



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Increasing the requirements to show antitrust harm in modernised effects-based analysis: an assessment of the impact on the efficiency of enforcement of Art 81 EC

Lankhorst, M.

Publication date
2010

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Lankhorst, M. (2010). *Increasing the requirements to show antitrust harm in modernised effects-based analysis: an assessment of the impact on the efficiency of enforcement of Art 81 EC*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam]. Amsterdam Center for Law & Economics.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1 SETTING THE STAGE

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Subject matter	13
1.2	Structure of the argumentation	15
	Part 2	16
	Part 3	17

CHAPTER 2 BACKGROUND, METHOD, AND SCOPE

2.1	Introduction	18
2.2	A first introduction to Community competition policy	19
2.2.1	Competition policy and general Community objectives	20
	The European Coal and Steel Community	21
	The EC Treaty and Community competition policy	22
2.2.2	Art 81 EC, its components, and the scope of this work	23
	The elements of the assessment under Art 81(1) EC that are not addressed	25
	The object or effect to restrict competition	27
	The investigation of restrictions, countervailing benefits and ancillary restraints	30
2.2.3	Art 81 EC and non-competition-related objectives	31
2.3	The dividing line between Art 81(1) and (3) EC	35
2.3.1	Regulation 17/62 and freedom of trade	35
2.3.2	The <i>rule of reason</i> debate in EC antitrust	39
	The impact of the notification regime	39
	The call for a <i>rule of reason</i> in Art 81(1) EC	42
	The <i>rule of reason</i> in practice	46
2.4	Modernisation and the generic objectives of EC antitrust	51
2.4.1	An overview of recent developments	51
2.4.2	Generic antitrust objectives	55
	Ultimate objectives	55
	Intermediate objectives	56
	Market power	59
	Ways to identify market power: structure or conduct	61
2.4.3	An overview of substantive modernisation	64
2.5	Approach and scope	68
	Legal certainty: modernisation as applied in practice	68
	Making the effects of uncertainty visible	70
	An alternative approach	73
	A final note on the scope of this work	74

PART 2
EVALUATING THE CURRENT STATE OF EFFECTS-BASED ANALYSIS

CHAPTER 3
ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTS-BASED STANDARD

3.1	Introduction.....	76
3.2	Efficient enforcement, the legal standard and decision theory.....	77
	The system as a whole.....	77
	The legal standard.....	79
	Decision theory.....	80
3.3	Calibration of the legal standard.....	82
3.3.1	Rulemaking: accuracy and timing.....	82
	Components of the rule making calculus.....	82
	Timing: rules and standards.....	85
	Errors in the application of standards.....	86
3.3.2	Dividing the burden of proof.....	88
3.4	Firm behaviour under uncertainty about the legal standard.....	97
3.4.1	Analysing the effects of uncertainty.....	99
	Binary settings.....	99
	Continuous range of options.....	100
3.4.2	Contracting in the effects-based field.....	105
3.5	Conclusions and implications.....	109

CHAPTER 4
COMPARING EU AND US *RULE OF REASON* ANALYSIS

4.1	Introduction.....	111
4.2	A brief note on the of comparison.....	111
	Comparing EC law with US law.....	111
	Comparative methodology.....	112
	Materials studied.....	114
	Structure of the comparison.....	115
4.3	EU and US law on restrictive agreements compared.....	116
4.3.1	The enforcing party's burden of proof.....	117
4.3.1.1	US antitrust.....	117
	The use of direct evidence.....	118
	<i>Eastman Kodak</i> and <i>California Dental Association</i>	121
	The required level of substantiation: a sliding scale.....	122
4.3.1.2	EC antitrust.....	124
	The <i>de minimis</i> doctrine.....	125
	The case law.....	126
	The Commission.....	132
4.3.2	The affirmative defence and the weighing of effects on competition.....	135
	Basic framework.....	136
	Crucial differences.....	138
	<i>Bass</i>	140
	<i>Van den Bergh Foods</i>	142

	<i>Master Card</i> (and the Commission’s interpretation of <i>Métropole</i>).....	143
	Comparison and implications for indispensability.....	144
4.4	Characterisation in terms of uncertainty.....	146

CHAPTER 5

THE IMPACT OF MODERNISATION ON LEGAL CERTAINTY

5.1	Introduction.....	153
5.2	The impact of substantive modernisation.....	154
5.2.1	The shift from a rule-based to a standard-based system.....	154
5.2.2	The guidelines and developments in Industrial Organization.....	156
	Early thought, Ordo-liberalism, and the Harvard School.....	157
	Chicago and game theory.....	162
	Implications for the usefulness of the Guidelines.....	164
5.2.3	Substantive modernisation in practice.....	165
5.3	The impact of procedural modernisation.....	168
5.4	The case for increased requirements to show harm.....	172
	Modernisation as practiced.....	172
	Some anecdotic evidence.....	174
	Raising the level of certainty.....	179

PART 3

IMPROVING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE EFFECTS-BASED STANDARD

CHAPTER 6

COSTS AND BENEFITS OF IMPROVING ACCURACY

6.1	Introduction.....	184
6.2	Improving accuracy: incentives under current conditions.....	185
	Incentives in the field of negligence.....	186
	Craswell and Calfee’s theory applied to antitrust.....	188
	Kahan’s theory applied to antitrust.....	191
6.3	Impact on different actors and complementary measures.....	193
	Countering a drop in deterrence.....	195
6.4	Conclusion.....	198

CHAPTER 7

A NEW AGENDA FOR EUROPEAN *RULE OF REASON* ANALYSIS

7.1	Introduction.....	200
7.2	Empiricism in the analysis of restraints.....	201
	Implications for the way restraints are analysed.....	203
	Implications for the guidance available to firms.....	208
7.3	Effects on enforcement costs.....	209
7.3.1	Transforming the Commission’s burden of proof.....	209
7.3.2	Effects on private enforcers’ costs.....	213
7.4	Compatibility with European antitrust.....	216

	<i>Métropole</i> seen in its context.....	217
	The implications of the proposal and changed circumstances.....	219
	Developments in the field of concentration control.....	221
	Developments in the field of abuse of dominance.....	224
7.5	Conclusion.....	226

CHAPTER 8

CONCLUSION

8.1	Summary.....	227
	The objective.....	227
	On the method.....	228
	Findings on legal certainty.....	228
	Findings on improving accuracy.....	229
	Compatibility with European antitrust.....	230
8.2	Broader implications and directions for future research.....	230
	Art 82 EC review.....	231
	Policy innovation, Remia, and the French model.....	232
8.3	Concluding remarks.....	233

APPENDIX A

	EU COMMISSION DECISIONS.....	235
--	------------------------------	-----

APPENDIX B

	US DISTRICT COURT CASES.....	239
--	------------------------------	-----

	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	244
--	-------------------	-----

	NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING (<i>Summary in Dutch</i>).....	261
--	---	-----