



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Price discovery with fallible choice

Ruiter, A.G.J.M.

[Link to publication](#)

Creative Commons License (see <https://creativecommons.org/use-remix/cc-licenses/>):

Other

Citation for published version (APA):

Ruiter, A. G. J. M. (2018). *Price discovery with fallible choice*.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Index

- ABM, *see* Agent Based Modeling
- Agent Based Modeling, 21, 143
- algorithm
 - AA, 21, 53, 54, 67, 68, 70, 73, 76, 78, 79, 118, 155, 161, 163
 - C-D approximation, 16, 175
 - eBAS, 53, 54, 66–70, 73, 74, 118, 157, 159
 - eEMA, 53–55, 67–70, 72, 118, 152, 155–158
 - eGD, 53–55, 66–72, 74–79, 82–84, 97, 104, 105, 109, 111, 117–119, 164, 166
 - eME, 53, 54, 66–72, 75–79, 117–119, 167, 168
 - eRnd, 53–55, 67, 68, 70, 156
 - GDA, 53–55, 155, 164, 165
 - GDW, 53–55, 66–68, 70, 116, 117, 164, 165
 - Gjerstad-Dickhaut, 21, 155
 - Kaplan, 112
 - MEA, 53, 54, 167
 - MEW, 53, 54, 66–68, 70, 167
 - PID, 14
 - Scarf, 14
 - Smale, 14, 30
 - sniper, 112
 - TU, 53–55, 66–70, 73, 74, 115, 116, 118, 168, 173, 174
 - Van der Laan/Talman, 15
 - ZI, 3, 21, 53–55, 63, 66–68, 70, 85, 155, 156, 158
 - ZIP, 21, 53–55, 63, 67–70, 73, 75, 76, 78, 79, 117–119, 155, 158, 160
- arbitrage
 - as mental accounting, 5, 98, 122, 129
 - calibration, 102, 108
 - impact, 104
 - learning, 121
 - vs strategic offers, 33
- awareness of buyers/sellers
 - calibration, 165, 167
 - defined, 147
- calibration, *see also* sensitivity analyses
 - adaptive expectations, 156
 - awareness of buyers/sellers, 165, 167
 - choice between opportunities, 97
 - entropy sensitivity, 95
 - expectation formation, 65
 - haggling, 156
 - markup, 157, 158, 166, 167, 171
 - pre-calibration, 155
- capturing human trading behavior, 77, 105, 123
- CDA, *see* Continuous Double Auction
- choice, *see also* fallible choice
 - comprehensive, 2, 9, 87
 - entropy-sensitive preferences, 92, 97, 107
 - expected utility maximization, 97, 107, 121
 - prospect theory, 90, 97, 107, 121
 - rules of thumb, 97, 105, 121, 149
 - sequential, 2, 87–90, 133, 150
- Cobb-Douglas approximation, 16, 175
- computing equilibria
 - C-D approximation, 16, 175
 - Scarf, 14
 - Smale, 14
 - Van der Laan/Talman, 15
- Continuous Double Auction, 17
 - and disequilibrium, 145
 - and disequilibrium theory, 22
 - compared to Hahn-process, 18
 - in Anderson et al. (2004), 27
 - in FACTS, 49
 - replacing intelligence, 85
 - signaling, 85
- convergence
 - C-D approximation, 181
 - concentration, 60, 61
 - Lyapunov function, 29
 - speed of, 30, 61, 98, 116, 133
 - visual inspection, 60, 61, 70
- critical discussion
 - aggregate excess demand, 3, 23
 - as an ideal, 83
 - censoring, 45
 - dominance of AA-traders, 161
 - equivalence of prospects, 92–94
 - favorable surprise, 70
 - insensitive beliefs, 77, 83
 - institutions vs intelligence, 85
 - insufficient transactions, 74, 77, 80
 - interpretation SMD-result, 22
 - optimization and disequilibrium, 89
 - private/public prices, 19
 - quadrant model, 45
 - role of the auctioneer, 12
- cumulative prospect theory, *see* prospect theory
- economic theory
 - aggregate excess demand, 3, 10, 23
 - disequilibrium awareness, 84, 145
 - disequilibrium theory, 22, 46, 81, 89, 133, 145
 - entropy-sensitive preferences, 92, 107
 - expected utility theory, 87, 90, 91, 94, 107
 - fallible choice, 87
 - folding back lotteries, 147
 - general equilibrium theory, 9
 - gross substitution (GS), 10, 11, 176
 - market failure, 85, 131, 132, 140
 - Marshallian path, 71, 168
 - mental accounting, 98
 - monopolistic competition, 5, 18, 20, 116
 - normal vs usual demand, 71, 81, 168
 - opportunities as prospects, 144
 - paradoxes of choice, 88

- price formation, 12, 19
- prospect theory, 90
- quantity signals, 84, 133
- rules of thumb, 22, 149
- Saari-Simon result, 14, 16, 182
- Scarf examples, 11
- SMD result, 10, 11, 22
- stability theory, 9, 11
- utility of gambling, 93, 94
- Walras' Law, 10, 176, 177, 181
- Weak Axiom of Revealed Preference (WARP), 10, 176, 180
- efficiency, 65, 123, 124, 161
- entropy-sensitive preferences
 - defined, 93
 - embedding, 94
 - explaining paradoxes of choice, 88, 93
 - learning, 120, 121
 - pre-calibration, 95
- equilibrium
 - computation, 14, 175
 - conjectural, 20
 - core as fix-price equilibria, 133
 - end of period allocation, 43, 76, 98
 - end states of the simulation, 131
 - introspection, 46, 81
 - market failure, 131, 132, 140
 - shift, 27, 46, 71, 81, 82
 - Walrasian, 10, 12, 26, 46, 82
- ESP, *see* entropy-sensitive preferences
- expectation formation, 52, 65, 117
- experiments
 - Gale, 111, 112
 - Santa Fe tournament, 112
 - Scarf, 2, 15, 25, 30
 - single financial market, 2, 21
- FACTS
 - calibration, 65, 97
 - design, 49, 153
 - elements, 143
 - global parameters, 56
 - robot behavior, 52, 74
- fallible choice
 - entropy-sensitive preferences, 92
 - folding back lotteries, 147
 - ignoring buyers/sellers, 147, 165–167
 - mental accounting, 98
 - no introspection, 46
 - why choice is fallible, 87
- gamble, *see* prospect
- gross substitution property, *see* economic theory
- haggling
 - defined, 154
 - pre-calibration, 156
- law of demand and supply, 13, 14, 19, 178, 183
- learning, 3, 5, 21, 27, 113, 114, 129
- lottery, *see* prospect
- market failure, 16, 131, 132, 140
- market protocol
 - Anderson et al. (2004), 26, 143
 - asynchronous trading, 50
 - synchronized trading, 50
- markup
 - calibration, 55, 157, 158, 164, 166, 167, 171
 - defined, 36
 - interpretation, 38
 - learning, 115
 - loglikelihood estimates, 37
 - simulated payoff matrix, 38
 - stylized facts, 36
- MaxEnt, 167
- ME, *see* MaxEnt
- methodology
 - assessing algorithms, 57
 - measuring convergence, 28, 59–61
 - measuring orbiting, 28, 45, 62
- orbiting
 - clock hand model, 29, 62
 - cumulative angles, 62
 - Lyapunov function, 29
 - quadrant model, 29, 45
- \mathcal{P} , *see* Cobb-Douglas approximation
- price expectations
 - beliefs, 35, 52, 63, 69
 - no arbitrage prices, 76, 166
 - plausible prices, 168, 170
 - point expectations, 52, 63, 76
- price formation
 - acceptable prices, 153
 - arbitrage, 33
 - C-D approximation, 16, 175
 - complexity, 62, 133
 - conditional, 61
 - Cournot-Shubik mechanism, 18
 - Edgeworth process, 16
 - experimental, 4, 25, 30
 - Fisher process, 19
 - haggling, 154, 156, 157
 - Hahn/Negishi process, 17, 19
 - monopolistic competition, 4, 18, 20, 35, 66, 83, 128, 164
 - perfect foresight, 19
 - PID controller, 14
 - price setting, 19, 20, 116, 117
 - price taking, 12, 116, 117
 - private/public prices, 18
 - robot trading, 49
 - Scarf algorithm, 14
 - schedules market, 15, 16, 175
 - Smale algorithm, 14
 - strategic offer, 33, 147, 151
 - stylized facts of human trading, 32
 - synchronized prices, 29, 45
 - tâtonnement, 13, 18, 30, 111
 - unconditional, 62
 - Van der Laan/Talman algorithm, 15
- prospect, 90, 144
- quantity setting, 31, 40, 55, 56, 80, 84, 154
- rationality
 - competitive pressure, 3
 - ecological, 22, 112
 - equivalence of prospects, 92
 - information, 84
 - of choice, 87
 - sophisticated traders, 45
 - violations, 87, 88, 93, 94
- rationing, 85, 133
- reservation prices, 35, 55
 - conditional, 170
 - notional, 171

- unconditional, 169
- result
 - algorithms
 - improved, 161, 164
 - new, 157, 166–168
 - arbitrage
 - as mental accounting, 98
 - calibration methodology, 56
 - calibration of choice, 97
 - calibration of expectation formation, 77, 111
 - characterization excess quantities, 133
 - choice by rule of thumb, 97, 121
 - Cobb-Douglas approximation, 175
 - ecological rationality
 - arbitrage, 121
 - attitudes toward a target, 115
 - choice between opportunities, 121
 - entropy-sensitive preferences, 117
 - expectation formation, 117
 - markup, 115
 - monopolistic competition, 116
 - entropy-sensitive preferences
 - embedding, 94
 - explaining paradoxes of choice, 93
 - FACTS, 3, 49, 143
 - foresight through introspection, 45
 - market failure
 - probability, 134, 137
 - sensitivity to initial expectations, 138
 - markup
 - loglikelihood estimates, 37
 - simulated payoff matrix, 38
 - no monopolistic competition, 35, 83, 116
 - orbiting as lack of feedback, 63, 84
 - shift of equilibrium, 82
 - significance of quantity setting, 98, 115
 - stylized facts, 32
- rules of thumb
 - derivation, 149, 151
 - in calibration, 97
 - in learning, 22, 121
 - sensitivity to, 76
- Scarf examples
 - as proposed, 11, 13
 - in Anderson et al. (2004), 2, 26, 27
- sensitivity analyses
 - calibration of expectations, 63, 76, 111
 - initial expectations, 138
 - number of simulations, 61
 - number of transactions, 80
 - urgent acceptances, 75
- simulation
 - scripted, 50, 51, 56, 59, 61
 - semi-scripted, 51, 56, 60, 62
 - unscripted, 51
- SMD result, *see* economic theory
- Sonnenschein-Mantel-Debreu result, *see* economic theory
- stability
 - defined, 10
 - Gale, 13, 111
 - Scarf, 13
- stylized facts, 32
 - arbitrage, 32
 - end of period allocations, 43
 - preference for markets, 42
 - quantity setting, 40
 - regular vs strategic actions, 32
 - reservation prices, 35
- tâtonnement, *see* price formation
- uncertainty, 2, 35, 38–40, 42
- Walras' Law, *see* economic theory
- Weak Axiom of Revealed Preference, *see* economic theory

Bibliography

- Ackerman, F. (2002). Still dead after all these years: interpreting the failure of general equilibrium theory. *Journal of Economic Methodology* 9(2), 119–139.
- Alchian, A. A. (1950). Uncertainty, Evolution, and Economic Theory. *The Journal of Political Economy* 58(3), 211–221.
- Allais, M. (1953). Le Comportement de l’Homme Rationnel devant le Risque: Critique des Postulats et Axiomes de l’Ecole Americaine. *Econometrica* 21(4), 503–546.
- Anand, P. (1993). *Foundations of Rational Choice Under Risk*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Anderson, C. M., C. R. Plott, K.-I. Shimomura, and S. Granat (2004). Global instability in experimental equilibrium: The Scarf example. *Journal of Economic Theory* 115, 209–249.
- Anufriev, M. and C. Hommes (2012). Evolutionary selection of individual expectations and aggregate outcomes in asset pricing experiments. *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics* 4(4), 35–64.
- Arrow, K. J. (1959). Toward a theory of price adjustment. *The allocation of economic resources*, 41–51.
- Arrow, K. J., H. D. Block, and L. Hurwicz (1959). On the stability of the competitive equilibrium, II. *Econometrica* 27(1), 82–109.
- Arrow, K. J. and G. Debreu (1954). Existence of an Equilibrium for a Competitive Economy. *Econometrica* 22(3), pp. 265–290.
- Arrow, K. J. and L. Hurwicz (1958). On the Stability of the Competitive Equilibrium, I. *Econometrica* 26(4), pp. 522–552.
- Bala, V. and M. Majumdar (1992). Chaotic tâtonnement. *Economic Theory* 2(4), 437–445.
- Becker, G. S. (1962). Irrational Behavior and Economic Theory. *The Journal of Political Economy* 70(1), 1–13.
- Benassy, J.-P. (1982). *The Economics of Market Disequilibrium*. Economic Theory, Econometrics, and Mathematical Economics. Academic Press, Inc.

- Binmore, K. (2009). *Rational Decisions*. Princeton University Press.
- Birnbaum, M. H. (1999). Paradoxes of Allais, stochastic dominance and decision weights. In B. Shanteau, A. Mellers, and D. Schum (Eds.), *Decision Science and Technology: Reflections on the Contributions of Ward Edwards*, pp. 27–52. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Boland, L. A. (2014). *Methodology for a New Microeconomics (Routledge Revivals): The Critical Foundations*. Routledge.
- Booij, A. S. and B. M. S. Van Praag (2009). A Parametric Analysis of Prospect Theory's Functionals for the General Population. *Theory and Decision* 68(4117), 115–148.
- Cliff, D. (1997). Minimal-intelligence agents for bargaining behaviors in market-based environments. Technical report, Hewlett-Packard Laboratories, HPL-97-91.
- Cliff, D. and J. Bruten (1997). More than Zero Intelligence Needed for Continuous Double-Auction Trading. Technical report, Hewlett-Packard Laboratories, HPL-97-157.
- Clower, R. W. (1955). Competition, Monopoly, and the Theory of Price. *Pakistan Economic Journal* 5, 219–226.
- Conrad, K. (2004). Probability distributions and maximum entropy. *Entropy*, 1–27.
- Crockett, S., R. Oprea, and C. R. Plott (2011). Extreme Walrasian Dynamics: The Gale example in the Lab. *American Economic Review* 101(December 2011), 3196–3220.
- Das, S., H. Markowitz, J. Scheid, and M. Statman (2010). Portfolio Optimization with Mental Accounts. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 45(02), 311–334.
- Day, R. H. and G. Pianigiani (1991). Statistical dynamics and economics. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 16(1-2), 37–83.
- De Long, J. B., A. Shleifer, L. H. Summers, and R. J. Waldmann (1990). Positive feedback investment strategies and destabilizing rational speculation. *The Journal of Finance* 45(2), 379–395.
- De Long, J. B., A. Shleifer, L. H. Summers, and R. J. Waldmann (1991). The Survival of Noise Traders in Financial Markets. *Journal of Business* 64(1).
- De Luca, M. and D. Cliff (2011). Human-agent auction interactions: Adaptive-aggressive agents dominate. In *IJCAI International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 178–185.
- Debreu, G. (1959). *Theory of Value: An Axiomatic Analysis of Economic Equilibrium*. Cowles Foundation For Research In Economics At Yale University. Monograph. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- Debreu, G. (1974). Excess demand functions. *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 1(1), 15–21.
- Diamond, P. (1987). *Equilibrium Without An Auctioneer*. Cambridge University Press.
- Diecidue, E., U. Schmidt, and P. P. Wakker (2004). The Utility of Gambling Reconsidered. *The Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 29(3), 241–259.
- Dillenberger, D. (2008). *Essays on Decision Theory*. Ph. D. thesis, Princeton University.
- Dreze, J. (1987). *Essays on economic decisions under uncertainty*. Cambridge University Press.
- Easley, D. and J. Ledyard (1993). Theories of Price Formation and Exchange in Double Oral Auctions. In D. Friedman and J. Rust (Eds.), *The Double Auction Market; Institutions, Theories, and Evidence*, Chapter 3, pp. 63–97.
- Fennema, H. and P. Wakker (1997). Original and cumulative prospect theory: a discussion of empirical differences. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making* 10(1), 53–64.
- Fisher, F. M. (1983). *Disequilibrium Foundations of Equilibrium Economics*. Number 6 in Econometric Society Monographs in pure theory. Cambridge University Press.
- Fisher, F. M. (2011). The Stability of General Equilibrium - what do we know and why is it important? In P. Bridel (Ed.), *General Equilibrium Analysis: A century after Walras*, Chapter 5, pp. 34–45. Routledge.
- Friedman, D. and J. Rust (1993). *The double auction market: institutions, theories, and evidence*. Perseus Publishing.
- Friedman, M. (1953). *Essays in positive economics*. University of Chicago Press.
- Friedman, M. (2007). *Price theory*. Transaction Publishers.
- Gabszewicz, J. J. and J.-P. Vial (1972). Oligopoly 'A la Cournot' in a general equilibrium analysis. *Journal of Economic Theory* 4(3), 381–400.
- Gale, D. (1963). A note on global instability of competitive equilibrium. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly* 10(1), 81–87.
- Gigerenzer, G. and R. Selten (2002). *Bounded rationality: The adaptive toolbox*. MIT press.
- Gigerenzer, G., P. M. Todd, The_ABC_Research_Group, and Others (1999). *Simple heuristics that make us smart*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Gintis, H. (2007). The dynamics of general equilibrium. *Economic Journal* 117(523), 1280–1309.

- Gjerstad, S. and J. Dickhaut (1998). Price Formation in Double Auctions. *Games and Economic Behavior* 22(1), 1–29.
- Gneezy, U., A. Kapteyn, and J. Potters (2003). Evaluation Periods and Asset Prices in a Market Experiment. *Journal of Finance* 58(2), 821–837.
- Gode, D. K. and S. Sunder (1993). Allocative Efficiency of Markets with Zero-Intelligence Traders : Market as a Partial Substitute for Individual Rationality. *The Journal of Political Economy* 101(1), 119–137.
- Goeree, J. K., C. Hommes, and C. Weddepohl (1998). Stability and complex dynamics in a discrete tâtonnement model. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 33(3-4), 395–410.
- Goeree, J. K. and L. Lindsay (2016). Market Design and General Equilibrium. *Journal of Economic Theory* 165, 37–68.
- Hahn, F. H. (1958). Gross Substitutes and the Dynamic Stability of General Equilibrium. *Econometrica* 26(1), 169.
- Hahn, F. H. (1984). Why I am not a Monetarist. In *Equilibrium and Macroeconomics*, Chapter 15, pp. 307–326. Basil Blackwell.
- Hahn, F. H. and T. Negishi (1962). A Theorem on Non-Tâtonnement Stability. *Econometrica* 30(3), pp. 463–469.
- Herings, J.-J. P. (1997). A globally and universally stable price adjustment process. *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 27(2), 163–193.
- Hicks, J. (1939). *Value and Capital: An Inquiry Into Some Fundamental Principles of Economic Theory*. Clarendon paperbacks. Clarendon Press.
- Hirota, M., M. Hse, C. R. Plott, and B. W. Rogers (2005). Divergence , Closed Cycles and Convergence in Scarf Environments : Experiments in the Dynamics of General Equilibrium Systems. *California Institute of Technology, Humanities and Social Sciences Working Paper 1239*.
- Hommes, C. (2006). Heterogeneous Agent Models in Economics and Finance. In L. Tesfatsion and K. L. Judd (Eds.), *Handbook of Computational Economics, Vol 2*, pp. 1109–1186. North Holland.
- Hommes, C. (2013). *Behavioral Rationality and Heterogenous Expectations in Complex Economic Systems*. Cambridge University Press.
- Humphrey, S. (2001). Are event-splitting effects actually boundary effects? *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 22, 79–93.
- Kahneman, D. and A. Tversky (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. *Econometrica* 47(2), 263–292.
- Keenan, D. (1981). Further remarks on the Global Newton method. *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 8(2), 159–165.

- Kehoe, T. and A. Mas-Colell (1984). An observation on gross substitutability and the weak axiom of revealed preference. *Economics Letters* 15(3-4), 241–243.
- Kirman, A. (1995). Learning in oligopoly: Theory, Simulation, and Experimental Evidence. In A. Kirman and M. Salmon (Eds.), *Learning and rationality in economics*. Basil Blackwell Ltd.
- Kumar, A. and M. Shubik (2004). Variations on the Theme of Scarf’s Counter-Example. *Computational Economics* 24(1), 1–19.
- Ladley, D. (2006). Zero Intelligence in Economics and Finance. *Engineering* 00, 1–16.
- Lapied, A. and P. Toquebeuf (2007). Consistent dynamic choice and non-expected utility preferences. Technical report, HAL archives-ouvertes, halshs-00353880.
- Le Menestrel, M. (2001). A process approach to the utility for gambling. *Theory and Decision* 50(3), 249–262.
- LeBaron, B. (2006). Agent-based Computational Finance. In L. Tesfatsion and K. L. Judd (Eds.), *Handbook of Computational Economics, Vol 2*, Chapter 24, pp. 1187–1233.
- Lei, V., C. N. Noussair, and C. R. Plott (2001). Nonspeculative Bubbles in Experimental Asset Markets: Lack of Common Knowledge of Rationality vs. Actual Irrationality. *Econometrica* 69(4), 831–859.
- Luce, R. D. and A. Marley (2000). On Elements of Chance. *Theory and Decision* 49, 97–126.
- Luce, R. D., C. T. Ng, A. A. J. Marley, and J. Aczél (2008). Utility of gambling II: Risk, paradoxes, and data. *Economic Theory* 36(2), 165–187.
- MacCrimmon, K. R. and S. Larsson (1979). Utility Theory: Axioms Versus ‘Paradoxes’. In M. Allais and O. Hagen (Eds.), *Expected Utility Hypotheses and the Allais Paradox*, pp. 333–409. D. Reidel.
- Machina, M. J. (1982). Expected Utility analysis without independence axiom. *Econometrica* 50(2), 277–323.
- Machina, M. J. (1989). Dynamic Consistency and Non-expected Utility Models of Choice Under Uncertainty. *Journal of Economic Literature* XXVII, 1622–1668.
- Madden, P. (1978). Why the Edgeworth Process Assumption Isn’t That Bad. *The Review of Economic Studies* 45(2), pp. 279–283.
- Mantel, R. R. (1974). On the characterization of aggregate excess demand. *Journal of Economic Theory* 7(3), 348–353.
- Mantel, R. R. (1976). Homothetic preferences and community excess demand functions. *Journal of Economic Theory* 12(2), 197–201.
- Marshall, A. (1961). *Principles of economics: an introductory volume* (8th ed.). Macmillan.

- Mas-Colell, A., M. D. Whinston, and J. R. Green (1995). *Microeconomic Theory*. Oxford University Press.
- McCloskey, D. (1998). *The rhetoric of economics*. Univ of Wisconsin Press.
- McFadden, D. (1968). On Hicksian Stability. In J. N. Wolfe (Ed.), *Value, Capital and Growth: Papers in Honour of Sir John Hicks*, pp. 329–351. Edinburgh University Press.
- McKenzie, L. W. (1959). On the Existence of General Equilibrium for a Competitive Market. *Econometrica* 27(1), pp. 54–71.
- Negishi, T. (1958). A Note on the Stability of an Economy Where All the Goods are Gross Substitutes. *Econometrica* 26(3), pp. 445–447.
- Negishi, T. (1961). Monopolistic Competition and General Equilibrium. *The Review of Economic Studies* 28(3), pp. 196–201.
- Negishi, T. (1962). The Stability of a Competitive Economy: A Survey Article. *Econometrica* 30(4), pp. 635–669.
- Neilson, W. (1992). Some mixed results on boundary effects. *Economics Letters* 39, 275–278.
- Nerlove, M. (1958). Adaptive expectations and cobweb phenomena. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 72(2), 227–240.
- Ng, C. T., R. D. Luce, and A. A. J. Marley (2008). On the utility of gambling: Extending the approach of Meginniss (1976). *Aequationes Mathematicae* 76(3), 281–304.
- Plott, C., N. Roy, and B. Tong (2013). Marshall and Walras, disequilibrium trades and the dynamics of equilibration in the continuous double auction market. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* 94, 190–205.
- Plott, C. R. and P. Gray (1990). The Multiple Unit Double Auction. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 13, 245–258.
- Popper, K. R. (1975). *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*. Routledge.
- Popper, K. R. (1983). *Realism and the Aim of Science*. Routledge.
- Rabin, M. (1998). Psychology and Economics. *Journal of Economic Literature* 36(1), 11–46.
- Rust, J., J. H. Miller, and R. G. Palmer (1993). Behavior of Trading Automata in a Computerized Double Auction Market. In D. Friedman and J. Rust (Eds.), *The Double Auction Market; Institutions, Theories, and Evidence*, pp. 155–198.
- Saari, D. G. (1985). Iterative Price Mechanisms. *Econometrica* 53(5), pp. 1117–1131.
- Saari, D. G. and C. P. Simon (1978). Effective Price Mechanisms. *Econometrica* 46(5), pp. 1097–1125.

- Samuelson, P. A. (1941). The Stability of Equilibrium: Comparative Statics and Dynamics. *Econometrica* 9(2), pp. 97–120.
- Samuelson, P. A. (1947). *Foundations of economic analysis*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Samuelson, P. A. (1972). *The collected scientific papers of Paul A. Samuelson*, Volume 3. MIT press.
- Sargent, T. J. (1993). *Bounded rationality in macroeconomics: The Arne Ryde memorial lectures*. Clarendon Press.
- Sarin, R. and P. P. Wakker (1994). Folding back in Decision Tree Analysis. *Management Science* 40(5), 625–628.
- Savage, L. J. (1954). *The Foundations of Statistics*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Scarf, H. (1960). Some examples of global instability of the competitive equilibrium. *International Economic Review* 1(3), 157–172.
- Scarf, H. and T. Hansen (1973). *The Computation of Economic Equilibria*. Number 24. Yale University Press.
- Schinkel, M. P. (2001). *Disequilibrium Theory, Reflections towards a Revival of Learning*. Ph. D. thesis, Universiteit Maastricht.
- Schinkel, M. P., M. P. Schinkel, J. Tuinstra, J. Tuinstra, D. Vermeulen, and D. Vermeulen (2002). Convergence of Bayesian learning to general equilibrium in misspecified models. *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 38, 483–508.
- Shah, A. K. and J. Ludwig (2016). Option Awareness: The Psychology of What We Consider. *American Economic Review* 106(5 [AEA Papers & Proceedings]), 425–429.
- Silvestre, J. (1977). A model of general equilibrium with monopolistic behavior. *Journal of Economic Theory* 16(2), 425–442.
- Sims, C. A. (1980). Macroeconomics and Reality. *Econometrica* 48(1), 1–48.
- Sims, C. A. (2003). The implications of rational inattention. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 50(3), 665–690.
- Smale, S. (1976). A convergent process of price adjustment and global Newton methods. *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 3, 107–120.
- Smith, V. L. (1962). An Experimental Study of Competitive Market Behavior. *The Journal of political Economy* 70(2), 111–137.
- Smith, V. L. (2008). *Rationality in Economics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sonnenschein, H. (1973). Do Walras' identity and continuity characterize the class of community excess demand functions? *Journal of Economic Theory* 6(4), 345–354.

- Sunder, S. (2003). Markets as Artifacts: Aggregate Efficiency from Zero-Intelligence Traders. In M. Augier and J. G. March (Eds.), *Models of a Man Essays in Memory of Herbert A Simon*, pp. 501–519. The MIT Press.
- Tesauro, G. and R. Das (2001). High-performance bidding agents for the continuous double auction. *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM conference on {...}*.
- Thaler, R. H. (1999). Mental accounting matters. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making* 12(3), 183–206.
- Thaler, R. H. (2009). Mental Accounting and Consumer Choice. *Marketing Science* 4(3), 199–214.
- Thaler, R. H. (2015). *Misbehaving: the making of behavioral economics* (First edit ed.). W. W. Norton & Company, Inc.
- Theil, H. and D. G. Fiebig (1984). *Exploiting Continuity*, Volume 1 of *Econometrics and management Sciences*. Ballinger Publishing Company, Cambridge Massachusetts.
- Tuinstra, J. (1999). *Price Dynamics in Equilibrium Models*. Ph. D. thesis, Universiteit van Amsterdam.
- Tversky, A. and D. Kahneman (1992). Advances in Prospect-Theory - Cumulative Representation of Uncertainty. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 5(4), 297–323.
- Tversky, A. and D. Kahnemann (1974). Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases. *Science* 185(4157), 1124–1131.
- Uzawa, H. (1960). Walras' Tâtonnement in the Theory of Exchange. *The Review of Economic Studies* 27(3), pp. 182–194.
- Uzawa, H. (1962). On the Stability of Edgeworth's Barter Process. *International Economic Review* 3(2), 218.
- Van der Hoog, S. (2005). *Microeconomic Disequilibrium Dynamics*. Ph. D. thesis, University of Amsterdam.
- Van der Laan, G. and A. J. J. Talman (1987). A convergent price adjustment process. *Economics Letters* 23(2), 119–123.
- von Neumann, J. and O. Morgenstern (1944). *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*, Volume 2.
- Vytelingum, P. (2006). *The Structure and Behavior of the Continuous Double Auction*. Ph. D. thesis, University of Southampton.
- Wakker, P. P. (2010). *Prospect Theory: For Risk and Ambiguity*. Cambridge University Press.
- Walras, L. (1984). *Elements of Pure Economics: Or the Theory of Social Wealth*. Orion.

- Weber, B. J. (2007). The effects of losses and event splitting on the Allais paradox. *Judgment and Decision Making* 2(2), 115–125.
- Weddepohl, C. (1995). A cautious price adjustment mechanism: Chaotic behavior. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 27(2), 293–300.