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Background

NADL: Nonadjacent dependency learning
- Examples: He walks; Yesterday we walked

Sensitivity to NADs is fundamental to language acquisition [1]

Measuring implicit NADL in children:
- Offline: accuracy on grammaticality judgment task
- Online: response times (RT) to NAD-rule items versus non-NAD-rule items reflect learning dynamics [2,3,4]

NADL in SLI:
- Offline: not as effective as in people without SLI [5]
- Online: no data available as yet

RQ: Do the speed and degree of learning nonadjacent dependencies differ between children with and without SLI?

Methods

Training: 3 rule blocks
- TEP X lut (12)
- SOT X mip (52)
- F X F (56)

Disruption: no-rule block
- F X lut: no rule (12)
- F X mip: no rule (12)
- F X F (6)

Recovery: rule block
- TEP X lut (24)
- SOT X mip (24)
- F X F (12)

Online Test Phase (Word Monitoring)

Offline Test Phase (Grammaticality Judgment Task)

Measures

Online: Press green button upon hearing [target] and press red button when third word is not [target]
Offline: Grammaticality judgment task

Participants

27 children with SLI (M = 9.1 years; F = 6)
27 age-matched controls (M = 9.1 years; F = 10)
SLI and TD group did not differ in Age and were matched on nonverbal IQ.


Preliminary analysis (lmer): No evidence for a difference in RT between rule and no-rule blocks between TD and SLI group (Block*Sample: estimate = 89.57; z = 1.67). Large individual differences in both groups.

Visual inspection: no evidence of learning in both groups. Wider range of scores obtained in TD group.

Preliminary analysis (glm): No evidence that children as a group scored above chance level (estimate= 51.4%; z = 0.80, p = 0.43) or that the groups differed in performance (estimate = 1.03 odds; z = 0.24; p = 0.81).

E-mail: i.l.lammertink@uva.nl; website: www.progracy.com
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Online NADL (word monitoring)

Mean = 0.51 (SD = 0.08)
Range = 0.37 - 0.69

Mean = 0.52 (SD = 0.13)
Range = 0.25 - 0.87

Visual inspection: different NADL dynamics between children with and without SLI. Preliminary analysis do not support this claim, however.

More research is needed to evaluate how NADL relates to language performance and developmental language disorders.

More research is needed to evaluate how NADL relates to language performance and developmental language disorders.

Visual inspection suggests different NADL dynamics between children with and without SLI. Preliminary analysis do not support this claim, however.

There exist large individual differences in children’s sensitivity to nonadjacent dependencies.

More research is needed to evaluate how NADL relates to language performance and developmental language disorders.