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**Introduction**

This study looks at transfer from L1 French and L1 English to the L2 Dutch. We specifically look at the ability to judge and imitate morpho-syntactic and semantic structures with the quantitative pronoun ER.

**Based on Full Transfer/Full Access,** partially (e.g. licensing conditions of these pronouns (Dutch: ER, French: EN) differ complex NP modified by a cardinal numeral or weak quantifier. The Dutch and French have a quantitative pronoun that accompanies a *

**Syntax**

- Dutch: presence vs absence
- French: ER is vs is not

**Semantics**

- indefiniteness vs definiteness
- presence vs absence

Dutch and French have a quantitative pronoun that accompanies a *

**Materials**

- Grammaticality Judgement Task
- Sentence Imitation Task
- Dutch Vocabulary Task, Digit Span, Questionnaire

**Participants**

- L1 French (N=25), highly advanced, level > B2
- L1 English (N=25), highly advanced, level > B2
- L1 Dutch (N=25)

**Average years of exposure:**

- L1 French (22;1)
- L1 English (19;7)

**Comparison results French-Dutch and English-Dutch**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI</th>
<th>Dutch</th>
<th>L1 French</th>
<th>L1 English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Syntax</td>
<td>(presence)</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>p = 0.00866 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(absence)</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>p = 0.00294 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantics</td>
<td>(indefiniteness)</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>p = 2.18e-05 ***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(definiteness)</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>p = 0.08448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(presuppositionality)</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>p = 7.25e-10 ***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**0/6 predictions confirmed**

**In the SI the L1 English speakers behaved as we expected, however the L1 French speakers did not: apparently they behave just like the L1 English speakers.**

**Comparison results French-English**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI</th>
<th>Dutch</th>
<th>L1 French</th>
<th>L1 English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Syntax</td>
<td>(presence)</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>p = 0.0651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(absence)</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>p = 0.05478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantics</td>
<td>(indefiniteness)</td>
<td>positive</td>
<td>p = 0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(definiteness)</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>p = 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(presuppositionality)</td>
<td>negative</td>
<td>p = 0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**0/6 predictions confirmed**

**In the GJT the L1 French seems to have an influence on L2 Dutch, like we expected, BUT a comparison between the L1 French and the L1 English speakers also shows that the L2 French speakers behave exactly the same as the L1 English speakers.**

**Discussion**

- Our predictions were based on Dutch being the L2 for both groups. However, all of the L1 French participants speak English too, and since all participants live in The Netherlands they come into contact with English on a regular basis. This leads to the idea that Dutch is in fact their L3.
- By considering Dutch as an L3 for the L1 French group, we implemented the L2 Status Factor (Bardel and Fakh 2007) that claims that the L2 acts as a filter, thereby blocking transfer from the L1 at the syntactic level. Thus, the L2 might have a bigger impact on learning the L3 than the L1. In that case we do not expect to find significant differences between the L1 French and the L1 English groups.
- No significant difference between the L1 French and L1 English groups have been found in the GJT, thereby confirming the L2 Status Factor.

**Conclusions**

Our goal was to look at the role of L1 French and L1 English on the L2 acquisition of the Dutch quantitative pronoun ER. However, we found that for the L1 English group Dutch is considered the ‘real L2’, and for the L1 French group English should be considered the L2 and Dutch the L3, thereby confirming the L2 Status Factor.
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