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SUMMARY

Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) hold great promise for in vitro generation of disease-relevant cell types, such as mesodiencephalic dopaminergic (mdDA) neurons involved in Parkinson’s disease. Although iPSC-derived midbrain DA neurons have been generated, detailed genetic and epigenetic characterizations of such neurons are lacking. The goal of this study was to examine the authenticity of iPSC-derived DA neurons obtained by established protocols. We FACS purified mdDA (Pitx3<sup>Gfp/+</sup>) neurons derived from mouse iPSCs and primary mdDA (Pitx3<sup>Gfp/+</sup>) neurons to analyze and compare their genetic and epigenetic features. Although iPSC-derived DA neurons largely adopted characteristics of their in vivo counterparts, relevant deviations in global gene expression and DNA methylation were found. Hypermethylated genes, mainly involved in neurodevelopment and basic neuronal functions, consequently showed reduced expression levels. Such abnormalities should be addressed because they might affect unambiguous long-term functionality and hamper the potential of iPSC-derived DA neurons for in vitro disease modeling or cell-based therapy.

INTRODUCTION

The field of regenerative medicine experienced a powerful impetus after the groundbreaking discovery of induced pluripotency (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). Numerous publications have shown that mouse as well as human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) have the potency to differentiate into various clinically relevant cell types, such as cardiomyocytes (Kuzmenkin et al., 2009; Ren et al., 2011), hepatocytes (Espel et al., 2010), hematopoietic progenitors (Hanna et al., 2007), oligodendrocytes (Czepiel et al., 2011), and specific subtypes of neurons (Karumbayaram et al., 2009; Wernig et al., 2008). Such in vitro-generated iPSC-derived cell types provide new possibilities for disease modeling and cell replacement strategies. In particular, the generation of autologous iPSC-derived midbrain dopaminergic (DA) neurons provides a very interesting tool to study and treat Parkinson’s disease (PD) (Roessler et al., 2013). However, future clinical application of iPSC-derived DA neurons can only be considered realistic if the desired cell population is strictly purified and completely defined.

Several groups have reported the generation of DA neurons from mouse and human iPSCs (Hargus et al., 2010; Swistowski et al., 2010; Wernig et al., 2008). In these studies, iPSC-derived neurons displayed expression of crucial DA markers and exhibited typical neuronal electrophysiological properties. Furthermore, these iPSC-derived DA neurons could functionally integrate into a rat PD model upon transplantation. In principle, these results indicated that a DA neuronal population could be obtained from iPSCs and present important midbrain DA neuronal characteristics. However, genome-wide studies comparing the genetic and epigenetic features of iPSC-derived DA neurons versus primary DA neurons are currently lacking. Since reprogramming of somatic cells to iPSCs resets their identity back to an embryonic stage, iPSC-derived differentiated neurons should be considered freshly formed “embryonic” neurons. Accordingly, a relevant comprehensive comparison of iPSC-derived DA neurons can only be done with freshly formed embryonic and perinatal primary mesodiencephalic DA (mdDA) neurons.

We generated iPSC lines from Pitx3<sup>Gfp/+</sup> knockin mouse embryonic fibroblasts. PITX3 is a highly specific mdDA neuron marker that is required for DA neuron differentiation in the substantia nigra (Jacobs et al., 2009, 2011; Smitd et al., 2004). Specific PITX3-associated GFP expression...
allowed us to strictly identify and purify DA neurons from either iPSCs or the ventral midbrain at specific developmental stages by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). We then subjected these mdDA neurons to genome-wide gene-expression analysis comparing iPSC-derived DA neurons and primary isolated mdDA neurons.

Induction of pluripotency in somatic cells is considered an epigenetic process that entails, among other events, a large series of changes in DNA methylation patterns (Bock et al., 2011; Maherali et al., 2007; Nishino et al., 2011; Ohi et al., 2011). Furthermore, iPSC differentiation into neural progenitor cells and subsequently into a specific neuronal subtype depends on properly established de novo DNA methylation (Lee et al., 2010; Watanabe et al., 2006). Therefore, it is essential not only to evaluate gene expression but also to compare the methylation of iPSC-derived DA neurons with their primary counterparts. In order to obtain a comprehensive profile of the functionally most relevant DNA methylation sites in iPSC-derived DA neurons versus primary mdDA neurons, we performed a genome-wide analysis of CpG island (CGI) methylation using reduced representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS) (Meissner et al., 2005; Smallwood et al., 2011) on genomic DNA samples isolated from purified neuronal populations.

RESULTS

iPSC-Derived Purified Pitx3<sup>Gfp/+</sup> Neurons Express Crucial DA Markers

iPSCs were generated from embryonic fibroblasts of Pitx3<sup>Gfp/+</sup> transgenic mice and characterized (Figure S1 available online). DA-specific differentiation was performed according to previously described protocols (Chambers et al., 2009; Kawasaki et al., 2000) and resulted in microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2)- and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)-positive PITX3-expressing neurons that exhibited the typical morphology of mature DA neurons (Figures 1A and 1B). A comparative FACS profile showed an absence of GFP-expressing cells within the undifferentiated iPSC population, whereas the DA-differentiated population contained a distinguishable subgroup of GFP-expressing cells (Figure 1C). Upon lineage-specific differentiation, iPSC-derived DA neurons were able to secrete dopamine (Figure 1D), and patch-clamp recordings revealed bona fide electrophysiological properties of the iPSC-derived mdDA neurons (Figures 1E–1G). PITX3-GFP-sorted cells functionally integrated into 6OHDA-lesioned rat brains after intrastratal implantation, causing a reduction in amphetamine-induced rotation behavior (Figure S2). Our data show successful differentiation of iPSCs toward functional PITX3-expressing mdDA neurons that could be purified from an undefined iPSC-derived cell population. Next, we set out to compare the global gene-expression profile of the iPSC-derived DA neurons with that of the primary mdDA neurons.

Comparative Expression Profiling: Primary mdDA Neurons versus iPSC-Derived DA Neurons

We performed a genome-wide comparative gene-expression analysis with iPSC-derived PITX3-GFP-positive cells and primary isolated mdDA neurons at several developmental stages (embryonic day 12.5 [E12.5] to postnatal day 0 [P0]; see Figure 2A for experimental scheme). FACS sorting with an efficiency of 98% allowed purification of iPSC-derived PITX3-GFP-positive cells and primary PITX3-GFP-positive mdDA neurons (Figure 2B). Telencephalic brain homogenate served as the negative control for primary cells (Figure 2B), and undifferentiated iPSCs served as the negative control for iPSC-derived GFP-positive cells (as shown in Figure 1C).

Correlation of the genome-wide expression profiles of Pitx3<sup>Gfp/+</sup> mdDA neurons at different developmental stages (Figure 2C) revealed the highest similarities between embryonic mdDA neurons (r = 0.92–0.98). In comparison, the gene-expression profile of iPSC-derived DA neurons was less correlated (highest correlation [r = 0.66] with E14.5 mdDA neurons); however, the weakest correlation was found between iPSC-derived DA neurons and P0 mdDA neurons (r = 0.55).

We performed Gene Ontology (GO) term analyses to annotate genes that were differentially expressed in iPSC-derived DA neurons in comparison with primary embryonic mdDA neurons. We found that the genes that were most downregulated in iPSC-derived DA neurons were associated with GO terms such as nervous system development, neuron differentiation, and neurogenesis (Figure 2D).

We analyzed the expression of an mdDA-specific subset of genes in more detail and found high similarities between iPSC-derived DA neurons and most primary mdDA neurons (Figure 2E). Hierarchical clustering revealed several mdDA-specific genes equally up- or downregulated in primary isolated and iPSC-derived DA neurons. Sample cluster analysis revealed the strongest gene-expression correlation between iPSC-derived mdDA neurons and embryonic primary neurons, whereas postnatal-stage primary mdDA neurons clustered separately. Several key DA genes, such as Otx2, FoxA1, FoxA2, Nr4a2 (Nurr1), Lmx1a, and Lmx1b were similarly expressed in iPSC-derived mdDA neurons and in embryonic mdDA neurons. Other DA genes, such as En1 and En2, showed expression levels in iPSC-derived mdDA neurons comparable to those in postnatal mdDA neurons. We extended our gene-expression profiling to additional mdDA-specific transcription factors (Chakraborty et al., 2012), axonal guidance factors, and ion channels (Figure S3). While we observed moderate correlations
between iPSC-derived mdDA neurons and primary DA neurons for transcription factors and axonal guidance factors, we found a high correlation for expression levels of ion channels, with the strongest overall correlation ($r = 0.82$) between E12.5 mdDA neurons and iPSC-derived DA neurons.

In view of the origin of iPSC-derived DA neurons, we also analyzed the transcript profile for pluripotency genes and fibroblast-related genes, visualized by dendrograms (Figure 2F). The gene expression of a set of pluripotency markers was subjected to cluster analysis, which showed similar transcript levels (e.g., $\text{Nanog}$, $\text{Oct4 (Pou5f1)}$, $\text{Zfp42}$, and $\text{Nr0b1}$ [also known as $\text{Dax1}$]) in primary and iPSC-derived cell types (Figure 2F). This result not only substantiates a successful transition away from a pluripotent state but also indicates appropriate silencing of pluripotency genes upon in vitro differentiation. However, a similar cluster analysis performed on a subset of...
fibroblast-specific markers revealed differential expression in primary and iPSC-derived neurons, suggesting remnants of a still active fibroblast gene program in iPSC-derived DA neurons.

In summary, comparative gene-expression profiling of purified iPSC-derived DA neurons and embryonic mdDA neurons revealed a clear correlation, but less similarity was found between iPSC-derived DA neurons and P0 DA neurons. Downregulated genes in iPSC-derived DA neurons were mainly associated with biological functions such as nervous system development, neurogenesis, and neuron differentiation. These findings prompted us to investigate the nature of this downregulation and to extend our gene-expression analysis by performing in-depth epigenetic profiling focused on DNA methylation.

**Gene-Specific Methylation Profiles Correlate with Gene Expression for Specific DA Markers**

First, in order to validate our genome-wide expression profiling data, we performed quantitative PCR (qPCR) experiments for a set of selected key DA genes (Pitx3, Nurr1, Dlk1, En1, and En2), Sox9, and Desmin. The expression levels of these particular genes appeared to be in line with the results obtained by microarray analysis, and indicate that DA-specific marker expression in iPSC-derived DA neurons most closely resembles that of terminally differentiated-stage mdDA neurons (Figure 3A). As far as the expression of Pitx3, Nurr1, En1, and En2 was concerned, the highest similarity was found between iPSC-derived DA and E16.5 mdDA neurons (Figure 3B).

Next, we set out to compare the DNA methylation profiles associated with the selected key DA gene expression of iPSC-derived DA and primary mdDA neurons. DNA methylation is a major player in epigenetic regulation of gene expression, and strong methylation of promoters is generally associated with gene silencing. RRBS allowed us to analyze specific CpG methylation at nucleotide resolution on a genome-wide scale. RRBS provides coverage preferentially of CpG-rich regions, such as CGIs. CGIs are predominantly associated with promoter regions surrounding the transcription start site (TSS). We identified and analyzed CGIs and their methylation status for the aforementioned key mdDA factors and found a striking correlation between CGI methylation levels and gene expression (Figure 3C). Pitx3, the mdDA marker we used to purify both cell types, revealed equally low methylation in the CGI around exon 1. Active expression of Pitx3 in both cell types might be due to this permissive DNA methylation state. CGIs in gene bodies of Nurr1, En1, and En2 appeared to be more strongly methylated in iPSC-derived DA neurons than in primary mdDA neurons. This specific DNA methylation state in iPSC-derived DA neurons may underlie the somewhat lower relative levels of expression of these key mdDA factors in iPSC-DA neurons in comparison with the primary mdDA neurons. Interestingly, Nurr1 showed the highest methylation in a CGI around exon 5, which may point to an alternative promoter for the expression of Nurr1. Similarly, increased methylation was observed for En1 and En2, in particular at CGIs around exon 1. Additional key mdDA transcription factors, such as Otx2, Lmx1a, Lmx1b, FoxA1, FoxA2, and the dopamine receptor Dnd2, were analyzed and their CGI methylation status was examined (Figure S4). Overall, increased CGI methylation in iPSC-DA neurons appeared to be at intermediate levels (around 50%) in comparison with native mdDA neurons. These differences in DNA methylation patterns and, accordingly, the reduction in DA gene-expression levels may have subtle, as yet uncharacterized effects on the proper functionality of iPSC-derived DA neurons. In order to obtain a global view of DNA methylation, we next set out to compare total CpG methylation and CGI methylation in primary mdDA neurons and iPSC-derived mdDA neurons.

**DNA Methylation Landscape in iPSC-Derived DA Neurons and Primary mdDA Neurons**

To determine whether DA neurons derived from iPSCs recapitulate the DNA methylation landscape of their in vivo counterparts isolated from the ventral midbrain, we performed a genome-wide analysis of 5mC (Figure 4). We obtained information on 844,812 CpGs (≥ 5 read depth) for iPSC-DA neurons and mdDA neurons, with a bisulfite conversion efficiency of >98% as assessed by non-CpG methylation. Of these, 72% corresponded to CGIs in iPSC-DA neurons and mdDA neurons. Global methylation levels outside of CGIs were similar in iPSC-DA and mdDA neurons, and comparable to those observed in somatic tissues in general (illingworth et al., 2008, 2010; Figures 4A and 4B). Similarly, the CpG methylation levels of iPSC-DA neurons and mdDA neurons were highly correlated (r = 0.85; Figures 4C and 4D). On the other hand, in a CGI context, iPSC-DA neurons were relatively hypermethylated in comparison with mdDA neurons (Figure 4B), with the relative proportion of CpGs presenting intermediate levels of methylation (i.e., 40%-60%; Figures 4B and 4C). By plotting the percentage of CGI methylation in mdDA neurons against iPSC-DA neurons, we found a population of more than 2,000 genes with relative CGI-associated hypermethylation in iPSC-DA neurons (Figure 4D). As described above, this was also observed in the methylation levels of individual CGIs, with CGIs unmethylated in mdDA neurons presenting intermediate levels of methylation in iPSC-DA neurons (Figure 3C). Since CGI methylation is essentially bimodal (i.e., either methylated or unmethylated), this reflects a degree of epigenetic heterogeneity within the population of iPSC-derived DA neurons. To
Figure 2. Gene-Expression Profiling: Mesodiencephalic PITX3+ Neurons versus iPSC-Derived PITX3+ Neurons

(A) Schematic of the experimental setup.

(B) FACS profile of the telencephalon and midbrain of Pitx3Gfp/+ mice (E14.5) compared with iPSC-derived PITX3+ neurons.

(C) Correlation matrix of global gene expression comparing all Pitx3Gfp/+ purified neurons.

(legend continued on next page)
assess whether there is an impact on gene expression in this distinctly hypermethylated population, we analyzed the function of the associated genes and compared expression levels in developing mdDA neurons and iPSC-DA neurons.

**Intermediate Hypermethylation in iPSC-DA Neurons Affects Cell-Type-Specific Gene Expression**

Although gene expression in general did not seem to be reduced in iPSC-derived DA neurons, a significant proportion of hypermethylated CGIs was observed (Figures 4D and S5). The most hypermethylated subset of genes (Figure S5C) was subjected to GO analysis (Figure 5A). Interestingly, the most significantly enriched biological functions, such as neuron differentiation and neuron development, corresponded with the most enriched GO terms found in our reduced-gene-expression analysis (see Figure 2D). We then analyzed the correlation of gene expression for genes involved in neuron differentiation (169 genes with a corrected p value of 2.93E-47) between all developmental stages and iPSC-derived DA neurons (Figure 5B). Correlations of neuron differentiation-associated gene expression in iPSC-derived neurons strongly decreased with increasing maturation of the embryonic mdDA neurons, with a correlation coefficient as low as 0.28 for iPSC-derived DA neurons versus P0 mdDA neurons. Of note, we found a higher correlation of gene expression between prenatal mdDA neurons and iPSC-derived neurons than between prenatal mdDA neurons and P0 mdDA neurons.

After defining the biological functions of the hypermethylated subgroup of genes and establishing the correlation of expression for genes in the GO group “neuron differentiation,” we revisited the gene-expression data to visualize the actual transcript levels within a selection of the GO terms (Figures 5C–5E). We found substantial downregulation for multiple genes in three representative GO groups: “neuron development,” “axon guidance,” and “inner ear development” (nonneural GO term). Large clusters of downregulated genes were predominantly observed in P0 mdDA neurons and iPSC-derived neurons rather than between prenatal mdDA neurons and P0 mdDA neurons.

Thus, we identified a subset of genes in iPSC-derived DA neurons that show intermediate hypermethylation. Many, but not all, of these genes show reduced expression levels compared with embryonic mdDA neurons. Crucially, gene annotation revealed that a large fraction of the hypermethylated genes are involved in biological functions relevant to neuron development and differentiation.

**DISCUSSION**

In view of their potential use in cell-based therapy for patients with PD, midbrain DA neurons were one of the first cell types to be generated from iPSCs. The resemblance between iPSC-derived DA neurons and true DA neurons has been studied based on a number of mainly morphological and functional properties, as well as mdDA-specific gene sets (Hedlund et al., 2008; Kríks et al., 2011; Wernig et al., 2008). However, the risk of tumor growth and the heterogeneous molecular backgrounds of embryonic stem cell (ESC)- and iPSC-derived DA neurons have precluded the use of these cells for therapy in humans (Momčiloović et al., 2014; Salti et al., 2013). Gaining a detailed understanding of the genetic and epigenetic signatures of ESC- and iPSC-derived DA neurons is therefore a critical step toward establishing cell-based therapy as a viable treatment for PD. In this study, we present a comparative genomewide profile of the genetic and epigenetic features of iPSC-derived DA neurons and their primary counterparts. Although iPSC-derived DA neurons showed characteristics that are widely used to classify functional mdDA neurons (Ganat et al., 2012; Kríks et al., 2011; Sundberg et al., 2013), caused a reduction in rotation behavior in a rat model for PD, and adopted many of the genetic and epigenetic features of their primary counterparts, we also observed major deviations that may interfere with proper functionality after grafting.

Since DA neurons obtained via induced pluripotency undergo defined developmental stages in vitro, we compared them with primary embryonic and postnatal mdDA neurons. To generate iPSCs and differentiate them into DA neurons, we applied a combination of currently widely accepted and standardized protocols (Chambers et al., 2009; Kawasaki et al., 2000; Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). We made use of transgenic Pitx3Gfp/+ mice (Maxwell et al., 2005) to isolate primary mdDA neurons and reprogram embryonic fibroblasts into iPSCs, which were subsequently differentiated into DA neurons. The transcription factor PITX3 has been demonstrated to be one of the most stringent markers for fully differentiated, functional mdDA neurons (Smidt et al., 2003, 2004), and expression of the PITX3-GFP reporter allowed us to strictly identify and FACS purify iPSC-derived and primary mdDA neurons. Our global gene-expression analysis showed that iPSC-derived mdDA neurons were highly similar to embryonic primary mdDA neurons, particularly when we focused on

(D) GO term analysis of genes that were most reduced in iPSC-derived mdDA neurons.

(E) Expression levels of selected mdDA-specific genes, comparing developmental midbrain stages with iPSC-derived PITX3+ neurons. R, correlation coefficient relative to iPSC-derived DA neurons.

(F) Hierarchical clustering for a subset of pluripotency and fibroblast markers. See also Figure S3.
However, we identified a subset of genes that were downregulated in iPSC-derived mdDA neurons in comparison with embryonic primary mdDA neurons. GO analysis revealed that these genes were linked to terms such as nervous system development, neuron differentiation, and neurogenesis.
Although transcript analysis indicated that proviral gene expression introduced during reprogramming was silenced in iPSC-derived mdDA neurons, we still found residual expression of fibroblast markers. It was previously shown that iPSCs are prone to differentiate along their somatic parental lineages because they maintain a parental epigenetic memory (Bar-Nur et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2010; Sullivan et al., 2010). Although some studies suggested that such epigenetic memory is restricted to early-passage iPSCs (Polo et al., 2010), others reported that parental epigenetic states also persist in late-passage iPSCs (Kim et al., 2011). This could explain why we observed fibroblast marker expression and a permissive DNA methylation state for some of the fibroblast genes in PITX3-GFP-positive cells derived from high-passage (p15–p20) iPSCs.

To determine whether the epigenetic states of the analyzed neuron populations reflected similarities and differences in gene-expression profiles, we analyzed global DNA methylation patterns in primary embryonic mdDA neurons (E14.5) and iPSC-derived DA neurons. In contrast to the case with undifferentiated iPSCs, we found strong general de novo methylation in iPSC-derived DA neurons.

Figure 4. Global Comparative Methylation Profile of iPSC-DA Neurons versus mdDA Neurons
(A) Boxplots representing the range of methylation of CGIs and non-CGIs in iPSCs, iPSC-DA neurons, and mdDA neurons. Error bars represent minimum and maximum values.
(B) Distribution of CpG methylation levels across the genome in CGIs and non-CGI context in iPSCs, iPSC-DA neurons, and mdDA neurons.
(C) Correlation of genome-wide CpG methylation in iPSC-DA neurons versus mdDA neurons.
(D) Correlation of global CGI methylation in iPSC-DA neurons versus mdDA neurons.
See also Figure S5.
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Earlier studies reported that PSCs mostly contain methylation-free promoters, as well as methylation-free intergenic and orphan CGIs (Illingworth et al., 2010). De novo methylation was only found upon loss of pluripotency, suggesting that transcriptional repression in PSCs is established predominantly via other mechanisms (Fouse et al., 2008; Mohn et al., 2008). Indeed, we observed that most de novo methylation events occurred during differentiation from pluripotent cells to multipotent precursors isolated from an iPSC-derived NESTIN-GFP reporter line (data not shown).

General methylation states appeared to be comparable between iPSC-derived DA neurons and primary embryonic mdDA neurons ($r = 0.85$), indicating that our in-vitro-generated DA neurons widely adopted the epigenetic signature of their primary counterparts. Nonetheless, we found several thousand genes hypermethylated in iPSC-derived DA neurons. This hypermethylation was found predominantly at an intermediate level ranging from 40% to 60% DNA methylation. At this point, we can only speculate about whether hypermethylation is due to retained epigenetic memory or to heterogeneous differentiation/maturation stages within the PITX3-GFP FACS-sorted iPSC-derived population. To our knowledge, a specific neuronal subtype derived from iPSCs has not been characterized to such an extent, but similar differences in methylation profiles have been observed in reprogrammed mesenchymal stromal cells compared with ESCs (Shao et al., 2013). Interestingly, our gene-expression data show reduced expression of Tet1 and Tet3 in iPSC-derived DA neurons compared with E14.5 mdDA neurons. Tet proteins have been shown to be crucial for establishment of pluripotency, development, and neuronal activity (Koh et al., 2011; Rudenko et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). Presently, very little is known about the activity of Tet proteins in specific subtypes of neurons (primary or PSC derived). It is becoming more and more clear, however, that their demethylation activity is crucial for functionally bona fide, healthy neurons (Gavin et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2009). Our DNA methylation profiling of iPSC-derived DA neurons versus primary mdDA neurons did not allow a distinction between 5-methylcytosine (5mC) and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC). Neuronal gene activation was recently shown to be mediated by specific MeCP2 binding to 5hmC (Li et al., 2013; Mellén et al., 2012). Therefore, we cannot rule out the possibility that elevated 5hmC levels in iPSC-derived mdDA neurons might contribute to gene activation rather than to silencing. However, within the population of hypermethylated genes, we did observe gene clusters with substantially lower transcript levels. Interestingly, we also found clusters of genes that were upregulated compared with primary mdDA neurons. Because these genes also have been identified by RRBS based on their intermediate hypermethylation, these particular subsets might reflect groups of genes that are activated rather than silenced upon 5-hydroxymethylation.

In our gene-expression analyses, we found a weaker correlation between iPSC-derived mdDA neurons and P0 primary mdDA neurons than between iPSC-derived mdDA neurons and embryonic primary mdDA neurons, which prompted us to analyze in depth the underlying methylation states of only E14.5 mdDA neurons and iPSC-derived mdDA neurons. Interestingly, however, the expression levels of *En1* and *En2* in iPSC-derived mdDA neurons were comparable to those in P0 primary mdDA neurons. The meaning of this in terms of functionality is unclear and may reflect the fact that these iPSC-derived mdDA neurons are in more of an adult state with respect to *En1* and *En2*. In addition, it might indicate that iPSC-derived mdDA neurons are in an A9 state, as it has been suggested that *En1* levels are downregulated by PITX3 in the rostral mdDA subpopulation (Veenvliet et al., 2013).

Our findings raise two important questions: is epigenetic memory an obstacle for exploiting the full potential of iPSCs (e.g., personalized disease modeling), and do aberrations in the expression profiles of iPSC-derived mdDA neurons interfere with long-term functionality? Although comprehensive studies have been performed to test the in vivo functionality of human neurons (Ganat et al., 2012; Kriks et al., 2011), these questions still need to be addressed, especially when in-vitro-generated cells are to be considered for application in disease modeling and cell-based therapy for PD. It remains to be studied whether modifications in the reprogramming process, as recently reported for the human system (Gafni et al., 2013), as well as refined differentiation procedures might diminish these deviations. An increased fundamental understanding of the genetic and epigenetic signatures of DA neurons in vivo (Hegarty et al., 2013; Smidt and Burbach, 2007; van Heesbeen et al., 2013) could offer new leads to generate safe and transplantable DA neurons in vitro.

Figure 5. Intermediate Hypermethylation of >2,000 Genes in iPSC-Derived DA Neurons Affects Cell-Type-Specific Gene Expression

(A) GO term analysis of hypermethylated genes in iPSC-derived DA neurons.
(B) Correlation matrix for gene expression in the “neuron differentiation” GO subset (highlighted by the lowest red box in A).
(C–E) Heatmaps showing comparative gene expression for genes involved in neuron differentiation (C), axon guidance (D), and inner ear development (E) (GO terms highlighted by red boxes in A). Blue boxes indicate the groups used for RRBS analysis.
Differentiation of Pitx3
Gfp/+ iPSCs toward DA Neurons
We combined a stromal feeder-based protocol (Kawasaki et al., 2009) with dual bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) and transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) inhibition (Chambers et al., 2009). iPSC clones were characterized by immunocytochemistry, RT-PCR, western blot, and bisulfitesequencing for the promoter regions of Nanog and Oct4 (Figure S1).

Microarray Analysis
Total RNA was isolated from embryonic ventral midbrain tissue at various developmental stages (four biological replicates each) and iPSC-derived neurons (three biological replicates) using Trizol (Invitrogen), and purified using RNeasy columns (QIAGEN). Microarray analysis was performed in biological triplicates. For each experimental sample, a dye swap was performed to correct for dye effects. Agilent whole mouse genome microarray (G4122F; Agilent) sets were used for all hybridization. The array set is comprised of 44-mer oligonucleotide probes representing over 41,000 mouse genes and transcripts. Hybridized slides were scanned on an Agilent scanner (G2565AA) at 100% laser power, 30% PMT. After data were extracted using ImaGene 8.0 (BioDiscovery), print-tip Loess normalization was performed on mean spot intensities. Data were analyzed using ANOVA (R version 2.2.1/MAANOVA version 0.98-7; http://www.r-project.org/), and p values were determined by a permutation F2 test in which residuals were shuffled 5,000 times globally.

RRBS
RRBS was performed as described previously (Smallwood et al., 2011). Genomic DNA from E14.5 mdDA neurons, NESTIN-GFP-positive precursors, and iPSC-derived DA neurons was purified using the QIAamp Micro Kit (QIAGEN) followed byMspI digestion (Fermentas), end-repair/A-tailing, and ligation (T4 Ligase, Fermentas) of Smc-adapters (illumina) performed in Tango1X buffer without intermediate purification of the enzymatic reactions (heat inactivation was used after each enzymatic step and components were adjusted for the next step). Bisulfiten conversion wasperformed (Imprint DNA modification; Sigma) and converted DNA was amplified (six cycles) using uracil stalling free polymerase (Pfu Turbo Cx; Stratagene) followed by size selection (150–450 bp, Qiaguid; QIAGEN) and a second round of amplification (10–12 cycles, Platinum, Pfx polymerase; Invitrogen). Libraries were purified (SPRI beads; Agencourt) and sequenced on an Illumina Genome Analyzer Ix (40 bp, single read). Quality control of raw sequence data was performed using FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc), and trimming was done using TrimGalore (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/). Sequence alignment and methylation calls were performed using BAREBACK (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/bareback/) and Bismark (Krueger and Andrews, 2011).
with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2014.03.001.
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