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ABSTRACT

Aim: To determine whether type 1 diabetes preferentially affects the inner retinal layers by comparing the thickness of six retinal layers in type 1 diabetic patients who have no or minimal diabetic retinopathy (DR) with those of age- and sex-matched healthy controls.

Methods: Fifty-seven patients with type 1 diabetes with no (n=32) or minimal (n=25) DR underwent full ophthalmic examination, stereoscopic fundus photographs, and optical coherence tomography (OCT). After automated segmentation of intraretinal layers of the OCT images, mean thickness was calculated for six layers of the retina in the fovea, the pericentral area, and the peripheral area of the central macula and were compared with those of an age- and gender-matched control group.

Results: In patients with minimal DR, the mean ganglion cell/inner plexiform layer was 2.7μm thinner (95% confidence interval (CI), 2.1 - 4.3 μm) and the mean inner nuclear layer was 1.1 μm thinner (95% CI, 0.1 – 2.1 μm) in the pericentral area of the central macula compared to those of age-matched controls. In the peripheral area, the mean ganglion cell/inner plexiform layer remained significantly thinner. No other layers showed a significant difference.

Conclusion: Thinning of the total retina in type 1 diabetic patients with minimal retinopathy compared with healthy controls is attributed to a selective thinning of inner retinal layers and supports the concept that early DR includes a neurodegenerative component.
INTRODUCTION

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is one of the leading causes of blindness in developed countries. DR is primarily considered a form of vasculopathy and classically manifests with microaneurysms, small hemorrhages, or lipoprotein exudates. The precise pathophysiological mechanism leading to the onset and progression of DR is still unclear, though vascular damage through hyperglycemia is considered to play a pivotal role.\cite{1} Several studies have indicated that neural loss may occur in DR even before any visible signs of vascular damage can be observed. Human and animal studies have shown neural apoptosis, loss of ganglion cell bodies, and glial reactivity in the retina in the earliest stages of retinopathy.\cite{2-15} Previous studies showed that the inner retinal layers in both Ins2Akita diabetic mice and streptozotocin diabetic rats are thinner than controls, which indicates neurodegeneration of the inner retina.\cite{11,16} With optical coherence tomography (OCT), it became possible to image the human retina longitudinally in vivo and to measure the retinal thickness (RT) with high accuracy. Several groups have shown that total RT is decreased in patients with no or minimal DR compared with healthy controls.\cite{17-20}

Given that the inner retinal layers are affected differentially by diabetes, it is desirable to have the ability to quantify the thickness of each layer within the retina separately. The Stratus OCT (Model 3000, software version 4.0.1; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA) provides B-scan images of the retina with an axial resolution of 8 to 10 µm. Even though multiple layers of the retina are distinguishable in these OCT images, the installed software only provided thickness measurements for the total retina and retinal nerve fiber layer. Recently, fully automated algorithms have been published for the segmentation of retinal time-domain and spectral-domain OCT scans that are capable of detecting seven to nine surface boundaries in the retina, based on differences in refractive index resulting in differences in scattering of laser light.\cite{21-26} The accuracy and reproducibility of the algorithm developed by Garvin et al. used in this study is comparable or better than that of human experts segmenting the same layer surfaces, and detects six retinal layers in Stratus OCT images.

The purpose of this study was to determine whether type 1 diabetes preferentially affects the inner retinal layers by comparing the thickness of six retinal layers in type 1 diabetic patients who had no or minimal DR with the thickness in age- and sex-matched healthy controls.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
Consecutive patients, recruited from the outpatient clinic of the department of Internal Medicine at the Academic Medical Centre (University Hospital, Amsterdam, Netherlands) between July 2004 and June 2005 and participating in an ongoing longitudinal observational study, were asked to participate in this observational cross-sectional study. In
total, 57 patients were included, of whom 53 have already been described in a previous study by Biallosterski et al. The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Investigative review board approval was obtained at both the Academic Medical Centre and the University of Iowa, and all participants gave written informed consent.

Visual acuity was measured with the use of an Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart at 4 m. Best-corrected visual acuity was recorded as Snellen equivalent. After pupil dilation with 0.5% phenylephrine hydrochloride and 1.0% tropicamide, both eyes were examined with stereoscopic slit lamp biomicroscope and a handheld lens (Superfield; Volk Optical, Inc., Mentor, OH), and OCT images were obtained. In addition, stereoscopic fundus photographs were taken (TRC-50IX; Topcon corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Age, sex, duration and type of diabetes, and recent HbA1c level (within 3 months) were recorded.

Inclusion criteria were diagnosis of type 1 diabetes mellitus (DM) and no or minimal DR, as determined by a retinal specialist through indirect fundoscopy and slit lamp stereo biomicroscopy and stereoscopic fundus photography. Minimal DR was defined as the presence of at least one microaneurysm or hemorrhages in the central retina but no other diabetic lesions (second stage of the International Clinical Diabetic Retinopathy Disease Severity Scale). Exclusion criteria were refractive errors over +5 or under −8 D, visual acuity less than 20/25, significant media opacities, and previous diagnosis of glaucoma, uveitis, or retinal disease. Healthy control subjects were age and sex matched with patients and did not have any diagnosed ocular disease, diabetes or other systemic disease.

**Optical Coherence Tomography Imaging**

All subjects were examined with the Stratus OCT (Model 3000, software version 4.0.1; Carl Zeiss Meditec). The fast macular thickness OCT protocol was performed. This scan protocol obtains six cross-sectional B-scans, 6 mm in length, at equally spaced angular orientations (30º) in a radial spoke pattern centered on the fovea. A previous study by Biallosterski et al. showed a significant correlation (R = 0.93, P value <0.0001) between the mean RT of the left and right eye of the same subject. Therefore, in this study only the right eyes of patients and controls were used for further analysis. For analysis of the retina, three retinal areas were defined. They were: (1) the fovea, the central circle with a diameter of ~1 mm; (2) the pericentral area, a donut shaped ring centered on the fovea with an inner diameter of 1 mm and an outer diameter of 3 mm; and (3) the peripheral area, with an inner diameter of 3 mm and outer diameter of 6 mm.

**Automated Segmentation of Retinal Surfaces**

Segmentation was performed in two stages. First, a three-dimensional (3D) macular volume from the raw data of the 6 radial OCT scans was created. Second, the seven surfaces within this 3D macular image were determined. Briefly, the seven surfaces defining the six layers were found in each 3D volume by transforming the segmentation task into that of
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finding a minimum-cost closed set in a geometric graph constructed from edge/regional information and a priori determined surface smoothness and interaction constraints. Correspondence with human expert segmentation has been studied and published previously.25 The six layers that could be identified were interpreted as follows (from inner to outer surface): A, retinal nerve fibre layer; B, ganglion cell layer plus inner plexiform layer; C, inner nuclear layer; D, outer plexiform layer; E, outer nuclear layer plus inner segments (photoreceptors); F, outer segments (photoreceptors) (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Macular B-scan with intraretinal surfaces as indicated by the red lines and corresponding retinal layers. A, retinal nerve fibre layer; B, ganglion cell layer plus inner plexiform layer; C, inner nuclear layer; D, outer plexiform layer; E, outer nuclear layer plus inner segments (photoreceptors); F, outer segments (photoreceptors).

Thickness Measurement of the Separate Layers
Each of the six B-scans was divided into two half-sections, from the foveal center to the most peripheral measured A-scan (Figure 2). Each of the 12 half sections consisted of 64 A-scans. An A-scan is an axial depth scan at a given lateral point in the retina. A cross-sectional scan (B-scan) may be achieved by laterally combining 128 of these A-scans. The central A-scans from 1 to 11 defined the foveal area; the pericentral area was defined by A-scans 12 to 32, and the peripheral area by A-scan 33 to 64. Within each A-scan, the thickness of the six different layers as detected by the segmentation algorithm was defined. Mean layer thickness (LT) in all three areas –foveal, pericentral, peripheral – for all layers was compared among patients without DR, patients with minimal DR, and healthy controls.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed (SPSS 16.0.2 for Windows; SPSS, Chicago, IL). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess differences in mean age between patients and controls. Mean HbA1c and duration of diabetes of patients with no and minimal DR were compared using the unpaired t-test.
Mean RT and mean LT of diabetic patients with DR, diabetic patients without DR, and controls were compared using ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni post hoc analysis to correct for multiple comparisons. Confidence intervals were computed at the $P=0.05$ level.

Figure 2. Twelve half-sections of the six B-scans of the fast macular thickness OCT scan protocol. The dotted lines indicate the transition between the foveal area, pericentral area and peripheral area.

RESULTS

In total, 57 consecutive patients with type 1 diabetes were included in the study, of whom 32 had no DR and 25 had minimal DR. There were a significant differences in age and mean duration of diabetes between the diabetic patients with minimal DR and those without DR (see table 1). There was no significant difference in age between patients and controls. Most patients had reasonable glycemic control (mean HbA1c, 8.1%; SD = 1.1). Mean total RT in all patients with DM compared with healthy controls is shown in Table 2. The pericentral area was significantly thinner in patients than in controls. Division of the patient group into diabetic patients with minimal DR and patient with no DR showed that thinning of the retina was more pronounced in the patient group with minimal DR (Table 3). The total RT in diabetic patients with minimal DR was significantly thinner in the pericentral area than it was in healthy controls.
Table 1. Characteristics of patients with type 1 diabetes with and without minimal diabetic retinopathy and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Patients with and without minimal DR (N=57)</th>
<th>Patients without DR (N=32)</th>
<th>Patients with minimal DR (N=25)</th>
<th>Controls (N=59)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>33 ± 11</td>
<td>30 ± 11*</td>
<td>37 ± 10*</td>
<td>33 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male: female)</td>
<td>21 : 36</td>
<td>11: 21</td>
<td>10 :15</td>
<td>25 : 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of DM (years)</td>
<td>17.6 ± 9.2</td>
<td>14 ± 8 *</td>
<td>22 ± 9 *</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>8.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DR, diabetic retinopathy; HbA1C, glycosylated hemoglobin. The values are the mean ± SD for all subjects in each group. NA, not applicable; -, not performed. * Significant difference as compared between the patients with DM type 1 with minimal DR and without DR.

Table 2. Mean RT measurements (μm) in patients with type 1 diabetes with and without minimal DR compared to controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Patients with and without minimal DR (N=57)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI Lower bound</th>
<th>95% CI Upper bound</th>
<th>Controls (N=59)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fovea</td>
<td>207.46</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-9.78</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>208.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>273.98</td>
<td>-6.23</td>
<td>-12.27</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>280.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>240.00</td>
<td>-3.04</td>
<td>-8.16</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>243.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; PCR, pericentral ring; PR, peripheral ring; DR, diabetic retinopathy. The bold values indicate a statistically significant difference between patients and controls (P < 0.05)

Table 3. Mean RT measurements (μm) in patients with type 1 diabetes with and without minimal DR compared to controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Patients without DR (N=32)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI Lower bound</th>
<th>95% CI Upper bound</th>
<th>Patients with minimal DR (N=25)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI Lower bound</th>
<th>95% CI Upper bound</th>
<th>Controls (N=59)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>277.84</td>
<td>-2.38</td>
<td>-11.02</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>269.05</td>
<td>-11.16</td>
<td>-20.56</td>
<td>-1.77</td>
<td>280.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>241.03</td>
<td>-2.01</td>
<td>-9.47</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>238.69</td>
<td>-4.35</td>
<td>-12.46</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>243.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations as in Table 2. The bold values indicate a statistically significant difference between patients and controls (P < 0.05)

Mean LT of the six intraretinal layers in the foveal, the pericentral, and the peripheral areas of patients with type 1 DM, with or without minimal DR, compared with healthy controls is shown, respectively, in Tables 4, 5 and 6. Mean LT differences (μm) between patients with type 1 DM with or without minimal DR and age-matched healthy controls in the pericentral area of the macula are depicted in Figure 3. In the pericentral area, the ganglion cell plus inner plexiform layer and the inner nuclear layer of diabetic patients with minimal DR were significantly thinner than in healthy controls. In the peripheral area, the ganglion cell
plus inner plexiform layer remained significantly thinner. In contrast all outer retinal layers did not show a significant difference in layer thickness. Patients with diabetes and no DR showed no significant differences in any LT compared to healthy controls.

Table 4. Mean LT measurements (µm) of the individual intraretinal layers in the foveal area in patients with type 1 diabetes with and without minimal DR compared with controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Patients without DR (N=32)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI Lower bound</th>
<th>95% CI Upper bound</th>
<th>Patients with minimal DR (N=25)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI Lower bound</th>
<th>95% CI Upper bound</th>
<th>Controls (N=59)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNFL</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCL + IPL</td>
<td>43.76</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>-2.58</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>40.60</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-6.26</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>40.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INL</td>
<td>29.42</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>28.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPL</td>
<td>16.54</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-2.02</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>14.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONL + IS</td>
<td>115.64</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>-8.04</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>113.02</td>
<td>-3.82</td>
<td>-11.26</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>116.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>38.36</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-1.28</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>38.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DR, diabetic retinopathy; CI, confidence interval; RNFL, retinal nerve fiber layer; GCL, ganglion cell layer; IPL, inner plexiform layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; ONL, outer nuclear layer; IS, inner segments; OS, outer segments.

Table 5. Mean LT measurements (µm) of the individual intraretinal layers in the pericentral area of the macula in patients with type 1 diabetes with and without minimal DR compared with controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Patients without DR (N=32)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI Lower bound</th>
<th>95% CI Upper bound</th>
<th>Patients with minimal DR (N=25)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI Lower bound</th>
<th>95% CI Upper bound</th>
<th>Controls (N=59)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNFL</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-3.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCL + IPL</td>
<td>105.86</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>100.64</td>
<td>-5.42</td>
<td>-8.56</td>
<td>-2.26</td>
<td>106.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INL</td>
<td>36.26</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-1.88</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>34.14</td>
<td>-2.16</td>
<td>-4.18</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>36.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPL</td>
<td>39.38</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>38.58</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>37.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONL + IS</td>
<td>88.68</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>87.32</td>
<td>-2.98</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>34.46</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>35.54</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>34.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DR, diabetic retinopathy; CI, confidence interval; RNFL, retinal nerve fiber layer; GCL, ganglion cell layer; IPL, inner plexiform layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; ONL, outer nuclear layer; IS, inner segments; OS, outer segments. The bold values indicate a statistically significant difference between patients and controls (P < 0.05)
Table 6. Mean LT measurements (μm) of the individual intraretinal layers in the peripheral area of the macula in patients with type 1 diabetes with and without minimal DR compared with controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Patients without DR (N=32)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI Lower bound</th>
<th>95% CI Upper bound</th>
<th>Patients with minimal DR (N=25)</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>95% CI Lower bound</th>
<th>95% CI Upper bound</th>
<th>Controls (N=59)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNFL</td>
<td>32.68</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-2.76</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>32.26</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-3.34</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>33.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCL + IPL</td>
<td>74.14</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>72.36</td>
<td>-2.14</td>
<td>-4.02</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INL</td>
<td>33.52</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>32.44</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
<td>-2.82</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>33.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPL</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-1.48</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>26.64</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONL + IS</td>
<td>78.52</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>78.32</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>-4.48</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>79.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>36.32</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>35.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DR, diabetic retinopathy; CI, confidence interval; RNFL, retinal nerve fiber layer; GCL, ganglion cell layer; IPL, inner plexiform layer; INL, inner nuclear layer; OPL, outer plexiform layer; ONL, outer nuclear layer; IS, inner segments; OS, outer segments. The bold values indicate a statistically significant difference between patients and controls (P < 0.05)

Figure 3. Mean LT differences (μm) and 95% CI between patients with type 1 diabetes with and without minimal DR and age-matched controls in the pericentral and Peripheral area of the macula.
DISCUSSION

With the use of an algorithm that detects six retinal layers in Stratus OCT images and through comparison of patients and age- and gender-matched healthy controls, this study showed that type 1 diabetes preferentially affects the inner retinal layers. In patients with minimal DR, significant thinning was found in the pericentral area of the macula in the ganglion cell plus inner plexiform layer (5.42 μm) and the inner nuclear layer (2.16 μm). In the peripheral area, only the ganglion cell plus inner plexiform layer was thinner (2.14 μm) in these patients. In contrast, in the outer retinal layers, no significant difference in thickness measurements between the patients with minimal DR and healthy controls could be detected. The mean difference of total RT (11.6μm) in the pericentral ring between type 1 diabetic patients with minimal DR and controls was attributed primarily to a selective thinning of inner retinal layers (9.08 μm). In patients without DR, no statistical significant difference in any LT could be found.

Based on these results, we conclude that the inner retina becomes thinner in patients with type 1 diabetes and minimal DR. Previous studies have shown a decrease in total retinal thickness, and this study confirms and expands these results. The loss of neural tissue agrees with previous studies showing neuroretinal functional deficits in patients with diabetes, even before the onset of vascular lesions, such as an abnormal electroretinogram, loss of dark adaptation and contrast sensitivity, color vision disturbances, and normal microperimetry.

Our results indicate diabetes has an early neurodegenerative effect on the retina that occurs even though the vascular component of DR remains minimal. The mechanisms behind this neurodegeneration are not clear. The inner retina, supplied by the retinal circulation, is relatively hypoxic compared with the outer retina, which is primarily supplied by the choroidal circulation. In combination with the high metabolic demands of the retina this makes the inner retina more vulnerable to metabolic stress induced by diabetes. Another potential mechanism is the decreased availability of insulin, a survival factor for retinal neurons, counteracting apoptosis of neuroglial cells in the inner retina induced by hyperglycemia and advanced glycation end products.

In this study, the mean duration of DM in patients with minimal DR was 8 years longer than in patients without DR (Table 1), which indicates that both processes slowly develop over time and are late complications of DM. Although both processes are linked, the exact nature of their interdependence is unknown. Each process, once established, probably contributes to the progression of the other. Therefore, neuronal apoptosis may be an important target for new therapeutic interventions.

The high interindividual variability in RT prevents the definition of a clear threshold between normal and abnormal RT. Consequently, RT measurements based on Stratus OCT are not suitable for detecting early neurodegeneration and thus are not applicable for the decision to treat. Future studies must address whether the increased resolution of spectral
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domain OCT or a combination of neuroretinal functional tests and RT measurements can be useful to detect early neural cell defects and to start possible neuroprotection.

The present study had several limitations. First, the grading of no or minimal background retinopathy was made by a single reader from a single set of stereoscopic central retinal photographs combined with clinical evaluation instead of the gold standard – seven-field stereo fundus photography read by independent, trained graders. However, no patients had advanced retinopathy, indicating that the results do apply to the earliest stage of DR.

Secondly, the HbA1C of the healthy subjects was unknown. Type 2 diabetes is often subclinical; therefore it cannot be excluded that some healthy subjects actually had diabetes and elevated blood sugar levels, though the prevalence of diabetes at this age is low. In addition, the presence of undiagnosed diabetes would most likely have led to underestimation, not overestimation, of the difference in inner retinal thickness between patients and controls.

Stratus OCT is limited because only six scans are obtained that have to be interpolated over relatively large areas. With the advent of spectral domain OCT, regional differences in layer thickness can be determined more reliably. Although the faster scanning time and increased depth resolution of the spectral domain OCT allow for a substantial improvement of retinal thickness mapping resolution, with fewer movement artifacts, there is no reason to believe that the outcome of this study would have been different if spectral domain OCT was used. It is, however, the next step to apply the segmentation algorithm to spectral domain OCT volume scans of type 1 diabetic patients with no or minimal DR.

Although no direct relationship has been described, a layered structure of the retina, visible in histologic examination, is also present in OCT images by spatially separated intensity differences. Automated segmentation offers an objective, efficient method to quantify thickness of these individual retinal layers. As this study reveals, identification of separate retina layers and measurement of their thickness demonstrates that individual layers of the retina can be affected differently in certain diseases.

In summary, the decreased total RT most pronounced in the pericentral area in type 1 diabetic patients with minimal DR compared to total RT in healthy controls, is attributed to a selective loss of thickness in the inner retinal layers and supports the concept that early DR also includes a neurodegenerative component.
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