glucose concentrations for 24 or 72 hours to simulate acute or hyperglycemia, or diabetic metabolism as described before.<sup>14</sup>

**Inhibition of p38 MAP kinase**

To determine whether blocking of p38 MAPK would increase neuronal cell survival in neurons treated with lidocaine, we co-incubated some cultures with an inhibitor of the p38 MAPK, SB203580, at a concentration of 10μm.<sup>11</sup>

**Quantification of neurotoxicity in vitro**

We quantified neurotoxicity using the cytotoxicity detection kit (Roche Diagnostics, Graz, Austria). This assay detects lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) released from injured cells. We used sterile 96-well tissue culture plates, and incubated cells as described above with experimental drugs. Cell injury results in release of LDH, which is subsequently present in the culture supernatant. Supernatant is extracted and mixed with reagent containing a tetrazolium salt, which is cleaved by LDH to result in the formation of formazan after incubation at 30 minutes at room temperature under light protection. Detection of water-soluble formazan is done by determining absorption at 492 nm, interpolating values with low and high controls included in the kit. Plausibility control was undertaken to remove extreme outliers. Due to measurement variability, some LDH values resulted in survival values of just above 100%.
Peripheral nerve blockade

In vivo experiments were conducted according to a protocol approved by the Harvard Medical Area Standing Committee on Animals (Boston, MA). Neurobehavioral investigation was performed as described before by an investigator blinded to experimental group allocation.\textsuperscript{22, 23, 24} We performed \textit{in vivo} experiments on ZDF\textsuperscript{fa/fa} ("diabetic") and ZDF\textsuperscript{fa/-} ("control") rats. All rats were weighed before experiments. We tested nociceptive and sensory function with mechanical pinch with serrated forceps. Tests were performed bilaterally at all measurement time points. In male diabetic ZDF animals, a specialized diet such as applied in our animals results in hyperlipidemia and hyperglycemia by 8 weeks of age, and diabetes by 12 weeks of age (Charles River Laboratories). In functional tests, motor nerve conduction velocity as a sign of subclinical diabetic neuropathy is decreased at 12-14 weeks of age, indicating first underlying pathological processes.\textsuperscript{19}

Test animals were anesthetized with Sevoflurane (Abbott, Abbott Park, IL) during all procedures. Sciatic nerves were surgically exposed by lateral incision of the thighs and division of the superficial fascia and muscle as described before.\textsuperscript{21} A volume of 0.2-mL test drug was injected directly beneath the clear fascia surrounding the nerve but outside the perineurium, proximal to the sciatic bifurcation. We chose lidocaine 2\% (corresponding to approximately 80 mM) as described previously.\textsuperscript{21} The wound was sutured with 3-0 vicryl suture.

Motor function was assayed before injection, and after 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 120, and 150 minutes by holding the rat upright with the control hind limb extended so that the distal metatarsus and toes of the target leg supported the animal’s weight; the extensor postural thrust was recorded as the force (in grams) applied by each of the two hind limbs to a digital platform balance (Ohaus Lopro; Fisher Scientific, Florham Park, NJ). The reduction in this force, representing reduced extensor muscle contraction caused by motor block, was calculated as a percentage of the control force (preinjection control value 90–130 g). The percent reduction in force was assigned a "range" score: 0 = no block (or baseline); 1 = minimal block, force between the preinjection control value of 100\% and 50\%; 2 = moderate block, force between 50\% of the preinjection control value and 12 g
(approximately 12 g represented the approximate weight of the flaccid limb); 3 = complete block, force 12 g or less.

Nociception was evaluated by the nocifensive withdrawal reflex and vocalization to pinch of a skin fold over the lateral metatarsus (cutaneous pain) with a serrated forceps; the force and duration of this pinch was held as constant as possible. The extent of the nocifensive withdrawal reflex and vocalization were combined on a scale of 0–3 for each examination. Grading was as follows: 3 = complete block, no nocifensive reaction or vocalization; 2 = moderate block, vocalization accompanied by slow withdrawal or flexion of the leg; 1 = minimal block, brisk flexion of the leg, with some sideways movement of the body or other escape response and loud vocalization; 0 = baseline with quick nocifensive responses listed above. The contralateral limb was used as control in all experiments. We defined the time to complete absence of block (motor block and nociceptive block score = 0) as block time.

Statistics

We used ANOVA and if significant unpaired t-test to compare data between two groups. Statistical significance was assumed at $P < 0.05$. Bonferroni-Holm was used to correct for multiple group comparisons. SPSS 16.0 was used for statistical analyses.

Results

In vitro, acute or chronic hyperglycemia do not increase lidocaine neurotoxicity

The application of control or high concentrations of glucose for 24 hours prior to lidocaine incubation did not alter neurotoxicity. In comparison to control cultures and high glucose alone, incubation with lidocaine alone led to a reduction in survival of about 50% in both control and high-glucose cultures (Table 1). Co-application of SB203580 with lidocaine did not prevent lidocaine-induced neurotoxicity (52 ± 15%, $n = 16$).

In both cultures incubated with control medium or high glucose levels for 72 hours, lidocaine incubation led to a reduction in cell survival of approximately
50% (Table 1). Co-application of SB203580 with lidocaine resulted in a cell number of 50 ± 25% (n = 16, non-significant).

In vitro, lidocaine is more neurotoxic in diabetic than in control cells, and co-incubation with SB203580 reduced neurotoxicity

In neuron cultures from diabetic animals harvested at 12 weeks of age, control cultures had a viability of 117 ± 7 % (n = 18). Cultures from control animals incubated with lidocaine had a cell count of 64 ± 9 % (n = 33, \(P < 0.001\) as compared to controls), whereas neurons from diabetic animals had a cell count of 57 ± 19 % (n = 64, \(P < 0.001\) as compared to controls, \(P < 0.05\) as compared to non-diabetic animals after multiple-group correction, Figure 1).

Neurons from diabetic animals incubated with lidocaine had a survival rate of 57 ± 19 % (n = 64), and addition of SB203580 to lidocaine in neurons from diabetic animals resulted in a survival of 71 ± 12 % (n = 66, \(P < 0.001\) to controls, \(P < 0.001\) as compared to lidocaine in diabetic animals). Some non-diabetic cultures were incubated with lidocaine and SB203580, resulting in a survival of 66 ± 9 % (n = 33, non-significant as compared to lidocaine in diabetic animals).

In vivo, subclinical diabetic neuropathy prolongs lidocaine duration of action

At baseline, behavioural tests were not significantly different between diabetic and non-diabetic rats. In detail, motor testing revealed a force of 111 ± 12 g in control and 114 ± 12 g in diabetic animals. Nociceptive testing was 0 in all control, and 0 in all diabetic animals. In diabetic animals, motor block lasted significantly longer than in control animals (137 ± 16 min versus 86 ± 17 min, \(P < 0.001\), Figure 2A). Response to deep pinch was abolished longer in diabetic than in non-diabetic rats (128 ± 22 min versus 77 ± 10 min, \(P < 0.001\), Figure 2B). Response to superficial pinch was similarly abolished longer in diabetic than in non-diabetic rats (128 ± 22 min versus 81 ± 8 min, \(P < 0.001\), Figure 2C). All animals regained the values of the baseline behavioural test within a day. Return to baseline values for neurobehavioral tests was observed in all animals.
Discussion

The main findings of our study are that (A) \textit{in vitro}, lidocaine neurotoxicity is not altered by acute (24h) or chronic (72h) hyperglycemia; (B) \textit{in vitro}, despite reaching statistical significance, subclinical diabetic neuropathy does not appear to substantially increase lidocaine neurotoxicity; (C) \textit{in vitro}, inhibition of p38 MAPK, at least partly, reversed lidocaine neurotoxicity in diabetic neurons; and (D) \textit{in vivo}, subclinical diabetic neuropathy increases block duration without apparent adverse behavioral effects after the blocks resolve. However, we did not histologically examine these lidocaine-exposed sciatic nerves to address potential disruption of fiber integrity after behavioral tests had returned to baseline. In nerve cell cultures from non-diabetic animals, lidocaine resulted in a reduction in cell survival of about 50%, confirming results from previous investigations and corroborating the experimental \textit{in vitro} paradigm.\textsuperscript{11, 21}

\textit{In vitro, acute or chronic hyperglycemia do not increase lidocaine neurotoxicity}

We first sought to determine whether acute or prolonged hyperglycemia per se would be sufficient to increase lidocaine neurotoxicity \textit{in vitro}. Hyperglycemia alone did not enhance lidocaine-induced neurotoxicity. Even though a period of 72 hours has previously been described as sufficient to elicit first general changes indicative of diabetic metabolism in PC12 cell line cultures,\textsuperscript{14} this approach may not result in long-standing alterations characteristic of severe diabetic neuropathy which may predispose nerves to injury following exposure to local anesthetics. Using doses of glucose which reflect previous investigations seeking to simulate diabetic conditions \textit{in vitro},\textsuperscript{14} we were unable to detect a negative impact of acute or chronic hyperglycemia on local anesthetic neurotoxicity.

\textit{In vitro, lidocaine neurotoxicity is comparable between diabetic and control cells}

Secondly, neurons explanted from animals with longer-lasting diabetes (12 weeks) were compared to neurons harvested from non-diabetic animals with regards to sensitivity towards lidocaine neurotoxicity. The slight survival advantage of non-diabetic neurons observed was small, and, despite reaching statistical significance, appears not to reflect clinically relevant neurotoxicity. No previous investigations
have investigated the potential of neurotoxicity in neurons explanted from a genetically modified rodent model. The timing of neuron harvesting was chosen to precede development of manifest diabetic neuropathy. It is generally thought that diabetic nerves are more susceptible to exogeneous trauma / ischemia / toxins. However, the results obtained by us are not as pronounced as those reported previously in vivo by others. Two reasons may account for this discrepancy. Firstly, at the time of harvesting, animals had long-standing hyperglycemia, but presumably only mild subclinical neuropathy, while animals in other trials were pronounced neuropathic. Zucker rats reliably develop progressive neuropathy, so by choosing a later timepoint for experiments, we may have found a more pronounced neurotoxic effect. Also, a recent study in Type I diabetic rats subjected to repeated intrathecal injections failed to demonstrate significant neurotoxicity. Secondly, the model employed by us is a model of DM type II, reflecting metabolic syndrome rather than the streptozotocin (STZ) model used in previous investigations. It has been stated that there is no single best animal model for research into regional anesthesia in diabetic neuropathy. We believe the model chosen by us relates reasonably well to the clinical situation, in which the majority of neuropathic patients presenting for surgery are type II diabetics.

\textit{In vitro, co-incubation of lidocaine with SB203580 reduces neurotoxicity in diabetic neurons}

Physiologically, the p38 MAPK is a member of the family of mitogen-activated protein kinases, key regulators of eukaryotic cell regulation. p38 MAPK is activated following a broad variety of stressors such as environmental factors (cytotoxic substances, radiation, osmotic stress, heat shock), inflammatory cytokines (e.g. Tumor Necrosis Factor $\alpha$), and growth factors. P38 MAPK potently activates transcription factors and other protein kinases. Therapeutic inhibition of p38 MAPK activity has been shown to be of potential benefit in, among others, experimental nerve trauma, excitotoxicity, and growth factor withdrawal.

In the setting of diabetic neuropathy, activation of p38 MAPK (leading to neuronal degeneration) coincides with the development of functional deficits in a STZ-based rat model, while pharmacologic inhibition of MAPK greatly attenuates...
functional changes. Similarly, p38 MAPK activation occurs when primary sensory neurons are exposed to toxic doses of local anaesthetics, and inhibition of p38 MAPK leads to decreased neurotoxicity in vitro and in vivo. We therefore hypothesized that activation of the same neurodegenerative p38 MAPK pathway by both diabetic neuropathy and local anaesthetic aggravates neuronal damage. This should be most prominent when lidocaine is applied, because the latter selectively activates p38 MAPK in neurons. We found only minimally increased neurotoxicity when lidocaine was applied to nerves harvested from diabetic animals as compared to control animals. Inhibition of the p38 MAPK, at least in part, abolished this effect in vitro. Inhibition of p38 MAPK reduced the lidocaine-induced neurotoxicity in diabetic neurons, confirming our experimental hypothesis. Effects on healthy neurons were not statistically different. The protective effect of p38 MAPK in healthy neurons was smaller compared to previous investigations. Several factors may account for the unexpected finding that lidocaine neurotoxicity in control cells was unaffected by p38 MAPK inhibition. The model used in this study differs from that used in previous studies as it represents a different genotype of rats (ZDF versus Sprague Dawley rats or PC12 cell line cultures).

**In vivo, subclinical diabetic neuropathy prolongs lidocaine duration of action**

We next investigated influence of diabetic subclinical neuropathy upon lidocaine block duration. At baseline, we found no difference in sensitivity, supporting our model of subclinical diabetic neuropathy. When lidocaine was applied to diabetic nerves, it led to a longer-lasting sensory and motor block compared to wild-type controls. In a previous study using the STZ diabetes model, Kalichman and Calcutt showed that lidocaine exhibited normal duration of block in diabetic nerves. Our results are, however, in concordance with a recent investigation by Kroin et al., who demonstrated increased sciatic nerve block duration in STZ diabetic rats, using a slightly longer pretreatment time. In the same STZ experimental model in rats, spinal anesthesia was reported to result in a longer block duration in diabetic animals without a concomitant increase in neurotoxicity. In diabetic patients, spinal anesthesia was reported to last longer than in non-diabetic patients.
Chapter 3.3

The reason for increased block duration may be multifactorial, involving changes in nerve metabolism and physiology, and nerve fiber damage. Previous investigations had used open or percutaneous nerve block. We cannot exclude that the open approach resulted in inflammation around the nerve fascia, but in a recent experimental study, Kroin et al. found no difference in block duration whether nerve block was performed open or closed.

Limitations

Some limitations of the present study should be briefly addressed. First, our in vitro data cannot be directly correlated with clinical practice. As for peripheral nerve blockade (rat sciatic nerve block model), the drugs are applied to the axon rather than the DRG, making dissociated neuronal cultures an imperfect approximation. Moreover, glial cells, usually found in standard DRG cultures, could influence LA-induced neurotoxicity. We used lidocaine as the prototype amide-type local anesthetic. For peripheral nerve block in clinical practice, other drugs such as mepivacaine (short-acting) or long-acting local anesthetics are more frequently used. We suggest the ZDF model to be used to investigate other local anesthetics in regular clinical use, and to include a group of animals with even longer-standing diabetes to evaluate fully developed diabetic neuropathy, as well.

The ZDF rat has been described as a model of type II diabetes mellitus, but features other conditions as well, most notably obesity. In the ZDF model, male rats develop manifest hyperglycemia at 7 weeks of age. At the age of 12-14 weeks (the timepoint used for our experiments), ZDF rats feature an overt neuropathic deficit discernible by electrophysiologic investigation. We attest to the fact that we did not confirm these investigations in our test animals, such that presence of subclinical nerve damage was inferred from the literature showing a predictable clinical course of diabetes and complications, and a definable window when this damage appears. The ZDF model chosen to investigate the effects of long-standing hyperglycemia on regional anesthesia has been widely used in metabolic research. Nevertheless, a recent editorial highlighted the fact that all current diabetes models feature confounding factors. In the case of ZDF, it has been argued that it is more a model of metabolic syndrome than exclusively for diabetes, while the same applies
for STZ-induced diabetes Type I, which is confounded by hepatic and renal damage, and substantial behavioural changes. Our model is most likely more representative of clinical reality for anesthesiologists, in which patients with neuropathy due to Type II diabetes represent the most controversial patient collective.

Finally, we concluded from behavioural data that no overt neurotoxicity was observed in our model, without performing neurohistopathological investigations once tests had returned to baseline. Future investigations should include histological examination to corroborate our findings. We did not carry out neurobehavioural testing after tests had returned to baseline.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we demonstrate that in vitro, acute or chronic hyperglycemia per se does not increase lidocaine-induced neurotoxicity. We observed a small, albeit statistically significant, increase in neurotoxicity when lidocaine was applied to diabetic as compared to non-diabetic neurons, but the difference in our model appears small. The inhibitor of the p38 MAPK, SB203580, can at least partially reverse lidocaine-induced neurotoxicity in diabetic nerves. In vivo, block duration is substantially prolonged by subclinical diabetic neuropathy.

References
2 Hebl JR, Kopp SL, Schroeder DR, Horlocker TT. Neurologic complications after neuraxial anesthesia or analgesia in patients with preexisting peripheral sensorimotor neuropathy or diabetic polyneuropathy. Anesth Analg 2006; 103: 1294-9


18 Etgen GJ, Oldham BA. Profiling of Zucker diabetic fatty rats in their progression to the overt diabetic state. *Metabolism* 2000; **49**: 684-8


25 Zochodne DW, Cheng C, Sun H. Diabetes increases sciatic nerve susceptibility to endothelin-induced ischemia. *Diabetes* 1996; **45**: 627-32

26 Zochodne DW, Cheng C. Diabetic peripheral nerves are susceptible to multifocal ischemic damage from endothelin. *Brain Res* 1999; **838**: 11-7


Table 1
Survival in response to lidocaine after acute or chronic hyperglycemia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment group</th>
<th>Survival</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acute hyperglycemia (24 hours)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Control</td>
<td>105 ± 4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>S vs. 2 and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS vs. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Lidocaine</td>
<td>45 ±15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>S vs. 1 and 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS vs. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. High glucose</td>
<td>107 ± 6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>S vs. 2 and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS vs. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. High glucose plus lidocaine</td>
<td>55 ±13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>S vs. 1 and 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS vs. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic hyperglycemia (72 hours)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Control</td>
<td>103 ± 4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>S vs. 2 and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS vs. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Lidocaine (as above)</td>
<td>45 ± 15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>S vs. 1 and 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS vs. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. High glucose</td>
<td>102 ±7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>S vs. 2 and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS vs. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. High glucose plus lidocaine</td>
<td>53 ± 27</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>S vs. 1 and 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NS vs. 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: S significant NS non-significant.
Figure legends

Figure 1. Comparison of viability of dorsal root ganglia primary cultures of diabetic (ZDF\textsuperscript{fa/fa}) and control (ZDF\textsuperscript{fa/-}) animals. Cells were exposed for 24 h to control medium, lidocaine or lidocaine and MAP kinase inhibitor SB203580. Data are displayed as mean and standard deviation. Comparisons were made by means of student-t-test with Bonferroni-Holm correction. * delineates $p < 0.0001$; ‡ = $p < 0.01$; # = $p < 0.05$.

Figure 2. The time course of motor (A) and deep sensory (B) block in control and diabetic animals is displayed during 150 min after injection of lidocaine. Predefined four-point scales were chosen with 0 denoting no block and 3 complete block. Data presented as mean ± standard error, testing was done by means of ANOVA with posthoc Benforoni test. * denotes $p < 0.05$.
Note: For all nerve conduction tested a significantly prolonged block was seen in diabetic animals.
Figure 1
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Figure 2

A. Motor block

B. Deep pinch sensation