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Abstract

Background: Research suggests that the COMT Val158Met, BDNF Val66Met and OPRM1 A118G polymorphisms moderate the experience of pain. In order to obtain experimental confirmation and extension of findings, cortical processing of experimentally-induced pain was used.

Method: A sample of 78 individuals with chronic low back pain complaints and 37 healthy controls underwent EEG registration. Event-Related Potentials were measured in response to electrical nociceptive stimuli and moderation by COMT Val158Met, BDNF Val66Met and OPRM1 A118G polymorphisms was assessed.

Results: Genetic variation did not have a direct effect on cortical processing of experimental pain. However, genetic effects (COMT Val158Met and BDNF Val66Met) on experimental pain were moderated by the presence of chronic pain. In the presence of chronic pain, the COMT Met allele and the BDNF Met allele augmented cortical pain processing, whilst reducing pain processing in pain-free controls. No significant effects were found concerning the OPRM1 A118G polymorphism.

Conclusions: The current study suggests that chronic experience of pain enhances genetic sensitivity to experimentally induced mildly painful stimuli, possibly through a process of epigenetic modification.

Introduction

The experience of pain is subject to individual differences resulting from psychological factors, behavioral factors and biological factors [1–4]. There is rising interest in genetic factors, as these likely explain a substantial portion of the inter-individual differences in pain responses [5]. Studies using genetically modified mice have proposed a large number of candidate pain genes [6]. In human studies, however, the list to date is much shorter. In particular, three single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been proposed to impact on pain perception; COMT Val158Met, BDNF Val66Met and OPRM1 A118G polymorphisms were assessed.
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influence of the Val<sup>158</sup>Met polymorphism on pain, an online search in PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) with ‘BDNF Val<sup>158</sup>Met’ and ‘pain’ as keyword did not result in any publications.

The A<sup>118</sup>G polymorphism of the µ-Opioid Receptor 1 (OPRM1) gene replaces adenine with guanine, increasing the receptor affinity of β-endorphin three-fold [18,19]. It is hypothesized to increase the activity of the endogenous opioid system, which could be associated with a decreased response to nociceptive stimulation [20]. The theory that this gene polymorphism influences pain mechanisms comes mainly from mice studies reporting that opioid receptor knock-out mice had increased nociceptive responsiveness [21]. In human studies, the OPRM1 118G allele may increase the dose of morphine needed to achieve pain control [22,23]. Furthermore, a study by Fillingim and co-workers (2005) reported that G allele carriers (infrequent allele) had significantly higher pressure pain thresholds than A allele homozygotes [18]. Lötsch and colleagues (2006) studied the influence of the G allele on cortical pain processing of experimental pain stimuli [20]. They concluded that ERP amplitudes (N1 component) of carriers of the G allele were, on average, half as high as the amplitude of the non-carriers, suggesting a lower pain processing for the G allele carriers.

The work described above yields a suggestion that several gene polymorphisms may influence pain responsiveness and that further work is required. It has been suggested that experimental designs represent a particularly powerful approach for the study of genetic effects on psychological phenotypes as they allow for controlled conditions and investigation of underlying mechanisms [24]. In pain research, Event Related Potentials (ERPs) are frequently used as a more objective measure of pain, compared to subjective pain ratings, elicited by controlled exposure to noxious stimuli [25]. Previous research has demonstrated positive correlations between the amplitude of specific peak components and the intensity of the pain stimulus, as well as between ERP amplitudes and subjective pain ratings [26–29]. Especially, the P1, N2 and P2 components are considered to be related to pain intensity. Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the influence of functional variation in three gene polymorphisms on the cortical processing of experimental pain as measured with Event-Related Potentials. Results from previous studies demonstrate that the pain ERP to experimental noxious stimuli is influenced by the presence of chronic pain complaints [30–32]. Therefore, any influence of genetic polymorphisms was assessed in interaction with clinical pain disorder.

For every gene polymorphism two hypotheses were tested: (i) Carriers of the infrequent allele (Met allele for COMT and BDNF, and G allele for OPRM1) are expected to have different maximum peak amplitudes on the pain-specific ERP components (N1, P1, N2 and P2) in response to experimental pain stimuli compared to non-carriers [27,33–35]. More specifically, concerning the COMT Val<sup>158</sup>Met polymorphism the Met allele carriers are expected to have larger ERP peak amplitudes compared to the non-carriers. For the BDNF Val<sup>66</sup>Met polymorphism, Met allele carriers are also expected to have larger amplitudes compared to the non-carriers. For the OPRM1 A<sup>118</sup>G, the G allele carriers are expected to have reduced amplitudes compared to non-carriers. (ii) Genetic effects are moderated by the experience of chronic pain. More specifically, we expected significant interaction effects between the polymorphisms and pain status (chronic low back pain patients versus pain free controls).

### Methods

Approval has been obtained from the medical ethics committee of the Academic Hospital Maastricht (the Netherlands), on January, 6th, 2005. All subjects gave their verbal and written informed consent prior to the experiment.

### Subjects

Two groups of subjects were included in this study. The first group consisted of 78 subjects with low back pain complaints. These subjects were drawn from the general population (via advertisements, distributed door to door) and were required to have low back pain for at least six months with no other interfering pain complaints, before the experiment. The second group consisted of 37 pain-free subjects. Exclusion criteria for both groups were the use of psychoactive drugs in general and the use of analgesics more than eight hours before the experiment. Participation was rewarded with €25,-.

### Stimuli

The stimuli used were electrical pulses of 10 ms duration, administered intracutaneously on the left middle finger. For each participant, five different intensities based on that participant’s sensation and pain thresholds were administered. Of the five intensities, one was equal to the pain threshold and the other four were defined relative to this pain threshold, namely −50%, −25%, +25% and +50% of the threshold range which was defined as the range between the sensation threshold and the pain threshold. The sensation threshold was determined by first administering stimuli at zero intensity and then gradually increasing the intensity until the stimuli were experienced consciously. Once experienced consciously (sensation threshold), stimuli were once again administered with an intensity that gradually increased from the sensation threshold until the stimuli were defined as painful by the participant (pain threshold). This procedure was repeated three times in order to generate a reliable measurement.

### Rating paradigm

The stimuli were presented using a rating paradigm [36]. The paradigm consisted of 150 stimuli. The five intensities mentioned above were presented semi-randomly (Every intensity was presented 30 times in the paradigm). The inter-stimulus interval (ISI) ranged from 9 to 11 seconds. Subjects were asked to verbally rate each stimulus on a scale of 0 (no sensation) to 100 (most excruciating pain imaginable).

### EEG recording

All EEG recordings were conducted in an electrically- and sound-shielded cubicule (3 m<sup>3</sup>). Ag/AgCl electrodes were placed on Fz, Cz, Pz, C3, C4, T3 and T4 using the international 10–20 system [37]. Impedances were kept below 5 kΩ. A reference electrode was placed on each ear lobe. To control for possible vertical eye movements, an electro-oculogram (EOG) electrode was placed 1 centimetre under the midline of the right eye. A ground electrode was placed at Fpz. All electrodes were fixed using 10–20 conductive paste. Neuroscan 4.3 software was used for EEG recording.

### Procedure

Before starting the experiment, subjects were informed about the purpose of the study. Subjects were told that they would undergo EEG-registration while receiving electric shocks. After signing the informed consent form, EEG electrodes were placed and the shock electrode was attached to the top of the left middle finger as described by Bromm and Meier (1984): a small opening in the upper layer of the skin was prepared using a dental gml
Statistical analyses

Analyses investigating the moderating effect of chronic pain complaints on the effect of the BDNF Met allele, revealed a significant interaction between pain status and the BDNF Met allele in the model of the P1-component of Fz (B = 1.34, SE = 0.46, p = 0.004), C3 (B = 1.66, SE = 0.49, p = 0.004), Cz (B = 1.80, SE = 0.54, p = 0.001), and Pz (B = 1.87, SE = 0.65, p = 0.001) and T4 (B = -2.28, SE = 0.84, p = 0.008). Figure 2 illustrates the interaction effect and shows that in the pain-free control group, the COMT met carriers have lower maximum peak amplitudes (less negative) compared to the Val homozygotes. In the chronic pain group, the carriers have a higher maximum peak amplitude (more negative) compared to the Val homozygotes.

The BDNF Val66Met gene polymorphism

The results for the first hypothesis did not show any direct effect of the BDNF Met allele on the pain ERP, indicating that there is no difference in cortical pain processing between Met allele carriers and Val homozygotes. Therefore the first hypothesis was not supported.

Analyses investigating the moderating effect of chronic pain complaints on the effect of the BDNF Met allele, revealed a significant interaction between pain status and the BDNF Met allele in the model of the P1-component of Fz (B = 1.87, SE = 0.63, p = 0.004), Cz (B = 1.80, SE = 0.54, p = 0.001), Pz (B = 1.34, SE = 0.46, p = 0.004), and C3 (B = 1.66, SE = 0.49, p = 0.001) and a marginally significant interaction in the model of the C4-component of C (B = 1.40, SE = 0.55, p = 0.013). Figure 2 shows the interaction effect in the Cz models. Similar to the results of COMT, in the pain-free control group the COMT
Met carriers had lower maximum peak amplitudes (less positive) than the Val homozygotes. In the chronic pain group, Met carriers had higher maximum peak amplitudes (more positive) than the Val homozygotes.

The OPRM1 A118G gene polymorphism
Similar to COMT Val158Met and BDNF Val66Met, no main effect of the OPRM1 A118G polymorphism on the pain ERP was apparent. Carriers of the G allele thus did not respond differentially to experimental nociceptive stimuli from A homozygotes. Furthermore, no significant interactions were found for the OPRM1 genotype and pain status.

Based on the knowledge that both the COMT Val158Met and the OPRM1 A118G polymorphisms act on the mu-opioid system [45], post-hoc analyses, in which both polymorphisms were included in the model as main effects and in interaction with each other, were performed to test whether these two polymorphisms interact with each other. The results showed no moderation or mediation for these two polymorphisms. Furthermore, both COMT Val158Met and BDNF Val66Met polymorphisms have been shown to be involved in the development of depressive disorders and, there is a well known interplay between pain and depression. Post-hoc analyses revealed that individuals with chronic pain complaints reported more depressive symptoms (measured with the Beck Depression Inventory) compared to the pain free controls ($\mu$ = 6.73 (4.37) for chronic pain patients and $\mu$ = 3.02 (2.87) for pain free controls, (t-value = 2.6.370, p<0.001).

However, correcting the analyses for this depression effect (including the BDI score as a confounder in de multilevel regression) did not change any of the result presented in this paper.

Discussion
The main goal of this study was to investigate the influence of three ‘pain gene candidate polymorphisms’ (COMT Val158Met, BDNF Val66Met and OPRM1 A118G), on pain Event Related Potentials. It was investigated whether these polymorphisms

---

**Table 1. Demographic and genotypic data of the sample.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chronic pain group (N = 78)</th>
<th>Pain free controls (N = 37)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>38/40</td>
<td>16/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in years, mean (SD)</td>
<td>40.4 (15.4)</td>
<td>36.1 (14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensation threshold (mV), mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain threshold (mV), mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype distribution, n (%)</td>
<td>Val/Val</td>
<td>Val/Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMT Val158Met</td>
<td>22 (28.6)</td>
<td>43 (55.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF Val66Met</td>
<td>54 (69.2)</td>
<td>22 (28.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPRM1 A118G</td>
<td>61 (80.3)</td>
<td>13 (17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allele distribution, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>Carriers</td>
<td>Noncarriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMT Met allele</td>
<td>55 (71.4)</td>
<td>22 (28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDNF Met allele</td>
<td>24 (30.8)</td>
<td>54 (69.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPRM1 G allele</td>
<td>15 (19.7)</td>
<td>61 (80.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P-value of independent sample t-test smaller than 0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013641.t001

**Figure 1. Interaction between the COMT 158Met allele and pain status.** Maximum peak amplitudes of the N2-component at C4. Note that the y-axis displays negative numbers since this concerns a negative ERP component.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013641.g001
influenced the cortical processing of experimental pain and whether the presence of clinical pain influences this.

The results demonstrate that the COMT Val158Met polymorphism did not have a direct (main) effect on the pain ERP. In other words, independent of the covariates (intensity, trial number, sensation threshold pain status etc.), there was no difference in cortical pain processing between Met allele carriers and Val homozygotes. There was, however, an interaction between pain status and the Met allele (N2-component of C4), indicating that the effect of the COMT Met allele on the pain ERP was moderated by the presence of chronic pain complaints. When chronic pain complaints were present, COMT Met carriers displayed stronger cortical pain processing of stimuli than the Val homozygotes. When chronic pain complaints were absent, the carriers displayed weaker pain processing compared to Val homozygotes. Thus, in this study, the expected pain augmenting effect of the COMT Met allele only occurred in a group of chronic pain patients. In a pain-free population, the Met allele, if anything, may have a beneficiary effect on the cortical processing of pain.

In regard to the BDNF Val66Met polymorphism, the results showed no differences in cortical pain processing between Met carriers and Val homozygotes. There was, however, again a significant interaction between pain status and the Met allele at several locations. Similar to the results of the COMT polymorphism, the interaction between pain status and the BDNF Met allele indicated that when chronic low back pain complaints were present, the Met allele carriers displayed stronger ERP amplitudes (P1 component) compared to Val homozygotes. When chronic pain complaints were not present, the non-carriers displayed stronger amplitudes. Again, the hypothesized pain augmenting effect of the BDNF Met allele only occurred when chronic pain complaints were present.

The mu-opioid polymorphism OPRM1 A118G was the only one of the three selected polymorphisms that has previously been studied with the use of Event-Related Potentials. Lotsch and colleagues (2006) found that the G allele carriers had significantly lower N1 peak amplitude compared to the non-carriers at Cz [20]. In the present study, however, such a significant effect of the G allele was not found. This difference in result may be explained by differences in pain stimulus (nasal CO2 stimulation vs. electrical stimulation), stimulus duration (200 ms vs. 10 ms) and paradigm (2 intensities vs. 5 intensities). No significant interactions were found between the OPRM1 genotype and clinical status.

Thus the results demonstrate that the presence of chronic pain complaints moderates the influence of the COMT and the BDNF polymorphisms on the cortical processing of experimental pain stimuli. An explanation concerning the COMT Val158Met polymorphism might be alterations in endogenous opioid system that is associated with chronic pain complaints [46]. Jensen and colleagues (2009) reported that COMT Val158Met differences may be more expressed in individuals where the inhibitory nociceptive system is already challenged and sensitive [47]. Furthermore, it is tempting to speculate that epigenetic mechanisms play a role in this. Chronic exposures to environmental factors may result in dynamic changes of neuronal gene expression which may persist over time but can also be reversed [48]. Future research is needed to test this speculation.

The main limitation of this study most likely concerns the relatively small number of healthy controls. Therefore only the alleles and not the genotypes could be studied, precluding the study of specific recessive or dominant models. Studying genotypes could have given information about possible differences in cortical processing of pain stimuli, between the heterozygotes and homozygotes of the alleles of interest. For that reason, further research with larger populations is needed for more power. In addition stratified samples on genotype would result in an equal distribution of genotype. Also, due to the study design it cannot be entirely excluded that genetic influence on cortical processing of experimental pain may also be shaped by different affective processing between both groups. Finally, including neuroimaging tools in further research would give more detailed information on which brain areas are influenced by these polymorphisms, since Event-Related Potentials have poor spatial resolution.

The current study gives evidence that the COMT Val158Met and the BDNF Val66Met polymorphisms influence the cortical processing of experimental electrical pain stimuli, however not in a direct manner but rather under moderation of the presence of chronic pain complaints. This influence of chronic pain complaints on gene expression possibly implicates epigenetic modification.
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