



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Increasing the requirements to show antitrust harm in modernised effects-based analysis: an assessment of the impact on the efficiency of enforcement of Art 81 EC

Lankhorst, M.

**Publication date**  
2010

[Link to publication](#)

#### **Citation for published version (APA):**

Lankhorst, M. (2010). *Increasing the requirements to show antitrust harm in modernised effects-based analysis: an assessment of the impact on the efficiency of enforcement of Art 81 EC*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam]. Amsterdam Center for Law & Economics.

#### **General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

#### **Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

---

---

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

---

- Ahlborn, C. and D.S. Evans (2008). 'The Microsoft judgment and its implications for competition policy towards dominant firms in Europe,' available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1115867>;
- Ahlborn, C. and A.J. Padilla (2008). 'From fairness to welfare: Implications for the assessment of unilateral conduct under EC competition law,' in: *European Competition Law Annual 2007: A Reformed Approach to Article 82 EC*. Ehlermann, C.D., and M. Marquis, eds. Hart, Oxford;
- Alexander, L., and K. Kress (1997). 'Against legal principles,' in: *Iowa Law Review*, vol. 82, p. 739;
- Amato, G. (1997). *Antitrust and the bounds of power: The dilemma of liberal democracy in the history of the market*. Hart Publishing, Oxford;
- Areeda, Ph. (1986). 'The rule of reason – A catechism on competition,' in: *Antitrust Law Journal*, vol. 55, p. 571;
- Arlman, S. (2005). 'Crime but no punishment. An empirical study of the EU's 1996 Leniency Note and cartel fines in article 81 proceedings,' master thesis, University of Amsterdam;
- Arquit, K.J., and C.E. Koob (2004). 'The consumer harm inquiry in recent cases: A required element of proof or an afterthought,' in: *Sedona Conference Journal*, vol. 5, p. 73;
- Arthur, T.C. (1986). 'A farewell to the sea of doubt: Jettisoning the constitutional Sherman Act,' in: *California Law Review*, vol. 74, p. 263;
- Bain, J. (1956). *Barriers to New Competition*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge M.A.;
- Bailey, D. (2003). 'Standard of proof in EC merger proceedings: a common law perspective,' in: *Common Market Law Review*, vol. 40, p. 845;
- Baker, S. and L. Wu (1998). 'Applying the market definition guidelines of the European Commission,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 19(5), p. 273;

- Barros, P.P. (2003). 'Looking behind the curtain – effects from modernization of European Union competition policy,' in: *European Economic Review*, vol. 47, p. 613;
- Bartosch, A. (2001). 'Von der Freistellung zur Legalausnahme: Der Vorschlag der EG-Kommission für eine "neue Verordnung 17",' in: *Europäische Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsrecht*, p. 101;
- Baumol, W.J. and J.A. Ordover (1985). 'Use of antitrust to subvert competition,' in: *Journal of Law and Economics*, vol. 28, p. 247;
- Becker, G. (1968). 'Crime and punishment: An economic approach,' in: *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 169, p. 76;
- Beckner, C.F., and S.C. Salop (1999). 'Decision theory and antitrust rules,' in: *Antitrust Law Journal*, vol. 67, p.41;
- Bergh, R. van den, and P.D. Camesasca (2006). *Competition law and economics: A comparative perspective*. Sweet & Maxwell, London;
- Bergh, R. van den (1996). 'Modern industrial organisation versus old-fashioned European competition law,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 17, p. 75;
- Bergman, M., M.B. Coate, M. Jakobsson, and S.W. Ulrick (March 2007). "Comparing Merger Policies: The European Union versus the United States". Published on the SSRN website;
- Bermann, G. A., R.J. Goebel, W.J. Davey, and E.M. Fox (1993). *Cases and Materials on European Community Law*. West Publishing, St Paul;
- Bishop, S., and D. Ridyard (2002). 'EC vertical restraints guidelines: Effects based or per se policy?' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 23, p. 35;
- Bishop, S. and M. Walker (2002). *Economics of E.C. competition law: Concepts, Application and measurement*. Xth edition, Sweet & Maxwell, 2002;
- Bishop, S. (2002). 'Unfinished business: The new approach to assessing vertical restraints,' in: *Intereconomics*, vol. 37, p. 12;
- Blake, H.M. (1960). 'Employee agreements not to compete', in: *Harvard Law Review*, vol. 73, p.625;
- Blecher, M.M., and J.R. Noblin (1998). 'The confluence of muddied waters: Antitrust consequential damages and the interplay of proximate cause, antitrust injury, standing and disaggregation,' in: *Saint John's Law Review*, vol. 13, p. 145;

- Block, M.K., F.C. Nold, and J.G. Sidak (1981). 'The Deterrent Effect of Antitrust Enforcement,' in: *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 89(3), p. 429;
- Block, M.K., and J.S. Feinstein (1986). 'The Spillover Effect of Antitrust Enforcement,' in: *Review of Economics and Statistics*, vol. 68(1), p. 122;
- Bork, R.H. (1978). *The antitrust paradox: A policy at war with itself*. Basic Books, New York;
- Bos, I. and M.P. Schinkel (2006). 'On the scope for the European Commission's 2006 fining guidelines under the legal maximum fine,' in: *Journal of Competition Law and Economics*, vol. 2, p. 673;
- Braithwaite, B. (2002). 'Rules and principles: A theory of legal certainty,' in: *Australian Journal of Legal Philosophy*, vol. 27., p. 47;
- Brennan, T. (2000-2001). 'Do easy cases make bad law? Antitrust innovations or missed opportunities in US v Microsoft,' in: *George Washington Law Review*, vol. 69, p. 1042;
- Brenner, S. (2005). 'An empirical study of the European corporate leniency program,' Working Paper, Humboldt-University, Berlin;
- Brenner, S. (2006). 'Does amnesty deter organized crime? The case of the European corporate leniency program,' Working Paper, Humboldt-University, Berlin;
- Brent, R. (1995). 'The binding of the Leviathan? The changing role of the European Commission in competition cases,' in: *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 44, p. 255;
- Bright, C. (1996). 'EU Competition Policy: Rules, Objectives and Deregulation,' in: *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, vol. 16, p. 535;
- Brkan, M. (2005). 'Procedural aspects of private enforcement of EC antitrust law: Heading toward new reforms?' in: *World Competition*, vol. 28, p. 479;
- Calfee, J.E., and Craswell, R. (1984). 'Some effects of uncertainty on compliance with legal standards,' in: *Virginia Law Review*, vol. 70, p. 965;
- Calkins, S. (2000). 'California Dental Association: Not a quick look but the full monty,' in: *Antitrust Law Journal*, vol. 67, p. 495;
- Calkins, S. (1986). 'Summary judgment, motions to dismiss, and other examples of equilibrating tendencies in the antitrust system,' in: *Georgetown Law Journal*, vol. 74, p. 1065;
- Calvocoressi, P. (1997). *Fall Out: World War II and the Shaping of Postwar Europe*. Longman, London;

- Camesasca, P.D., and R. van den Bergh (2001). 'Achilles uncovered: Revisiting the European Commission's 1997 market definition notice,' in: *Antitrust Bulletin*, vol 46, p. 146;
- Carree, M.A., A. Guenster, and M.P. Schinkel, (2008). 'European antitrust policy 1957-2004: An analysis of Commission decisions,' Working Paper No. 2008-06, Amsterdam Center for Law & Economics, University of Amsterdam;
- Caspari, M. (1987). 'Joint ventures under EEC law and policy,' in: *United States and Common Market antitrust policies*, Hawk, B. ed., Mathew Bender, New York;
- Cass, R.A. and Hylton, K.N. (2001). 'Antitrust intent,' in: *Southern California Law Review*, vol. 74, p. 657;
- Cavanagh, E.D. (1987). 'Detering antitrust damages: An idea whose time has come?' in: *Tulane Law Review*, vol. 61, p. 777;
- Clark, J.M. (1940). 'Towards a concept of workable competition,' in: *American Economic Review*, vol. 30, p. 242;
- Clarke, J.L., and S.J. Evenett (2003). 'The deterrent effects of national anticartel laws: evidence from the international vitamins cartel,' in: *Antitrust Bulletin*, Fall, p. 689;
- Coate, M.B., and J.H. Fischer (2001). 'Can post-Chicago economics survive Daubert?' in: *Akron Law Review*, vol. 34, p. 795;
- Collins, M.M. (2004). 'The burden and standard of proof in competition litigation and problems of judicial evaluation,' in: *ERA Forum*, vol. 5, p. 66;
- Cooper, J.C., L.M. Froeb, D. O'Brien, and M. Vita (2005a). 'Vertical antitrust policy as a problem of inference,' FTC Working Paper;
- Cooper, J.C., L.M. Froeb, D. O'Brien, and M. Vita (2005b). 'A comparative study of United States and European Union approaches to vertical policy,' *George Mason Law Review*, vol 13, p. 289;
- Craswell, R. and Calfee, J.E. (1986). 'Deterrence and uncertain legal standards,' in: *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, vol. 2, p. 279;
- Desai, K. (1997). 'The European Commission's draft notice on market definition: A brief guide to the economics,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 18(7), p. 473;
- Director, A., and E. Levi (1956). 'Law and the future: Trade regulation,' in: *Northwestern University Law Review*, vol. 51, p. 281;

- Doyle, R.W. (2004). 'Consumer harm after Dentsply: Not a toothless tiger,' in: *Sedona Conference Journal*, vol. 5, p. 65;
- Duso, T., K. Gugler, and B. Yurtoglu, (2006a). 'Is the event study methodology useful for merger analysis? A comparison of stock market and accounting data,' WZB Markets and Politics Working Paper No. SP II 2006-19;
- Duso, T., K. Gugler, and B. Yurtoglu, (2006b). 'EU merger remedies: An empirical assessment,' in: *The Political Economy of Antitrust, Contributions to Economic Analysis*, Goshal, V., and J. Stennek, Elsevier, Amsterdam;
- Duso, T., K. Gugler, and B. Yurtoglu (2006c). 'How effective is European merger control?' WZB, Markets and Politics Working Paper No. SP II 2006-12;
- Dworkin, R. M. (1967). 'The model of rules,' in: *University of Chicago Law Review*, vol. 35, p. 25;
- Easterbrook, F. (1984). 'The limits of antitrust,' in: *Texas Law Review*, vol. 63, p. 1;
- Easterbrook, F.H. (1985). 'Detrebling antitrust damages,' in: *Journal of Law and Economics*, vol. 28, p. 445;
- Ehlermann, C.D. (2000). 'The modernisation of EC antitrust policy: A legal and cultural revolution,' in: *Common Market Law Review*, vol. 37, p. 537;
- Ehlermann, C.D., and L.L. Laudati eds. (1998). *European Competition Law Annual 1997: The Objectives of Competition Policy*. Hart, Oxford;
- Eichengreen, B. ed. (1995). *Europe's Post-War recovery*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge;
- Ellwood, D.W. (1992). *Rebuilding Europe: Western Europe, America and Postwar Reconstruction*. Longman, London;
- Evans, D.S. (2001). 'Dodging the consumer harm inquiry: A brief survey of recent government antitrust cases,' in: *St John's Law Review*, vol. 75, p. 545;
- Faull, J. (1990). 'Effect on trade between member states and community-member state jurisdiction,' in: *Fordham Corporate Law Institute*, B. Hawk ed., p. 485;
- Faust, F. (2007). 'Comparative law and economic analysis of law, in: *The Oxford handbook of comparative law*. Reimann, M., and R. Zimmermann, eds.. Oxford University Press, Oxford;
- Feinberg, R.F. (1980). 'Antitrust Enforcement and Subsequent Price Behavior,' in: *Review of Economics and Statistics*, vol. 62(4), p. 609;

- Forrester, I. and Norall, C. (1984). 'The laicization of community law: Self-help and the rule of reason: How competition law is and could be applied,' in: *Common Market Law Review*, vol. 21, p. 11;
- Foer, A.A., and R.H. Lande (1999). 'The Evolution of United States Antitrust Law: The Past, Present, and (Possible) Future,' in: *Nihon University Comparative Law Journal*, vol. 16, p. 149;
- Fox, E.M. (2001). 'What is harm to competition? Exclusionary practices and anticompetitive effect,' in: *Antitrust Law Journal*, vol. 70, p. 371;
- Fuller, L.L. (1971). *The Morality of the Law*. (4<sup>th</sup> ed) Yale University Press, New Haven;
- Furse, M. (1997). 'Market definition: The draft Commission notice,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 18(6), 378;
- Gavil, A.I., W.E. Kovacic, and J.B. Baker (2002). *Antitrust law in perspective: Cases, concepts and problems in competition policy*. ThomsonWest, St Paul;
- Gavil, A.I. (2000). 'The future course of the rule of reason,' in: *Antitrust Law Journal*, vol. 68, p.331;
- Gerber, D.J. (2008a). 'Two forms of modernization in European competition law,' in: *Fordham International Law Journal*, vol. 31, p. 1235;
- Gerber, D.J. (2008b). 'The future of Art 82: Dissecting the conflict,' in: *European Competition Law Annual 2007: A Reformed Approach to Article 82 EC*. Ehlermann, C.D., and M. Marquis, eds. Hart, Oxford;
- Gerber, D.J. (1998). *Law and competition in twentieth century Europe: Protecting Prometheus*. Clarendon Press, Oxford;
- Geest, G., de, and R. van den Bergh (2004). *Comparative law and economics*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham;
- Gifford, D.J., and R.T. Kudrle (2003). 'European Union competition law and policy: How much latitude for convergence with the United States?' in: *Antitrust Bulletin*, vol. 48, p. 727;
- Gippini-Fournier, E. (2008). 'The modernization of European competition law: First experiences with Regulation 1/2003,' Community Report to the FIDE congress 2008, available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1139776>;
- Goetz, C.J. (1984). *Law and Economics: Cases and Materials*. West Publishing, Saint Paul;

- Goodin, R.E. (1982). *Political Theory and Public Policy*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago;
- Grady, M.F. (1989). 'Untaken precautions,' in: *Journal of Legal Studies*, vol. 18, p. 139;
- Griffiths, M., and S. Nüesch (2000). 'Modernising the treatment of horizontal agreements: An analysis of the Commission's proposals,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 21, p. 452;
- Groussot, X. (2006). *General Principles of Community Law*. Europa Law Publishing, Amsterdam;
- Gual, J., M. Hellwig, A. Perrot, M. Polo, P. Rey, K. Schmidt, and R. Stenbacka (2005). 'An economic approach to Article 82,' Report by the European Advisory Group on Competition Policy, published on the Commission's website;
- Gustafsson, M. (2000). 'Some legal implications facing the realisation of the Commission white paper on modernisation of EC antitrust procedure and the role of national courts in a post-white paper era,' in: *Legal Issues of Economic Integration*, vol. 27, p. 159;
- Harbord, D., and G. von Graevenitz (2000). 'Market definition in oligopolistic and vertically related markets: Some anomalies,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, issue 3, p. 151;
- Hawk, B.E. (1995). 'System failure: Vertical restraints and EC competition law,' in: *Common Market Law Review*, vol. 32, p. 973;
- Hay, B.L., K.E. Spier (1997). 'Burdens of proof in civil litigation: An economic perspective,' in: *Journal of Legal Studies*, vol. 26, p. 413;
- Heyer, K. (2005). 'A world of uncertainty: Economics and the globalization of antitrust,' in *Antitrust Law Journal*, vol. 72, p. 375;
- Hildebrand, D. (2002). *The role of economic analysis in the EC competition rules*. Kluwer, The Hague;
- Hofstetter, K. (2009). 'Applying the rules: Agency processes for enhancing cooperation with the private sector,' Working paper contributed to the ICC Roundtable in Zurich;
- Hogan, M.J. (1987). *The Marshall Plan: America, Britain and the Reconstruction of Western Europe, 1947-1952*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge;



- Holley, D.L. (1993). 'EEC competition practice: A thirty-year retrospective,' in: *Fordham Corporate Law Institute*, Hawk, ed., p. 669;
- Holmes, K. (2000). 'The EC white paper on modernisation,' in: *World Competition*, vol. 23, p. 51;
- Hovenkamp, H. (2001). 'Post-Chicago antitrust: A review and critique,' in: *Columbia Business Law Review*, p. 257;
- Hovenkamp, H. (1989-1990). 'The antitrust movement and the rise of industrial organization,' in: *Texas Law Review*, vol. 68, p. 105;
- Huber, P.W. (1988). *Liability: The Legal Revolution and its Consequences*. Basic Books, New York;
- Hughes, M., C. Foss, and K. Ross (2001). 'The Economic assessment of vertical restraints under UK and EU competition law,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 22(10), p. 427;
- Jacobson, J.M. (2002). 'Market power, consumer harm and exclusive dealing with distributors,' in: *Sedona Conference Journal*, vol. 3, p. 23;
- Joffe, R.D. (2001). 'Antitrust law and proof of consumer injury,' in: *Saint John's Law Review*, vol. 75, p. 615;
- Joliet, R. (1967). *The rule of reason in antitrust law, American, German and Common Market laws in comparative perspective*. Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague;
- Jones, A. and B. Sufrin (2007). *EC Competition Law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford;
- Jones, C.A., and M. van der Woude (2003). *EC Competition Law Handbook 2002/2003*. Sweet & Maxwell, London;
- Jones, C.A. (1999). *Private enforcement of antitrust law in the EU, UK, and USA*. Oxford University Press, Oxford;
- Junod, V. (2007). 'An end to parallel imports of medicines? Comments on the judgement of the Court of First Instance in Glaxo Welcome,' in: *World Competition*, vol. 30(2), p. 291;
- Judt, T. (2007). *Postwar: A History of Europe since 1945*. Pimilco, London;
- Kahan, M. (1989). 'Causation and incentives to take care under the negligence rule,' in: *Journal of Legal Studies*, vol. 18, p. 427;
- Kaplow, L. (2000). 'General characteristics of rules,' in: *Encyclopedia of Law and Economics*, Bouckaert, B. and G. De Geest (eds.), Edward Elgar, Cheltenham;

- Kaplow, L. (1994). 'The value of accuracy in adjudication: An economic analysis,' in: *Journal of Legal Studies*, vol. 23, p. 307;
- Kaplow, L. (1992). 'Rules versus standards: An economic analysis,' in: *Duke Law Journal*, vol. 42, p. 557;
- Kauper, T. (1997). 'The problem of market definition under EC competition law,' in: *Fordham International Law Journal*, vol. 20, p. 239;
- Kennedy, D. (1976). 'Form and substance in private law adjudication,' in: *Harvard Law Review*, vol. 89, p. 1685;
- Kenney, R.W. and B. Klein (1983). 'The economics of block booking,' in: *Journal of Law and Economics*, vol. 26, p. 451;
- Killick, J. (1997). *The United States and European Reconstruction*. Keele University Press, Edinburgh;
- Kobayashi, B. (1997). 'Game theory and antitrust: a post-mortem,' in: *George Mason Law Review*, vol. 5, p. 411;
- Kon, S. (1982). 'Article 85, Para. 3: A case for application by national courts,' in: *Common Market Law Review*, vol. 19, p. 541;
- Korah, V. (2007). 'Judgement of the Court of First Instance in GlaxoSmithKline,' in: *Competition Law Journal*, vol. 6, p. 1;
- Korah, V. (1997). *An Introductory Guide to EC Competition Law and Practice*. Hart, Oxford;
- Korah, V. (1992). 'The judgment in Delimitis: A milestone towards a realistic assessment of the effects of an agreement – or a damp squib?,' in: *European Intellectual Property Review*, vol. 5, p. 167;
- Korah, V. (1986). 'EEC Competition policy: Legal form or economic efficiency,' in: *Current Legal Problems*, vol. 39, p. 85;
- Korah, V. (1981). 'The rise and fall of provisional validity: The need for a rule of reason in EEC antitrust,' in: *Northwestern Journal for International Law and Business*, vol. 3, p. 320;
- Kraakman, R., P. Davies, H. Hansmann, G. Hertig, K. Hopt, H. Kanda, and E. Rock (2004). *The anatomy of corporate law: A comparative and functional approach*. Oxford University Press;
- Kratenmaker, T.G., and S. Salop (1986). 'Anticompetitive exclusion: Raising rival's costs to achieve power over price,' in: *Yale Law Review*, vol. 96, p. 209;

- Landes, W.M. (1983). 'Optimal sanctions for antitrust violations,' in: *University of Chicago Law Review*, vol. 50, p. 652;
- Lehmkuhl, D. (2008). 'On government, governance and judicial review: The case of European competition policy,' in: *Journal of Public Policy*, vol. 28(1), p. 139;
- Lugard, P., and L. Hancher (2004). 'Honey, I shrunk the article! A critical assessment of the Commission's notice on Article 81(3) of the EC Treaty,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 25, p. 410;
- Lyons, B.R. (2004). 'Reform of European merger policy' in: *Review of International Economics*, vol. 12, p. 246;
- Kon, S. (1982). 'Article 85, Para. 3: A case for application by national courts,' in: *Common Market Law Review*, vol. 19, p. 541;
- Manzini, P. (2002). 'The European rule of reason – Crossing the sea of doubt,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 23, p. 392;
- Marsden, P. and P. Whelan (2006). 'Consumer detriment and its application in EC and UK competition law,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, issue 10, p. 569;
- Martin, S. (2001). *Industrial Organization: A European Perspective*. Oxford University Press, Oxford;
- Marvel, H.P. (1982). 'Exclusive dealing,' in: *Journal of Law and Economics*, vol. 25, p. 1;
- Mattei, U. (1997). *Comparative law and economics*. Michigan University Press, Ann Arbor;
- Maxeiner, J.R. (2007). 'Legal certainty: A European alternative to American legal indeterminacy?' in: *Tulane Journal of International and Comparative Law*, vol. 15, p. 541;
- Maxeiner, J.R. (2006). 'Legal indeterminacy made in America: U.S. legal methods and the rule of law,' in: *Valparaiso University Law Review*, vol 41, p. 517;
- McArthur, J.B., and Paterson, T.W. (1991). 'The effects of Monsanto, Matsushita, and Sharp on the plaintiff's incentive to sue,' in: *Connecticut Law Review*, vol. 23, p. 333;

- McGowan, L. (2005). 'Europeanization unleashed and rebounding: Assessing the modernization of EU cartel policy,' in: *Journal of European Public Policy*, vol. 12-6, p. 986;
- McNulty, P.J. (1990). 'A note on the history of perfect competition,' in: *The History of Economic Thought*, Blaug, M., ed. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, p. 395;
- Michaels, R. (2007). 'The functional method of comparative law,' in: *The Oxford handbook of comparative law*. Reimann, M., and R. Zimmermann, eds.. Oxford University Press, Oxford;
- Montag, F., and A. Rosenfeld (2003). 'A solution to the problems? Regulation 1/2004 and the modernization of competition procedure,' in: *Zeitschrift für Wettbewerbsrecht*, issue no. 2, p. 107;
- Monti, G. (2002). 'Article 81 and public policy,' in: *Common Market Law Review*, vol. 39, p. 1057;
- Möschel, W. (2000). 'Change of policy in European competition law,' in: *Common Market Law Review*, vol. 37, p. 495;
- Möschel, W. (1989). 'Competition Policy from an Ordo Point of view,' in: *German neo-liberals and the social market economy*, Willgerodt, H., and A. Peacock. Macmillan, London, p 142;
- Muris, T. J. (2000). 'The rule of reason after California Dental Association,' in: *Antitrust Law Journal*, vol. 68, p. 527;
- Neely, R. (1988). *The product liability mess*. The Free Press, New York;
- Nehl, H.P., *Principles of Administrative procedure in EC law*, Hart 1999;
- Neumann, M. (2001). *Competition policy, history, theory and practice*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham;
- Neven, D. (2001). 'Removing the notification of agreements: some consequences for ex post monitoring,' in: *European integration and international co-ordination: studies in transnational economic law, Liber Amicorum in honour of Claus-Dieter Ehlermann*, Von Bogdandy, A., Mavroidis, P.C., and Mény, Y. eds., Kluwer, The Hague, p. 351;
- Neven, D., Papandropoulos, P. and Seabright, P. (1998). *Trawling for minnows, European competition policy and agreements between firms*. Centre for Economic Policy Research, London;

- O'Loughlin, R. (2003). 'EC competition rules and free movement rules: An examination of the parallels and their furtherance by the ECJ Wouters decision,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 24, p. 62;
- Olson, W.K. (1991). *The Litigation Explosion: What happened when America unleashed the Lawsuit*, Penguin, New York;
- Page, W.H., and R.D. Blair (1992). 'Controlling the competitor plaintiff in antitrust litigation,' in: *Michigan Law Review*, vol. 91, p. 111;
- Page, W.H. (1989). 'The Chicago School and the evolution of antitrust: Characterization, antitrust injury, and evidentiary sufficiency,' in: *Virginia Law Review*, vol. 75, p. 1221;
- Page, W.H. (1985). 'The scope of liability for antitrust violations,' in: *Stanford Law Review*, vol. 37, p. 1445;
- Patterson, M.R. (2000). 'The role of power in the rule of reason,' in: *Antitrust Law Journal*, vol. 68, p.429;
- Paulweber, M. (2000). 'The end of a success story? The European Commission's white paper on the modernisation of European competition law,' in: *Journal of World Competition*, vol. 23, p. 3;
- Peeperkorn, L. (2002). 'EC vertical restraints guidelines: Effects based or *per se* policy? – A reply,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 23(1), p. 38;
- Peerenboom, R.P. (2004). 'Varieties of rule of law: An introduction and provisional conclusion,' in: *Asian Discourses of Rule of Law*. Peerenboom, R.P. ed. Routledge Curzon, London;
- Petit, N., and M. Rato (2008). 'From hard to soft enforcement of EC competition law: A bestiary of "sunshine" enforcement instruments,' available at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1270109>;
- Pierce, R. (2001-2002). 'Is post-Chicago ready for the courtroom? A response to Professor Brennan,' in: *George Washington Law Review*, vol. 69, p. 1105;
- Png, P.L. (1986). 'Optimal subsidies and damages in the presence of judicial error,' in: *International Review of Law and Economics*, vol. 6, p. 101;
- Polinsky, A.M. and Shavell, S. (1989). 'Legal error, litigation, and the incentive to obey the law,' in: *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*, vol. 5, p. 99;
- Popelier, P. (1997). *Rechtszekerheid als beginsel voor behoorlijke regelgeving*. Intersentia, Antwerp;

- Posner, R.A. (1981). 'The next step in the antitrust treatment of restricted distribution: Per se legality,' in: *University of Chicago Law Review*, vol. 48, p. 6;
- Nehl, H.P. (1999). *Principles of administrative procedure in EC law*. Hart, Oxford;
- Rasmussen, H. (1986). *On law and policy in the European Court of Justice: A comparative study in judicial policy making*. Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht;
- Raz, J. (1972). 'Legal principles and the limits of law,' in: *Yale Law Journal*, vol. 81, p. 823;
- Razeen, S. (1996). 'Ordoliberalism and the social market: Classical political economy from Germany,' in: *New Political Economy*, vol. 1, p. 233;
- Roe, M.J. (2006). 'Legal origins and modern stock markets,' in: *Harvard Law Review*, vol. 120, p. 460;
- Rooney, W.H. (2001). 'Consumer injury in antitrust litigation: Necessary but by what standard?' in: *Saint John's Law Review*, vol. 75, p. 561;
- Salop, S.C. (2001). 'The first principles approach to antitrust, Kodak, and antitrust at the millennium,' in: *Antitrust Law Journal*, vol. 68, p. 187;
- Salop, S.C. and L.J. White (1986). 'Economic analysis of private antitrust litigation,' in: *Georgetown Law Journal*, vol. 74, p. 1001;
- Schäfer, H.-B. and Schönenberger, A. (2000). 'Strict liability v. negligence,' in: *Encyclopaedia of Law and Economics*, Bouckaert, B. and De Geest, G., eds., Edward Elgar, London, vol. III, p. 597;
- Schauer, F. (1997). 'Prescriptions in three dimensions,' in: *Iowa Law Review*, vol. 82, p. 911;
- Schechter, M.C. (1982). 'The rule of reason in European competition law,' in: *Legal Issues of Economic Integration*, vol.1, p. 1;
- Scherer, F. (2001). 'Some principles for post-Chicago antitrust analysis,' in: *Case Western Reserve Law Review*, vol. 52, p. 5;
- Scherer, F.M., and D. Ross (1990). *Industrial Market Structure and Economic Performance*. Houghton Mifflin, Boston;
- Schinkel, M.P., and J. Tuinstra (2004). 'Imperfect competition law enforcement,' *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, vol. 24(6), p.1267;
- Schröter, H.R. (1987). 'Antitrust analysis under Article 85(1) and (3),' in: *Fordham Corporate Law Institute*, Hawk, ed., p. 645;
- Schulman, D.R. (2005). 'Harm to competition,' in: *Sedona Conference Journal*, vol. 6, p. 80;

- Schwartz, W.F. (2000). 'Legal error,' in: *Encyclopedia of Law and Economics*, Bouckaert, B. and G. De Geest (eds.), Edward Elgar, Cheltenham;
- Schwartz, L.B., J.J. Flynn, and H. First (1993). *Free Enterprise and Economic Organization: Antitrust*. Foundation Press, New York;
- Seldeslachts, J., J.A. Clougherty, and P. Barros (2007). 'Remedy for now but prevent for tomorrow: The deterrence effects of merger policy tools,' Working paper presented at the ZEW RNIC conference in May 2007;
- Siragusa, M. (1998). 'The millennium approaches: Rethinking article 85 and the problems and challenges in the design and enforcement of the EC competition rules,' in: *Fordham International Law Journal*, vol. 21, p. 650;
- Snyder, E.A., and T.E. Kauper (1991). 'Misuses of the antitrust laws: The competitor plaintiff,' in: *Michigan Law Review*, vol. 90, p. 551;
- Stein, E. (1981). 'Lawyers, judges and the making of a transnational constitution,' in: *American Journal of International Law*, vol. 75, p.1;
- Steindorf, E. (1984). 'Article 85 and the rule of reason,' in: *Common Market Law Review*, vol. 21, p. 639;
- Stigler, G.J. (1968). *The Organisation of Industry*. Irwin, Homewood (Ill);
- Streit, M. (1992). 'Economic order, private law and public policy: The Freiburg school of law and economics in perspective,' in: *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, issue 148/4, p. 675;
- Sullivan, L. (1995). 'Post-Chicago economics: economists, lawyers, judges and enforcement officials in a less determinate theoretical world,' in: *Antitrust Law Journal*, vol. 63, p. 669;
- Summers, R.S. (1999). 'The principles of the rule of law,' in: *Notre Dame Law Review*, vol. 74, p. 1691;
- Telser, L.G. (1960). 'Why should manufacturers want fair trade?' in: *Journal of Law and Economics*, vol. 3, p. 86;
- Thorelli, H.B. (1955). *The Federal Antitrust Policy: Origination of an American Tradition*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore;
- Trebilcock, M.J. (1986). *The Common Law of Restraint of Trade: A Legal and Economic Analysis*. Carswell, Toronto;
- Tridimas, T. (2006). *The General Principles of EU Law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford;

- Ten Kate, A., and G. Niels (2002). 'On the rationality of predatory pricing: the debate between Chicago and post-Chicago,' in: *Antitrust Bulletin*, vol. 47, p. 1;
- Urwin, D.W. (1995). *The Community of Europe: A History of European Integration since 1945*. Longman, London;
- Usher, J.A. (1998). *General Principles of EC Law*. Longman, London;
- Van Cayseele, P., and R. van den Bergh (2000). 'Antitrust law,' in: *Encyclopedia of Law and Economics*, Bouckaert, B. and G. De Geest (eds.), Edward Elgar, Cheltenham;
- Van de Gronden, J.W. (2005). 'Rule of reason and convergence in internal market and competition law,' in: *Rule of reason, Rethinking another classic of European legal doctrine*, Schrauwen, A., ed., Europa Law Publishing, Groningen;
- Van den Bergh, R. J., & Camesasca, P. D. (2001). *European competition law and economics*. Hart, Oxford;
- Van den Bergh, R.J. (1996). 'Modern industrial organization versus old-fashioned European competition law,' in: *European Competition Law Review*, vol. 17, p. 75;
- Van der Woude, M. (1992). 'The Court of First Instance: The first three years,' in: *Fordham International Law Journal*, vol. 16, p. 412;
- Van Gerven, W. (2000). *Tort Law*. Hart, Oxford;
- Veljanovski, C. (1998). 'The economics of the relevant market in EC competition law,' in: *International Review of Competition Law*, issue 185, p. 4;
- Venit, J.S. (1986). 'Competition and industrial property – Pronuptia – ancillary restraints – or unholy alliances,' in: *European Law Review*, vol. 11, p. 213;
- Verouden, V. (2003). 'Vertical agreements and Article 81(1) EC: The evolving role of economic analysis,' in: *Antitrust Law Journal*, vol. 71, p. 525;
- Vestendorf, B. (2005). 'Standard of proof in merger cases: Reflections in the light of recent case law of the Community courts,' in: *European Competition Journal*, vol. 1, p. 3;
- Vogelaar, F.O.W. (2002). 'Modernisation of EC competition law, economy and horizontal cooperation between undertakings,' in: *Intereconomics*, vol. 37, p. 19;
- Vogelaar, F.O.W. (2001). 'Van joint ventures, mededinging en de nieuwe eeuw,' in: *Markt & Mededinging*, issue no. 4, p. 129;
- Waelbroeck, M. (1987). 'Antitrust analysis under Article 85(1) and Article 85(3),' in: *Fordham Corporate Law Institute*, Hawk ed., p. 693;



- Waller, S.W. (2003). 'The incoherence of punishment in antitrust,' in: *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, vol. 78, p. 207;
- Wesseling, R. (2005). 'The rule of reason and competition law: Various rules, various reasons,' in: *Rule of reason, Rethinking another classic of European legal doctrine*, Schrauwen, A., ed., Europa Law Publishing, Groningen;
- Wesseling, R. (1997). 'Subsidiarity in community antitrust law: Setting the right agenda,' in: *European Law Review*, vol. 22, p. 35;
- Wexler, I. (1983). *The Marshall Plan Revisited: The European Recovery Program in Economic Perspective*. Greenwood Press, Westport;
- Whish, R. (2003). *Competition Law*. LexisNexis, London;
- Whish, R. (2000). 'Regulation 2790/99: The Commission's "new style" block exemption for vertical agreements,' in: *Common Market Law Review*, vol. 37, p. 887;
- Wilks, S., and L. McGowan (1996). 'Competition policy in the European Union: Creating a federal agency?' in: *Comparative Competition Policy*, Doern, G. and S. Wilks, eds. Clarendon Press, Oxford;
- Wils, W.P.J. (2004). 'The reform of competition law enforcement: Will it work?' Report presented at the FIDE conference in Dublin;
- Wils, W.P. (2003a). 'Should private antitrust enforcement be encouraged in Europe?' in: *World Competition*, vol. 26, pp. 473;
- Wils, W.P. (2003b). 'The principle of *ne bis in idem* in EC antitrust enforcement: A legal and economic analysis,' in: *World Competition*, vol.26, p. 131;
- Wils, W.P. (2002). *The optimal enforcement of EU antitrust law, essays in law and economics*. Kluwer, The Hague;
- Wils, W.P.J. (2001). 'The modernization of the enforcement of Articles 81 and 82 EC: A legal and economic analysis of the Commission's proposal for a new council regulation replacing Regulation no. 17,' in *Fordham International Law Journal*, vol. 24, p. 1655,
- Zablotsky, E.E. (1995). 'The marginalist revolution and the use of the mathematical method in economics,' CEMA Working Paper 106, Universidad del CEMA, Argentina;
- Zimmer, D. (2008). 'On fairness and welfare: The objectives of competition policy,' in: *European Competition Law Annual 2007: A Reformed Approach to Article 82 EC*. Ehlermann, C.D., and M. Marquis, eds. Hart, Oxford;

Zweigert, K, and H. Kötz (1998). *Introduction to comparative law*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.