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Sustainable Separations of C$_4$-Hydrocarbons by Using Microporous Materials

Mascha Gehre,$^{[a]}$ Zhiyong Guo,$^{[a, b]}$ Gadi Rothenberg,$^{[a]}$ and Stefania Tanase$^{[a]}$
Petrochemical refineries must separate hydrocarbon mixtures on a large scale for the production of fuels and chemicals. Typically, these hydrocarbons are separated by distillation, which is extremely energy intensive. This high energy cost can be mitigated by developing materials that can enable efficient adsorptive separation. In this critical review, the principles of adsorptive separation are outlined, and then the case for \( C_4 \) separations by using zeolites and metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) is examined. By analyzing both experimental and theoretical studies, the challenges and opportunities in \( C_4 \) separation are outlined, with a focus on the separation mechanisms and structure–selectivity correlations. Zeolites are commonly used as adsorbents and, in some cases, can separate \( C_4 \) mixtures well. The pore sizes of eight-membered-ring zeolites, for example, are in the order of the kinetic diameters of \( C_4 \) isomers. Although zeolites have the advantage of a rigid and highly stable structure, this is often difficult to functionalize. MOFs are attractive candidates for hydrocarbon separation because their pores can be tailored to optimize the adsorbate–adsorbent interactions. MOF-5 and ZIF-7 show promising results in separating all \( C_4 \) isomers, but breakthrough experiments under industrial conditions are needed to confirm these results. Moreover, the flexibility of the MOF structures could hamper their application under industrial conditions. Adsorptive separation is a promising viable alternative and it is likely to play an increasingly important role in tomorrow’s refineries.

1. Introduction

Valorization of \( C_4 \) hydrocarbons (butane, 1-butene, 2-butene, isobutene, and 1,3-butadiene) is an important research topic because of the market size and versatility of these bulk chemicals.\(^{[2]}\) Compared with ethene and propene, the main challenge in \( C_4 \) compounds is their upgrading to high-value end products.\(^{[2]}\) Large amounts of \( C_4 \) hydrocarbons are coproduced with ethylene in steam cracking, as well as alongside gasoline in the fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) process.\(^{[3]}\) Moreover, there is increasing interest in valorizing the \( C_4 \) hydrocarbons that arise from coal liquefaction and biomass refining. This is especially important in China, where coal is the main carbon resource.\(^{[4,5]}\) Typical \( C_4 \) streams from FCC, steam cracking, and the methanol-to-olefins (MTO) processes contain mainly 1-butene, 2-butene, isobutene, and 1,3-butadiene (see Table 1). The actual ratios vary, due to different compositions of the raw materials.\(^{[2]}\)

Currently, only 1,3-butadiene, isobutene, and 1-butene are on the market as intermediates with standardized product purities.\(^{[2]}\) 1,3-Butadiene is mainly used as a monomer in the manufacture of synthetic rubbers and elastomers.\(^{[2]}\) It is also used as a monomer for styrene–butadiene (S/B) latex, acrylonitrile–butadiene–styrene (ABS) resins, and high-impact polystyrene (HIPS).\(^{[2]}\) Gaseous isobutene is another important petrochemical building block. About 15 million tons per year of isobutene are derived from oil and converted into fuels, plastics, and elastomers.\(^{[6]}\) The demand for \( n \)-butenes is also high because of the large markets for alkylate gasoline, detergent alcohols, synthetic lubricants, and plasticizers.\(^{[6]}\) For most of these applications, and especially for polymerization, the purity of the \( C_4 \) components is critical. Scheme 1 shows the valorization chain of \( C_4 \) hydrocarbons.

In large-scale chemical processes, separation and purification accounts for much of the costs (both capital expenditure (CapEx) and operating expenditure (OpEx)). This is mainly due to the high energy demand of these unit operations. In most of these processes, it is the separation units that incur most of the environmental burden in terms of \( CO_2 \) footprint and energy costs.

Unfortunately, the physical properties of \( C_4 \) isomers are similar (see Table 2). The boiling points of 1-butene (266.92 K) and isobutene (266.25 K) are practically identical. Separating such compounds by distillation is extremely costly.\(^{[2,7]}\) 1,3-Butadiene is usually separated by extractive distillation with acetone and \( N,N \)-dimethylformamide.\(^{[8]}\) Isobutene is removed under mild acid catalysis upon which it forms selectively MTBE or tert-butanol.\(^{[9]}\) The challenging step is the separation of 1-butene from the isomers of 2-butene. High-purity 1-butene is crucial in the production of linear low-density polyethylene (LLDP).\(^{[10]}\) Isobutene is usually absorbed by using molecular sieves.\(^{[11]}\) The isomers of 2-butene are not further separated because they react analogously in further processing by dehydrogenation, oligomerization, or alkylation.\(^{[11]}\) A possible separation could, however, lead to new applications, including the production of high-performance polymers.\(^{[4]}\) Hence, developing

---

**Table 1. Composition of \( C_4 \) streams obtained from FCC, MTO, and steam cracking.**\(^{[2,3]}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>FCC</th>
<th>MTO</th>
<th>Steam cracking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>isobutene</td>
<td>35–45</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n )-butene</td>
<td>7–42</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isobutene</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>18–32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-butene</td>
<td>9–12</td>
<td>20–26</td>
<td>14–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-butene</td>
<td>20–29.5</td>
<td>65–70</td>
<td>5–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>butadiene</td>
<td>0–0.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>35–50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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more sustainable processes for C\textsubscript{4} separation can create substantial value for these large markets.

Separation technologies based on adsorption by using microporous materials are alternative energy-efficient purification methods.\cite{12} Microporous materials can separate compounds by using their physical properties, such as kinetic diameter, polarizability, acid–base nature, coordinative properties, permanent dipole moment, and quadrupole moment.\cite{13} This can give advantages in terms of product recovery and purity, as well as energy costs.\cite{7, 14, 15} Herein, we look at the state of the art of C\textsubscript{4} separation by using microporous materials, with recommendations for possible industrial applications.

2. Zeolites and MOFs: Two Classes of Microporous Materials

High-surface-area microporous materials (materials with pore diameters $<$ 2 nm) are the subject of continued research.\cite{16} Much of this research focuses on zeolites\cite{7–18} and metal–organic frameworks (MOFs).\cite{7, 16, 20, 21} These two families of microporous materials are highly relevant for C\textsubscript{4} separations.

Zeolites are microporous aluminosilicates with a well-defined crystalline structure. All zeolites have rigid skeletons. They are highly porous and act as molecular sieves.\cite{22} Because their pore sizes are in the same order of magnitude as the sizes of small gas molecules, some zeolites are attractive for molecular separations.
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separation, for example, as packed beds or microporous membranes.\[^{22}\] Today, the term zeolite has broadened to include all microporous silica-based solids with crystalline walls. Consequently, it also includes materials in which some of the silicon ions are substituted by other elements.\[^{18}\] The silicon/aluminum ratios may vary to give hydrophilic and -phobic zeolite structures. This is because aluminum ions induce an overall negative charge in the zeolite framework, which is counterbalanced by nonframework cations. These, in turn, may interact with specific adsorbate molecules. The result is a higher selectivity of aluminum-rich zeolites towards certain molecules.\[^{23–25}\]

MOFs are a relatively new class of microporous materials.\[^{17}\] They are built from metal ions (or clusters of metal ions) linked by organic ligands.\[^{16}\] MOFs have an advantage over zeolites because chemical modification of the organic linkers can provide tailored materials for specific applications. For example, the length of the organic linker often defines the pore size of a given material.\[^{26}\] Currently, there are about 10000 experimentally known MOFs (versus < 300 zeolite types).\[^{16}\] The main disadvantage of MOFs is their low thermal stability (typically stable to 350–400 °C, rarely 500 °C). This rules out high-temperature processes, but MOFs can be used for gas storage, separation, and purification.\[^{27}\] Unlike zeolites, which are always rigid, MOFs can be flexible, responding dynamically to guest molecules or to external stimuli, such as pressure and temperature.\[^{13}\] With their exceptionally high porosity and relative simple self-assembly, MOFs are interesting for both fundamental studies and practical applications.\[^{12}\]

Rigid MOFs have permanent porosity and well-defined pores or channels, similar to zeolites.\[^{14, 16}\] This makes them good molecular sieves.\[^{28}\] Pore size is usually the dominating parameter in separating small molecules. The molecular kinetic diameter is a key factor for separation efficiency. For larger molecules, both the size and nature of the pores (hydrophilic/phobic, aliphatic/aromatic) are important.\[^{13}\] Flexible MOFs have an added advantage. For example, in gate-opening transitions, a non-porous material can become a no pen, microporous one.\[^{29}\] Similarly, “breathing” occurs when the pores expand (or contract) reversibly.\[^{30}\] In both cases, changing the framework structure will change the adsorption capacity.\[^{31}\] Combining this flexibility with a functional surface can increase the selec-

**Table 2. Physical properties of C\textsubscript{4} hydrocarbons.**\[^{14, 16, 43}\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>B.p. [K]</th>
<th>Kinetic diameter [Å]</th>
<th>Polarizability [10(^{-21}) cm(^2)]</th>
<th>Dipole moment [(\mu\text{D})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>butane</td>
<td>272.66</td>
<td>4.687</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isobutane</td>
<td>261.34</td>
<td>5.278</td>
<td>81.4–82.9</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-butene</td>
<td>266.92</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.359–0.438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-2-butene</td>
<td>276.87</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-2-butene</td>
<td>274.03</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>81.82</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-butadiene</td>
<td>268.62</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isobutene</td>
<td>266.25</td>
<td>4.840</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] 1 Debye = 10\(^{-18}\) esu cm.

**Scheme 1.** Tree view of the industrial applications of C\textsubscript{4} streams. Polymers and polymer applications are highlighted in orange; fuels and fuel additives are highlighted in green. LPG = liquefied petroleum gas; MTBE = methyl-tert-butyl ether; ETBE = ethyl-tert-butyl ether; BHT = butylated hydroxytoluene; BHA = butylated hydroxyanisole; SBR = styrene butadiene rubber.
tivity of gas separation processes. Lewis acidic MOFs have unsaturated metal centers that act as Lewis acid sites. Similar to aluminum-rich zeolites, these MOFs interact with certain sorbate molecules through specific interactions. This makes them attractive for separating molecules with coordinating active groups.

3. Adsorptive Separation

Adsorptive separation is a sustainable process that is widely used by the chemical industry. The process implies the separation of a molecular mixture based on differences in adsorption–desorption behavior of the distinct components in the mixture. In such a process, the mixture is first contacted with an adsorbent material under specific conditions to allow the selective removal of one or more components. For gas-phase separations, the regeneration of the adsorbent is usually achieved by changing the pressure or temperature of the system, such processes are known as pressure swing adsorption (PSA) or temperature swing adsorption (TSA), respectively. The main advantage of such processes is that they can be operated at low adsorbent loading because the selectivity between components in the gas phase is greatest in the Henry’s law region. For liquid-phase separations, a desorbent is required that displaces the adsorbed species preferentially from the adsorbent. Economic viability of both gas- and liquid-phase adsorptive separations requires adsorbent materials that facilitate high separation selectivity, high adsorption capacity, and short duration cycles.

Adsorptive separation by using porous materials is a combination of steric, equilibrium, and kinetic separations. The size of the adsorptive molecules limits the range of pore and/or window accessibility. Generally, a smaller pore results in stronger interaction with the adsorbent. However, if the pores are too narrow (relative to the size of the adsorbates), repulsive forces increase and the interaction weakens. Steric separation prevents certain components of a mixture from entering the pores. Such size/shape exclusion is common in zeolites and rigid MOFs. Here, both the cross-sectional size and shape of the adsorbate affect the selective adsorption. The former is known as the kinetic diameter or collision diameter; this is the intermolecular distance of the closest approach for molecules colliding with zero initial kinetic energy.

If the pores are large enough for all components of a mixture to pass, preferential adsorption can occur. This is known as the thermodynamic equilibrium effect. The strength of the interaction depends on the surface of the adsorbent and properties of the adsorbate. These are polarizability, magnetic susceptibility, acid–base nature, coordinative properties, permanent dipole moment, and quadrupole moment. Kinetic separation, also known as partial molecular sieving action, is an alternative when equilibrium separation is not feasible. Although the amounts of different components of a mixture adsorbed at equilibrium are similar, some components may diffuse faster than others. The different diffusing rates may be used to separate the components. For kinetic separation, the pore diameter of the adsorbent needs to be between the kinetic diameters of the two molecules to be separated.

The adsorption quantity of a component at a given temperature is measured by an adsorption isotherm. This isotherm relates the amount of substance adsorbed at equilibrium to the pressure of the adsorptive in the mixture phase. For flexible MOFs, the adsorption isotherms cannot be classified according to the IUPAC scheme because such MOFs can undergo structural changes when guest molecules enter. Consequently, the isotherms show distinct steps and hysteresis in the adsorption and desorption phases.

Adsorption is an exothermic process, whereas desorption is endothermic. Thus, the temperature changes within the adsorbent during adsorption/desorption. This temperature is a key variable in determining local adsorption equilibria and ultimately governs the separation performance of the material. The isothermic heat of adsorption determines the variation range of the temperature change that takes place during adsorption processes. High isosteric adsorption heats imply a strong interaction between guest molecules and the host. Therefore, the strength of the interaction needs to be optimized to reach high adsorption capacities.

Most model studies on mixture separations rely on single-component isotherms. Yet, in reality, pore blocking and cooperative effects between different components play a key role. Breakthrough curves of multicomponent mixtures give valuable information about the separation efficiency of a material towards a gas mixture. These curves are measured by flowing the mixture through a thermostated bed of adsorbent and monitoring the effluent (by GC or MS, for example). Ideally, the adsorbate to be removed should be strongly adsorbed and not be detected in the effluent until saturation. Once saturation is reached, the adsorbent is regenerated.

4. Separating C4 Hydrocarbons with Zeolites

The separation of C4 hydrocarbons is reported on several zeolite structures. The pore size of these materials range from approximately 2 nm down to the order of the kinetic diameters of the C4 isomers. We discuss the performance of various zeolite structures in terms of their pore size. First, we analyze the Faujasites (FAUs; which have the largest pores), then medium-pore-sized 10-membered-ring (10MR) MFI-type zeolites, and finally 8-membered-ring (8MR) zeolites.

FAU zeolites consist of sodalite cages interconnected in such a way that 15 Å diameter supercages are accessible through 7.4 Å diameter windows in a tetrahedral arrangement (Figure 1a). Their composition is NaAlSi(_x_1292–_x_)O_84 (0 ≤ x ≤ 96). These zeolites exist as high-silicate zeolite X or as high-aluminate zeolite Y. The former contains between 77 and 96 aluminum ions per unit cell, whereas the latter has < 77 aluminum ions per unit cell. Because aluminum ions induce the presence of negative charges in the framework (which are then counterbalanced by nonframework metal cations), the hydrophilicity of FAU zeolites increases as the silicon/aluminum ratio decreases.

We refer to zeolite X as M-X and to zeolite Y as M-Y, in which M denotes the nonframework metal cat-
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ions. Although the pores of FAU zeolites are much larger than the kinetic diameters of the C₄ isomers (see Table 2), they can still separate these isomers by using differences in the dipole moments or electric polarizabilities.

4.1. n-Butane

Harlfinger et al. studied the C₄ single-component adsorption isotherms and integral heats of adsorption on Na-X (see structure in Figure 1a).[38] This zeolite has a composition of Na₆₈Al₆₈Si₁₂₄O₂₆₀, with a Si/Al ratio of about 1.8. Figure 1b and c shows the isotherms at 303 K and the heat of adsorption of C₄ hydrocarbons. The adsorption order is cis-2-butene > 1-butene > trans-2-butene > butane.[38] This order reflects differences in dipole moments, electric polarizabilities, and molecular geometries. cis-2-Butene adsorbs preferentially owing to the arrangement of methyl groups to one side of the molecule and to the magnitude and direction of its dipole moment. 1-Butene has a weaker interaction with the zeolite than that of cis-2-butene because it has a terminal double bond. Indeed, trans-2-butene shows the weakest interaction because it has no dipole moment and its double bond is sterically hindered.[38] The difference between the isothermal behavior of the isomeric butenes and that of butane is related to the absence of a double bond in the latter.[37] The same study also determined that the adsorption order of cis-2-butene, 1-butene, trans-2-butene, and butane remained unchanged for alkali modifications of the zeolite. Harlfinger et al. also determined that, for alkali modifications of the zeolite, the adsorption order of cis-2-butene, 1-butene, trans-2-butene, and butane remained unchanged.[38] It was expected that exchanging Na⁺ with smaller (Li⁺) or larger (K⁺, Rb⁺, and Cs⁺) cations would result in a change in the electric field strength in the interior of the zeolite and, hence, in a change in heterogeneity of the zeolite surface. Because of the larger charge-to-radius ratio, greater interactions can be expected for Li⁺ than those for Na⁺. Accordingly, these interactions should become smaller for K⁺, Rb⁺, and Cs⁺. These studies demonstrated that cis-2-butene, irrespective of the type of cation involved and because of its specific geometry and dipole moment, had the most favorable arrangement in the large cavity of the zeolite, compared with both 1-butene and trans-2-butene.[38]

Lamia et al. determined the single-component adsorption isotherms of isobutane and 1-butene on Na-13X.[24, 39, 40] This zeolite has the composition Na₈₈Al₈₈Si₁₀₄O₃₈₄ and a lower Si/Al ratio than that of the structure reported by Harlfinger et al.[38] Figure 2 shows that the extracted saturation capacity for 1-butene is higher than that of isobutane on Na-13X. This is due to the kinetic diameter of 1-butene (4.83 Å), which is smaller than that of isobutane (5.28 Å). Although both molecules can access the supercages of the zeolite through the 7.4 Å diameter windows, the number of isobutane molecules per cage is lower. Figure 2 also shows the simulated equilibrium adsorption isotherms of isobutene and 1-butene in Na-13X, as determined by Granato et al.[23] We see that the determined set of Lennard–Jones parameters successfully reproduces the equilibrium adsorption properties of 1-butene and isobutene. In principle, the proposed extended force field can be used to predict the adsorption properties of mixtures of C₄ isomers on zeolite 13X.[24] The differences between the single-component adsorption isotherms of the C₄ isomers reported by Harlfinger et al.[38] and Lamia et al.[39] are small.
They cis ion content in Ag-Y on ion species in the framework, increasing 1-butene/ all of which can exposure. This is because [mol kg This was the first application of T cations are available in the zeolites with a higher The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim The results showed that exposure to H p2017 p Pa), adsorption of 1,3-butadiene and 1 -K ions. Therefore, a Cu-Y zeolite was used instead of Studies with zeolite Y were performed, in which Experimental Henry constants (Figure 4a). Single-compo- S, C D H 2 and H S exposure was studied. The results showed that exposure to H 2 S and H 2 had no effect on the 1,3-butadiene uptake. Furthermore, H 2 exposure had no effect on 1-butene uptake, whereas H 2 S exposure slightly decreased the 1-butene uptake on Cu-Y (because H 2 S adsorbs irreversibly on the framework). Notably, in all experiments, the 1,3-butadiene and 1-butene uptakes on Cu-Y were higher than that on Ag-Y because of the higher pore volume of Cu-Y. Although these results are promising, there are no reports of mixed-gas breakthrough experiments on Cu-Y. One mesoporous material that gives additional insight into copper-based adsorbents is Cu-Fe/MCM-41, which contains ferrous/cuprous (Fe2+/Cu+) ions. MCM-41 has separate channel pores with a diameter of 28 Å (Figure 4a). Single-component adsorption isotherms (Figure 4b) and breakthrough experiments (Figure 4c) both showed that Fe2+ ion species stabilized the Cu+ species in the framework, increasing 1-butene/butane separation. Indeed, Fe2+ species may prevent oxidation and reduction of Cu+ species during adsorbent preparation, the separation process, and in the presence of H2. In the Cu-Y framework, the Cu+/Cu2+ ratio was 0.5 and incorporating 100% of the Na+ nonframework cations were exchanged for transition-metal ions. These ions can participate in both π bonding to carbon and π complexation. Thanks to the π bonds, one may achieve high selectivity and high capacity for the separation of C4-butene isomers on transition-metal-modified zeolites. These bonds are weak enough to be broken by simply raising the temperature or decreasing the pressure. This was the first application of π-complexation adsorbents in the separation of C4 hydrocarbons, and showed that Ag-Y was more selective for 1-butene and 1,3-butadiene over butane (see Figure 3a, b). Studying the influence of the Ag+ ion content in Ag-Y on 1,3-butadiene and 1-butene adsorption shows that the adsorp- tion decreases when the Si/Al ratio increases. This is because fewer Ag+ cations are available in the zeolites with a higher Si content (Figure 3c). The adsorption of 1,3-butadiene on Ag2+/Na+ mixed ion-exchanged zeolites (AgNa-Y) was also studied. At 1 bar (=105 Pa), adsorption of 1,3-butadiene and 1-butene was still comparable to that of Ag-Y when 70% of the Ag+ ions were exchanged with Na+. The problem is that C4 streams contain traces of H2S, C2H3 and H2; all of which can poison Ag+ ions. Therefore, a Cu-Y zeolite was used instead of Ag-Y and its stability under H2 and H2S exposure was studied. The examples discussed above exclude the 1,3-butadiene isomer because in 1976 Priegnitz patented a process for separating 1,3-butadiene by selective adsorption on a zeolite X adsorbent containing sodium or potassium as nonframework ions. However, the adsorption capacity of the zeolite at low diene partial pressures cannot satisfy today’s purity require- ments. Studies with zeolite Y were performed, in which Tielens et al. showed that the adsorption capacity of Na-Y zeolites was even lower than that of Na-X zeolites. They measured the heats of adsorption of 1-butene, cis-2-butene, trans-2-butene, and isobutene on Na-Y with a Si/Al ratio of 3.8. Table 3 lists the Henry constants, adsorption enthalpies, and adsorption entropies of the isomers, as well as the adsorption enthalpies reported by Tielens et al. The Henry constants decrease in the order isobutene > cis-2-butene > 1-butene > trans-2-butene > butane. This trend was also reported by Harl- fingerprint et al., albeit with lower values due to using a zeolite with a lower aluminum content (and thus, fewer interaction sites).

Tielens et al. showed that the adsorption capacity of Na-Y zeolites was even lower than that of Na-X zeolites. They measured the heats of adsorption of 1-butene, cis-2-butene, trans-2-butene, and isobutene on Na-Y with a Si/Al ratio of 3.8. Table 3 lists the Henry constants, adsorption enthalpies, and adsorption entropies of the isomers, as well as the adsorption enthalpies reported by Tielens et al. The Henry constants decrease in the order isobutene > cis-2-butene > 1-butene > trans-2-butene > butane. This trend was also reported by Har- finger et al., albeit with lower values due to using a zeolite with a lower aluminum content (and thus, fewer interaction sites).

The examples discussed above exclude the 1,3-butadiene isomer because in 1976 Priegnitz patented a process for separating 1,3-butadiene by selective adsorption on a zeolite X adsorbent containing sodium or potassium as nonframework ions. However, the adsorption capacity of the zeolite at low diene partial pressures cannot satisfy today’s purity requirements. Studies with zeolite Y were performed, in which Tielens et al. showed that the adsorption capacity of Na-Y zeolites was even lower than that of Na-X zeolites. They measured the heats of adsorption of 1-butene, cis-2-butene, trans-2-butene, and isobutene on Na-Y with a Si/Al ratio of 3.8. Table 3 lists the Henry constants, adsorption enthalpies, and adsorption entropies of the isomers, as well as the adsorption enthalpies reported by Tielens et al. The Henry constants decrease in the order isobutene > cis-2-butene > 1-butene > trans-2-butene > butane. This trend was also reported by Harlinger et al., albeit with lower values due to using a zeolite with a lower aluminum content (and thus, fewer interaction sites).

The examples discussed above exclude the 1,3-butadiene isomer because in 1976 Priegnitz patented a process for separating 1,3-butadiene by selective adsorption on a zeolite X adsorbent containing sodium or potassium as nonframework ions. However, the adsorption capacity of the zeolite at low diene partial pressures cannot satisfy today’s purity requirements. Studies with zeolite Y were performed, in which

![Figure 2. Comparison between simulations performed by Granato et al. (open symbols) and experimental data of Lamia et al. (closed symbols) for 1-butene adsorption isotherms (a) and isobutane adsorption isotherms (b) on zeolite 13X. Reproduced with permission from The American Chemical Society.](image)

### Table 3. Experimental Henry constants (K), adsorption enthalpies, and adsorption entropies of the butene isomers on zeolite Y.\textsuperscript{[22]}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Experimental $K$ [mol kg$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$] (170 °C)</th>
<th>Experimental $\Delta H_f$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Experimental $\Delta S_f$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>Calculated $\Delta H_f$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isobutene</td>
<td>$1.41 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$-49.0 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>$-80.0$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-butene</td>
<td>$1.15 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$-48.9 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$-81.2$</td>
<td>$-60.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-2-butene</td>
<td>$1.26 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$-49.0 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$-80.9$</td>
<td>$-60.8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-2-butene</td>
<td>$1.01 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$-48.7 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$-81.9$</td>
<td>$-59.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-butene</td>
<td>$3.19 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-37.1 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$-67.3$</td>
<td>$-51.5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Results from Ref. [38].
Fe$^{2+}$ ions into the zeolite also improved the separation of 1-butene from butane.

The MFI-type zeolites silicate-1 and ZSM-5 are among the most studied and most widely used zeolites.\textsuperscript{[49]} Figure 5 shows a drawing of their zigzag channels along the $x$ direction that are intersected by straight channels along the $y$ direction. Both channels are defined by 10MRs. The straight channels are approximately elliptical in shape, with a 5.3 Å × 5.6 Å cross section, whereas the zigzag channels have a 5.1 Å × 5.5 Å cross section.\textsuperscript{[50]} Because the cross sections are in the order of the kinetic diameters of isobutane and isobutene, researchers aim to separate mixtures of these compounds.

Fernandez et al. studied an MFI membrane prepared from silicate-1.\textsuperscript{[51]} This framework is highly hydrophobic and stable up to 400 °C due to the high silicon/aluminum ratio.\textsuperscript{[52]} For
single-component loadings on the membrane at 363 K, the self-diffusion coefficient of butane ($D_{\text{butane}}$) is three orders of magnitude larger than that of isobutane ($D_{\text{isobutane}}$). In particular, at a loading of four molecules per unit cell, the values were $D_{\text{butane}} = 6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ and $D_{\text{isobutane}} = 2 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$. Matsufuji et al. and Vroon et al. reported similar values for single-gas permeances through MFI membranes. However, Fernandez et al. reported that in an equimolar mixture of butane/isobutane the diffusion coefficient of butane was two orders of magnitude lower than that in the single-component measurement, whereas isobutane diffused slightly faster.\(^{[51]}\) Configurational-bias Monte Carlo simulations showed that the butane molecules could be located either along the straight channels or in the zigzag channels of the membrane. Isobutane was located preferentially at the intersections of the straight and zigzag channels of the MFI membrane. Thus, the intersections provide more space for isobutane and probably serve as traffic junctions. In the equimolar mixture, the transport of butane along the straight channels in the $y$ direction is halted because isobutane blocks the intersections.

Caro and co-workers developed and patented a ZSM-5 membrane prepared from tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS) instead of silicate-1.\(^{[54]}\) It showed high fluxes for 1-butene but reduced selectivity for 1-butene over isobutene, only slightly compared with membranes prepared from other silica sources. This was attributed to the presence of ethanol in the synthesis batch (originating from TEOS hydrolysis). SEM studies on silicate-1-MFI membranes from synthesis batches with and without ethanol indicated that the crystal size of all MFI membranes was reduced with increasing alcohol concentration. Smaller crystals have larger intercrystalline grain boundaries, and additional narrow non-zeolite pores may form in the intercrystalline boundaries of the ZSM-5 membranes. These pores increase the 1-butene permeance in mixtures of 1-butene/isobutene gases.\(^{[55]}\)

Voß et al. reported permeation tests by using an undiluted equimolecular mixture of 1-butene/isobutene at 403 K and an MFI membrane prepared from TEOS.\(^{[56]}\) Their studies showed that the mixture separation factor decreased from 10 to 5 when the pressure difference, $\Delta p$, across the membrane increased from 1 to 20 bar. This significant $\Delta p$ is relevant to the practical operational pressure. The pressure of the equimolar undiluted feed was up to 21 bar and the permeate had a pressure of 1 bar. This drop in the separation factor impedes practical applications. The isobutene flux increases more steeply than that of 1-butene (Figure 6), which causes a loss of selectivity with increasing pressure. Consequently, the 1-butene to isobutene ratio in the permeate lessens with increasing $\Delta p$ and the selectivity for 1-butene decreases. Chmelik et al. ran similar tests on butane/isobutene separation over MFI membranes prepared from silicate-1, and reached similar conclusions.\(^{[57]}\)

All of these examples used MFI-type zeolites to separate butane from isobutane and 1-butene from isobutene. Apart from the adsorption equilibrium of pure butane and 1-butene, Wang et al. also studied the separation of their mixtures on ZSM-5 zeolites.\(^{[15]}\) Adsorption isotherms were measured for pure and binary mixtures of 1-butene and butane at 300 K and over a pressure range from $10^{-4}$ to 1 bar. The zeolites used were an all-silicon ZSM-5 and ZSM-5 with Si/Al ratios of 120:1, 50:1, and 20:1, respectively (ion exchange was achieved with ammonium nitrate, setting protons as the nonframework cations). All four ZSM-5 zeolites selectively adsorbed 1-butene over butane. Moreover, the selectivity for 1-butene increased at lower silicon/aluminum ratios. This can be explained by the...
presence of more available sites in zeolites with small silicon/aluminum ratios.\textsuperscript{[15]}

Most experiments for C\textsubscript{4} separation use 8MR zeolites. The pore sizes of these zeolites are smaller than those of the FAU- and MFI-type zeolites, and match more closely with the kinetic diameters of C\textsubscript{4} isomers. Here, we discuss C\textsubscript{4} isomer separation by using SAPO-17, DD3R, Si-(CHA), ITQ-32, and RUB-41 zeolites. With the exception of SAPO-17, these zeolites have high silicon/aluminum ratios and are therefore hydrophobic. SAPO-17 is made by substituting framework atoms (P, Al) in AlPO\textsubscript{4}-17 for silicon. This leads to the formation of one Brønsted center per unit cell.\textsuperscript{[58]} The 8MR channel system of SAPO-17 has elliptical pore apertures with sizes of 3.6 x 5.1 Å. Richter et al. performed single-component adsorption experiments (5% each C\textsubscript{4} isomer in H\textsubscript{2}) and showed that trans-2-butene was selectively adsorbed on SAPO-17 at low temperatures.\textsuperscript{[16]} In contrast, AlPO\textsubscript{4}-17 has nearly the same adsorption capacity for all three butene isomers. It was concluded that SAPO-17 was different because of the presence of silicon and, hence, the modification of lattice properties associated with the presence of Brønsted acid sites.\textsuperscript{[59]} Discriminating 1-butene and cis-2-butene by SAPO-17 is the result of differentiated electrostatic interactions between the negatively charged anion lattice and butenes with different polarity. trans-2-Butene has no permanent dipole moment, unlike cis-2-butene and 1-butene. The orientation of 1-butene and cis-2-butene dipoles towards the electrostatic field at the pore entrance is unfavorable for entering the pores, whereas trans-2-butene can enter the pore system easily.\textsuperscript{[19]}

Zhu et al.,\textsuperscript{[17]} Gücüyener et al.,\textsuperscript{[14]} and Jansen and co-workers\textsuperscript{[20]} ran single-component breakthrough experiments, multicomponent experiments, and performed molecular modeling studies with 1-butene, cis-2-butene, trans-2-butene, and 1,3-butadiene by using the hydrophilic decadodecasil 3R (DD3R) as adsorbent. The DD3R structure is stable at high temperatures and formed by three different types of cages. A unit cell of DD3R consists of six 10-hedron [435661] cages, nine 12-hedron [512] cages, and six 19-hedron [435126183] cages. The 2D pore topology of DD3R is constructed by linking the 10- and 12-hedron cages through common faces. This yields 19-hedron cages with a free volume of about 350 Å\textsuperscript{3}. The latter are the only cages that are accessible for guest molecules. Each [435126183] cage is interconnected to three neighboring [435126183] cages through 8MR oxygen rings with a cross-sectional diameter of about 4.5 Å.\textsuperscript{[17, 22]} The 8MRs are (at least in theory) wide enough for some C\textsubscript{4} isomers.\textsuperscript{[22]} Figure 7a shows the different cages and the framework of DD3R.\textsuperscript{[17]} The breakthrough measurements showed that, at temperatures between 303 and 373 K at 1 bar, DD3R is accessible to trans-2-butene and 1,3-butadiene. 1-Butene and cis-2-butene are excluded from the framework (see Figure 7b, c).\textsuperscript{[17, 18]} This was explained

![Figure 7](image-url)

**Figure 7.** a) Building units and framework of the DD3R. Only the [4\textsuperscript{3}5\textsuperscript{6}6\textsuperscript{18}3] cages are accessible to smaller molecules, such as the C\textsubscript{4} isomers.\textsuperscript{[20]} b) Normalized molar flows as a function of time for 1-butene, trans-2-butene, and cis-2-butene in a ternary mixture (1:1:1) at 1.2 bar and 303 and 373 K. c) Normalized molar flows as a function of time of a 1:1 binary mixture of 1,3-butadiene and 1-butene at 1.2 bar and 303 and 423 K.\textsuperscript{[17]} Parts (b) and (c) reproduced with permission from The American Chemical Society.
through the critical diameter of the adsorptive molecules. The critical diameters of trans-2-butene (4.31 Å) and 1,3-butadiene (4.31 Å) are slightly smaller than the free cross diameter of the 8MR and these molecules can enter into the cavities. However, the critical diameter of cis-2-butene (4.94 Å) is larger than the window size and the critical diameter of 1-butene (4.46 Å) is comparable to it. Molecular modeling studies support this theory. When the 8MRs are indeed the smallest passage, the energy barrier of diffusion is simply the difference between the energy of component i in the cage, $E_{i,cage}$, and in the ring, $E_{i,ring}$. The permeabilities of the C₄ isomers calculated from these barriers were in the order of $trans$-$1,3$-butadiene $> trans$-$2$-butene $> cis$-$1,3$-butadiene $> cis$-$2$-butene $> 1$-butene, which was consistent with the order reported by Zhu et al. and Guı˘cuyener et al.

Performing both breakthrough and single-component adsorption experiments, Casty et al. and Palomino et al. showed that all-silica 8MR zeolites Si-(CHA) and ITQ-32 had similar adsorption behavior to that of SAPO-17 and DDR3. Pure silica CHA and ITQ-32 adsorbed trans-2-butene quickly at temperatures of 273 and 298 K and pressures of 2 and 0.3 bar. These zeolites showed little or no adsorption for cis-2-butene and 1-butene, even after an hour of contact time. The Si-(CHA) consists of an 8MR channel system with window sizes of 3.50 Å×4.17 Å, whereas ITQ-32 consists of interconnected 8MR and 12MR channels. The 8MR channels have window sizes of 3.5 Å×4.5 Å, whereas the 12MR channels have a diameter of 6.3 Å. Similar adsorption behavior of Si-(CHA) and ITQ-32 indicates that in ITQ-32 the 8MR windows are the limiting factor for C₄ diffusion.

All of these zeolites prefer trans-2-butene over 1-butene and cis-2-butene, owing to its smaller kinetic diameter (4.31 vs. 4.94 Å). These studies, however, were performed in the gas phase. Liquid-phase adsorption studies were reported only for channels (4.0 Å×6.5 Å). Figure 8 shows the skeletal model of the RUB-41 structure, highlighting the projection along the 8MR channels. Wang et al. ran single-component adsorption isotherms of isobutane, 1-butene, and trans-2-butene on RUB-41 up to a pressure of about 0.8 bar. Their results were consistent with the previous results on other 8MR zeolites: trans-2-butene adsorbed preferentially to isobutane.

Tijsebaert et al. performed single-component adsorption isotherms on trans-2-butene, cis-2-butene, 1-butene, and isobutene in cyclohexane. The results indicated that trans-2-butene and cis-2-butene were much more strongly adsorbed than 1-butene or isobutene. RUB-41 zeolite prefers, therefore, both trans-2-butene and cis-2-butene over 1-butene. This contrasts the order observed for other 8MR zeolites. Because the adsorption isotherms for RUB-41 were recorded after sufficiently long times, it was concluded that the performance of RUB-41 for 2-butenes over 1-butene was due to thermodynamic rather than kinetic effects. It may be that the 2-butenes are more efficiently packed inside the pores than 1-butene, or that 1-butene might lose more of its conformational entropy in the pores. Breakthrough experiments with two binary butene mixtures were also performed. The first mixture consisted of cis-2-butene and 1-butene and the second mixture consisted of trans-2-butene and 1-butene. In both cases, 1-butene eluted first (Figure 9). These results confirm that the separation can be performed in the liquid phase using RUB-41.

5. Separating C₄ Hydrocarbons with MOFs

Compared with zeolites, fewer MOFs were studied for the separation of C₄ isomers. Pan et al. studied the adsorption properties of methanol, propane, propene, n-butene, 2-methylpro-
To demonstrate the separation power of the MOF-5-CSA column, additional C4 components were added to the natural gas samples. The results showed that even different butene isomers were easily baseline separated, despite their similar vapor pressures and small amounts. The minimal separation time for all of the components was < 4 min. The MOF-5-CSA column was operated over a period of five months, performing more than 300 chromatographic separations (in the range 40–50°C) without any discernable loss of separation power. Further studies are required to test whether the MOF-5 column maintains its selectivity when used for larger scale separations.

ZIF-7 belongs to the zeolite imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) group of compounds, a subfamily of MOFs named after the resemblance of the metal–imidazolate–metal bond angles with that of the Si–O–Si angles of zeolites. This flexible MOF is formed by Zn+2 metal-ion clusters linked through benzimidazole (BIM). It has six-membered-ring (6MR) pore openings with, in the optimized structure in vacuum, a diameter of 3 Å (see Figure 11 for details).

Gascon and co-workers measured single-component adsorption isotherms of butane, 1-butene, cis-2-butene, and trans-2-butene at 298, 338, and 373 K on ZIF-7 (see Figure 12). All isotherms showed the typical characteristics of a flexible host material, including distinct steps and hysteresis in the adsorption and desorption branches. At 298 K and 1 bar, the MOF showed a higher saturation adsorption capacity for trans-2-butene over butane and cis-2-butene over 1-butene. At 338 K, ZIF-7 showed 25% higher saturation adsorption capacity for trans-2-butene and cis-2-butene compared with that of 1-butene.

At a higher temperature, about 373 K, ZIF-7 showed a higher saturation adsorption capacity for cis-2-butene and butane over 1-butene and trans-2-butene. The main conclusion is that the separation of the C4 isomers on ZIF-7 is temperature dependent, which enables the separation of the isomers studied.
component isotherms of butane, isobutene, 1-butene, and iso-
butene on \([\text{Cu}_3(\mu_2-O)(\mu_2-\text{OH})_2(\text{Me}_2\text{trz-pba})_6]\). The measurements were performed between 283 and 343 K at pressures
up to 3 bar. By comparing the sorption isotherms of the stud-
ied \(\text{Cu}_3\) hydrocarbons, the first gate opening is observed at
lower pressures\([31]\). At higher pressures, only 1-butene shows
an additional sharp increase in loading. This indicates complete
 crystal-to-crystal transformation, whereas the adsorption of iso-
butene, isobutene, and \(n\)-butane only leads to a partial trans-
formation.\([31]\) However, the selectivity for 1-butene is poor.

\(\text{Cu}_3(\text{BTC})_2\) \((\text{BTC} = 1,3,5\)-benzene-tricarboxylate\), also known
as HKUST-1, is a Lewis acid MOF that has been studied exten-
sively\([69, 70]\). The main structural feature of \(\text{Cu}_3(\text{BTC})_2\) is a \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\)
dimer with a \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\)–\(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) distance of 2.63 Å. Twelve carboxylate
oxygen atoms from the two BTC ligands bind to the four coor-
dination sites of each of the three \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) ions. In addition to the
carboxylate ligands, one water molecule points towards the
center of the pore and is coordinated to the copper center.
When the coordinated water molecules are removed in
vacuum, accessible \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) centers are created that can act as
Lewis acid sites. These paddle wheel units form a face-
centered crystal lattice that possesses a three-dimensional
channel system with a bimodal pore size distribution.\([71]\) The
larger pores are hydrophilic and have a diameter of about 9 Å,
which define the 12 paddle wheel subunits that form a cubic-
tahedron. A smaller pore system of tetrahedron-shaped side
pockets, with a diameter of about 5 Å, are formed by four ben-
zene rings (Figure 14).

The latter system is accessible from the large pores through
windows with a diameter of about 3.5 Å.\([20]\)

Hartman et al. measured single-component adsorption iso-
therms of isobutene and isobutane at different temperatures
with \(\text{Cu}_3(\text{BTC})_2\) as an adsorbant.\([20]\) Figure 15a shows the high-
resolution isobutene and isobutane adsorption isotherms at
303 K. Figure 15b displays the breakthrough curves for the
separation at the same temperature. Initially, isobutene and
isobutene are completely removed from the feed stream. After
about 90 min on stream, first isobutene breaks through and
the partial pressure at the adsorber outlet rises to \(p_{\text{butene}}/p_{\text{isobutene}} = 1.6\). After about 140 min on stream, isobutene breaks
through and the adsorber inlet concentration is reached after
about 150 min. This behavior is explained in terms of over-
shooting through the partial displacement of isobutane by iso-
butene from the adsorption sites in \(\text{Cu}_3(\text{BTC})_2\). The adsorption

Nair and co-workers used two types of linkers to fine-tune
the pore size, hydrophilicity, and organophilicity of ZIFs.\([69]\)
They demonstrated this through adsorption and diffusion
measurements of hydrocarbons, alcohols, and water by using
mixed-linker ZIF-8-x \((0 < x < 1)\) materials with a large range of crys-
tal sizes. Varying the mixed-linker composition parameter \(x\)
allows continuous control of \(n\)-butane, isobutane, butanol, and
isobutanol diffusivities over two to three orders of magnitude.
It also allows control of water and alcohol adsorption, especial-
ly at low activities.

Another type of flexible MOF is \(\text{Cu}_3(\mu_2-O)(\mu_2-\text{OH})_2(\text{Me}_2\text{trz}-
pba)_6\) \((\text{Me}_2\text{trz-pba} = 4-(3,5\text{-dimethyl-4H-1,2,4-triazol-4-yl})\text{benzo}-
ate})\]. Its crystal structure has a three-dimensional pore topol-
ygy with two different windows and an estimated porosity of
57%. The porous structure contains windows of 4.5 Å \(\times\) 5.5 Å
(Figure 13a) along \(a\) and \(b\) axes and 3.5 Å \(\times\) 8.5 Å pores along
the \(c\) axis (see Figure 13b). Lange et al. measured the single-

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure12.png}
\caption{The adsorption isotherms of butane (blue), 1-butene (black), cis-
2-butene (red), and trans-2-butene (green) on ZIF-7 at 298 (a), 338 (b), and
373 K (c). Closed symbols denote adsorption and open symbols denote de-
sorption.\([30]\)
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure13.png}
\caption{Space-filling projections of \([\text{Cu}_3(\mu_2-O)(\mu_2-\text{OH})_2(\text{Me}_2\text{trz-pba})_6]\) with
3.5 Å \(\times\) 8.5 Å windows (a) and 4.5 Å \(\times\) 5.5 Å (b) windows in the \(c\) direction.
\end{figure}
enthalpy of isobutene is only 5 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) higher than that of isobutane.\(^{[20]}\) This suggests that there are no strong \(\pi\) interactions between isobutene and the copper site. Instead, van der Waals interactions dominate.\(^{[21]}\)

Alaerts et al. studied the separation of binary equimolar mixtures of cis-butene and 1-butene, cis-butene and trans-butene, and 1-butene and trans-butene on Cu\(_3\)(BTC)\(_2\) in liquid hexane.\(^{[21]}\) The separation factors for these mixtures are 1.8, 1.9, and 2.4, respectively. These values indicate the preference of Cu\(_3\)(BTC)\(_2\) for cis-2-butene over 1-butene and trans-2-butene. Total uptakes varied from 12 to 21 wt\%. The high preference of Cu\(_3\)(BTC)\(_2\) for butenes in hexane reflects strong competition from the aliphatic solvent, with adsorption driven by \(\pi\) interactions with the Lewis acid sites. This is remarkable when compared with the findings of Hartmann et al.\(^{[20]}\) who found that the gas-phase separation of isobutane from isobutene was driven by van der Waals interactions. Notably, Alaerts et al. ran their experiments in the liquid phase, and therefore, at a higher pressure.\(^{[21]}\)

M-MOF-74, also known as M-CPO-27 or M\(_2\)(dobdc) (M = transition-metal ion; dobdc = 2,5-dioxido-1,4-benzene dicarboxylate) also has coordinatively unsaturated metal sites.\(^{[73, 74]}\) Fe-MOF-74 has a honeycomb structure with open metal sites towards large pores of about 15 Å in diameter (Figure 16).\(^{[75]}\) All Fe\(^{2+}\) ions within this structure are coordinatively unsaturated, and the distance between them varies from 7 to 8 Å in both lateral and vertical directions. Such a large pore volume could accommodate relatively large molecules, such as C\(_4\) alkenes.

Kim et al. reported a DFT study showing that the Fe-MOF-74 structure was a promising candidate for 1-butene separation.\(^{[76]}\) Binding energy calculations showed that 1-butene bound preferentially over isobutene, cis-2-butene, and trans-2-butene.\(^{[76]}\) Particularly, 1-butene had a 13–24 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) higher binding energy than those of the other isomers, which indicated that selective adsorption of 1-butene on the MOF should be feasible. Experimental proof is needed because blocking or cooperative effects of other components in a mixture could

---

**Figure 14.** Pore window structure of [Cu\(_3\)(BTC)\(_2\)] (red = O; cyan = Cu; white = H; green = free Lewis acid site on Cu atom). a) Front view of a pore window (distances measured between the different ligations sites in the window are indicated in purple, and b) side view of a pore window.

**Figure 15.** a) Comparison of single-component isobutene and isobutane isotherms at 303 K on Cu\(_3\)(BTC)\(_2\). b) Breakthrough curves for the separation of a mixture of isobutene/isobutene over Cu\(_3\)(BTC)\(_2\) at 303 K.\(^{[20]}\) Reproduced with permission from The American Chemical Society.

**Figure 16.** Left: Local structure of Fe-MOF-74; right: magnified view of the 1D chain.\(^{[75]}\)
lead to different results. Theoretical calculations indicated that 1-butene could approach the metal binding sites more closely than the other butene isomers, enabling stronger bonding and $\pi$-back-bonding interactions between 1-butene and MOF-74. Potential $\pi$ complexation is significantly hindered sterically for 2-butenes and the adsorption depends largely on van der Waals interactions.

6. Summary and Outlook

The number of studies published on C$_4$ separation by using microporous materials is relatively small. Most of these studies focus on single-component gas adsorption experiments. These experiments alone are insufficient for assessing the separation of mixtures of C$_4$ isomers because blocking and cooperative effects in mixtures may lead to different behavior. Most of the experiments were run in the gas phase, at pressures up to about 1 bar, whereas industry usually uses higher pressures to minimize process costs. Ideally, separation should be studied in the liquid phase. There is a clear need for developing laboratory equipment that will enable in operando adsorption separation studies.

Regarding zeolites, an industrial C$_4$ separation is unlikely with larger pore FAU zeolites and MFI membranes. In the Na-FAU frameworks, all isomers can enter the large cages and specific interactions between the Na$^+$ cations and the frameworks are too weak to effectively separate the isomers. The separation process is mainly related to differences in the dipole moments and electrical polarizabilities of the C$_4$ components. A low silicon/aluminum ratio provides more interaction sites and 1-butene and 2-butene are adsorbed preferentially. The adsorption of these molecules decreases considerably for zeolites with a high silicon/aluminum ratio.

MFI-type materials have medium size pores and are hydrophobic due to the high silicon/aluminum ratio. They were studied for butane and isobutane separation, which is a diffusion-controlled process. However, the selectivity of MFI-type materials is still too low at practically relevant pressures. This is because the tunnel intersections of MFI membranes are blocked by the larger iso isomer, which halts linear isomers. Conversely, separation with 8MR zeolites may be feasible because their pore sizes are in the order of the kinetic diameters of the isomers. These zeolites (except for RUB-41) adsorb trans-2-butene preferably over 1-butene and cis-2-butene, in accordance with the critical diameter values. Additionally, RUB-41 can separate 1-butene from the 2-butene isomers due to thermodynamic effects. That said, the selectivities of the 8MR zeolites are still too low to meet high-purity olefin demands, even after many adsorption/desorption cycles.

MOFs are attracting increasing attention because their flexibility and unsaturated metal centers might provide increased selectivity for gas separation. Indeed, a column coated with MOF-5 showed remarkable performance by baseline separating all butene isomers. The results reported for the flexible ZIF-7 framework are also promising. The framework shows temperature-dependent preferences for different C$_4$ isomers. However, only single-component isotherms over a low-pressure range were reported. Breakthrough experiments at higher practically relevant pressures are needed because slight changes in external stimuli can cause considerable changes in the framework and degree of flexibility. Moreover, the flexibility of MOFs could be problematic in an industrial application because the framework has to face permanent stress through heating, outgassing, and cooling during adsorption. Concerning Lewis acidic MOFs, the liquid-phase separation in aliphatic solvents is an attractive option because in the presence of an aliphatic solvent butenes are preferably adsorbed.

An attractive, but much less explored, method for C$_4$ separations is separation through $\pi$ complexation. The advantage of this method arises from strong interactions being established between adsorbent and adsorbate, which are stronger than those involving only van der Waals interactions. Such strong interactions allow higher selectivity and adsorption capacity to be achieved. Importantly, the bonds formed by $\pi$ complexation are still weak enough to be broken by changing the parameters of a separation process, for example, pressure or temperature. Therefore, separation by $\pi$ complexation could be a simple and efficient process, particularly by PSA or TSA. Indeed, some studies were reported on Ag$^+$- or Cu$^+$-modified zeolites. So far, the most promising results were obtained for Cu-Y frameworks, but one must bear in mind that this better performance comes at a price. One ton of sodium costs about $2000, whereas a ton of copper costs about $6000 (2015 prices). The use of copper instead of sodium would increase the adsorbent costs. Nevertheless, this might be compensated for by the fact that separations by PSA, especially in the gas phase, are relatively simple and highly efficient in terms of product purity and recovery.

This review illustrates that most studies on C$_4$ separations have mainly focused on zeolites, whereas the potential of MOFs is largely unexplored. We believe that MOFs, with their unique tailored functionalities, hold the key to sustainable C$_4$ separation processes in the coming decade. We foresee that separation by $\pi$ complexation with MOFs will offer advantages for adsorptive separations due to the possibility of tuning the interactions established between adsorbent and adsorbate. Furthermore, experimental studies should also focus on both low- and high-pressure separations. Low-pressure separations are enthalpy driven, but at higher loadings the separations become entropic in nature, when molecules are highly structural ordered. Consequently, entropy-driven separations would allow for separations by using high loading, and hence, increase efficiency.
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