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Abstract
Detailed analysis of elastic light scattering by spheres in FlowCytometers shows unexpected Lorenz-Mie scattering patterns. The complete scattering matrix $S$ of spheres was measured. Two parameter scatterplots with $x$- and $y$- coordinates determined by the $S_{11} + S_{ij}$ and $S_{11} - S_{ij}$ values were obtained. Samples of spheres with very narrow size distributions were analyzed and produced unexpected two parameter scatterplots. Instead of compact distributions we observed Lissajous-like loops. Simulation of the scatterplots, using Lorenz-Mie theory, shows that these loops are not due to experimental errors, but due to true Lorenz-Mie scattering. We show that the loops originate from the sensitivity of the scattered field on the radius of the spheres. This work demonstrates that the interpretation of rare events and hidden features in FlowCytometry needs reconsideration.

1 Introduction
The problem of scattering of electromagnetic plane waves by an isotropic, homogeneous sphere of arbitrary size and refractive index was solved in 1890 by Lorenz [1]. Eighteen years later Mie, independent of Lorenz, arrived at the same, exact, analytical solution [2]. The Lorenz-Mie scattering formula possesses some remarkable properties. Well-known examples are the interference and ripple structure of the extinction cross section [e.g. 3], glare points [e.g. 4], or rainbows and glories [e.g. 5, 6].

Here we report on yet another face of Lorenz-Mie scattering, which is based on the extreme sensitivity of the scattered field on the radius of the sphere. We measure the total scattering matrix of spheres with a narrow size distribution ($\Delta r/r \sim 1\%$, with $r$ the radius of the sphere) in a dedicated FlowCytometer (FCM). This experimental equipment allows us to measure $S_{11} + S_{ij}$ and $S_{11} - S_{ij}$ ($ij = 12, 33, 34$, $S$ is the $4 \times 4$ scattering matrix) simultaneously for every single sphere in the distribution. FlowCytometry data is usually analyzed by generating a N-dimensional histogram ($N$ being the number of observables per particle, here $N = 2$) from the experimental data and trying to identify different dataclusters in the histogram with different particles in the sample [7]. At first sight a distribution of homogeneous spheres with a very narrow Gaussian size distribution is expected to produce a single, narrow, Gaussian-like 2-dimensional histogram. However, it turned out that the measured histograms are all but Gaussian; we measure Lissajous-like loops.

2 Materials and Methods
2.1 FlowCytometry
FlowCytometry [7] is an important technique in the biological sciences to identify and separate various populations of e.g. white bloodcells. Hydrodynamic focussing forces the cells to flow through a focussed laser beam one by one. Usually the cells are stained with fluorescent probes and the fluorescence of a cell in the laser beam is measured. Furthermore, the forward- and sideward scattered light is used as an important additional parameter for the analysis. In this way we can
measure several fluorescence and elastic light scattering (ELS) signals for each cell in the sample.

![Figure 1: Schematic drawing of the optical system of the FlowCytometer. The laser beam is focussed by lens l1 on a cell, flowing through the cuvet. The incident beam is polarized by means of the polarizer P. The intensity of the forward scattered light is measured by detector d1, the sideward scattered light is focussed by lens l2 on detectors d2 and d3, bs is a beamsplitter. The sideward scattering signals are passed through two different analyzers A1 and A2.](image)

Figure 2: An example of a two parameter scatterplot for a large number of polystyrene spheres with a mean diameter of 1.98 µm, measured with a FlowCytometer. The wavelength was 0.6328 µm. Every dot represents a single sphere, the value of the x-coordinate is the intensity of the forward scattered light, the value of the y-coordinate is the intensity of the sideward scattered light. The intensities are in arbitrary units.

2.2 Polystyrene spheres

The experiments were performed with polystyrene microspheres from Duke Scientific.* The diameters of the spheres are 1.98±0.05 µm, and 7.04±0.05 µm.

In addition to the diameter of the sphere, Lorenz-Mie calculations require the relative refractive index of the sphere. The refractive index of distilled water, in which the spheres are suspended, and polystyrene can be calculated from [10]:

\[
n_{\text{water}} = n_0 + \frac{n_2}{\lambda^2} + \frac{n_4}{\lambda^4}, \tag{1}\n\]

with \(\lambda\) in micrometers, and \(n_0 = 1.3236\), \(n_2 = 3.35\times10^{-3}\), and \(n_4 = -3.45\times10^{-5}\) for water, and \(n_0 = 1.5711\), \(n_2 = 4.82\times10^{-3}\), and \(n_4 = 6.78\times10^{-4}\) for polystyrene. In our case \(\lambda = 0.6328 \mu m\), which gives \(n_{\text{water}} = 1.3318\) and \(n_{\text{polystyrene}} = 1.5874\). In the calculations we will use

\[
n_{\text{relative}} = \frac{n_{\text{polystyrene}}}{n_{\text{water}}} = 1.192,\n\]

and diameters as reported above.

2.3 Simulation of the scatterplots

To simulate the two parameter scatterplots the intensities measured by the sideward detectors must be calculated. For spheres, using the P-A combinations as described by Sloot et al., the intensity of the scattered light after analyzer A1 and A2 is [8]

\[
I_A = I_0 C (S_{11} \pm S_{ij}), \quad ij = 12, 33, \text{ or } 34, \tag{2}\n\]

with \(I_0\) the intensity of the laser beam, \(C\) an apparatus constant, and \(S\) the 4x4 scattering matrix of the sphere. The total intensity on the detector is obtained by integrating over the full solid angle \(d\omega\) defined by the field of view of the objective,

\[
I_{\text{det}} = \int I_A d\omega = I_0 C \left( \int S_{11} d\omega \pm \int S_{ij} d\omega \right) \equiv I_0 C (s_{11} \pm s_{ij}), \tag{3}\n\]

with \(s_{ij}\) an integrated matrix element.

To calculate the scattering matrix of a sphere in a focussed laser beam, the traditional Lorenz-Mie theory cannot be applied. Here we must rely on the generalized Lorenz-Mie theory which describes the scattering of a sphere in a Gaussian beam [11]. The \(g_n\) coefficients

---

* Duke Scientific Polystyrene microspheres 1135D. San Antonio Palo Alto CA 94303
appearing in this theory are calculated using the localized interpretation \[12, 13\] We use the same programs as described in reference \[8\]. The beamwaist radius of the Gaussian beam is 12.5 \( \mu \text{m} \) (see section 2.1). The particles are located in the focal point of the beam.

The procedure to generate a simulated scatterplot is as follows. First we calculate the scattering matrix \( S(\theta) \) as a function of the scattering angle \( \theta \) (resolution \( \theta = 0.1^\circ \)) for a sphere with relative refractive index 1.192, diameter \( d \), wavelength of the incident light 0.6328 \( \mu \text{m} \), and the appropriate beamwaist diameter. Then the integrated scattering elements \( s_{ij} \) are calculated, as described in reference \[8\]. The \( s_{ij} \) are calculated for 500 different values of \( d \) in the range

\[
d_{\text{mean}} - 4\sigma_d \leq d \leq d_{\text{mean}} + 4\sigma_d , \quad [4]
\]

\( d_{\text{mean}} \) is the mean diameter in the distribution and \( \sigma_d \) the standard deviation (assuming a normal distribution in diameter). This results in arrays of \( s_{ij}(d_i) \), with discrete values \( d_i \) as defined above. For every \( d_i \) the \( s_{ij}(d_i) \) determine coordinates of a dot in the two parameter scatterplot, and the total scatterplot is generated by drawing dots for every value of \( d_i \).

### 2.4 Comparison between theory and experiment

The result of the calculations is a set \( \{s_{11}, s_{12}, s_{33}, s_{34}\} \), \( i \) runs over all the values of \( d \). The measurements give arrays of detector signals, as in formula 3. We need an independent scaling for both theory and experiment in order to compare the two. The experimental results are scaled such that the apparatus constants \( I_0 \) and \( C \) are removed and the experimental scatterplots are entirely described in terms of scattering matrix elements. The scaling factor for the experimental results is

\[
\text{scale}_{\text{exp}} = \frac{1}{2p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{p} (I_{A1} + I_{A2}) \right) = \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} s_{11} , \quad [5]
\]

with \( p \) the total number of measured spheres, and \( I_{A1} \) and \( I_{A2} \) the intensities of the scattered light after analyzer A1 and A2. Dividing the measurements by this factor results in two parameters for each measured sphere, independent of \( I_0 \) and \( C \):

\[
\left( \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} s_{11} \right)^{-1} (s_{11} \pm s_{ij}) . \quad [6]
\]

These normalized experimental parameters are used as \((x,y)\) coordinates in the scatterplots.

The term

\[
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} s_{11}
\]

is the weighted mean \( s_{11} \) of the distribution of spheres. Assuming a normal distribution in diameter, this term is easily calculated from theory:

\[
\bar{s}_{11} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_d \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left[ \frac{-(d-d_{\text{mean}})^2}{2\sigma_d^2} \right] s_{11}(d) \, \text{d}d . \quad [7]
\]

This integral is approximated by numerical evaluation for \( d_{\text{mean}} - 4\sigma_d \leq d \leq d_{\text{mean}} + 4\sigma_d \), using Simpson’s rule. The calculated integrated scattering matrix elements are divided by the value of this integral. After scaling, both theory and experiment can be compared. In the sequel of this paper the scatterplots of experimental and theoretical data are always scaled accordingly.

### 3 Results

We measured \( \{s_{11}+s_{ij}, s_{11}-s_{ij}\} \) scatterplots for polystyrene spheres, with a diameter of 7.04 ± 0.05 \( \mu \text{m} \) and a diameter of 1.98 ± 0.05 \( \mu \text{m} \), where \( s_{ij} \) is a matrix element integrated over the field of view of the side scattering detectors. Furthermore we simulated the scatterplots using generalized Lorenz-Mie theory. The experimental and theoretical scatterplots were normalized independently to allow for a comparison.

Figure 3 and 4 show the normalized theoretical and experimental \( \{s_{11}+s_{12}, s_{11}-s_{12}\} \) scatterplot for the 7.04 \( \mu \text{m} \) and the 1.98 \( \mu \text{m} \) spheres respectively. The results for the other matrix elements will be published elsewhere.

![Figure 3: The normalized experimental and theoretical \( \{s_{11}+s_{12}, s_{11}-s_{12}\} \) scatterplot for polystyrene spheres with a mean diameter of 7.04 \( \mu \text{m} \). The horizontal axes is the \( s_{11}+s_{12} \) signal in the sideward direction, the vertical axes is the \( s_{11}-s_{12} \) signal in the sideward direction. The inset shows the theoretical curve only, without scaling of the dot diameter. The arrow represents the starting point \( (d_i = d_{\text{mean}} - 4\sigma_d) \) and the loop direction, as \( d_i \) grows, of the theoretical curve.](image)
Figure 4: The normalized experimental and theoretical $(s_{11} + s_{12}, s_{11} - s_{12})$ scatterplot for polystyrene spheres with a mean diameter of 1.98 µm. The horizontal axes is the $s_{11} + s_{12}$ signal in the sideward direction, the vertical axes is the $s_{11} - s_{12}$ signal in the sideward direction.

4 DISCUSSION

Figure 3 shows a loop; theory and experiment agree very well. This demonstrates that the observed loops in the experimental plots are due to Lorenz-Mie scattering, and cannot be attributed to optical misalignments or other experimental errors. The occurrence of loops in the scatterplots however depends on the diameter of the spheres. Figure 4 shows a dense distribution of points, again in agreement with the theoretical results.

We have carried out additional experiments which all are in agreement with the calculations. We measured the scatterplots for a slightly larger wavelength of the incident light ($\lambda = 647$ nm). In that case one expects that the same experimental loops occur, but with a small shift of the distribution of the data points in the loop. We indeed observed this shift of the data points. Furthermore we were able to locate particles with larger and smaller forward scattered intensities in different parts of the loop of figure 3, as expected by theory. The origin of the loops in the scatterplots can be understood by examining the integrated matrix elements as a function of the diameter of the sphere. Figure 5 plots $s_{11}, s_{12}, s_{33},$ and $s_{34}$ as a function of the diameter of the sphere, for $d$ as in equation 4, and $d_{\text{mean}}$ is 7.04 µm. The matrix elements posses an extreme sensitivity on the diameter of the sphere. For 6.84 µm < $d$ < 7.24 µm, $s_{11}$ goes through three minima and maxima. The amplitude of the oscillations is approximately 20% of the mean value of $s_{11}$. The other integrated scattering matrix elements have the same properties, although the oscillations are not in phase with the $s_{11}$ oscillations. However, for spheres with 1.78 µm < $d$ < 2.18 µm the $s_{ij}$ elements increase monotonously with increasing $d$ (data not shown).

In the diameter range of figure 5 the integrated scattering functions are almost periodic. The $s_{11}$ strongly resembles a sine function. The other (quasi) periodic scattering matrix elements can be viewed as a Fourier series of sine and cosine functions. Fourier transformation of the data in figure 5 supports this view. For all four scattering matrix elements the absolute value of the Fourier transform peaks around the same ground frequency $\nu_0$, and around higher harmonics $k\nu_0$ (with $k$ an integer > 1). The amplitude of the third and higher harmonics are negligible compared to the amplitude of the ground frequency (data not shown).

When constructing the theoretical scatterplot, we actually draw a parametric plot, with the diameter of the sphere $d$ as the only parameter. The functions on the $x$ - and $y$-axes of the scatterplot are approximately combinations of sines and cosines of some ground frequency and higher harmonics. Therefore the scatterplots can be viewed as Lissajous plots. The oscillations in the integrated matrix elements, which are not in phase with each other, give rise to the Lissajous loops in the two parameter scatterplots. Note that in principle it is possible to measure more complicated scatterplots, with e.g. double loops (a ground frequency oscillation in one direction and a first harmonic oscillation in the other direction). Actually, in one instance we measured scatterplots with such double loops. We are still working on the interpretation of these experiments.

Measurement of polarized light scattering in FCM is by no means a routine procedure yet, nevertheless our results contain an important warning. It is common practice in FCM to measure a side scattering signal. Since the incident light is always linearly polarized (most lasers emit light which is linearly polarized,
perpendicular to the scattering plane), the intensity on
the side scattering detectors is always a combination of
$s_{11} + s_{12}$. Therefore a narrow monodisperse
distribution of spheres can produce bimodal histograms
in the side scattering channels (this can be seen in
figure 3 for the $s_{11} + s_{12}$ signal). Especially if spheres
are applied to calibrate the instrument, extra care should
be taken, and small spheres should be used to avoid the
above mentioned problems. Furthermore, interpretation
of rare events and hidden distributions in scatterplots
requires careful analysis in view of the above mentioned
effect. We are currently investigating to which extent
the Lissajous loops can be expected in scatterplots from
biological particles.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This report shows yet another face of Lorenz-Mie
scattering: unexpected, Lissajous-like loops in two
parameter scatterplots of spheres, as obtained by
FlowCytometry. By simulating the scatterplots, it was
shown that the experimental results are true Lorenz-Mie
scattering phenomena, and not due to experimental
errors. The occurrence of loops in the scatterplots is
dependent on the diameter of the spheres. It was shown that
oscillations in the integrated matrix elements, as a
function of the diameter of the sphere, form the basis
for the Lissajous loops.

A consequence of this behaviour is the origin of
bimodal histograms in the side scattering channels, due
to monodisperse samples. This will hamper the
interpretation of rare events and hidden distributions in
the scatterplots.
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