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The Cell Wall of the Human Pathogen *Candida glabrata*: Differential Incorporation of Novel Adhesin-Like Wall Proteins


The cell wall of the human pathogen *Candida glabrata* governs initial host-pathogen interactions that underlie the establishment of fungal infections. With the aim of identifying species-specific features that may directly relate to its virulence we have investigated the cell wall of *C. glabrata* in a multidisciplinary approach that combines microscopy imaging, biochemical studies, bioinformatics and tandem mass spectrometry. Electron microscopy revealed a bilayered wall structure in which the outer layer is packed with mannoproteins. Biochemical studies showed that *C. glabrata* walls incorporate 50% more protein than *S. cerevisiae* walls and, consistent with this, have a higher Mannose/Glucose ratio. Evidence is presented that *C. glabrata* walls contain GPI proteins, covalently-bound to the wall 1,6-β-glucan, as well as proteins linked through a mild alkali-sensitive linkage to 1,3-β-glucan. A comprehensive genome-wide *in silico* inspection showed that, in comparison to other fungi, *C. glabrata* contains an exceptionally large number of 67 genes encoding adhesin-like GPI proteins. Phylogenetically these adhesin-like proteins form different clusters, one of which is the lectin-like *EPA* family. Mass spectrometric analysis identified 23 cell wall proteins, including four novel adhesin-like proteins, Awp1/2/3/4, and Epa6, which is involved in adherence to human epithelia and biofilm formation. Importantly, the presence of adhesin-like proteins in the wall depended on the growth stage and on the genetic background used, and this was reflected in alterations in adhesion capacity and cell surface hydrophobicity. We propose that the large repertoire of adhesin(-like) genes of *C. glabrata* contribute to its adaptability and virulence.
In the adult human population *Candida glabrata* is the second most frequent cause of mucosal and disseminated candidiasis after *Candida albicans* (50). In a survey by the nationwide German laboratory network MykolabNet-D, 19.1% of all *Candida* isolates from primarily sterile body sites were identified as *C. glabrata* (3). The high degree of resistance against azoles in clinical *C. glabrata* strains makes it more complicated for clinicians to develop an adequate therapeutic strategy for their patients. Thus, there is a clear need for the identification of fungal structures that might serve as new targets for antifungal drug development or that promote the design of improved non-culture-based diagnostic tests.

In *C. albicans*, morphological switching between a budding yeast form, pseudohyphae and an invasive filamentous form is regarded as an important virulence factor (55). Although the haploid yeast *C. glabrata* may develop pseudohyphae under specific *in vitro* conditions (10), formation of pseudo- or true hyphae during colonization or tissue infection has not been observed. Morphologically and phylogenetically *C. glabrata* is much more closely related to the nonpathogenic yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* than to *C. albicans* or other medically relevant *Candida* species in the CTG clade. As the cell walls of pathogenic fungi form the first point of contact with the human host, they are extremely challenging structures to explore (52, 65). As candidiasis is caused by a heterogeneous group of biologically distinct fungi, it seems especially important to identify species-specific differences or genus-specific consistencies in cell walls of human pathogenic *Candida* spp. The multitude of data concerning the cell wall of *S. cerevisiae* and recent advances in the genomic toolbox of the pathogen *C. glabrata* offer unique opportunities to successfully exploit the structure of the cell wall in this species and improve our understanding of the relationship between cell wall structure and virulence.

The fungal cell wall is an essential organelle that shapes the cell, provides physical strength and limits permeability, thereby retaining periplasmic proteins and protecting fungi from hostile degrading enzymes in the environment. The cell wall also plays an important role in crucial host-fungus interactions that facilitate the establishment of human mycoses. For instance, cell wall components mediate tissue adhesion and invasion, provide protection against host-defense reactions, are involved in biofilm formation, trigger the host immune response and may also confer resistance to antifungal drugs (15, 17, 41, 45, 48, 62, 69).
In *S. cerevisiae* it was shown that the structure of the cell wall is highly dynamic during the cell cycle and depends on environmental conditions (31, 34). Several signaling pathways have been identified that regulate the expression of cell wall proteins in response to nutrient limitation, stress or other signals. Additional adaptive properties are provided through an enormous genetic variability of cell wall proteins, e.g. through subtelomeric epigenetic switching or recombination of intragenic tandem repeats within cell wall genes (17, 43, 63, 64). The effect of variability of tandem repeats on functional diversity of cell surface proteins has been shown for the Flo family in *S. cerevisiae* which mediate yeast flocculation and adherence to abiotic surfaces such as agar and plastic (23, 61, 64). Similar mechanisms and a highly adaptive nature of the cell wall may help human pathogenic *Candida* spp. to adhere to and thrive in different host niches of the human body. A limited number of fungal cell wall proteins (CWPs) have already been shown or suggested to be instrumental in adhesion to human tissues (e.g. Als proteins, Eap1 and Hwp1 in *C. albicans* and Epa1 in *C. glabrata*) (8, 25, 35, 59) as well as in other infection-related processes such as invasion, biofilm formation, iron acquisition, scavenging of reactive molecules and proteolytic cleavage (2, 26, 37, 51, 68). Differential expression of the ALS-gene family offers *C. albicans* optimal ability to adhere to various host sites and medical devices giving rise to different forms of human infections (24, 25). In *C. glabrata*, silent adhesin-encoding genes of the Epa-family can be induced by nicotinic acid limitation, resulting in the incorporation of Epa proteins in the wall during urinary tract infection (17). Therefore, understanding the cell wall architecture and proteome of *C. glabrata* is crucial to get more insight into the fungal pathogenesis of this unique organism.

In this paper, we have performed detailed biochemical, bioinformatic and proteomic investigations to improve our understanding of the cell wall of *C. glabrata* in relation to pathogenesis. The cell wall organization appears similar to the closely related nonpathogenic yeast *S. cerevisiae*, however, *C. glabrata* walls contain more mannoprotein. The cell wall mannoproteins can be divided into two groups, the largest group being glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-modified proteins that are covalently bound to the wall 1,6-β-glucan. Previously, we reported that *C. glabrata* contains 106 putative GPI proteins, about 50% of which have features of adhesin-like CWPs (67). We now systematically searched the genome for adhesin-like proteins and classified them into different
subgroups. Using a direct cell wall ‘shaving’ approach and tandem mass spectrometry, we identified 23 covalently-bound CWPs and we show that the incorporation of adhesin-like wall proteins is dependent on the growth phase and on the strain background. The availability of a large repertoire of differentially regulated adhesin genes may provide *C. glabrata* ample opportunities to adhere to and form biofilms on a large variety of surfaces and to thrive under many different environmental growth conditions.

**Material and Methods**

**Strains and cell culture**

*C. glabrata* strains used in this study are ATCC 90876 (isolated from blood) and ATCC 2001 (from faeces). Biochemical analyses were performed with ATCC 90876 grown at 30°C in liquid YEPD (1% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) Bacto peptone, 2% (w/v) glucose) or in synthetic complete medium, containing 2% (w/v) glucose, 1.1% (w/v) casamino acids (Difco), 0.8% (w/v) yeast nitrogen base with ammonium sulfate without amino acids (Becton Dickinson), 110 μg/ml L-leucine (Sigma), 55 μg/ml L-tyrosine (Sigma), 55 μg/ml L-tryptophan (Sigma) and 55 μg/ml adenine sulfate (Fluka), unless otherwise stated. Growth experiments at different pHs were done in SC medium buffered with 100 mM HEPES-NaOH. Cultures were inoculated at a starting OD~0.1 with cells from fresh overnight cultures (in YEPD) and harvested at log phase (OD~2) or after 24 h (stationary phase). Strain ATCC 2001, from which the genome sequence is derived, was included in cell wall proteomic experiments. *S. cerevisiae* (strain BY4741) and *C. albicans* (CAF2-1) were used for comparison of the cell wall composition.

**Microscopic methods**

(i) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). *C. glabrata* was grown at 37°C to an OD600 of 0.9 in liquid YEPD medium. Cells were harvested and fixed in 3% glutaraldehyde for 3 hours. The samples were post-fixed in 1% OsO4 at 4°C. After several washing and dehydration steps the samples were embedded in araldite. Ultra-thin sections (70-74 nm) were cut using an ultramicrotome (Ultracut) and were contrasted with lead citrate and examined using a Zeiss EM 10 transmission electron microscope operating at 60 kV, at magnifications between 1,000 and 50,000 fold. (ii) Fluorescence microscopy of Calcofluor white 76
(CFW) stained cells. Cells were grown at 37°C in liquid YEPD medium to an OD$_{600}$ of 2, washed extensively and incubated with CFW (100 μg/ml in PBS) for 15 min. After removing unbound CFW by washing with PBS, cells were examined using a Leica DMR fluorescence microscope.

**Cell surface hydrophobicity and in vitro adhesion capacity**

The hydrophobicity of the cell surface of *C. glabrata* was determined by measuring the relative distribution of yeast cells in a two-phase system consisting of an aqueous phase and the organic solvent octane. Briefly, liquid YPD was inoculated with *C. glabrata* cells at a starting OD$_{600}$ of 0.08 and grown at 30°C. Cells were harvested during exponential growth (OD$_{600}$ of ~2) or after 24 h, when all glucose was consumed. Harvested cells were washed extensively with distilled water. Two ml of the cell suspension, adjusted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.7, were transferred to a glass tube containing 2 ml octane (Sigma Aldrich) and mixed for 1 min by gentle vortexing. After separation of the phases, the aqueous phase was carefully transferred to a cuvette and the OD$_{600}$ was measured. Given values represent the average of three independent biological replicates for each strain, with five measurements per individual cell culture.

For testing *in vitro* adhesion to a plastic surface, 25μl of liquid YPD cultures of *C. glabrata* were grown at 30°C as droplets in polystyrene Petri dishes (Greiner). Dehydration was prevented by spotting additional water droplets. The cultures were started with cells grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 2. After three days non-adherent cells were washed away with water. Staining of adherent cells was performed with 0.1% Crystal violet for 10 min at 25°C (22). For quantification of adhesion, cells were grown in YPD to exponential phase, brought in fresh growth medium (OD$_{600} = 2$), and incubated (50 μl/well) in polystyrene microtiter plates (Greiner) at 30°C. Adhesion was allowed to take place for one day. Non-adherent cells were removed by washing with water and adherent cells were stained with Crystal violet. Quantification of adherent cells was achieved by measuring the OD$_{595}$ after solubilizing cell-bound Crystal violet using a solution of 50% ethanol and 1% SDS. Given values represent the average of three independent biological replicates, each performed in duplicate.
Cell wall isolation and determination of the protein and carbohydrate content

The procedure for cell wall isolation has been described in detail by De Groot et al. (13). Briefly, cells were fully disintegrated with 0.25-0.50 mm glass beads (Emergo BV), in the presence of a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma) using a Bio-Savant Fast Prep 120 machine (Qbiogene). To remove noncovalently-linked proteins and intracellular contaminants, isolated cell walls were washed extensively with 1 M NaCl, and twice extracted with 2% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 100 mM Na-EDTA, 100 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, for 5 min at 100°C. SDS-treated walls were washed with water, freeze-dried, and stored at -20°C until use.

Protein and chitin contents in the cell wall were determined following the protocols described by Kapteyn et al. (28) using BSA and glucosamine as standards, respectively. For determination of the total glucan and mannan content, the polysaccharides in cell wall preparations were hydrolyzed to monosaccharides using sulfuric acid (11) and analyzed by HPLC analysis as described (48). For determination of alkali-resistant 1,6-β- and 1,3-β-glucans, cell walls (about 4 mg dry weight) were hydrolyzed by incubating three times in succession in 1 ml 3% (w/v) NaOH at 75°C for 1 h (36). Alkali-resistant glucans were solubilized by subsequent incubations with recombinant endo-1,6-β-(Prozyme) and endo-1,3-glucanases (Quantazyme, Quantum Biotechnology) as described (48). Supernatants containing either 1,6-β-glucan or 1,3-β-glucan were analyzed with the phenol-sulfuric acid assay using glucose as a reference (18).

CWP extraction and fractionation

Cell wall protein fractions were obtained with various chemical and enzymatic treatments, detailed in (13, 32). To solubilize all covalently-bound cell wall proteins, SDS-treated walls were digested with Quantazyme, which hydrolyzes the glucan backbone of the cell wall. Specific solubilization of GPI proteins was achieved in two ways: (i) Freeze-dried walls were extracted for 3 h at 0°C with undiluted HF-pyridine (Sigma-Aldrich), which under these conditions preferentially cleaves phosphodiester bonds. (ii) Cell walls were incubated with endo-1,6-β-glucanase to hydrolyze the 1,6-β-glucan chains. Pir proteins and other mild-alkali sensitive linkage (ASL)-CWPs were released by incubating cell walls with 30 mM NaOH at 4°C for 17 h. In those cases that we performed
successive extractions on cell wall material, dialysis against H₂O was carried out in between the extraction steps.

Solubilized CWP fractions were separated by SDS-PAGE using a linear gradient of 2.2% to 20%. Proteins were stained with silver following the manufacturer's protocol (Biorad) except that an oxidation with 50 mM periodic acid and 100 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5) for 30 min was included after the first fixing step to enhance reactivity of the silver reagent towards the glycan parts of the mannoproteins. For Western blot analysis, the fractionated proteins were transferred onto PVDF membranes (Millipore). Membranes were probed with peroxidase-labeled Concanavalin A (Con A) to visualize mannosylated proteins, as described elsewhere (32). 1,6-β-Glucan moieties attached to CWPs were visualized using polyclonal antiserum against 1,6-β-glucan (39). We also used cross-reacting polyclonal antisera raised against *S. cerevisiae* CWPs Pir2 (54), Gas1 (46) and Cwp1 (57). The absence of cytosolic proteins in our SDS-treated wall samples was verified using a cross-reacting antiserum against the abundant enzyme glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase of *S. cerevisiae* (results not shown) (16). Secondary antibodies were goat-anti-rabbit IgG peroxidase conjugates (Pierce). Blots were developed using ECL reagents (Amersham). The detailed procedure for immunoblot analysis can be found in (29).

**Mass spectrometric analysis of CWPs**

A detailed protocol for reduction and S-alkylation and subsequent proteolytic digestion of isolated cell walls with sequencing grade trypsin (Roche) or with endoprotease Glu-C (Sigma) is described by Yin *et al.* (72). Released CWPs in the mild-alkali fraction were prepared for LC/MS/MS analysis as described (13). After digestion, peptides were desalted and collected on OMIX μC₁₈ pipette tips (Varian), washed with 0.1% formic acid, and eluted with 60% acetonitrile/0.1% formic acid. Proteolytic digests derived from 30 μg of freeze-dried cell walls were fractionated on a 150 mm × 75 μm (length × inner diameter) reversed phase capillary column (PepMap C₁₈, Dionex) using an Ultimate nano-LC system (Dionex). The peptides were separated over a period of 30 min with a two-step linear gradient of 0-50 % acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid and the outflow at 300 nl/min was directly electrosprayed into the Q-Tof1 (Waters) operating in data-dependent MS and MS/MS mode. The Masslynx software (Waters) was instructed to select ions ranging from m/z 350-1500 with a charge state of at least 2+.
above a BPI ion count threshold of 40 for collision-induced fragmentation using argon as collision gas. The resulting MS/MS spectra were processed with the Biolyx tool of the Masslynx software which generated a peak list (.pkl file) with all precursor and product ions calculated to the corresponding MH+ charge state. Each LC/MS/MS run was repeated at least twice, thereby excluding abundant ions from previous runs.

**Database searching and protein identification**

Different versions of release 2 of the *C. glabrata* ATCC 2001 genome sequence have been released into the public domain by the Génolevures consortium (http://cbi.labri.fr/Genolevures/; (56)) in 2004 and 2006. In the 2006 file many incomplete ("pseudogenes") ORFs from the 2004 version, in particular those lacking a start codon, have been removed, and consequently these ORFs also have not been entered into the NCBI protein database. *In silico* analysis of adhesin-like GPI proteins (see below), and preliminary analysis of our MS/MS data indicated that this has led to erroneous omission of expressed *C. glabrata* genes. Therefore, for optimal analysis of our MS/MS data, we created an in-house *C. glabrata* proteome database by combining the two genome files. Also included were N-terminally truncated (mature) versions of putative cell wall proteins, the used endoproteases trypsin and Glu-C, and keratin, a common contaminant in protein samples. Altogether, this in-house database contains 10642 protein sequences.

The peak lists (.pkl file) generated with the Masslynx software were submitted to MASCOT (version 2.05, Matrix Science) using the in-house database. The search parameters were: a fixed modification of carbamidomethyl for cysteine, variable modifications of oxidized methionine and N/Q deamidation, semiTrypsin with the allowance of one missed cleavage, peptide and MS/MS tolerance ± 0.2 Da, protein mass unrestricted and peptide charge state +1. Probability-based MASCOT scores were used to evaluate protein identifications (http://www.matrixscience.com/) and for these settings the threshold confidence score for P<0.05 was >42. All cell wall protein identifications are based on multiple peptide matches, of which at least one peptide fulfills the criteria described above. Additional peptide matches with lower scores were only added to the list of identified peptides after manual verification of MS/MS spectra in the raw data with Masslynx software tools. Inclusion of "semitryptic" peptides
(P<0.05) increased the coverage of identified proteins. MASCOT searching with the total NCBI protein database (MSDB 20060831, 3239079 sequences), intact or scrambled (decoy), gave a false positive rate of 0%.

*In silico analysis of adhesin-like proteins*

*In silico* identification of adhesin-like proteins and classification into subgroups was performed using the following approach. Most adhesin-like GPI proteins, defined as large S/T-rich proteins with internal tandem repeats, were identified in a genomic screen for GPI proteins by Weig et al. (67). Additional (fragments of) adhesin-like proteins were identified by (i) searching the *C. glabrata* 2004 proteome file for ORFs containing the VSHITT motif, which is adhesin-specific and conserved in Awp2 and Awp4, using an in-house Perl script, (ii) BLAST analysis using identified adhesin-like proteins as query, and (iii) analysis of ORFs in telomeric regions for adhesin-like properties. BLAST analysis against the *C. glabrata* genome was performed with a local BLAST tool, obtained from EMBOSS (http://emboss.sourceforge.net/). Closely-linked consecutive ORF fragments on the same DNA strand, as indicated by the NCBI genome browser tool, and with the same BLAST result are considered to be parts of the same protein, taking into account that signal peptides for secretion and for GPI anchoring only occur on N- and C-termini, respectively. DNA sequences adjacent to (assembled) ORFs that lack N- or C-terminal signal peptides were analyzed for the presence of such features using the genome sequence at NCBI. For prediction of N-terminal signal peptides we used SignalP 3.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/), C-terminal GPI anchor peptides were identified using big-PI (http://mendel.imp.ac.at/gpi/fungi_server.html) or a complementary algorithm as described in (67). The phylogenetic tree of adhesin-like proteins was calculated using ClustalX and the resulting tree was plotted using NJplot. The tree is based on the N-terminal 300 amino acids high complexity regions of the proteins, which are likely to comprise the functional domains as described for the Epa family (74). Low complexity and repeat regions were excluded from this analysis.
Results

The ultrastructure and composition of the cell wall in *C. glabrata*

Electron microscopy of *C. glabrata* cells showed that they are surrounded by a 100 - 200 nm thick wall (Fig. 1). The inner part of the wall is semitransparent and is surrounded by a more electron-dense layer. The structure of the cell wall in the neck region is modified during the cell cycle, in particular during cytokinesis (Fig. 1A).
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**Figure 1.** The cell wall of *C. glabrata* has a bilayered structure. A, The budding process and cytokinesis in *C. glabrata* visualized by transmission electron microscopy. I, formation of a new bud; II, isotropic bud growth and chitin deposition at the inner part of the wall in the mother/bud neck; III and IV, septum formation and cell separation, V, reinforcement of the bud scar; VI, formation of a new bud proximal to a previous budding event. B, Close-up of a lateral wall fragment. Extrapolating data from the well-studied yeast *S. cerevisiae*, the electron-dense outer layer of the cell wall is expected to predominantly consist of GPI-modified proteins (GPI-CWPs), which are covalently bound to 1,6-β-glucan, whereas the more transparent inner layer consists of a glycan network interspersed with ASL-CWPs or at least Pir proteins. This view is fully consistent with the data presented in this paper. CW, cell wall; EC, extracellular environment; PM, plasma membrane; C, cytosol.
Fluorescence microscopy with Calcofluor white showed intense staining of septa, bud necks and bud scars indicating abundant chitin at these loci (data not shown). A similar organization has been described for several other fungi, including the closely related baker's yeast *S. cerevisiae* (33).

Cell walls in *S. cerevisiae* and *C. albicans* are composed of 1,3-β-glucan, 1,6-β-glucan, chitin and mannoproteins, all covalently attached to each other (12, 31). The abundance and ratios of the above-mentioned molecules in cell walls of exponentially growing cells of *C. glabrata* were investigated and compared to *S. cerevisiae* and *C. albicans* (Table 1). Dried walls of *C. glabrata* ATCC 90876 accounted for 19% of the dried total cell mass, implying that cells invest a substantial amount of metabolic energy in wall biosynthesis during growth. Notably, *C. glabrata* walls contain 6% protein, which is about 50% more than *S. cerevisiae* and *C. albicans* (31, 48). Consistent with this, the amount of mannan, presumably present as O- and N-glycosylation on CWPs (31), was also significantly higher in *C. glabrata* walls. Consequently, the relative level of total glucan is lower and the mannose/glucose ratio is higher in *C. glabrata*. The amount of alkali-insoluble glucans, relative to both total wall mass and total glucan, is lower than in *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae*, suggesting that there are fewer cross-links between glucan and chitin in the cell wall of *C. glabrata*. Chitin is a minor wall component in all three species, accounting for 1.1 to 1.3% of the wall mass in log phase cells of *C. glabrata* (Table 1). As chitin is mostly concentrated in the septum, bud neck and bud scar areas, little chitin will be incorporated in lateral walls. We conclude that the cell wall of *C. glabrata* contains the same basic components as *S. cerevisiae* and *C. albicans*, however, the contribution of individual components, with an increased level of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Protein (%)</th>
<th>Chitin (%)</th>
<th>Man (%)</th>
<th>Glu (%)</th>
<th>M/G Ratio</th>
<th>1,6-β (%)</th>
<th>1,3-β (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>C. glabrata</em></td>
<td>6.4±0.1</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
<td>43.8±0.5</td>
<td>54.0±0.2</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>4.2±0.1</td>
<td>16.7±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. cerevisiae</em></td>
<td>4.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.4±0.2</td>
<td>34.2±1.6</td>
<td>60.3±2.5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>7.1±0.2</td>
<td>26.8±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. albicans</em></td>
<td>3.5±0.2</td>
<td>4.2±0.1</td>
<td>26.6±2.3</td>
<td>64.0±4.9</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>10.6±0.6</td>
<td>26.2±1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are from exponentially growing cells (in YPD) and are the means and standard deviations of two independent samples measured in duplicate and are expressed as percentages of freeze-dried walls.
*Enzymatically released with 1,6-β-glucanase or 1,3-β-glucanase.
mannoproteins, and the extent of cross-links between the components is different.

**C. glabrata cell wall protein incorporation**

Transmission electron microscopy in combination with cell wall composition analysis indicated that, by analogy to *S. cerevisiae*, the outer layer of the wall of *C. glabrata* is mainly comprised of mannoproteins. These proteins are expected to play key roles in adhesion and biofilm formation and other host-pathogen interactions that mediate fungal virulence. We therefore proceeded to examine the cell wall architecture of *C. glabrata* with a focus on identifying covalently-bound mannoproteins and resolving the bonds to the carbohydrate network of the wall. First, SDS-treated walls were digested with recombinant endo-1,3-β-glucanase and the released fraction was analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Silver staining and probing of blots with the lectin Con A both revealed high molecular mass smears (Fig. 2A), indicating that the glucanase had released heavily glycosylated wall mannoproteins. In *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae*, the majority of the CWPs are attached to the wall carbohydrate network through a covalent bond between a sugar remnant of their GPI anchor and 1,6-β-glucan. Digestion of cell walls and 1,3-β-glucanase-released wall material with recombinant 1,6-β-glucanase indicated that this is also the case in *C. glabrata* (Fig. 2A). Silver staining showed an abundant protein band of approx. 45 kDa as well as additional bands and a smear of high molecular mass. Con A revealed four major protein bands of 45 kDa, 85 kDa, 150 kDa and over 200 kDa, well distinguishable in the 1,6-β-glucanase digest. Immunoblot analysis using anti-1,6-β-glucan antibodies further showed that the 1,3-β-glucanase- and the 1,6-β-glucanase-extracted protein(s) contain 1,6-β-glucan moieties (Fig. 2B). We conclude that the cell wall of *C. glabrata* contains mannoproteins that are linked to 1,3-β-glucan via 1,6-β-glucan, as would be expected for GPI-modified CWPs (Fig. 2C). Consistent with these findings, HF-pyridine extraction released multiple mannoproteins from *C. glabrata* (67) similar to the 1,6-β-glucanase treatment. Thus, the visualized proteins released by both methods most likely are GPI-modified mannoproteins, linked through a phosphodiester bridge to 1,6-β-glucan (Fig. 2C).
Figure 2. CWP-polysaccharide complexes in the cell wall of *C. glabrata*. A and B, SDS-PAGE analysis of cell wall material extracted as indicated from isolated walls of *C. glabrata* grown in SC medium. Gels were analyzed by (A) silver staining or by blotting and probing with the lectin Concanavalin A, anti-ScCwp1 antiserum or (B) with anti-1,6-\(\beta\)-glucan antiserum. (C) Identified cross-links between proteins and \(\beta\)-glucans in the cell wall of *C. glabrata*. The extraction methods used to solubilize CWPs are indicated. (D) Cell wall proteins identified in mild-alkali extracts by LC/MS/MS.

The most abundant protein of 45 kDa in the 1,6-\(\beta\)-glucanase extract (Fig. 2A) and the 37 kDa band in the HF-pyridine extract (67) both react with antibodies raised against the abundant GPI-CWP Cwp1 in *S. cerevisiae*. The difference in mass of the ScCwp1 homolog in the two fractions can be explained by 1,6-\(\beta\)-glucan remnants and/or phosphomannan groups which were removed from the protein by the HF-pyridine treatment.

A minor group of fungal CWPs is covalently connected to 1,3-\(\beta\)-glucan via (a) mild alkali-sensitive linkage(s) (ASL-CWPs; Fig. 2C); this includes Pir (Proteins with internal repeats) proteins (15). Multiple ASL-CWPs are also present in *C. glabrata*, and among them is at least one Pir protein of 75 kDa (67). Incorporation of Pir proteins is governed by the formation of an ester linkage between a glutamine residue in the repeat sequence and cell wall 1,3-\(\beta\)-glucan. Thus, Pir proteins with multiple repeats may crosslink different 1,3-\(\beta\)-
glucan chains thereby strengthening the cell wall. Pattern searching with the consensus Pir-repeat sequence, Q-[IV]-X-D-G-Q-[IVP]-Q (Prosite format) showed that besides the four Pir proteins in *C. glabrata* six predicted GPI proteins (the three Cwp1 homologs and three Srp1/Tip1 family members) contain (a single copy of) the Pir repeat. They may therefore be bound, and thus crosslink, 1,3-β-glucan and 1,6-β-glucan chains, as has been shown previously for the Cwp1 in *S. cerevisiae* (28). Mild-alkali treatment of the 1,6-β-glucanase-resistant insoluble wall fraction released a high molecular weight smear and a major band of approx. 30 kDa (Fig. 2A), which is also visualized by probing with Con A and antibodies against ScCwp1. These results indicate that at least an abundant homolog of ScCwp1 in *C. glabrata* has the potential to crosslink 1,3-β-glucan and 1,6-β-glucan in the cell wall.

**Identification of covalently-bound CWPs in *C. glabrata***

In previous studies, we have identified covalently-linked CWPs in *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae*. A comparative analysis with *C. glabrata* therefore might reveal (i) common proteins needed for fungal cell wall biosynthesis and (ii) pathogen-specific proteins that may be important virulence factors. SDS-treated walls from *C. glabrata* ATCC 90876 cells, grown in YEPD to mid-log phase (similar conditions as used for *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae*), were directly incubated with the endoprotease trypsin (which cleaves after K or R, except when followed by P) to obtain peptide fragments. LC/MS/MS analysis resulted in the unambiguous identification of 18 CWPs (Table 2, mass spectrometric details in Supplementary Table S1). Repeating the same analysis with the protease Glu-C (cleaves after D or E) did not identify extra proteins as only 11 peptides were obtained for proteins already identified with trypsin. All 18 identified *C. glabrata* proteins possess predicted signal peptides for secretion (analyzed using the SignalP 3.0 Server) and are orthologs of identified CWPs in *S. cerevisiae* (Table 2) (72). This suggests that the proteins we have identified under these conditions have general roles in cell wall biosynthesis rather than pathogenesis-related functions.

Gas1, Gas2, Gas4, Gas5, Crh1, Utr2 and Scw4 specify putative carbohydrate-active enzymes (9) that may modify cell wall polysaccharides and thus are implicated in building and remodeling of the cell wall glycan network during growth.
Table 2. Covalently bound C. glabrata CWPs identified by LC/MS/MS. For mass spectrometric details see Supplementary Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>ORF number</th>
<th>Properties and Proposed function</th>
<th>MS/MS</th>
<th>Number of peptides identified</th>
<th>Conserved functional domains</th>
<th>C. albicans homolog name/reference</th>
<th>C. glabrata protein name/reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate-active enzyme</td>
<td>CAGL000944g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (42) αGH16 transglycosidase, involved in chitin incorporation</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>GH16: 34-240</td>
<td>Ceb1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ceb1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utr1</td>
<td>CAGL002211g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (48) αGH16 transglycosidase, involved in chitin incorporation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>CBM 18: 23-64</td>
<td>Utr2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Utr2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas1</td>
<td>CAGL0009285g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (55) αGH172 transglycosidase, elongation of 1,3-β-glucan</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>GH16: 91-301</td>
<td>Gas1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gas1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas2</td>
<td>CAGL031304g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (35) αGH172 transglycosidase, elongation of 1,3-β-glucan</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>X8: 378-459</td>
<td>Gas2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gas2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas4</td>
<td>CAGL0009383g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (40) αGH172 transglycosidase, elongation of 1,3-β-glucan</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>X8: 378-459</td>
<td>Gas4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gas4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas5</td>
<td>CAGL001028g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (52) αGH172 transglycosidase, elongation of 1,3-β-glucan</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>X8: 378-459</td>
<td>Gas5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Gas5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scn4</td>
<td>CAGL0009308g</td>
<td>SP, αGH172 transglycosidase, modification of 1,3-β-glucan</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>GH17: 318-372</td>
<td>Scn4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Scn4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonenzymatic CWPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phb2</td>
<td>CAGL011348g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (495) Phospholipase</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>PLAc: 33-550</td>
<td>Phb2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Phb3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cwl1</td>
<td>CAGL0009381g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (218) Structural mannoprotein</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Cwl1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>none (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cwl2</td>
<td>CAGL0009379g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (212) Structural mannoprotein</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Cwl1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>none (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set1</td>
<td>CAGL0009361g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (212) Contains CFEM domain</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CFEM: 22-31</td>
<td>Set1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>none (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ttr1</td>
<td>CAGL0009463g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (221) Mannoprotein of the Sglp/Tipl family</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Ttr1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>none (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pkr1</td>
<td>CAGL0009464g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (348) Conserved C-terminal domain</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Pir1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>none (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pkr2</td>
<td>CAGL0009462g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (348) Conserved C-terminal domain</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Pir1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>none (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pkr3</td>
<td>CAGL0009463g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (338) Conserved C-terminal domain</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Pir1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>none (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pkr4</td>
<td>CAGL0009464g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (338) Conserved C-terminal domain</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Pir1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>none (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecm33</td>
<td>CAGL0009320g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (421) Unknown role in cell wall biosynthesis</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ecm33&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, Pir1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ecm33&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, Pir1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecm34</td>
<td>CAGL0009463g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (429) Unknown role in cell wall biosynthesis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ecm34&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, Pir1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ecm34&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, Pir1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesin-like wall proteins&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awp1</td>
<td>CAGL0113408g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (870) Putative adhesin</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Awp1, Hpf1, Hpf'</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awp2</td>
<td>CAGL0113408g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (832) Putative adhesin</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Awp1, Hpf1, Hpf'</td>
<td>Iff family, Hyrl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awp3</td>
<td>CAGL0113408g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (812) Putative adhesin</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awp4</td>
<td>CAGL0113408g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (812) Putative adhesin</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epa6</td>
<td>CAGL0113408g</td>
<td>SP, GPI (715) Adhesin</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ecm33&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, Ecm34&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, Ecm35&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ecm33&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, Ecm34&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, Ecm35&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Predicted signal peptides for secretion (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) and C-terminal signatures for GPI-anchoring (http://mendel.imp.ac.at/gpi/fungi_server.html), see also (67).<br><sup>b</sup>Numbers between brackets indicate non-unique peptides.<br><sup>c</sup>Conserved functional domains were identified using CDD v2.03 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/cdd.shtml), CAZy (http://www.cazy.org/ and (15), GH glycoside hydrolase.<br><sup>d</sup>Identified as covalently-bound cell wall protein using LC/MS/MS (13, 58, 70, 72).<br><sup>e</sup>Sequence coverage of identified ORF fragments. <br><sup>f</sup>Not identified in ATCC 90876 cells that are grown in YEPD to mid-log phase.
Ecm33 and Pst1 are homologs of a family of four GPI proteins (the Sps2 family) in *S. cerevisiae*. This family, judged from studies in the ascomycetes *S. cerevisiae, C. albicans* and *A. fumigatus*, has a crucial role in establishing and maintaining proper cell wall integrity (6, 38, 49). Identification of Plb2 is consistent with the presence of its ortholog in cell walls of *S. cerevisiae* (72).

Cwp1.1, Cwp1.2, Ssr1 and Tir1 are relatively small CWPs that are unlikely to have enzymatic functions and may serve as crosslinking or coat-forming wall proteins. Finally, we identified four Pir proteins (Pir1 to 4) in log phase ATCC 90876 cells. The fifth Pir protein homolog that lacks Pir-repeat sequences (67) was not detected, consistent with the observation that the repeats are responsible for the formation of covalent links between Pir proteins and 1,3-β-glucan (5, 19).

Thirteen of the 18 identified CWPs are predicted GPI proteins (67). The five non-GPI proteins are the four Pir proteins and Scw4, which lacks obvious Pir-repeat sequences. Crucial steps in our cell wall isolation procedure are extractions with hot solutions containing SDS and reducing agents. We therefore are confident that the identified Scw4 molecules are covalently bound to the cell wall, similar to Scw4 and Scw10 in *S. cerevisiae* and MP65/Scw1 in *C. albicans* (13, 72). LC/MS/MS analysis of protein pools extracted with NaOH (Fig. 2D, Supplementary Table S1) confirmed that Scw4 can be extracted from cell walls with mild alkali. In the NaOH-extract we also identified Pir proteins and Cwp1.1. The latter confirms our *in silico* and immunoblot analyses indicating that it can be linked through an alkali-sensitive bond to 1,3-β-glucan in addition to being coupled to 1,6-β-glucan in a GPI-dependent manner.

**The genome of C. glabrata harbors a large diversity of adhesin-like proteins**

Genome-wide predictions of GPI proteins using the second assembly of the *C. glabrata* genome, released in 2004, revealed an impressive number of large modular proteins with a putative effector domain in the N-terminal part followed by a low complexity region with a high S/T content and internal tandem repeats, which is typical of cell wall proteins with adhesive properties (67). Careful reexamination of putative adhesins in the *C. glabrata* proteome files, detailed in Materials and Methods, revealed a total of 67 adhesin-like proteins (Table 3).
Table 3. The genome of *C. glabrata* strain ATCC 2001 harbors 67 genes putatively encoding adhesin-like proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th># Proteins</th>
<th>Subtelomeric localization</th>
<th>PA14 domain</th>
<th>Proteins with Awp2 repeats</th>
<th>Present in NCBI Protein database⁶</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I, Epa family (blue⁵)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II, Pwp family (green)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (yellow)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV (pink)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V, includes Awp2 and 4 (red)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI, includes Awp1 and 3 (purple)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII (orange)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others (white)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁵Colors corresponding to Fig. 3.

⁶August 21, 2008.

Importantly, 44 of these are located in subtelomeric regions and at both ends of all chromosomes adhesin-like sequences are present (Fig. 3). Comparison of the N-terminal domains specifying the putative ligand-binding parts showed that these proteins can be divided into multiple subgroups (Fig. 4, Table 3). The largest subgroup is the Epa family of lectin-like adhesins described by the Cormack lab (4), of which we found 17 proteins in strain ATCC 2001. The N-terminal parts of the putative adhesins in cluster II share with Epa proteins and with flocculins in *S. cerevisiae* the presence of a conserved PA14 domain, named after an anthrax protective antigen, suggesting a functional relationship with respect to ligand binding (14, 74). The proteins in cluster II were therefore tentatively designated Pwp1 - Pwp7 (PA14-containing wall protein). The interrelationship with and between other clusters is poor in the N-terminal domains. The N-terminal parts of the clustered CAGL0C00209g and CAGL0G10175g show weak similarity with Dan1/2/3/4 (delayed anaerobic) and the seripauperin (Pau) multiprotein family in *S. cerevisiae*. Like many of the adhesin-like proteins in *C. glabrata*, PAU and DAN genes are mostly located in subtelomeric regions. Interestingly, PAU and DAN genes are repressed under aerobic conditions and this silencing is alleviated when the oxygen availability is low (53). Inspection of the low complexity regions in the C-terminal half of adhesin-like proteins in different subgroups revealed 46-amino-acids repeats (named Awp2 repeats in Table 3), including conserved TTVVT and VSHITT sequences.
**Figure 3.** Genomic organization of adhesin-like proteins in the genome *C. glabrata* ATCC 2001. GPI-modified adhesin-like proteins were primarily identified by a genome-wide *in silico* analysis, described in (29). Additional adhesin-like proteins were found by pattern searching using the conserved VSHITT motif, by BLAST analysis, and by analysis of telomeric regions, where most of the adhesin-like proteins are located. Chromosomes and ORFs are numbered following Génolevures' systematic ORF numbering. Adjacent ORF fragments belonging to a single gene, as also indicated by the NCBI genome browser, are connected. Unannotated ORF fragments identified by BLASTX and containing N- or C-terminal signal peptides were connected to CAGL0B00110g, CAGL0B05115g and Epa11. ORF sizes are to scale, but distances between ORFs are not. Colors indicate subfamilies I to VII, sharing homology in the N-terminal putative ligand-binding parts, as presented in Fig. 4. CAGL0L09911g and CAGL0J05170g (white) are unrelated outgroups in Fig. 4. Numbers of proteins in each subgroup are indicated. For CAGL0H00110g (group VII, orange) and CAGL0E00187g (group IV, pink) only C-terminal parts of the proteins were identified, their classification is therefore based on BLASTP analysis of these regions. Numbers of nonadhesive ORFs separating adhesive-like proteins and telomeres, and distance of terminal adhesive-like proteins to telomeres are indicated. Arrows indicate direction of transcription.
Multiple subfamilies of adhesin-like proteins exist in *C. glabrata*. Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree, with bootstrap values added (1000 bootstraps performed), of adhesin-like wall proteins based on the putative functional domains (the 300 N-terminal amino acids or less in case the N-terminal ORF fragment is shorter) of the ORFs. S/T-rich low complexity regions within the first 300 amino acids of CAGL0C00209g and CAGL0G10175 were excluded from this analysis. CAGL0L09911g, which together with CAGL0J05170g is least related to other adhesin-like proteins, is plotted as an outgroup.

The distantly related *S. cerevisiae* Flo1 and Dan1 and *C. albicans* Hyr1 are included for comparative reasons. CAGL0H00110g (group VII) and CAGL0E00187g (group IV) were excluded from this analysis as their N-terminal parts are unidentified, see Fig. 3. Phylogenetic distances, in number of amino acid substitutions per position, are indicated.

Figure 4. Multiple subfamilies of adhesin-like proteins exist in *C. glabrata*. Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree, with bootstrap values added (1000 bootstraps performed), of adhesin-like wall proteins based on the putative functional domains (the 300 N-terminal amino acids or less in case the N-terminal ORF fragment is shorter) of the ORFs. S/T-rich low complexity regions within the first 300 amino acids of CAGL0C00209g and CAGL0G10175 were excluded from this analysis. CAGL0L09911g, which together with CAGL0J05170g is least related to other adhesin-like proteins, is plotted as an outgroup. The distantly related *S. cerevisiae* Flo1 and Dan1 and *C. albicans* Hyr1 are included for comparative reasons. CAGL0H00110g (group VII) and CAGL0E00187g (group IV) were excluded from this analysis as their N-terminal parts are unidentified, see Fig. 3. Phylogenetic distances, in number of amino acid substitutions per position, are indicated.
Pattern searching with the VSHITT sequence revealed that it seems specific for and is present in the C-terminal half of at least 31 adhesin-like proteins, across most of the subgroups, including the Epa family (Table 3, Fig. 3).

Due to general difficulties in sequencing and the assembly of telomere regions, many of the adhesin-like proteins appear to be artificially broken into multiple adjacent ORF fragments and have been annotated as pseudogenes. Consequently, in the later (2006) version of the *C. glabrata* proteome file these fragments have been deleted causing many (putative) adhesins, including six Epa proteins, to be overlooked in the NCBI protein database (Table 3, Fig. 3).

Consistent with their proposed role as adhesins, the absence of consecutive basic amino acid residues in the sequences immediately preceding the GPI-attachment sites (67) suggests that these proteins will reside in the cell wall. However, the proteomic analysis on ATCC 90876 log phase cells did not result in identification of any adhesin-like proteins. This raised the question whether this was due to allelic variations or differential regulation of protein expression, or whether we failed to identify adhesins due to technical limitations of our methodology.

Frequently large allelic variations occur in the structure and expression of fungal cell wall adhesin genes (43, 63, 64). Independent isolates may express and incorporate different proteins, with direct consequences for cell surface properties such as the adhesion capacity. To determine whether the adhesion capacity of *C. glabrata* depends on the genetic strain background, strain ATCC 90876 was compared with the sequenced strain ATCC 2001. Adhesion to plastic in a liquid environment *in vitro* was tested by growing both strains as droplets on polystyrene plates. After removal of non-adherent cells by gentle washing, adherent cells were stained with Crystal violet. As shown in Fig. 5A, ATCC 2001 adhered strongly to the plastic surface, whereas ATCC 90876 cells are entirely washed away. Quantification of cell-bound Crystal violet using a similar assay in polystyrene microtiter plates confirmed the superior adhesion capacity of ATCC 2001 as compared to ATCC 90876 (OD$_{595}$ 0.32 ± 0.02 vs 0.10 ± 0.01, Student's T-test: p<0.05).

The structure of the cell wall is highly dynamic (Fig. 1) and the incorporation of fungal CWPs is tightly controlled during the cell cycle (31) but also dictated by the environmental conditions, the growth phase, and the morphology of the cells. For instance, induction of filamentation in the pleomorphic *C. albicans* is accompanied by alterations in the cell wall composition...
and the expression of certain cell wall genes, including the hyphal adhesins Als3 and Hwp1 (40, 42). In C. glabrata, the expression of Epa adhesins is tightly regulated (4) and we observed, by lectin- and immunoblot analysis of different CWP fractions, that changes in environmental parameters, e.g. growth temperature and pH (data not shown), lead to alterations in wall protein incorporation.

**Figure 5.** Strain- and growth phase-dependent cell surface hydrophobicity and *in vitro* adhesion. (A) *In vitro* adhesion of ATCC 2001 and ATCC 90876 was analyzed by growing cells in 25 μl spots on polystyrene plates for 3 days at 30°C. Non-adherent cells where washed away with water and remaining adherent cells were stained with Crystal violet. (B) Surface hydrophilicity of exponentially growing or stationary phase cells of C. glabrata strains ATCC 2001 and ATCC 90876 was measured using a two-phase assay. Surface hydrophobicity can be inferred by subtraction of the fraction of cells measured in the aqueous phase. Significant differences (P-values < 0.05, Student’s T test) are indicated with an asterisk.
Strain- and growth phase-dependent in vitro adhesion capacity

Stress experienced during stationary phase has been shown to increase the expression of several CWPs in *S. cerevisiae*, including the flocculin Flo5 (20, 31). The incorporation of such flocculins in the cell wall determines the surface hydrophobicity and adhesion properties (23, 27). Development of biofilms on abiotic surfaces (e.g. plastic catheters, dentures) and colonization/infection of human epithelia by *Candida* is preceded by adhesion and this whole process takes place over longer periods of time. Stationary cells of *C. glabrata* appear more adherent to plastic than exponentially growing cells (26). We therefore speculated that prolonged culturing of *C. glabrata* would affect the incorporation of adhesins into the cell wall, thereby influencing the surface properties. As indicated in Fig. 5B, both ATCC 2001 and ATCC 90876 cells show a significant increase in surface hydrophobicity when they entered the stationary phase. As expected from our adhesion tests, the surface hydrophobicity of strain ATCC 2001 is higher as compared to ATCC 90876, during both exponential growth and in stationary phase.

Identification of adhesins in the cell wall of *C. glabrata*

The observed strain- and growth phase-dependent differences in surface hydrophobicity and adhesiveness prompted us to further analyze the differential incorporation of cell wall adhesins in *C. glabrata* on a proteomic level. First, ATCC 90876 was grown to stationary phase (24 h in YEPD, OD_{600} ~25, glucose depleted) at both 30°C and 37°C, to achieve an increase in surface hydrophobicity (see above). For LC/MS/MS identification of the cell wall proteome we applied the direct 'cell wall shaving' method using trypsin as endoprotease (71). In addition to the proteins found in exponentially growing cells, this analysis resulted at both temperatures in the identification of one GPI protein with adhesin-like features, tentatively named Adhesin-like Wall Protein 1 (Awp1, Table 2). Second, as we found clear differences in the surface characteristics of ATCC 90876 and ATCC 2001, we compared the cell wall proteomes of these two clinical isolates using the same differential growth conditions. LC/MS/MS analysis of ATCC 2001 cells resulted in the identification of four more adhesin-like proteins. CAGL0C00110g is the closest homolog of Epa6 (sequenced from strain BG2) (4). The other three proteins are uncharacterized and were termed Awp2, Awp3, and Awp4 (Table 2, see Supplementary Table S1 for mass spectrometric
details). In the most recent *C. glabrata* genome assembly, Awp3 appears to be mistakenly dispersed into three overlapping fragments of which our MS-analysis identified the N-terminal fragment. This fragment is not S/T-rich and therefore it will have a relatively low level of glycosylation as would be expected for a GPI-modified adhesin with an N-terminal ligand-binding domain (7). Similarly, we identified the first two of five fragments of Awp4. Between the three middle fragments, ORF numbers CAGL0J12001g, CAGL0J12023g and CAGL0J12045, unannotated gaps of 234 and 554 bp, respectively, exist in the current genome sequence. BLAST analysis of the DNA sequences in the gaps revealed homology with other putative adhesins, supporting our view that these ORF fragments and gaps are indeed parts of a single gene. Based on homology in N-terminal regions, Awp3 and Awp1 belong to the same subgroup, all of which reside on chromosome J (Fig. 3). Awp2 and Awp4 belong to another subgroup which is spread over several chromosomes, as is also the case for the Epa family. Both Awp2 and 4 contain multiple Awp2 repeats (Fig. 6).

Awp4 and Epa6 were identified only in stationary phase cells, and Awp3 only in log phase cells. Awp1 was not identified in strain ATCC 2001. Obvious temperature-dependent changes in incorporation of adhesin-like proteins were not detected. We have to stress that the used methodology provides a qualitative inventory rather than precise quantitative data. Nevertheless, careful examination of raw data from MS survey spectra points to quantitative differences in incorporation of adhesin-like proteins dependent on strain background and growth phase. This may have direct consequences for surface properties such as adhesion capacity and biofilm formation.
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Figure 6. Awp2 and Awp4 have adhesin-specific intragenic tandem repeats. Multiple alignment of tandem repeats present in both Awp2 and Awp3. Awp2 is encoded by CAGL0K00110g. Awp3 is dispersed into 5 ORF fragments, CAGL0J12001g, CAGL0J12002g, CAGL0J12023g, CAGL0J12045g and CAGL0J12056g. The two N-terminal parts (not shown) were identified by LC/MS/MS. The three C-terminal ORF fragments contain (part of) repeat units. Numbers indicate the aligned regions of the ORF (fragments).

Discussion

Fungal cell walls are essential organelles and composed of molecules that are largely absent in mammals. They thus are excellent targets for development of antifungal drugs and diagnostic tools. Being the interface between fungal pathogens and the human host, cell wall components such as glucan and N- and O-mannan represent important pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) recognized by the innate immune system (21, 44, 45). Moreover, the cell wall proteome defines surface properties such as biofilm formation, and adhesiveness to (host) cells and abiotic medical devices. Therefore, the aim of our study was to identify species-specific differences in the glycan and protein composition and in the crosslinks between these components. The major constituents of the cell wall of C. glabrata are the polysaccharides 1,3-β-glucan, 1,6-β-glucan and chitin,
and mannoproteins. The mannoprotein content appeared 50% higher as compared to baker's yeast and C. albicans, concomitant with a reduced glucan content (Table 1). The relatively low levels of alkali-insoluble glucans suggests that fewer cross-links may exist between glucan and chitin. The cell walls obtained from C. glabrata (this study) and S. cerevisiae (1) growing exponentially in rich medium both constitute about 20% of the cell's dry weight. This would imply that the glucan network is thinner in C. glabrata and the outer layer of the cell wall is more densely packed with mannoproteins. Thus, β-glucans in the cell wall of C. glabrata, may be more effectively masked from host immune recognition by the receptor dectin-1 as compared to C. albicans (69). Conceivably, the host's innate immune system is well equipped to monitor subtle species-specific differences in fungal PAMPs to readily build an appropriate response.

Transmission electron microscopy revealed that cell walls of C. glabrata have a dynamic bilayered structure, consisting of an electron-dense outer layer that surrounds a semi-transparent inner layer (Fig. 1). This is typical for ascomycetous yeasts (33). Permanganate staining (47) and protease treatment (73) of S. cerevisiae cells have indicated that the outer layer primarily contains (manno)proteins whereas the inner layer is mainly composed of carbohydrates. Our biochemical experiments on protein incorporation indicated that C. glabrata contains covalently-bound CWPs that can be divided into two separate groups. The majority are GPI-modified proteins, whereas the second group is directly bound to 1,3-β-glucan via an alkali-sensitive linkage (ASL). Immunostaining of S. cerevisiae and C. albicans cells with specific antisera against individual CWPs have shown that GPI proteins localize predominantly to the outer layer of the wall. On the other hand, Pir proteins, belonging to the group of ASL-CWPs, are found throughout the wall (for a review see (33)). In C. glabrata walls we found four Pir proteins, which explains the semi-transparency of the inner layer and is consistent with the hypothesis that they can crosslink different 1,3-β-glucan molecules via their Pir-specific repeats (19). Scw4, another ASL-CWP, lacks such repeats, which suggests that covalent incorporation of ASL-proteins may depend on various mechanisms.

Many of the CWPs previously identified in ascomycetous yeasts are ubiquitous and have a role in cell wall construction, maintenance and remodeling, and, presumably, also in biofilm formation. Others are species-specific and may
dictate virulence-related properties such as adhesion (to host tissues or medical devices) or counteractivity towards host-defense responses. Cell wall proteomic studies in *C. albicans* have identified some important proteins that are only present in (some) CTG-clade species and might directly relate to *Candida* virulence (Table 2). In particular, under non-limiting growth conditions *C. albicans* cell walls contained two adhesins, Als1 and 4 (13). Furthermore, growth in a vagina-simulative medium induced two other adhesins, Als3 and Hwp1, as well as proteins involved in iron acquisition (58). To identify possible pathogenesis-related CWPs in *C. glabrata*, covalently-attached proteins were identified from SDS-treated walls using our 'wall shaving' method followed by LC/MS/MS. Large functional similarity was found between the set of CWPs in log-phase *C. glabrata* cells and those previously identified in *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae* (Table 2), which is consistent with the idea that many of them have a role in cell wall biosynthesis. For instance, all three organisms contain multiple homologous carbohydrate-active enzymes, that may use cell wall components as their substrates, and small structural or coat-forming proteins in their wall (13, 72). As deduced from SDS-PAGE and mass spectrometric analysis and in agreement with codon adaptation index (CAI) values (67), the non-enzymatic proteins Cwp1.1 and 1.2 are by far the most abundant CWPs in *C. glabrata*. In view of the relatively high level of total mannoprotein, this implies an important role for Cwp1.1 and 1.2 in cell wall organization, probably by contributing to surface characteristics such as permeability and (negative) charge. In addition, by being linked to 1,3-β-glucan through alkali-labile ester linkages and to 1,6-β-glucan through GPI-modification, Cwp1.1 and 1.2 may cross-link different glucan chains, thereby significantly contributing to cell wall strengthening. Noteworthy in this respect is the anti-Cwp1 reactive material of high molecular mass in the 1,6-β-glucanase extract (Fig. 2A) which also suggests a more complex incorporation of Cwp1 molecules.

Bioinformatic analysis showed that the genome of *C. glabrata* harbors a large set of putative GPI-modified adhesins. This is supported by the identification of Epa6 and the novel putative adhesins Awp1/2/3/4 by mass spectrometry as well as by confirmation of the expression of their corresponding genes by RT-PCR (E. Kraneveld, pers. communication). However, none of the putative adhesins was identified in exponentially growing cells of ATCC 90876. Development of candidiasis is often preceded by the formation of a biofilm onto
mucosal tissues or medical devices. *Candida* cells in biofilms grow and adhere, and then age if they are retained within the biofilm matrix. Therefore, in the human body, adherent *C. glabrata* cells probably survive in a semi-stationary phase for most of their life-time. Differential expression of several cell wall genes was observed in *S. cerevisiae* during the postdiauxic and stationary phase (20). Moreover, in the stationary phase, mutant strains lacking selected covalently-bound non-enzymatic CWPs showed significantly decreased viability, leading to up to 25% of dead cells in the culture (60). These results indicate that the functions and/or incorporation of certain CWPs are related to the stationary growth phase. Furthermore, large allelic variations may occur for adhesin genes, as has been observed for instance in *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae* (24, 63). The two *C. glabrata* strains used in this study show different surface hydrophobicity and in vitro adhesion capacity, with ATCC 2001 being more hydrophobic and adherent to plastic than ATCC 90876. Also, both strains showed a significant increase in surface hydrophobicity when the cells were grown from the logarithmic phase to the stationary phase. Strikingly, the observed differences in hydrophobicity seem to coincide with incorporation of different adhesins as indicated by mass spectrometric analysis of cell wall proteins under the same conditions. Of the five identified adhesin-like proteins, Awp2, Awp3, Awp4 and Epa6 were only found in ATCC 2001. Awp1 on the other hand was only identified in ATCC 90876. In addition, identification of Awp1, Awp4 and Epa6 in stationary cells only, and Awp3 in log phase cells only, further affirmed that incorporation of certain (putative) adhesins is indeed growth phase-dependent. Although it awaits experimental confirmation, it is tempting to speculate that the reduced adhesion capacity of log-phase cells as observed for ATCC 2001 might be related to the dissemination of yeast cells from biofilms.

The well-described Epa1 protein was not identified under the conditions used in this study, which is in agreement with the observation that it is present in cell walls during very early growth stages but is removed later on through proteolytic digestion by aspartic proteases (30). Four of the adhesin-like proteins identified in our study are localized close to telomeres (Fig. 3). Subtelomeric localization has already been shown to cause *EPA* genes to be normally repressed under non-limiting growth conditions (4). *EPA6*, and also *EPA1* and *EPA7*, become transcriptionally activated as a result of nicotinic acid limitation and may therefore be relevant for establishment of infections under conditions that occur...
in the urinary tract (17). Epa6 has also been shown to play an important role during biofilm formation (26). Transcriptional regulation of a large repertoire of different adhesins may therefore help C. glabrata to adapt to specific environmental circumstances imposed by different host niches by stimulating cell-cell adhesion or biofilm formation. In the genome of C. glabrata we detected at least seven subgroups comprising 67 putative CWPs with adhesin-like characteristics. Most of these are located in subtelomeric regions. Seventeen of these proteins can be allocated to the Epa-family, which constitutes the largest subgroup of adhesins in C. glabrata. Interesting in this respect is also a subfamily of adhesins in C. glabrata with similarity to the multiprotein family of Dan and Pau proteins. In S. cerevisiae, subtelomERICally located PAU and DAN genes are repressed under aerobic conditions and by haem, and induced under anaerobic conditions (53). A similar regulation in C. glabrata might for instance lead to upregulation of a specific set of adhesin-like proteins during infection or biofilm formation, which both represent conditions with low oxygen availability. Such a specific regulation would also explain why many of the putative GPI-modified adhesive-like proteins are not identified in the limited set of growth conditions tested in our studies. Together with current developments in quantitative proteomics (70), this work therefore opens new lines of investigations where changes in the cell wall proteome composition can be related to different clinical strain backgrounds and medically relevant growth or host conditions. Furthermore, detailed analysis of the newly identified Awp1-4 proteins should elucidate the precise functional role of these adhesins and their contribution to fungal virulence.

In conclusion, this study shows unique and distinctive features of the cell wall network in the human pathogen C. glabrata, which may contribute to its virulence. In particular, we discovered a large family of novel adhesin-like wall proteins. Differential incorporation into the wall, as shown for some of the proteins, probably governs the ability to adhere and form biofilms onto various host surfaces.
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