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Abstract. We present the results of two simultaneous X-ray/radio observations of the low-mass X-ray binary GX 13+1, performed in July/August 1999 with the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer and the Very Large Array. In X-rays the source was observed in two distinct spectral states: a soft state, which had a corresponding 6 cm flux density of \(\sim 0.25\) mJy, and a hard state, which was much brighter at 1.3–7.2 mJy. For the radio bright observation we measured a delay between changes in the X-ray spectral hardness and the radio brightness of \(\sim 40\) min, similar to what has been found in the micro-quasar GRS 1915+105. We compare our results with those of GRS 1915+105 and the atoll/Z-type neutron star X-ray binaries. Although it has some properties that do not match with either atoll or Z sources, GX 13+1 seems more similar to the Z sources.
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1. Introduction

Based on their correlated spectral and variability properties, the brightest persistent neutron star low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) are often divided in two groups: the atoll and Z sources (Hasinger & van der Klis 1989; van der Klis 1995a), after the tracks they trace out in X-ray colour-colour diagrams (CDs). Although atoll and Z sources share some variability and spectral properties (in the X-ray band), there are significant differences between the two groups: atoll sources are less luminous, have harder X-ray spectra and show stronger rapid time variability than the Z sources (van der Klis 1995a). Atoll sources are also less luminous in the radio (Fender & Hendry 2000). Although some of the observational differences can be accounted for by differences in the mass accretion rate, with the Z sources probably accreting near the Eddington rate and atoll sources at rates ranging from near Eddington to less than 10% of it, it is generally believed that additional differences, e.g. in the neutron star properties, are required to explain all observational differences (Hasinger & van der Klis 1989).

The nature of GX 13+1, one of the brightest neutron star LMXBs, is still ambiguous. Schulz et al. (1989) grouped it with the high luminosity sources (Schulz et al. 1989), which included the six sources that were later labeled as Z sources. Hasinger & van der Klis (1989) classified it as a bright atoll source, although they noted that of all atoll sources it showed properties which were closest to those seen in the Z sources, most notably its flaring branch-like appearance in the CD and the featureless power law noise in the power spectrum that is typical for that spectral state. Although the EXOSAT observations analyzed by Hasinger & van der Klis (1989) only showed the source in the so-called “banana branch” state, the bimodal behavior of the source reported by Stella et al. (1985) strongly suggests that the source occasionally enters a different state. The latter seemed to be confirmed by the first observations of the source with the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE), in which a clear two branched structure was found in the CD (Homan et al. 1998). Although the pattern in the CD resembled both Z and atoll source tracks, the resemblance to Z sources was strengthened by the discovery (in the same observations) of a 57–69 Hz quasi-periodic oscillation (QPO), which had properties similar to that of the horizontal branch QPO in the Z sources. The CD of GX 13+1 in a recent paper by Muno et al. (2002), which for the first time displays a “complete” pattern, shows a sharp vertex, similar to the normal branch/flaring branch vertex seen in the Z sources and quite unlike the rather smooth curves seen in atoll sources. More recently, Schnerr et al. (2003) analyzed a large set of RXTE data and conclude that many of the source’s properties do not fit within the atoll/Z framework, although they favor the option of
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an atoll source. They suggest that part of its unusual behavior can be explained with the presence of a relativistic jet, that is almost pointing directly towards us.

Observations in the infrared suggest the presence of a K giant secondary (Garcia et al. 1992; Bandyopadhyay et al. 1999) and a possible orbital or precessional modulation with a period of ∼20 days (Bandyopadhyay et al. 2002); these are properties that are thought to be more typical for Z sources than for atoll sources. GX 13+1 also shares a common mean radio luminosity (Grindlay & Seaquist 1986; Garcia et al. 1988) with the Z sources and black hole candidates (Fender & Henden 2000), suggesting a similar origin for the quiescent radio emission from persistent black hole and Z source X-ray binaries, and GX 13+1. None of the (other) atoll sources is consistent with this relation. Although the source is variable in radio on time scales of less than an hour, no clear relation between X-ray and radio luminosities was found (Garcia et al. 1988).

In this paper we present the results of a coordinated X-ray/radio campaign, which had as its principal aim to investigate the possibility that GX 13+1 shows a similar X-ray state dependence of its radio emission as is found in the Z sources. In most of those sources the radio luminosity decreases from the horizontal branch to the flaring branch. We find similar behavior in GX 13+1.

2. Observations and analysis

2.1. X-ray observations

Our X-ray data were obtained simultaneously with the Proportional Counter Array (PCA; Zhang et al. 1993; Jahoda et al. 1996) and the High Energy X-ray Timing Experiment (HEXTE; Gruber et al. 1996; Rothschild et al. 1998) on board RXTE (Bradt et al. 1993). The observations were performed in 1999 between July 31 23:52 UTC and August 1 10:39 UTC (obs. 1), and on August 04 between 02:44 and 12:05 UTC (obs. 2). The total exposure times for the two observations were, respectively, ∼22.5 ks and ∼18.3 ks. The PCA and HEXTE data were obtained in several different modes; the spectral and timing properties of these modes are given in Table 1. For all modes we discarded data taken during Earth occultations and passages through the South Atlantic Anomaly.

The Standard 2 data were used to produce light curves, colour curves, a colour-colour diagram (CD), and a hardness-intensity diagram (HID), and to perform a spectral analysis. Only data from Proportional Counter Units (PCUs) 0 and 2 were used (all layers), since these were the only two that were active during all our observations. The data were background subtracted; dead time corrections (∼3–3.5%) were only applied for the spectral analysis. A soft colour was defined as the ratio of count rates in the 4.2–7.5 keV and 2.5–4.2 keV energy bands, and a hard colour as the ratio of count rates in the 10.0–18.5 keV and 7.5–10.0 keV energy bands (these four energy bands correspond, respectively, to Standard 2 channels (running from 1 to 129) 7–14, 3–6, 21–40, and 15–20). A CD and a HID were produced by, respectively, plotting hard colour versus soft colour and hard colour versus the 2.5–18.5 keV count rate, for each 16 s data point. The soft and hard colour curves, which had an initial time resolution of 16 s, were rebinned to a time resolution of 1024 s, to allow a better study of their variations on long time scales. The PCA spectra were created using the standard FTOOLS V5.2 routines. Systematic errors of 0.6% were added and response matrices were produced using PCARSP (V8.0). The details of the models used to fit the spectra of GX 13+1 are discussed in Sect. 3.1.

HEXTE light curves were produced by running the FTOOLS V5.2 script hxtlcurv (which automatically corrects for background and deadtime) on the HEXTE data of cluster A. Additional rebinning was applied, resulting in 1024 s time bins, to achieve better statistics.

The rapid X-ray time variability of the source was studied in terms of power spectra. For this analysis we performed fast fourier transforms (FFTs) of the high time resolution (1/8192 s) data. Power spectra were produced with frequencies of 1/1024–512 Hz (2–26.5 keV band) and 1/16–2048 Hz (2–26.5 keV, 2–6.3 keV, and 6.3–26.5 keV bands). No background or dead time corrections were applied to the data prior to the FFTs; the effects of dead time were accounted for by our fitting method. The power spectra were selected on time, count rate and/or colour and subsequently rms normalized according to a procedure described in van der Klis (1995b). The resulting power spectra were fitted with a constant, to account for the dead-time modified Poisson level, a power law, a zero-centered Lorentzian, to account for the deviations from the power law noise, and a Lorentzian for a weak QPO in the second observation. Errors on the fit parameters were determined using \( \Delta \chi^2 = 1 \) (67% confidence) for a single parameter. Upper limits on QPOs were determined by fixing the frequency and FWHM to (a range of) values and using \( \Delta \chi^2 = 2.71 \) (95% confidence).

2.2. Radio observations

The radio data were obtained with the Very Large Array (VLA) radio observatory in its most extended (A) configuration. GX 13+1 was observed at 6 cm on 1999 August 1 between 00:33 and 09:31 UTC (obs. 1), and on 1999 August 4 between 00:22 and 08:51 UTC (obs. 2). During the first observation 25 of the 27 VLA antennas were used; 26 were used during the second observation. Due to technical problems ∼39% (obs. 1) and ∼8% (obs. 2) of the total observing time was lost. Observations were made simultaneously in both circular polarizations in each of two independent 50 MHz bands centered on 4885.1 and 4835.1 MHz. Flux densities, which are
all Stokes $I$, were referenced to those of 1328+307 (3C 286),
taken to be 7.462 and 7.510 Jy at 4885.1 and 4835.1 MHz re-
spectively (Perley et al., priv. comm.). The overall flux density
scale is probably good to at least $\sim5%$. To calibrate the complex
antenna gains the standard calibration source 1817-254 was ob-
served at 30 min (obs. 1) or 6 min (obs. 2) intervals; the reason
for the denser sampling of the calibration source in obs. 2 was
that during obs. 1 a large part of the data was rendered useless
due to a combination of bad weather conditions and too long
intervals between calibration observations. The data were re-
duced and analyzed using the Astronomical Image Processing
System (AIPS). For each day, images were first made using the
entire data sets, ignoring any variability of GX 13+1. These im-
ages were used to find nearby confusing sources, which were
then subtracted from the original uv-data. These uv-data were
then split into 15-min bins (or longer, in the case of weak sig-
als) and imaged to give the flux density history of GX 13+1.
This procedure allows the full synthesis mapping of confusing
sources, while retaining the best possible sensitivity to fluctu-
ations of GX 13+1. The flux densities are the average of the
of maximum flux density and the integrated flux density in the
region containing the source in the cleaned image. Note that
because of calibration and other “dead” time, the amount of
data in each bin is usually less than the sampling time.

There are two main sources of error in the radio flux den-
sities: (1) statistical (thermal) noise and (2) calibration errors.
We estimated the first by measuring the rms background noise
near the source. For sources this faint the main calibration er-
or comes from poor phase transfer from the calibrator to the
source, which leads to phase errors which scatter the flux den-
sity of the source across the image. This is the equivalent of
optical seeing, and the true point spread function is broader
than that calculated assuming perfect phasing. For our point
source, we estimated the magnitude of this effect by compar-
ing the peak flux density with the integral over the apparent
emission; this is roughly equivalent to comparing the original
peak to that obtained by convolving the image down to a lower
resolution. As a conservative estimate we take the rms calibra-
tion error to be the difference between the peak and the in-
tegrated flux density. This was then added in quadrature to the
off-source rms noise to give the error bars used in Fig. 1j. The
effects of these calibration errors can be seen in the increase of
the error bars early in obs. 1 and during the beginning and end
of obs. 2; this is mainly due to a combination of poor weather
and large differences in elevation between the calibrator and
the source at these times.

3. Results

3.1. X-ray observations

From Figs. 1–3 it is clear that the X-ray properties of the source
changed between the first to the second observation. The sec-
ond observation has a higher count rate, both in the 2–25 keV
(Figs. 1a and b) and 20–100 keV bands (Figs. 1c and d) and
shows more variability in the 2–25 keV band. Also, the second
observation tends to be spectrally harder; while the PCA count
rates increased only by a factor of $\sim1.3$, the HEXTE count
rates increased by a factor of $\sim3.2$. The spectral difference is
most clearly seen in the CD and HID shown in Fig. 2, where
the two observations show up as distinct patches, and Fig. 3,
which shows the ratio of the spectra of obs. 2 and 1. Note that
the small bridge between the two patches in the HID (Fig. 2b)
does not represent a real connection between the two patches,
but corresponds to the upper right part of patch traced out dur-
ing obs. 1 in the CD. It is not clear from our observations how
the source bridges the gap between the two patches in HID –
we refer to Schnerr et al. (2003) for an observation that shows
a transition between the two patches. Although type I X-ray
bursts have been observed from GX 13+1 (Fleischman 1985;
Matsuba et al. 1995), none were seen during our observations.

3.1.1. X-ray spectra

X-ray spectral fits were performed with XSPEC (Arnaud
1996,V11.2) to the 3–25 keV PCA spectra using two differ-
ent models. The first model is based on the continuum model
used by Ueda et al. (2001), for their ASCA 1–10 keV spec-
tra of GX 13+1, and Sidoli et al. (2002), for their 2–10 keV
XMM-Newton spectra. It consists of a black body (bbody in
XSPEC), and a disk black body (diskbb). Galactic neu-
tral hydrogen absorption (phabs) was fixed at $N_H = 2.9 \times
10^{22}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ (Ueda et al. 2001). A reasonable fit was found
for obs. 2 ($\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.52$ – with an unphysically small disk ra-
dius), but not for obs. 1 ($\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 11.3$). Adding a line (gauss)
around 6.4 keV and an absorption edge (edge) around 8 keV
(Ueda et al. 2001) did not lead to acceptable fits. The second
model we tried was a thermal Comptonization model (compTT,
Titarchuk 1994; Hua & Titarchuk 1995), which has recently
been applied successfully to the broad band continuum of sev-
eral bright neutron star LMXBs (see, e.g., di Salvo et al. 2000;
Faria et al. 2001). The $N_H$ was again fixed to $N_H = 2.9 \times
10^{22}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$. For the second observation a reasonable fit
was obtained ($\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.35$), but the first observation showed
large residuals between 5 and 10 keV ($\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 15.6$). Adding
a line around 6.4 keV and an edge around 9 keV greatly im-
proved the fit ($\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 0.6$). For the second observation the ad-
dition of the line and edge led to a small improvement ($\chi^2_{\text{red}} =
0.9$), with the two components not being detected significantly;
although the improvement of the fit was statistically significant
the normalizations of the two components were consistent with
zero. The best-fit results with the four-component model are
given in Table 2. Some caution should be taken with interpret-
ing the spectral results, as the low line energy (which tended to
decrease when not fixed) and the high edge energy indicate dif-
f erent ionization stages of Fe. Also the large spectral changes
within obs. 1 may have been partly responsible for the observed
residuals. For both observations no black body component was
needed, as the Comptonization component provided good fits
at low energies.

For a more model independent comparison of the spectra of
the two observations we plot the ratio of obs. 2 and 1 in
Fig. 3. While below 7 keV the ratio is rather constant, above
that energy the spectrum of obs. 2 becomes increasingly hard.
Fig. 1. The evolution of GX 13+1 during the observations on 1999 August 1 (left column) and 1999 August 4 (right column). a), b): PCA Count rate in the 2–25 keV range (16 s bins). c), d): HEXTE 20–100 keV count rate (rebinned to 1024 s). e), f): Soft color (rebinned to 1024 s). g), h): Hard color (rebinned to 1024 s). i), j): VLA 6 cm radio flux density (1800–4800 s [i] and 900 s [j]). Errors on the flux density in panel i are of the order of 50 \( \mu \)Jy. For the PCA count rate and colours only data from PCUs 0 and 2 were used. For the HEXTE count rate only Cluster A was used. Note that all quantities have the same ranges for obs. 1 and 2, except for the hard colour (to allow an easier comparison with changes in the radio flux density).

3.1.2. X-ray variability

From the PCA light curves in Fig. 1 it is clear that, at least on time scales of minutes to hours, the source was more variable during the second observation. The 2–26.5 keV power spectra of the two observations are shown in Fig. 4; the results of the power spectral fits are given in Table 3. Both power spectra are relatively featureless and their continuum can be well fit by a combination of power law noise and a zero-centered Lorentzian. While the power law noise has an identical index in the two observations, the \( FHWM \) of the two zero-centered
Lorentzians, and hence their maxima in a $\nu P_{\nu}$ plot, differ by more than two orders of magnitude, suggesting that they might not be related. We added a Lorentzian to the fit function of the second observation, for a QPO at 60 Hz – this QPO has a statistical significance of 3.2$\sigma$. No similar QPO was found in the first observation. We also measured the total rms in two frequency intervals; 0.001--1 Hz and 1--100 Hz. In both frequency intervals the fractional variability is stronger in the second observations, with the difference being more pronounced at high frequencies. We searched for high frequency QPOs in the 1/16--2048 Hz power spectra with the FWHM fixed to 150 Hz, but only upper limits could be determined. For obs. 1 they were: 2.1\% (2--26.5 keV), 2.8\% (2--6.3 keV), and 4.0\% (6.3--26.5 keV) and those for obs. 2: 2.2\% (2--26.5 keV), 3.7\% (2--6.3 keV), and 3.6\% (6.3--26.5 keV).

### 3.2. Radio observations

During the first observation the source was only sometimes detected significantly, at a very low level and with low variability; the average flux density was $\sim 0.25$ mJy. The radio flux density in the second observations was much higher, varying between 1.3 and 7.2 mJy. The flux density showed a slow increase for about 4.5 h, followed by a gradual decrease. No significant variability was detected on time scales less than 15 min. An upper limit on the size of the source of 0.15 arcsec was obtained.

### 3.3. X-ray/radio comparison

Comparing panels i and j of Fig. 1 with panels a and b of the same figure shows that, apart from the fact that the second observation is brighter both in X-rays and radio, there is no apparent relation between X-ray count rate and radio flux, at least not on the time scales on which the latter varies. On the other hand, a comparison of the radio flux and hard X-ray colour of obs. 2 reveals similar broad peaks centered around $\sim 05:00$ UTC. As is obvious from panels 1h and 1j there exists a significant lag between the peaks of the hard colour curve and the radio light curve. Fitting the broad peaks in the hard color (02:52--06:02 UTC) and radio curves (03:53--06:53 UTC) both with a Lorentzian gives a lag between the two peaks of 42 ± 3 min.

### 4. Discussion

We have observed the neutron star LMXB GX13+1 simultaneously in X-rays and radio. The source was found in two clearly distinct X-ray states; the spectrally hard state had associated radio fluxes that were between 4 and 18 times higher than the maximum we detected in the softer X-ray state. This dependence of the radio flux on X-ray state is similar to what is found for other bright neutron star LMXBs (the Z sources; see Hjellming & Han 1995, and references therein) and more recently also in the less-luminous atoll source 4U 1728-34 (Migliari et al. 2003). More specifically, our observations strongly suggest that the radio flux of the source is related to the X-ray spectral hardness, on time scales of both hours and days. The fact that the short term correlation was only observed...
in X-ray hardness and not in X-ray count rate can be understood from Fig. 2b, which shows that the 2–25 keV count rate and hard color are not correlated at all during obs. 2. It should also be noted that there was only one broad radio flare in our observations, making it hard to judge the true significance of the observed lag. Future observations are planned to search for additional evidence that supports/challenges the observed lag. During the remainder of the discussion we assume that the broad features in the radio and hardness curves are related and that the lag is therefore significant.

4.1. Outflow

It is generally believed that the radio emission from neutron star and black hole X-ray binaries is produced by highly relativistic electrons that interact with magnetic fields to produce synchrotron radiation. High resolution radio observations of a handful of X-ray binaries show that these electrons reside in powerful, collimated outflows, commonly referred to as jets. It is assumed that in the X-ray binaries for which jets have not (yet) been directly observed the radio emission originates in a similar outflow. To see whether this could also be the case for GX 13+1, we estimate the size of the emission region. If, for an assumed spherical region, this size is larger than the binary separation, the highly relativistic synchrotron plasma cannot be contained in the system and the most plausible option would then be that we are dealing with an outflow. Assuming a maximum brightness temperature $T_B$ of $\lesssim 10^{13}$ K (see, e.g., Kellermann & Pauliny-Toth 1969) and a distance of 7 kpc (Bandyopadhyay et al. 1999) we derive, following Fender (2003), a minimum size for the emitting region of $\sim 15 R_\odot (10^{12} \text{ cm})$ at the peak of the radio flare in obs. 2. A size estimate based on the fastest part (1.5 h) of the rise of the radio flare ($\lesssim c \Delta t \sim 1.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}$) is consistent with this value. Even for a system with equal masses for the primary and secondary and an orbital period of 20 days the emission region is at least comparable and probably larger than the size of the binary system ($\sim 3 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}$), suggesting an outflow is also present in GX 13+1.

4.2. X-ray/radio connection

Although the exact mechanism for producing jet outflows in X-ray binaries is still not clear, the energy needed to achieve the inferred observed high bulk velocities suggests that they form in the inner parts of the accretion flow, where also most of the X-rays are produced. Simultaneous X-ray and radio observations of GRS 1915+105 seem to confirm such a direct link between the outflow and inner accretion disk (Mirabel et al. 1998; Klein-Wolt et al. 2002). Clear patterns of X-ray/radio behavior are also observed in other black hole and Z source X-ray binaries; in general the spectrally hard X-ray states have a higher radio luminosity than the spectrally soft states.

Our observations of GX 13+1 reveal a similar pattern, with the second observation being both more luminous in the radio and showing a harder energy spectrum (Figs. 1–3). While the change between the soft and hard spectral states of GX 13+1 most likely occurred on a time scale of one or two days, we also find a relation between the X-ray and radio properties on a time scale of a few hours: a hardening of the X-ray spectrum was followed by an increase in the radio luminosity with a delay of $\sim 40 \text{ min}$. This is the first time such a short-term X-ray/radio connection and delay have been found and measured in a

---

**Table 3.** Power spectral fit results. As a model independent measure of the variability we also include the total fractional rms in the 0.001–1 Hz and 1–100 Hz ranges. FWHM stands for full-width-at-half-maximum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Obs. 1</th>
<th>Obs. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power law rms ($%$)</td>
<td>5.15 ± 0.12</td>
<td>7.71 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power law index</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorentzian rms ($%$)</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorentzian FWHM ($%$)</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.04</td>
<td>100 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorentzian freq. (Hz)</td>
<td>0 (fixed)</td>
<td>0 (fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QPO rms ($%$)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4 (3.2$a$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QPO FWHM (Hz)</td>
<td>18 (fixed)</td>
<td>18 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QPO frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>60 (fixed)</td>
<td>60 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms [0.001–1 Hz] ($%$)</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.02</td>
<td>9.15 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms [1–100 Hz] ($%$)</td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.10</td>
<td>5.93 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2_{\text{red}}(\text{d.o.f.})$</td>
<td>1.07 (209)</td>
<td>1.01 (210)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Integrated between 0.001 and 1 Hz; *b* Integrated between 0 and $+\infty$ Hz; *c* Integrated between $-\infty$ and $+\infty$ Hz; *d* Significance is calculated from the power, not the fractional rms.

---

**Fig. 4.** Power spectra (2–26.5 keV) of the two RXTE/PCA observations (lower: obs. 1, upper: obs. 2) in an $vP(v)$ representation. Note that the upper power spectrum has been multiplied by a factor of 10, to avoid overlapping of the power spectra. The Poisson level has in both cases been subtracted for plotting purposes.
neutron star LMXB. It is interesting to note that a similar delay has also been found on several occasions in the galactic black hole X-ray binary GRS 1915+105 (Klein-Wolt et al. 2002). In that case however, the delay (~45–60 min) was with respect to the start of the dips in the RXTE/PCA count rate. These dips coincided with a strong spectral hardening. Also, the X-ray dips and radio flares in GRS 1915+105 were a rapid recurring phenomenon, whereas the radio flare in GX 13+1 was more likely a singular event. Klein-Wolt et al. (2002) explained the observed delay in GRS 1915+105 as the time it takes for the flow to become optically thin in the radio; this effect is clearly observed in Fig. 9 of Dhawan et al. (2000), which shows light curves of the directly imaged jet of GRS 1915+105 at different radio wavelengths that peak later with increasing wavelength (see also Pooley & Fender 1997). Observations of Sco X-1 (Fomalont et al. 2001) suggest that such a delay can also result from the transfer time of the energy from the core to the radio lobes.

The ratio of (radio flux density change)/(X-ray flux change) is much larger in GX 13+1 than in GRS 1915+105. If we assume that the inner disk is ejected to form the outflow, as has been proposed for GRS 1915+105 to explain the X-ray variations, our second observation of GX 13+1 suggests that a smaller fraction of the inner disk is ejected in this case. The fact that clear dips are observed in GRS 1915+105 might be related to the different nature of the compact object, or to a much larger amount of matter being expelled. Following Fender (2003) we can estimate the mean power of the radio event in obs. 2 to be \(1.8 \times 10^{36}\) erg s\(^{-1}\). While this is only \(~1\)% of the 3–25 keV X-ray luminosity \((\sim 1.4 \times 10^{38}\) erg s\(^{-1}\)), the kinetic energy associated to the (possibly relativistic) outflow may increase this number to a larger fraction of the total accretion energy.

Although short term variations in the radio luminosity of GX 13+1 have been found before, they were only compared to the X-ray count rates (Garcia et al. 1988, and not to the X-ray spectral properties. No correlations between radio luminosity and X-ray count rate were found, most likely because X-ray data were only available for the radio weak part of their data set. The time scale of shortest radio fluctuations observed by Garcia et al. (1988) (a few hours) is consistent with that of the flare in our second observation. The 6 cm peak flux density they measured was 2.2 mJy, about a factor of ~3.3 lower than our maximum flux density. Interestingly, when fitting our X-ray spectra with the same \(N_{\text{H}}\) used by Garcia et al. (1988) and using their assumed distance of 7 kpc we find a similar difference for the 1–20 keV luminosity.

### 4.3. Comparison with Z and atoll source observations

Before discussing the nature of GX 13+1 in view of the atoll/Z source classification we compare its X-ray/radio behavior with that of sources from both classes. Although Schnerr et al. (2003) concluded that GX 13+1 is neither a Z nor an atoll source, one could, purely based on its appearance in the CD, argue that the source was in the atoll source banana branch and island state, during obs. 1 and 2 respectively, or the Z source flaring branch and normal branch.

### Table 4. Observed mean and maximum radio flux densities and estimated distances for the six Z sources and GX 13+1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Mean(^a) (mJy)</th>
<th>Max(^b) (mJy)</th>
<th>distance (kpc)</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sco X-1</td>
<td>10 (\pm) 3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.0 (\pm) 1.0</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GX 17+2</td>
<td>1.0 (\pm) 0.3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>7.5 (\pm) 2.3</td>
<td>1, 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GX 349+2</td>
<td>0.6 (\pm) 0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.0 (\pm) 1.5</td>
<td>4, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyg X-2</td>
<td>0.6 (\pm) 0.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>8.0 (\pm) 2.4</td>
<td>1, 6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GX 5-1</td>
<td>1.3 (\pm) 0.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9.2 (\pm) 2.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GX 340+0</td>
<td>0.6 (\pm) 0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>11.0 (\pm) 3.3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GX 13+1</td>
<td>1.8 (\pm) 0.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7 (\pm) 1</td>
<td>9–13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Mean cm radio flux density.

\(^b\) Maximum radio flux density at 6 cm.

Based on observing campaigns of the Z sources GX 17+2 (Penninx et al. 1988), Cyg X-2 (Hjellming et al. 1990a), Sco X-1 (Hjellming et al. 1990b) and GX 5-1 (Tan et al. 1992), Penninx (1989) suggested that all Z sources share a common radio, UV, and X-ray luminosity on their normal branch. Subsequent detections of GX 349+2 (Cooke & Ponman 1991) and GX 340+0 (Penninx et al. 1993) at approximately the predicted radio brightness seemed to confirm this idea. Penninx (1989) derived a normal branch luminosity of \(\sim 1.6 \times 10^{38}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) in the 1.5–15 keV band. In the same energy band we obtain (extrapolating our fit to lower energies) \(\sim 1.7 \times 10^{38}\) erg s\(^{-1}\), which is remarkably close the value of Penninx (1989).

The assumption of Penninx (1989) might be not completely valid; using the distance estimates and average flux densities from Fender & Hendry (2000) (see Table 4) it seems that there is a considerable spread in the average radio luminosity of the six Z sources. Moreover, none of them has a higher value than GX 13+1. However, this average radio luminosity depends strongly on the time a source spends in a radio bright state. Taking the maximum radio flux densities (at 6 cm) reported in the literature (see references in Table 4) should partly correct for this, which results in GX 17+2 being the most luminous with GX 13+1 being second. The overall behavior of the radio brightness along the track in the CD is also similar to that observed in several of the Z sources (Penninx et al. 1988; Hjellming et al. 1990a, b).

The only atoll source for which a clear pattern in the X-ray/radio emission has been found is 4U 1728–34 (Migliari et al. 2003). In that source the radio flux density was highest in the island state; it increased by a factor ~6 from the hard part of the island state toward the transition between the island state and, what seemed to be, the (softer) banana branch. After this transition it dropped sharply by a factor ~6.
While the decrease of radio flux with spectral hardness (in the island state) is opposite to overall behavior in GX 13+1 and several Z sources (where we see an increase with spectral hardness along the track in the CD), the factor of ~6 difference between the island state and banana branch is similar in sign and magnitude to the difference between our second and first observation. The average X-ray luminosity of 4U 1728–34 (assuming a distance of 5.2 kpc, Galloway et al. 2003) is more than a factor 10 lower than that of GX 13+1, whereas the 6 cm flux density is probably more than a factor 100 lower.

4.4. Z or atoll?

The nature of GX 13+1 and its place in the Z/atoll classification scheme have recently been extensively studied and discussed by Schnerr et al. (2003). They found that its motion through the HID is in the opposite sense to that in the CD, with the X-ray count rate increasing again when the source moves into the spectrally hard state, contrary to most atoll and Z sources (see our Fig. 2 and also Wijnands et al. (1997) for similar behavior in the Z source Cyg X-2). Moreover, the strength of the very low frequency variability also changes in the opposite sense to that observed in Z and atoll sources.

In an attempt to fit GX 13+1 within the Z/atoll scheme as an atoll source, Schnerr et al. (2003) tried to explain the source’s peculiar behavior with the presence of a relativistic jet with an axis nearly aligned to our line of sight. The radio emission could then be boosted by more than an order of magnitude, putting the radio emission of GX 13+1 in accordance with measurement and upper limits of other atoll sources; the unusual X-ray phenomena could be the consequence of a better view of the X-ray emitting regions associated with the base of the jet, possibly assisted by Doppler boosting.

As we showed above, the X-ray and radio luminosities of GX 13+1 are actually in the range expected for Z sources, without requiring any unusual jet geometries, making a Z source nature more likely in our opinion (the atoll sources GX 9+1, GX 9+9 and GX 3+1 have also similar X-ray luminosities but much lower radio luminosities). This is supported by our spectral fit parameters, which are quite similar to those found in the Z sources (see, e.g., di Salvo et al. 2000, 2001) and less like those in the atoll sources (see, e.g., di Salvo et al. 2000, Barret et al. 2000; Barret & Olive 2002), and by the fact that GX 13+1 rarely shows type I X-ray bursts. Based on the morphology in our CD GX 13+1 was probably observed in the normal branch and flaring branch. Although no clear indications for normal branch oscillations are found, the band limited noise measured by Schnerr et al. (2003) has properties similar to the normal branch oscillations found in GX 5.1 (Jonker et al. 2002) and GX 340+0 (Jonker et al. 2000) close to the normal branch/flaring branch vertex, where it is very broad (Q < 1) and rather weak (≤2% rms). In GX 5.1 and GX 340+0 this broad bump evolves into a strong 6 Hz QPO as the spectrum hardens – this is not observed in GX 13+1. There are additional differences with the other Z sources, in particular with respect to the behavior of the low frequency variability.

Finally, we note that although the source was more similar to the Z sources than to the atoll sources during our observations (at a luminosity three times as high as during the EXOSAT era), this does not exclude that at lower luminosities it behaves more atoll-like.

5. Summary

Our simultaneous X-ray/radio observations of GX 13+1 revealed a strong dependence of the radio brightness on the X-ray state of the source. In the hard spectral state, which was at least 4 times brighter in the radio than the soft state, we also found a correlation between the X-ray and radio properties on a short time scale, with changes in radio having a delay of ~40 min with respect to those in X-rays. We attribute this delay to the time it takes for the flow to become optically thin in the radio. The absence of strong dips in the X-ray light curve during the radio flare suggests that only a small amount of the matter is redirected from the inflow to the outflow. On the basis of a comparison with atoll and Z sources we conclude that the source is more similar to the Z sources, although several properties of GX 13+1 remain unexplained.
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