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**Supplementary Figure 1.** Transient anisotropy of the OD-stretch mode (2508 cm$^{-1}$) of HDO water in different cytosol mimics: with and without protein, and at two pH values. The curves are least-squares fits to single-exponential decays with a residual offset (see Supplementary Table 2 for the fit parameters).

**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES**

**Supplementary Table 1.** Compositions of cytosol mimic solutions with different pH values. Of both solutions two versions were made: one with 30% BSA protein and one without.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>5.0</th>
<th>7.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_3$PO$_4$ / HPO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>2.5 mM</td>
<td>2.5 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glutamate</td>
<td>250 mM</td>
<td>250 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>0.5 mM</td>
<td>0.5 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$</td>
<td>2 mM</td>
<td>2 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$^+$</td>
<td>20 mM</td>
<td>20 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$^+$</td>
<td>272 mM</td>
<td>339 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplementary Table 2.** Results of the single-exponential least-squares fits to the vibrational anisotropy. The error bars are 2σ. Rates $1/\tau_{\text{IR}}$ and residuals $R_{\text{residual}}$ were obtained from fits to the presented in article Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 1, with a fit function $A e^{-t/\tau_{\text{IR}}} + R_{\text{residual}}$, and with all fits starting at $t = 0.8$ ps to avoid contributions from the ND stretch mode, see Supplementary Discussion 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$1/\tau_{\text{IR}}$ (ps$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$R_{\text{residual}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>neat water</td>
<td>0.46±0.01</td>
<td>0 (not fitted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>0.54±0.04</td>
<td>0.053±0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yeast</td>
<td>0.55±0.04</td>
<td>0.051±0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spores</td>
<td>0.71±0.09</td>
<td>0.085±0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytosol mimic, with protein, pH = 7</td>
<td>0.59±0.03</td>
<td>0.055±0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytosol mimic, no protein, pH = 7</td>
<td>0.51±0.02</td>
<td>0.018±0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytosol mimic, with protein, pH = 5</td>
<td>0.62±0.1</td>
<td>0.051±0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cytosol mimic, no protein, pH = 5</td>
<td>0.51±0.03</td>
<td>0.018±0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplementary Table 3.** Fit parameters obtained from fitting eq S1 in the Supplementary Discussion 2 to the experimental spectra of three organisms and cytosol mimic (pH 7, with protein): relaxation strengths, $S_j$, relaxation times, $\tau_j$, and Cole-Cole parameters, $\alpha_j$, for the $\delta$-relaxation ($j = \delta$) and water ($j = \text{water}$), together with the conductivity of the samples, $\kappa$. Errors correspond to the standard deviation within at least 3 independent experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\varepsilon_1$</th>
<th>$\tau_\delta$/ps</th>
<th>$\alpha_\delta$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_2$</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{water}}$/ps</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\text{water}}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\infty}$</th>
<th>$\kappa$/Sm$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>E. Coli</em></td>
<td>52.2±0.8</td>
<td>104±14</td>
<td>0.00±0.01</td>
<td>50.3±0.7</td>
<td>8.4±0.2</td>
<td>0.05±0.02</td>
<td>5.3±0.4</td>
<td>0.52±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spores</td>
<td>40.6±5.7</td>
<td>2000±1000</td>
<td>0.01±0.01</td>
<td>37.6±0.3</td>
<td>8.9±0.1</td>
<td>0.05±0.01</td>
<td>5.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.05±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yeast</td>
<td>62.4±0.6</td>
<td>109±2</td>
<td>0.07±0.06</td>
<td>52.3±1.3</td>
<td>9.1±0.2</td>
<td>0.04±0.01</td>
<td>5.5±0.2</td>
<td>0.79±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyt. mimic</td>
<td>64.0±0.9</td>
<td>97±3</td>
<td>0.14±0.04</td>
<td>49.1±0.5</td>
<td>8.9±0.2</td>
<td>0.03±0.01</td>
<td>5.6±0.2</td>
<td>1.51±0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUPPLEMENTARY DISCUSSIONS

Supplementary Discussion 1. Contribution of ND and non-water-OD groups to the infrared absorbance and anisotropy

In the cells, the infrared absorption and anisotropy at the OD-stretch frequency (~2500 cm^{-1}) both originate predominantly from the OD-stretch mode of the water (HDO) molecules. To estimate the maximum contribution due to ND and non-water-OD groups (formed by H/D exchange with HDO) to the absorption and anisotropy, we first determine the intracellular concentration of NH and non-water-OH groups based on the known constitution of the spores, E. coli, and yeast, as detailed below. In these listings the contributions of NH groups are underlined.

**E. coli**

Total mass cell: m(cellTot) = 9.57*10^{-13} g

Water content: m(H2O) = 0.7*m(cellTot) = 6.7*10^{-13} g

Dry weight: m(dry) = 0.3*m(cellTot) = 2.87*10^{-13} g

Protein: 55% of dry weight

m(prot) = 156*10^{-15} g → n(NH,backbone) = n(AA) = 1.42*10^{-15} mol

(average mass amino acid = 110 g/mol)

Amino-acid side groups (based on known amino-acid composition of the cell):

Ser: 5.8*10^{-17} * 1 mol

Arg: 8.0*10^{-17} * 5 mol

Hist: 2.5*10^{-17} * 1

Thr: 6.9*10^{-17} * 1

Asn: 6.5*10^{-17} * 2

Gln: 7.1*10^{-17} * 2

Tyr: 3.7*10^{-17} * 1

----------------------------- +

n(OH) = 1.6*10^{-16} mol

n(NH) = 6.9*10^{-16} mol
DNA:
\[ n(\text{NH}) \text{ per nucleotide: G}=3, C=2, T=1, A=2 \text{ on average } 2 \]
\[ n(\text{bases}) = 4.6*10(6) \text{ bp} = 9.2*10(6) \text{ bases/nuc } \rightarrow \]
\[ n(\text{NH}) = 9.2*10(6)*2 = 18.4*10(6) = 3.0*10(-17) \text{ mol} \]

RNA: 20.7% dry weight
\[ \text{Avg } m(\text{nucleotide}) = 324.3 \text{ g/mol} \]
\[ m(\text{RNA}) = 0.207*2.87*10(-13) = 58*10(-15) \text{ g } \rightarrow n(\text{nuc})=1.79*10(-16) \]
\[ n(\text{NH}) = 2*1.79*10(-16)= 3.6*10(-16) \text{ mol} \]

Liposaccharides
\[ n/\text{cell} = 1430000 \text{ molecules } \rightarrow n(\text{OH})=39*1430000=55770000=9.3*10(-17) \text{ mol} \]

Peptidoglycan
\[ n/\text{cell} = 904 \text{ monomers } \rightarrow n(\text{OH}) = 904*5 = 4520 = \text{negligible} \]

Polyamines
- Putrescine: \[ n/\text{cell} = 5600000 \text{ molecules } \rightarrow n(\text{NH}) = 2*5600000 = 1.85*10(-17) \text{ mol} \]
- Spermidine: \[ n/\text{cell} = 1100000 \text{ molecules } \rightarrow n(\text{NH}) = 3*1100000 = 0.55*10(-17) \text{ mol} \]

Water OH groups: \[ n(\text{OH}) = 2*(6.7*10(-13)/18) = 7.4*10(-14) \text{ mol} \]
Total number of NH and OH groups =
\[ (7.4+0.142+0.016+0.069+0.003+0.036+0.0093+0.00185+0.00055)*10(-14) = 7.67*10(-14) \text{ mol} \]

Yeast
Total mass cell: \[ m(\text{cellTot}) = 60*10(-12) \text{ g} \]
Water content: \[ m(\text{H2O}) = 0.65*m(\text{cellTot}) = 39*10(-12) \text{ g} \]
Dry weight: \[ m(\text{dry}) = 0.35*m(\text{cellTot}) = 21*10(-12) \text{ g} \]

Protein: 39.6% dry weight
\[ n(\text{NH,backbone}) = n(\text{AA}) = 21*10(-12)*0.396/110 = 7.56*10(-14) \text{ mol} \]

Amino-acid side groups (based on known amino-acid composition of the cell; in Ref. 2 used for this table only the total fractions of Glu+Gln and of Asp+Asn are given. To obtain the separate
contributions, we used the abundances given in Table I of Supplementary Reference 6):

Ser: $5.3\% \rightarrow 0.4 \times 10^{-14}$ mol

Arg: $3.8\% \rightarrow 1.4 \times 10^{-14}$ mol

Hist: $1.9\% \rightarrow 0.14 \times 10^{-14}$ mol

Thr: $5.5\% \rightarrow 0.41 \times 10^{-14}$ mol

Tyr: $1.9\% \rightarrow 0.14 \times 10^{-14}$ mol

Asn: $2.3\% \rightarrow 0.17 \times 10^{-14}$ mol

Gln: $3.9\% \rightarrow 0.29 \times 10^{-14}$ mol

$\cdots$

$\hat{\text{n(OH)}} = 0.95 \times 10^{-14}$ mol

$\hat{\text{n(NH)}} = 2.0 \times 10^{-14}$ mol

RNA: 9% dry weight

$m = 1.89 \times 10^{-12}$ \(\rightarrow\) \(\hat{\text{n(NH)}} = 1.89 \times 10^{-12}/324.3 \times 2 = 1.31 \times 10^{-14}\) mol

Cell wall: 24.5% dry weight

$m = 5.1 \times 10^{-12}$ \(\rightarrow\) \(\hat{\text{n(OH)}} = 5.1 \times 10^{-12}/(0.1 \times 627 + 0.9 \times 180) = 2.3 \times 10^{-14}\) mol

DNA: $1 \times 10^{-16}$ mol (negligible)

Water OH groups: \(n(\text{OH}) = 39 \times 10^{-12} \times 2/18 = 4.33 \times 10^{-12}\) mol

Total number of NH and OH groups = $(4.33 + 0.0756 + 0.0095 + 0.02 + 0.0131 + 0.023) \times 10^{-12} = 4.47 \times 10^{-12}$ mol

**Spores**

Total mass cell: $m(\text{cellTot}) = 7.02 \times 10^{-13}$ g

Water content: $m(\text{H2O}) = 0.4 \times m(\text{cellTot}) = 2.81 \times 10^{-13}$ g

Dry weight: $m(\text{dry}) = 0.6 \times m(\text{cellTot}) = 4.21 \times 10^{-13}$ g

Protein: 76% dry weight

$m(\text{prot}) = 0.76 \times 4.21 \times 10^{-13} \Rightarrow$

$n(\text{AA}) = 3.20 \times 10^{-13}/110 = 2.90 \times 10^{-15}$ mol \(\rightarrow\) \(n(\text{NHbackbone}) = 2.90 \times 10^{-15}\) mol
Amino-acid side groups (based on known amino-acid composition of the cell; in Ref. 1 used for this table only the total fractions of Glu+Gln and of Asp+Asn are given. To obtain the separate contributions, we used the abundances given in Table I of Supplementary Reference 6):

Ser: 4% \(\rightarrow\) 1.60\(^{-16}\) mol

Arg: 3% \(\rightarrow\) 5\(^{-16}\) mol

Hist: 3% \(\rightarrow\) 0.815\(^{-16}\) mol

Thr: 5% \(\rightarrow\) 1.77\(^{-16}\) mol

Asn: 0.7% \(\rightarrow\) 0.20\(^{-16}\) mol

Gln: 1.3% \(\rightarrow\) 0.37\(^{-16}\) mol

Tyr: 6% \(\rightarrow\) 1.74\(^{-16}\) mol

-------------------------- +

Total: 10.14\(^{-16}\) mol

n(OH) = 5.11\(^{-16}\) mol

n(NH) = 5.03\(^{-16}\) mol

Carbohydrate: 4%

n(monomers) = 0.04\(^{-13}\)\(\times\)4.21\(^{-13}\)//504=3.3\(^{-17}\) \(\rightarrow\)

n(OH) = 3\(^{-17}\) = 9.9\(^{-17}\)

DNA: n(NH) =1.1\(^{-19}\) = negligible

RNA: n(NH) = 1.498\(^{-18}\) = negligible

Water OH groups: n(OH) = 2.81\(^{-13}\)\(\times\)2/18 = 3.12\(^{-14}\) mol

Total number of NH and OH groups =

(3.12+0.29+0.1014+0.0099)\(^{-14}\) = 3.52\(^{-14}\) mol

From these numbers we conclude that the total fraction of ND and non-water-OD groups is 3.5% E. coli, 3.1% in yeast, and 11% in the bacterial spores; in all three cases most of this is ND. The remainder of the deuterons is present as HDO.

**IR absorbance at 2500 cm\(^{-1}\).** The extinction coefficient of the protein-backbone ND-stretch mode (the dominant contribution to the non-HDO absorption) at 2500 cm\(^{-1}\) is smaller than
that of the OD-stretch mode of HDO (as we determined by comparing the IR spectra of dilute HDO:H₂O and NMA-d:NMA solutions of known composition). Hence, the contribution of ND and non-water-OD groups to the absorption at 2500 cm⁻¹ is somewhat smaller than their molar fractions given above, so we can conclude that in the spores ~90% of the absorption is due to water, and in E. coli and yeast ~97%.

**IR anisotropy at 2500 cm⁻¹.** The contribution of the ND- and non-water-OD groups to the vibrational anisotropy will be approximately proportional to their abundances (for the ND groups slightly lower than their abundance, because of the smaller extinction coefficient of these groups at 2500 cm⁻¹; this effect enters quadratically in the anisotropy, which is measured in a nonlinear pump-probe experiment). In all samples this contribution is small (<4%) except for the bacterial spores, mostly due to ND-groups in the protein backbone, which constitute 8% of the total amount of OD/ND groups present in the spores. However, the ND-stretch mode of an amide group has a much shorter excited-state lifetime ($T_1 = 0.58$ ps for the amide A mode, ~0.7 ps for NH₂ groups)⁷,⁸ than the OD-stretch mode of HDO ($T_1 = 1.8$ ps),⁹ so that the contribution of the ND-groups decreases rapidly with increasing pump-probe delay. In particular, it can be shown that in the case of several molecular species contributing to the anisotropy, the total delay-dependent anisotropy $R(t)$ can be written as (see the Supporting Information of Supplementary Reference 10):

$$R(t) = \sum_i c_i(t)R_i(t),$$

where $i$ runs over all species present, each with their own anisotropy decay function $R_i(t)$, and where

$$c_i(t) = p_i e^{-t/T_{1,i}} / \sum_i p_i e^{-t/T_{1,i}},$$

with $p_i$ and $T_{1,i}$ the abundance and excited-state lifetime of each species, and where we assume for simplicity that the extinction coefficients of all species are the same (taking into account the lower ND-stretch extinction coefficient will render the ND contribution even smaller). Using these equations it is easily shown that the contribution of the amide ND groups decreases from 8% at $t = 0$ to ~0.2% at $t = 3$ ps and even less for longer delay times, rendering this contribution negli-
gable for sufficiently long delay time. For this reason, we start our least-squares fits to the anisotropy decays at \( t = 0.8 \) ps.

**Supplementary Discussion 2. Dielectric-relaxation spectroscopy**

We measured complex permittivity spectra as a function of field frequency, \( \nu \), for the three organisms and the cytosol mimic at \( 0.76 \leq \nu / \text{GHz} \leq 70 \). Complex permittivity spectra, \( \varepsilon(\nu) = \varepsilon'(\nu) - i\varepsilon''(\nu) \) were measured using a frequency domain reflectometer based on an Anritsu Vector Star MS4647A vector network analyzer with an open ended coaxial probe based on 1.85 nm coaxial connectors.\(^{11-13}\) To calibrate for instrumental errors in directivity, source match, and frequency response\(^{12}\) we used air, water\(^ {14}\) and conductive silver paint (short) as references. All measurements were performed at 23±1°C. For measurements of the organisms, small amounts of the samples were incrementally applied to the coaxial probe. At a total volume of \( \sim 0.1 \) mL the scattering parameter (recorded by vector network analyzer) plateaued upon addition of additional sample volume and these data were used for further analysis. Dielectric permittivity spectra were then calculated from the recorded scattering parameters using the model for the complex admittance reported by Blackham.\(^ {11,12}\)

To extract the contributions due to the collective relaxation of water centered at \( \sim 20\)GHz and the lower frequency relaxation due to interfacial polarizations, we fit a combination of two Cole-Cole type equations to the experimental spectra:

\[
\varepsilon(\nu) = \frac{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}{1 + \frac{2\pi\nu \tau_0}{(1 - \alpha_j)}} + \frac{\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_\infty}{1 + \frac{2\pi\nu \tau_\text{water}}{(1 - \alpha_\text{water})}} + \varepsilon_\infty + \frac{\kappa}{2\pi\nu\varepsilon_0} \tag{S1}
\]

Where the first two terms represent the Cole-Cole relaxations\(^ {15}\) with relaxation times, \( \tau_j \), and the Cole Cole parameter, \( \alpha_j \), which accounts for a symmetric broadening of the relaxation mode (with respect to a Debye type relaxation).\(^ {15}\) \( \varepsilon_j \) are the limiting permittivities of each relaxation, with the limiting permittivity at infinite frequencies, \( \varepsilon_\infty \), subsuming all polarizations above the frequencies of the present study. The last term in eq S1 accounts for Ohmic losses originating from the samples conductivity, where \( \kappa \) is the electrical (d.c.) conductivity. \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of free space. The parameters obtained from fitting eq S1 to the spectra of the three organisms are summarized in Table S3.

The value of \( \tau_\text{water} \) represents the collective relaxation time of the three dimensional hydrogen-bonded network of water, \( \tau_\text{Drs} \), as discussed in the main text. The dielectric strength of the water relaxation \( S_\text{water} = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_\infty \) can be directly related to the molar concentration of water using e.g. the Cavell equation.\(^ {16}\) Hence, the ratio \( S_\text{water}/S_{\text{water neat}} \) provides an estimate for the volume fraction of bulk-like water.
in the organisms ($S_{\text{water, neat}} = 72.68$). Note that here we neglect local field effects, as the high static dielectric constants ($\varepsilon_1$) of the present samples makes the local field correction to virtually cancel when considering the relaxation strength relative to neat water. Also the reduction of the dielectric strengths due to kinetic depolarization is not accounted for, which may lead to a minor underestimation of the volume fractions for the samples with high conductivity (< 2% for cytosol mimic).
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