



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Laboratory tests of theories of strategic interaction

Yang, Y.

Publication date

2014

Document Version

Final published version

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Yang, Y. (2014). *Laboratory tests of theories of strategic interaction*. Tinbergen Institute.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.



Yang Yang

This thesis presents a series of studies to test theories of strategic interaction in distinct environments. The topics of these studies include human social preferences (on how much people care about others' welfare), people's response to the information on others' preferences and histories in a social dilemma, and peoples' competing behavior in contests. A common theme of all these studies presented in this thesis is the adoption of laboratory experiments in testing theories.

Yang Yang received her double bachelor's degrees in mathematics and economics at Peking University, and a master's degree in financial management at Chinese Academy of Sciences. After she completed her mphil study in behavioral and experimental economics at Tinbergen Institute, she continued to carry out her doctoral research in the same field at University of Amsterdam. In September 2014, she joined Lingnan College at Sun Yat-sen University as an assistant professor.

Laboratory Tests of Theories of Strategic Interaction

Laboratory Tests of Theories of Strategic Interaction

Yang Yang



**Laboratory Tests of
Theories of Strategic Interaction**

ISBN 978 90 361 0405 0

Cover design: Crasborn Graphic Designers bno, Valkenburg a.d. Geul

This book is no. **593** of the Tinbergen Institute Research Series, established through cooperation between Thela Thesis and the Tinbergen Institute. A list of books which already appeared in the series can be found in the back.

Laboratory Tests of Theories of Strategic Interaction

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus
prof. dr. D.C. van den Boom
ten overstaan van een door het college voor promoties
ingestelde commissie,
in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Agnietenkapel
op donderdag 23 oktober 2014, te 14:00 uur

door

Yang Yang

geboren te Anhui, China

Promotiecommissie

Promotor: Prof. dr. A.J.H.C. Schram

Co-promotor: Dr. A.M. Onderstal

Overige Leden: Prof. dr. U. Gneezy

Prof. dr. K.I.M. Rohde

Prof. dr. R. Sloof

Prof. dr. J.H. Sonnemans

Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde

Acknowledgement

After spending six years in Amsterdam, I come back to China with this thesis. Here I would like to thank all the people who have made the completion of this thesis possible.

I am fortunate to have two wonderful supervisors, Arthur Schram and Sander Onderstal. During my PhD study, they have always been there whenever I needed advice or help. I appreciate all their detailed comments and advice on my work, their enthusiastic effort in our joint projects, as well as their patience, support and encouragement when I was sometimes slowed down by difficulties in my studies. They have also been supportive for my participation at international summer schools, conferences and academic visit. I opened my eyes and benefited enormously from working under their supervision.

I would also like to thank Uri Gneezy, who hosted my academic visit at University of California, San Diego for three months. Uri offered an amazing research environment for PhD visitors like me. I truly enjoyed and learned a lot from my three-month visit there.

Another sincere gratitude goes to Tinbergen Institute, for offering a great graduate program and providing a nice cohort, lovely research atmosphere and warm care to me and other students. I thank Arianne de Jong, Jose Luis Moraga, among many other staffs at TI, for their support and for giving me their hands when I needed help.

Special thanks go to my friends, who made my life in Amsterdam colorful. I thank My cohort at TI—Altan, Benjamin, Charles, Gosia, Heiner, Lerby, Ivan, Leontine, Natalya, Noemi, Olivia, Ona, Patrick, Pinar, Rei, Yun, Xiaoming, Xiaoyu, Xinying and Zhenxing, for all the nice memories we shared at Roetersstraat 31, and many more afterwards. I thank my colleagues—Aaron, Ailko, Anita, Audrey, Aljaž, Ben, Boris, David, Frans, Francisco, Gönül, Jindi, Joël, Jeroen, Joep, Jona, Marcelo, Matthijs, Matze, Max, Theo, Thomas and Roel--for providing enjoyable working environment and inspiring discussions at CREED. My Chinese friends were one of the main resources of my happy life in Amsterdam. Lin, Liting, Wei, Zu Yang, Gao, Yun, Te, Xin, Yue, Chen, Yijing, Zhenxing, Dan, Yin-Yen, Jian, Wei, Tse-Chun, Yu, Bo, Huajun, Tian, thank you for sharing the wonderful time at the Chinese parties. I wouldn't have met all these people and experienced all the fascinating time in

Amsterdam were it not for Nan Yang, however. So, thank you, Nan, also for your accompany during those many years.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents for their unconditional love and support. Whatever difficulties I have met, they have always been the ultimate drive for me to be brave to face them. This thesis is for them.

Guangzhou, China

September, 2014

Contents

List of Figures	v
List of Tables	vii
1 Introduction	1
2 Inequity Aversion Revisited	7
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Theory	12
2.3 Experimental Design	16
2.4 Results	26
2.5 Concluding Discussion	37
2.6 Appendix	39
2.6.1 IA Predictions for the Production Game	39
2.6.2 General Model Predictions for the Production Game	42
2.6.3 Experimental Instructions	45
2.6.4 Estimating the IA Model's Parameters from Menus 1 and 2	60
2.6.5 Estimating the General Model's Parameters from Menus 1-3	62
2.6.6 Consistency of Estimates Derived from the Two Models	65
2.6.7 Estimates for γ	67
2.6.8 Empirical Results Including Non-IA-Rationalizable Subjects	68

3	Is Ignorance Bliss?	75
3.1	Introduction	76
3.2	Model	78
3.2.1	Primitives	78
3.2.2	Equilibrium	80
3.3	Experiment	82
3.4	Results	88
3.5	Summary	99
3.6	Appendix	100
3.6.1	Proof of Lemma 2	100
3.6.2	Instructions (FocusGroup,TR2)	102
4	Credit Easing and R&D Expenditures	107
4.1	Introduction	108
4.2	The Contest Model and Predictions	110
4.2.1	The Model	110
4.2.2	Revenue and Comparison	111
4.3	Two Different Types of Industries	112
4.4	Experimental Design	116
4.5	Experimental Results	118
4.5.1	Individual Investing Behavior	118
4.5.2	Aggregate Investment Comparison	121
4.5.3	Efficiency	123
4.6	Conclusion	124
4.7	Appendix	125
4.7.1	Proofs	125
4.7.1.1	Proof of Proposition 2	125
4.7.1.2	Proof of Lemma 3	126
4.7.1.3	Proof of Proposition 3	127
4.7.2	Derivations for Section 4.3	128
4.7.2.1	The Supermodular Case	128
4.7.2.2	The Submodular Case	129
4.7.3	Instructions	131
	References	135

List of Figures

2.1	Distributions of envy (a) and guilt (b) estimates	28
2.2	Distributions of envy (a) and guilt (b) estimates for distinct payoff scale	29
2.3	Distributions of envy (a) and guilt (b) estimates after correcting for efficiency concerns	30
2.4	Distributions of envy (a) and guilt (b) estimates derived from the production game.	36
2.5	Distribution of the estimated IA-C&R subjects' efficiency concerning levels γ , from the efficiency model and the general model	67
2.6	Distributions of envy (a) and guilt (b) estimates of IA-consistent subjects estimated according to IA model (2.9) by us, FS and BEN	68
2.7	Distributions of envy (a) and guilt (b) estimates for distinct payoff scales	69
2.8	Distributions of envy (a) and guilt (b) of IA-consistent subjects after correcting for efficiency concerns	70
2.9	Distributions of envy (a) and guilt (b) estimates derived from the production game.	73
3.1	Fraction of Choosing 'Give' in U1	89
3.2	Fraction of Choosing 'Give' in R1	90
3.3	Cooperation Rate, U2 vs. R2. (4 Givers/group)	93
4.1	Predicted Investment Levels, Supermodular	114

LIST OF FIGURES

4.2	Predicted Bidding Strategies, Submodular	115
4.3	Average Investment Level and Average Prediction (Method 1)	119
4.4	Prediction and the Average of the Observed Investment (Method 2) .	121
4.5	The Average Total Investment (per small group) in the Contests with/without Constraint	122
4.6	Relative Investment Increase Ratio	122

List of Tables

2.1	Menu 1	17
2.2	Menu 2	19
2.3	Menu 3	20
2.4	Production Game Variations	23
2.5	Treatments	25
2.6	Stakes Effects	29
2.7	Effort Choices in the Production Game	32
2.8	Coefficients of IA parameters in the production game	34
2.9	Coefficients of general model's parameters in the production game	44
2.10	Stakes Effects	70
2.11	Coefficients of IA parameters in the production game	72
3.1	Menu 1. Envy (α) Level Measurement	84
3.2	Menu 2. Guilt (β) Level Measurement	84
3.3	Nr. of Givers and Takers in Each Treatment	88
3.4	Choices in Menu 3.1	91
3.5	Probit Regression for Each Round in 2PD	96
3.6	Probit Regression (3.14), First-Round Behavior (1PD, 2PD pooled)	97
4.1	Fraction of Efficient Allocations and Efficiency Realization	123