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ABSTRACT

Aims. This study aims to characterise the polarized foreground emission in the ELAIS-N1 field and to address its possible implications for extracting of the cosmological 21 cm signal from the LOw-Frequency ARray – Epoch of Reionization (LOFAR-EoR) data.

Methods. We used the high band antennas of LOFAR to image this region and RM-synthesis to unravel structures of polarized emission at high Galactic latitudes.

Results. The brightness temperature of the detected Galactic emission is on average ~4 K in polarized intensity and covers the range from ~10 to +13 rad m−2 in Faraday depth. The total polarized intensity and polarization angle show a wide range of morphological features. We have also used the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) at 350 MHz to image the same region. The LOFAR and WSRT images show a similar complex morphology at comparable brightness levels, but their spatial correlation is very low. The fractional polarization at 150 MHz, expressed as a percentage of the total intensity, amounts to <1.5%. There is no indication of diffuse emission in total intensity in the interferometric data, in line with results at higher frequencies.

Conclusions. The wide frequency range, high angular resolution, and high sensitivity make LOFAR an exquisite instrument for studying Galactic polarized emission at a resolution of ~1–2 rad m−2 in Faraday depth. The different polarized patterns observed at 150 MHz and 350 MHz are consistent with different source distributions along the line of sight wiring in a variety of Faraday thin regions of emission. The presence of polarized foregrounds is a serious complication for epoch of reionization experiments. To avoid the leakage of polarized emission into total intensity, which can depend on frequency, we need to calibrate the instrumental polarization across the field of view to a small fraction of 1%.
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1. Introduction

The LOw-Frequency ARray – Epoch of Reionization (LOFAR-EoR) key science project will use the LOFAR radio telescope to study the epoch of reionization (van Haarlem et al. 2013). The EoR is a pivotal period in the history of the Universe during which the all-pervasive cosmic gas was transformed from a neutral to an ionized state. It holds the key to structure formation and the evolution of the Universe as we know it today, and touches upon fundamental questions in cosmology.

The LOFAR-EoR project plans to probe the EoR in up to five observing fields (de Bruyn et al., in prep.). Three of these fields have been observed during the commissioning phase of LOFAR. The first field is centred on the North Celestial Pole (NCP). The second field coincides with the ELAIS-N1 field, while the third contains a very bright source, 3C196. The choice of these three fields was motivated by the desire to address most of the problems and challenges that will affect much longer LOFAR-EoR observations.

The results of our commissioning observations are presented in two papers. In the first paper (Yatawatta et al. 2013) we tested various calibration approaches and conducted a thorough analysis of the noise by analysing the NCP observations. The NCP field only has a few bright sources, and the diffuse linearly...
polarized emission from our Galaxy is relatively faint. In the NCP data we reached a noise level of about 100 \mu Jy PSF\(^{-2}\) (PSF: point spread function) with then still poorly calibrated LOFAR array.

In this paper we present LOFAR observations of the ELAIS-N1 field, which was found to have bright polarized emission coming from the Galactic foreground. The ELAIS-N1 field is one of the northern fields of the European Large Area Infrared Space Observatory (ISO) Survey. It is a field with no radio sources brighter than 3 Jy at 325 MHz (WENSS survey; Rengelink et al. 1997). Given its location in the Galactic halo, we do not expect high levels of emission from our Galaxy in total intensity. However, linear polarization at surface brightness levels of a few K has been detected at 350 MHz (PI: V. Jelić) using the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT). The emission is confined to Faraday depths varying from \(-10\) rad m\(^{-2}\) to \(+10\) rad m\(^{-2}\), and they show large-scale spatial Faraday depth gradients of a few rad m\(^{-2}\) deg\(^{-1}\). This field is therefore suitable for polarimetric studies of Galactic foreground emission and of its possible contaminating effects on the feeble cosmological signals coming from the EoR.

The ELAIS-N1 field will also be targeted with Subaru Hyper Suprime-Cam (Miyazaki et al. 2002) to search for high-redshift Ly\(\alpha\) emitters (LAEs). With a significant number of detected LAEs one could in principle study reionization using both the shape and normalization of the cross-power spectrum between the galaxies and EoR (e.g. Wiersma et al. 2013). Thus, the multi-wavelength aspect of this field will play an important role in the detection of the cosmological signal by providing further insight into physical processes during the EoR.

This paper is organised as follows. In Sect. 2 we give an overview of the observational setup and the data reduction. The initial widefield images of the ELAIS-N1 field in total intensity and polarization are presented in Sect. 3, where we also present the effect of correction for Faraday rotation in the Earth’s ionosphere. In Sect. 4 we discuss the properties of the detected polarized emission and importance to understand it for a proper extraction of the cosmological signal from the LOFAR-EoR data. We summarise and conclude in Sect. 5.

2. Observation and data reduction

The ELAIS-N1 field was observed on 2 and 3 July 2011 with 55 LOFAR High Band Antenna (HBA) stations in the Netherlands. The observation was part of an initial suite of LOFAR commissioning observations. The array configuration consisted of 46 core stations (CS) and nine remote stations (RS). The phase centre was set at RA 16h 14m and Dec +54d 30m (J2000). Data were recorded in the frequency range from 138 MHz to 185 MHz distributed over 240 sub-bands. Each sub-band has a width of 195 kHz covered by 64 channels. The total integration time was 7 h (mostly night time) with a correlator integration time of 2 s. The \(uv\) coverage was fully sampled up to baselines of 2 km. We refer to van Haarlem et al. (2013) for a detailed overview of the LOFAR radio telescope and its frequency characteristics.

The initial pre-processing was done on the CEP2 cluster by the Radio Observatory of ASTRON (the Netherlands Institute of Radio Astronomy). All other processing was done on a CPU/GPU\(^1\) cluster dedicated to the LOFAR-EoR project, at the University of Groningen, the Netherlands. During the processing we used the LOFAR-EoR Diagnostic Database (LEDDB) that is used for the storage, management, processing, and analysis of the LOFAR-EoR observations (Martinez-Rubi et al. 2013).

2.1. Initial pre-processing (flagging and averaging)

The LOFAR observing frequencies are affected by man-made radio frequency interference (RFI; Offringa et al. 2013). RFI mitigation works best on data with a very fine resolution in time and frequency. Therefore, the first step in our initial pre-processing is flagging of the data using the aoflagger (Offringa et al. 2010, 2012). On average about 3–4% of our data were flagged. However, around three frequencies (170 MHz, 178 MHz, and 182 MHz) the percentage of RFI is much higher (>30%). The second frequency corresponds to the \(-1.5\) MHz wide Digital Audio Broadcasting (DAB) band C allocated in the Netherlands. A total of six stations were not delivering good data at the time of our observation and were not included in the subsequent processing. The 4 edge channels of the 64 channel sub-band are flagged to remove edge effects from the polyphase filter. After flagging, the data are averaged to 15 channels per sub-band to reduce the data volume for further processing.

2.2. Sky model

The sky model used for the initial calibration of the ELAIS-N1 field contains approximately 30 of the brightest discrete sources. The flux and spectral index of these sources are determined from the WENSS\(^2\) (Rengelink et al. 1997) and VLSS\(^3\) (Cohen et al. 2007) radio source catalogues at 325 MHz and 74 MHz.

After the initial calibration and imaging, we update the sky model by extracting the source information from the LOFAR images themselves. For this we use Duchamp (v. 1.11; Whiting 2012), a source finder that creates masks around potential sources, then we used buildsky (v. 0.0.5; Yatayatta et al. 2013) to create a model with the minimum number of required source components. Our updated sky model has \(~200\) sources and assumes that all sources are unpolarized. This assumption has no effect on the calibration. The diffuse polarized emission is not part of the sky model.

2.3. Calibration and source subtraction

The main steps in the calibration and source subtraction are very similar to the steps presented in our first paper (Yatayatta et al. 2013). Therefore we limit ourselves here to a brief overview.

We begin with a direction-independent calibration to correct for clock errors and ionospheric errors effecting the brightest sources in the image. This is done separately on each sub-band using the Black Board Selfcal (BBS) package (Pandey et al. 2009). Each sub-band has 15 channels of 12 kHz at 2 s integration but we determine calibration solutions per sub-band for every 10 s. The data are also corrected for the element and station beam gains for the centre of the image.

After performing direction-independent calibration, the corrected data are flagged for bad solutions and averaged to one channel per 180 kHz sub-band and 10 s integration time. Direction-dependent station beam and ionospheric corrections for the brightest sources were determined using SAGECal, which is based on Expectation Maximization (Yatayatta et al. 2008; 2010, 2012).

\(^1\) CPU: Central Processing Unit; GPU: Graphics Processing Unit.


We subtract around 200 sources within the image, clustered in 
50 different directions within the main field of view. The very 
bright A-team sources (CasA and CygA) and a few bright 3C- 
sources located near to the ELAIS-N1 field (e.g. 3C 295) were 
among the 50 clusters.

For the purpose of this work we use data calibrated with 
SAGECal1 to build and update our sky model. The analysis of dif-
fuse polarized emission uses the data calibrated only with BBS. 
SAGECal calibration will suppress large scale diffuse emission, 
because this emission is not part of the sky model. Since our sky 
model only contains discrete sources, we do not use SAGECal 
calibration for polarimetric study of diffuse emission. The dy-
namic range in the polarized emission also does not require so-
phisticated calibration.

2.4. Imaging

For imaging we use AWImager. AWImager is a fast imager de-
veloped and optimized for LOFAR (Tasse et al. 2013). It is based 
on full-polarization A-projection that can deal with non-coplanar 
arrays, arbitrary station beams, and non-diagonal Mueller ma-
rices. The algorithm is designed to correct for all direction-
dependent effects varying in time and frequency, including indi-
vidual station and dipole beams, the projection of the dipoles on 
the sky and the beam forming, as well as ionospheric refraction 
effects.

To update the sky model, we make images in total inten-
sity of calibrated and source-subtracted data. In order to cre-
ate accurate source models, these images have the highest res-
olution available at the time the data were taken (∼20′′). We 
use uniform weighting and the subtracted sources are restored 
onto these images, after convolving with the nominal Gaussian 
PSF. We also made very large images with low angular reso-
lution to identify bright radio sources surrounding the ELAIS-
N1 field, whose sidelobes contaminate the emission in the inner 
area. To analyse the polarization of the diffuse Galactic emis-
sion, which mostly appears on spatial scales greater than a few 
arcmin, we make a lower resolution images in all Stokes pa-
rameters (IQUV). These images are produced using only base-
lines smaller than 1000 wavelengths, providing a frequency in-
dependent resolution of about 3 arcmin. We used robust (Briggs) 
weighting with robustness parameter equal to 0.

The beam pattern of a LOFAR-HBA station can be described 
as the product of an antenna beam pattern and the array beam 
pattern of the station (van Haarlem et al. 2013). To first order (i.e. 
excluding mutual coupling) the station (array) beam is scalar, has 
no polarizing characteristics itself and depends mainly on the 
geometry of the tile distribution. The element beam pattern is 
strongly polarized (Hamaker 2006). Its polarization response is 
related to the projection of the beam patterns of two orthogonal 
dipoles on the sky and the changing parallactic angle. During a 
long synthesis observation, spurious polarization is produced by 
the field rotation relative to the dipoles as well as by the move-
ment of the station beam through the polarized pattern of the av-
eraged beam of the element antennas (for a detailed discussion 
we refer to Bregman 2012).

We correct the data for the beam pattern in two steps. The 
first correction is applied during the calibration, using BBS. The 
data are corrected for both the array and the element beam gain 
at the centre of the image. The relative variation of the element 
beam pattern across the field of view, as well as the temporal 
changes are taken into account during the imaging step, using 
the AWImager.

2.5. Rotation Measure synthesis

The technique of Rotation Measure (RM) synthesis (Brentjens 
& de Bruyn 2005) is used to unravel the linearly polarized emis-

sion as a function of Faraday depth (Φ). The Faraday depth is 
defined as:

\[ \Phi = 0.81 \int_{\text{source}}^{\text{observer}} \frac{n_e}{\sqrt{\mu}} B_0 \frac{d\ell}{dA}, \]

where \( n_e \) is electron density; \( B_0 \) is the magnetic field component 
parallel to the line of sight \( d\ell \) and the integral is taken over the 
entire path from the source to the observer. A positive Faraday 
depth implies a magnetic field component pointing towards the 
observer and a negative Faraday depth implies a magnetic field 
component pointing away from the observer.

The RM synthesis technique takes advantage of the relation-
ship, which exists between the measured complex polarization 
P(\( I^2 \)) = Q(\( I^2 \)) + iU(\( I^2 \)) in \( I^2 \)-space and Faraday depth:

\[ F(\Phi) = \frac{1}{W(\lambda^2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\lambda^2) e^{-i2\Phi_\lambda} d\lambda^2, \]

where \( W(\lambda^2) \) is the sampling function, also known as the rota-
tion measure spread function (RMSF). Note that we can only 
sample a finite positive range of wavelengths, resulting in an incom-
plete \( F(\Phi) \). The RM synthesis method is constrained by 
the spectral bandwidth (\( \Delta \lambda^2 \)), the spectral resolution (\( \delta \lambda^2 \)), and the minimum (\( \lambda^2_{\min} \)) of the measured \( \lambda^2 \) distribution. These ob-
servational parameters are also directly linked to three physical 
quantities in Faraday depth space: (i) the maximum detectable 
Faraday depth, \( \Phi_{\max} \approx \sqrt{3}/\delta \lambda^2 \); (ii) the largest structure 
that can be resolved in Faraday depth, \( \Delta \Phi_{\max} = \pi/\lambda^2 \); and (iii) the 
resolution in Faraday depth space, \( \delta \Phi \approx 2 \sqrt{3}/\Delta \lambda^2 \), which 
defines the minimum separation between two different structures 
that are detectable.

For this work we are using the RM synthesis code written 
by M. Brentjens and we apply it to ∼200 sub-bands, which 
have comparable noise level. We first synthesized a low res-
olution Faraday cube over a wide range in Faraday depth to 
determine where polarized emission could be detected. The fi-
nal cube covers a Faraday depth range from −30 rad m^{-2} to 
+30 rad m^{-2} with 0.25 rad m^{-2} step. The absolute value of the 
RMSF corresponding to the frequency coverage of the observa-
tion is given in Fig. 1. The resolution in Faraday depth space 
is \( \delta \Phi = 1.75 \) rad m^{-2}, while the largest Faraday structure that 
can be resolved is \( \Delta \Phi_{\max} = 1.15 \) rad m^{-2}. Since the resolution 
is higher than the maximum detectable scale, we can only detect 
Faraday thin structures. A structure is Faraday thin if \( \lambda^2 \Delta \Phi \ll 1 \), 
where \( \Delta \Phi \) denotes the extent of the structure in Faraday depth. 
If \( \lambda^2 \Delta \Phi \gg 1 \), then the structure is called Faraday thick.

3. Results

3.1. Widefield image in total intensity

The frequency-averaged Stokes I widefield image of the ELAIS-
N1 region is presented in Fig. 2. The image is obtained after cal-
ibration as described in Sect. 2.3 and it is 6.6′×6.6′ in size with 
a PSF of 16.0″×8.8″. The noise level is 1.0 mJy PSF^{-1}. 
There is no indication of diffuse emission in total intensity. A circle 
marks a region around the giant radio galaxy J162740+514012 
(see Schoenmakers et al. 2001), which contains two lobes that 
were found to be highly polarized at 325 MHz. The source is 
found to be polarized in the LOFAR band as well, although at
much lower levels. The RMSs of the two lobes have been determined at both frequency regimes. For each lobe, the two determinations at different frequency regimes agree to within the measurement accuracy. Small calibration errors due to variation of the station beams and rapid ionospheric phase fluctuations are still visible around some bright sources. These errors can be suppressed by direction dependent calibration using SageCal. For the purpose of this paper we are mainly interested in polarization, and we will leave the analysis of the total intensity emission for future work.

3.2. Correcting for Faraday rotation in the Earth’s ionosphere

An electromagnetic wavefront passing through an ionized medium with a variable index of refraction experiences time delays in different parts of the wavefront. These delays are proportional to the total electron content (TEC)\(^4\) and are inversely proportional to the square of the observing frequency (e.g. Thompson et al. 2007). Indeed, at LOFAR frequencies the Earth’s ionosphere is the dominant source of phase errors. A linear spatial ionospheric TEC gradient causes a position shift of the source. Higher-order variations in the index of refraction cause a more serious distortion producing defocusing and even scintillations in extreme cases.

In polarimetric studies there is an additional ionospheric effect that one needs to correct for. Faraday rotation in the Earth’s ionosphere changes the polarization angle of the incoming polarized emission. This happens on a timescale that is much smaller than the total integration time of an observation. As a result of this, the observed polarized emission will be shifted in Faraday depth space and be partially decorrelated. The average shift is proportional to the ionospheric RM averaged over the observing time. If the variation during the synthesis time is longer than \(1\) rad the emission will be depolarized and the dynamic range in the image will be reduced. Thus, one needs to estimate the amount of ionospheric RM as a function of time and then “derotate” the observed polarization angle by that amount. Large TEC-gradients across the array can cause differential Faraday rotation, making unpolarized sources appear circularly polarized. These were not present in the current data. To estimate the ionospheric RM during our observation, we use the global ionospheric maps (GIMs) of the vertical total electron content (VTEC) and the Earth’s magnetic field model (International geomagnetic reference field, Maus et al. 2005) from the casacore\(^6\) library. The GIMs are generated by the Royal Observatory of Belgium using near real time data taken every 15 min by more than 100 Global Positioning System (GPS) sites spread across Europe (Bergeot et al. 2009). The resolution of these maps is \(0.5° \times 0.5°\) in longitude and latitude.

The estimated ionospheric RM values for the ELAIS-N1 observation are plotted in Fig. 3. The difference between the RM values across the LOFAR array (presented with different lines in Fig. 3) is very small for this particular observation. The degree of ionization in the ionosphere depends essentially on the amount of radiation received from the Sun. Hence, the RM values are higher at the beginning of the observation (\(~2.5\) h before sunset) than at the end of it (\(~4.5\) h after sunset). During our observation the ionosphere shows typical behaviour and the estimated ionospheric RM corrections are not unusual.

We have corrected our data for the time-variable ionospheric RM using \texttt{RMWriter} code written by M. Mevius. Figure 4 shows the Faraday spectrum centred at the southwest lobe of the giant radio galaxy J162740+514012. This lobe is polarized and its emission appears around \(\Phi = +22\) rad m\(^{-2}\) before applying the ionospheric RM correction (dashed line in Fig. 4). After we apply the ionospheric RM correction (solid line in Fig. 4), its emission is centred around \(\Phi = +21\) rad m\(^{-2}\). A shift of \(|\Delta\Phi| = 1\) rad m\(^{-2}\) corresponds to the average of the ionospheric rotation measure \((\text{RMSF}) = 1.2\) rad m\(^{-2}\). There is also an increase in the peak flux by 20%.

Correcting the data for the time-dependent ionospheric RM variation also has an effect on the images of the diffuse polarized emission. An example is shown in Fig. 4. For the predicted RM variation we would expect this effect to be about 15–20%. Indeed, the diffuse emission is brighter, the morphological features are sharper and the edge of the station primary beam is more clearly visible in the corrected image, as compared to the uncorrected image.

3.3. Diffuse polarized emission

A series of widefield images of the ELAIS-N1 region in both polarized intensity and Stokes \(Q, U\) are presented in Fig. 5. Given the observed rather uniform levels of the polarized intensity the polarity of Stokes \(Q, U\) is a good indicator of the spatial variations of the plane of polarization. The images are \(5.7° \times 5.7°\) in size with a PSF of \(3.4′ \times 3.1′\) and the noise level is 0.3 mJy PSF\(^{-1}\) RMSF\(^{-1}\) in polarized intensity and 0.5 mJy PSF\(^{-1}\) RMSF\(^{-1}\) in Stokes \(Q, U\). Images are given at Faraday depths of \(-5.5, -2.5, -1.5, -0.5, +0.5, +1.5\) rad m\(^{-2}\) to emphasise the various detected structures in linear polarization. Note that all images are produced using the RM cubes corrected for Faraday rotation in the ionosphere.

We detect faint polarized emission (\(<1\) mJy PSF\(^{-1}\) RMSF\(^{-1}\)) over a range of Faraday depths from \(-10\) to \(+13\) rad m\(^{-2}\). The

\(^4\) Total electron content (TEC) is the integrated electron density along the line of sight through Earth’s ionosphere, with units of electrons per square meter (10\(^{16}\) electrons/m\(^2\) = 1 TEC unit).

\(^5\) http://casacore.googlecode.com

\(^6\) http://gnss.be
Fig. 2. Frequency-averaged Stokes $I$ image of the ELAIS-N1 region. The image is $6.6' \times 6.6'$ in size, with a PSF of $16.0' \times 8.8''$, and the noise level is $1.0$ mJy $\text{PSF}^{-1}$. We are a factor of $\sim 2$ above the thermal noise.

Fig. 3. Ionospheric RM values for different LOFAR-HBA stations during the ELAIS-N1 observation estimated from Global Ionospheric Maps (GIMs). Note that GIMs have an error of 1 TEC unit, which translates to an RM error of $0.1$ rad $\text{m}^{-2}$.

The brightest and most prominent features are detected in a smaller range of Faraday depth. From $-10$ to $-4$ rad $\text{m}^{-2}$ there is a northwest to southeast gradient of emission, which starts as a small-scale feature and builds up to an extended northeast-southwest structure. The mean surface brightness of this emission is $1.8$ mJy $\text{PSF}^{-1} \text{RMSF}^{-1}$. From $-4$ to $-0.5$ rad $\text{m}^{-2}$ there is diffuse emission with patchy morphology and a mean surface brightness of $5.4$ mJy $\text{PSF}^{-1} \text{RMSF}^{-1}$, and it shows a gradient in the same direction as the feature at more negative Faraday depth but is less prominent. Around $+0.5$ rad $\text{m}^{-2}$, polarized emission is detected over the full primary beam. At more positive values it becomes patchy and fades away towards $+10$ rad $\text{m}^{-2}$. We note a conspicuous, stripy morphological pattern of diffuse emission oriented north-south in the eastern part of the image. This structure is visible from 0 to $+4$ rad $\text{m}^{-2}$. A few representative Faraday spectra of these features are shown in Fig. 6. Following Schnitzeler et al. (2009) we show a map of the highest peak of Faraday depth spectra at each spatial pixel in Fig. 7. On the same figure we also show a map of the RM value of each peak.

In contrast to polarized intensity, which reflects the amplitude of polarized emission, Stokes $Q$, $U$ reflects the morphology of both its amplitude and its polarization angle. Therefore, Stokes $Q$, $U$ images show even more striking morphological patterns and structures in polarization (Fig. 5). Particularly noteworthy are the faint linear features at Faraday depths around $+1$ rad $\text{m}^{-2}$ that are visible on the eastern side of the Stokes $Q$, $U$ images. They point to large gradients in the polarization position angle in the direction orthogonal to the long axes.

We also compute the total polarized intensity at each pixel by integrating the polarized intensity RM cube along Faraday depth. The integral is given by (Breitjens 2011):

$$\text{PI} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (|\text{PI}(|\Phi_i|)| - \overline{n_{\text{PI}}}),$$

(3)

where $B$ is the area under the restoring beam of RMCLEAN (Heald et al. 2009) divided by $\Delta\Phi = |\Phi_{i+1} - \Phi_i|$ and $\overline{n_{\text{PI}}}$ is the...
mean value of the polarized intensity in the RM cube in regions where no signal is present. Assuming that the noise distributions of $Q$, $U$ RM cubes have equal $\sigma$ and zero mean, and are uncorrelated, then the mean value of the PI is $\langle \text{PI} \rangle = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}$.

We have not attempted to deconvolve our RM cubes for the effects of the side lobes of the RMSF (see Fig. 1). The sidelobes are small and the signal-to-noise ratio is generally so low that this would have had very little effect on the images. Moreover, deconvolution in Faraday space is a nontrivial operation with many uncertainties due to missing structure in $\lambda^2$-space (see Brentjens & de Bruyn 2005).

To calculate parameter $B$ we use the area under the RMSF instead of the restoring beam of the RM-CLEAN. Figure 9 shows an image of the integrated polarized intensity. Most of the sources visible in the image are instrumentally polarized and appear around $\Phi = -1$ rad m$^{-2}$, which corresponds to $\Phi = 0$ rad m$^{-2}$ in RM cubes not corrected for ionospheric Faraday rotation. We mask intrinsically/instrumentally polarized sources in the RM cubes to be able to study the properties of diffuse emission. The average surface brightness of diffuse emission is $3.5$ mJy PSF$^{-1}$.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Properties of Galactic polarized emission

Detected diffuse emission in polarization that spans over $\Delta \Phi \approx 15$ rad m$^{-2}$ is evidently Galactic. It has a brightness temperature on average of $\sim 4$ K. Its morphological structures and Faraday depth range are similar to polarized emission seen at 350 MHz in a number of fields at mid/high Galactic latitudes (e.g. Wieringa et al. 1993; Haverkorn et al. 2003a,b; Pizzo 2010, de Bruyn & Pizzo 2014). For example, the patchy structures and “canals” seen in polarized intensity (see Fig. 5) and sharp stripy patterns in Stokes $Q$, $U$ (see Fig. 5) are quite similar to the morphological features seen at 350 MHz in the direction of A 2255 (Pizzo 2010), which is at comparable Galactic latitude ($b_{A 2255} = +35^\circ$ and $b_{ELAIS-N1} = +44^\circ$). The higher spatial and RM resolution of the LOFAR observations reveal canals and filaments (i.e. at $\phi = -2.5$ rad m$^{-2}$) more pronounced than previous observations of fields at the same frequency and at high Galactic latitude.

---

**Fig. 4.** Effect of the ionospheric RM variation. *Bottom plot* shows the Faraday spectrum centred at the southwest lobe of the giant radio galaxy J162740+514012, before (dashed line) and after (solid line) applying the ionospheric RM correction. As expected, there is (i) a shift in Faraday spectrum that corresponds to an average of the ionospheric rotation measure; and (ii) an increase in the peak flux by 20%. The ionospheric RM variation also has an effect on the diffuse polarized emission as shown in the two images in the upper part of the figure. Note that these images are given at Faraday depths separated by an average of the ionospheric rotation measure to correct for a shift due to Faraday rotation in the ionosphere.
Fig. 5. Widefield images of the ELAIS-N1 region in polarized intensity (PI), Stokes $Q$, and Stokes $U$ given at Faraday depths of $-5.5$, $-2.5$, $-1.5$, $-0.5$, $+0.5$, $+1.5$ rad m$^{-2}$. Images are $5.7' \times 5.7'$ in size with a PSF of $3.4' \times 3.1'$. The noise level is 0.3 mJy PSF$^{-1}$ RMSF$^{-1}$ in polarized intensity and 0.5 mJy PSF$^{-1}$ RMSF$^{-1}$ in Stokes $Q$, $U$. The images in polarized intensity have not been corrected for polarization noise bias.
Faraday depth spectra of a few interesting lines of sight through the RM cube of polarized intensity. The typical width of the detected structures is a few rad m$^{-2}$.

We also estimate the fractional polarization by dividing the polarized intensity, integrated over all Faraday depths, by the 408 MHz total intensity map (Haslam et al. 1981, 1982) scaled to 160 MHz. The spectral index between 45 and 408 MHz of Galactic synchrotron emission in this region is $\beta = -2.6$ (Guzmán et al. 2011). If we scale the brightness temperature of $\sim 25$ K from 408 MHz to 160 MHz, we deduce a brightness temperature of 285 K in total emission. The observed polarization levels therefore imply a polarization of $\approx 1.5\%$. The maximal intrinsic fraction of polarization depends on the energy spectral index, $p$, of cosmic-ray electrons that interact with the Galactic magnetic field and produce most of the synchrotron emission in our Galaxy. The expected intrinsic fraction of Galactic polarization is at most $\Pi(p = -2.1) = (|p| + 1)/(|p| + 7/3) = 69.9\%$ (e.g. Sun et al. 2008). This value is much higher than what we observe. To reach this maximum percentage however requires a uniform magnetic field in the emitting region, a situation that is rarely achieved in a physically deep emitting region. In addition, the emitting region may not be Faraday thin, leading to a sharp, frequency-dependent reduction in the emerging polarized flux, which can not be recovered using RM synthesis.

As mentioned in Sect. 1, the ELAIS-N1 region was also observed at 350 MHz as a part of a WSRT continuum legacy survey. In that survey we observed an area of 64 deg$^2$ with a 28 pointing mosaic. A preliminary calibration and analysis of part of the 350 MHz data show large-scale emission, located mostly in the northeast part of the mosaic at Faraday depths ranging from $-10$ rad m$^{-2}$ to $+10$ rad m$^{-2}$ (see Fig. 8). Figure 9 shows this emission in total polarized intensity integrated along Faraday depth. In the northeast region where the most prominent features were detected at 350 MHz, we do not have enough sensitivity in the current LOFAR data to make a meaningful comparison. We can only see that these features have the same orientation as features detected at $\Phi = +0.5 - 1.5$ rad m$^{-2}$ in the east.
Fig. 7. Left panel: distribution of peak intensities in the Faraday depth spectra at every spatial pixel. Right panel: corresponding RM value for each pixel.

Fig. 8. Images of the ELAIS-N1 region in polarized intensity (PI) observed with the 28 WSRT mosaic pointings at 350 MHz (based on preliminary calibration and analysis). The images are given at Faraday depths of −8.0, 0.0, +8.0 rad m⁻². Images are 8.2° × 8.2° in size with a PSF of 2′ × 3′ and the noise level is 0.4 mJy PSF⁻¹ RMSF⁻¹. The images have not been corrected for polarization noise bias.
in size. The white box marks a common region of the two images. A middle panel shows a part of the WSRT image within the white box. A PSF is 3.4′ × 3.1′ at 160 MHz and 2′ × 3′ at 350 MHz. A flux of 1 mJy PSF−1 corresponds to a brightness temperature of 0.46 K at 350 MHz, respectively 1.3 K at 160 MHz. The strongest emission in the WSRT 350 MHz image occurs in the northeastern part. Unfortunately the size of the LOFAR station beam at 160 MHz prevents us from detecting any corresponding emission at 150 MHz.

The difference in observed emission between two frequencies can be attributed to many instrumental and astrophysical effects: (i) lower sensitivity and poorer resolution in Faraday space at 350 MHz; (ii) a complex distribution of emitting and Faraday rotating structures along the line of sight with variable Faraday depths; and (iii) depolarization that is more prominent at lower radio frequencies than at higher frequencies. If we were to scale the observed polarized emission at LOFAR frequencies to 350 MHz we would expect to see emission levels of at least 0.9 K or higher, assuming a spectral index of β = −2.6. The noise in our preliminary RM cubes at 350 MHz is ∼0.46 K. Thus at 350 MHz, we are able to detect just the brightest peaks of emission observed at LOFAR frequencies.

We also note that the resolution in Faraday depth at 350 MHz is an order of magnitude worse than that at LOFAR frequencies. It is possible that multiple Faraday thin structures (∆Φ < δΦ350 MHz) detected in the LOFAR low-frequency images will decolorelate when we observe them with a much broader RMSF. To test this we have also generated RM cubes at LOFAR frequencies using an RMSF that has a resolution of δΦ350 MHz. A new image of total polarized intensity integrated along Faraday space shows only 45% correlation with the image in Fig. 9. This means that ~55% of the polarized emission detected with the full range of LOFAR frequencies will remain undetectable at 350 MHz.

The underlying distribution of synchrotron-emitting and Faraday rotating structures is known to be very complex. For a detailed discussion we refer to de Bruyn & Pizzo (2014), who carried out a detailed analysis of the Galactic foreground structures in the direction of the cluster Abell 2255. To incorporate the LOFAR and WSRT RM cubes, taken in two wide but discontinuous frequency ranges, into one physical picture will probably require a 3D-model and a full radiative transfer analysis. This is beyond the scope of this preliminary analysis and we will leave it for future work once we have fully analysed the WSRT 350 MHz data and incorporated deeper LOFAR observations of the ELAIS-N1 field. To perform such modelling will probably require data over the full frequency range.

4.2. Foreground emission in the LOFAR-EoR experiment

One of the major astrophysical challenges for the EoR experiments is the extraction of the cosmological 21 cm signal from the prominent astrophysical foregrounds (e.g. Jelić 2010, and references therein). The extraction is usually done in total intensity along frequency. The cosmological 21 cm signal is essentially unpolarized and fluctuates along frequency. The foregrounds are smooth along frequency in total intensity and might show fluctuations in polarization intensity. Therefore, the EoR signal can be extracted from the foreground emission by fitting out the smooth component of the foregrounds along frequency, as shown for the LOFAR case by Jelić et al. (2008); Harker et al. (2009); Chapman et al. (2012, 2013).

The LOFAR radio telescope has an intrinsically polarized response (van Haarlem et al. 2013), which needs to be calibrated. If calibration of the instrument and modelling of and correction for the beam polarization is not accurate, the Stokes Q, U signals can leak to Stokes I and vice versa. Leaked polarized emission can introduce frequency dependent signals that can mimic as cosmological 21 cm signal, making extraction and analysis more demanding. This was addressed for the first time through simulations by Jelić et al. (2010). Geil et al. (2011) showed, in the case of a simple foreground model and a single thin Faraday screen, how RM synthesis may be used to separate the cosmological signal from the leaked polarized foregrounds. How to deal with spatially varying instrumental polarization leakage and complex Faraday spectra has not yet been addressed.

The ELAIS-N1 region shows very complex Galactic polarized emission of ~4 K. Assuming a residual leakage of 0.1–0.2%, constrained by current data analysis tools, we may still expect error signals of ~4–8 mK in Stokes I. Current noise levels in Stokes I images are still higher than this. Stokes I images are also confusion limited and dominated by point sources. We need to subtract as many sources as possible, using SAGEcal, to lower the noise in the images and hence be able to analyse the leakage of polarized diffuse emission and to test RM synthesis as a potential method for dealing with the leakages. We also need
to model the LOFAR beam to high accuracy. All of this goes beyond the main purpose of this paper but we will address it in future work.

5. Summary and conclusions

We have presented results from a LOFAR HBA observation of the ELAIS-N1 region, taken as a part of commissioning activities to characterise the foregrounds in the LOFAR-EoR observing fields and the LOFAR performance. We have detected polarized diffuse emission over a wide range of Faraday depths, ranging from $-10$ to $+13$ rad m$^{-2}$. The average brightness temperature of this polarized emission is $\sim 4$ K. This is much more than it was anticipated on the basis of earlier WSRT (e.g. Bernardi et al. 2009, 2010; Pizzo et al. 2011) and GMRT observations (e.g. Pen et al. 2009) in the same frequency band. First results from MWA were ambiguous in the observed intensity and morphology (Bernardi et al. 2013). The wide range of morphological features detected in ELAIS-N1 field at LOFAR frequencies are reminiscent of those observed in the Galactic polarization emission at 350 MHz (e.g. in the direction of a 2255, Pizzo 2010).

The ELAIS-N1 region was also observed at 350 MHz with the WSRT. A preliminary analysis of these data reveal a large-scale gradient of Galactic polarized emission, in the upper left part of the mosaic and at Faraday depths ranging from $-10$ rad m$^{-2}$ to $+10$ rad m$^{-2}$. A detailed comparison between the signals observed with LOFAR and the WSRT is not yet possible. The most significant correlation between the patterns observed in the two frequency bands are the vertical stripy patterns seen on the east and northeastern side of the images. However, the signal-to-noise ratio in the WSRT data is too low, and the region where the most prominent signals are seen at 350 MHz falls outside the primary beam of the current LOFAR observations, to speculate about the nature of this correlation.

The presence of intrinsic polarization signals at levels of several K with complicated structure over a wide range of Faraday depths will seriously effect epoch of reionization experiments. The instrumental polarization of LOFAR will have to be calibrated to a small fraction of a percent to limit leakage of polarization signals to levels of a few mK. We will return to this problem when more sensitive observations obtained in LOFAR Cycle 0 will be analysed.

Even though the presented results have a preliminary nature, they show the potential of low frequency polarimetry with LOFAR to study interstellar turbulence through fluctuations in synchrotron emission in a special low Galactic latitude region, known as the Fan, which has long been known for its exceptionally bright polarized emission. Combining these two results, we can conclude that the wide frequency coverage and high angular resolution make LOFAR an exquisite instrument for studying Galactic polarized emission at a resolution of $\sim 1$–2 rad m$^{-2}$ in Faraday depth. In combination with detailed simulations they will permit us to study the underlying 3D distribution of synchrotron emitting and Faraday rotating structures and constrain the properties of interstellar medium, turbulence and magnetic fields.
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