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Background

NADL: Nonadjacent dependency learning
Examples: He walks; Yesterday we walked

Sensitivity to NADs is fundamental to language acquisition[1]

Measuring implicit NADL in children:
> Offline: accuracy on grammaticality judgment task
> Online: response times (RT) to NAD-rule items versus non-NAD-rule items reflect learning dynamics [2,3,4]

NADL in SLI:
> Offline: not as effective as in people without SLI [5]
> Online: no data available as yet

RQ: Do the speed and degree of learning nonadjacent dependencies differ between children with and without SLI?

Preliminary Results

Online NADL (word monitoring)

Mean = 0.51 (SD = 0.08)
Range = 0.37 - 0.69

Offline NADL (grammaticality judgment)

Mean = 0.32 (SD = 0.13)
Range = 0.25 - 0.87

Conclusion

Visual inspection suggests different NADL dynamics between children with and without SLI. Preliminary analysis do not support this claim, however.

There exist large individual differences in children’s sensitivity to nonadjacent dependencies.

More research is needed to evaluate how NADL relates to language performance and developmental language disorders.

Contact

E-mail: i.l.lammertink@uva.nl; website: www.progracy.com

References

Acknowledgements
Recruitment SLI: Viertaal; Pento Amersfoort; Auris Leiden, Haarlem & Breda, FOSS Oudervereniging TOS. Recruitment and testing elementary schools TD: Binnenmeer, Startnest & Wheermolen, Darlene Keydeniers & Iris Broedelet