



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Bayesian explorations in mathematical psychology

Matzke, D.

**Publication date**

2014

**Document Version**

Final published version

[Link to publication](#)

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Matzke, D. (2014). *Bayesian explorations in mathematical psychology*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, P.O. Box 19185, 1000 GD Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

---

## References

---

- Ackerman, P. L., Beier, M. E., & Boyle, M. O. (2002). Individual differences in working memory within a nomological network of cognitive and perceptual speed abilities. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *131*, 567-589. 148
- Ackerman, P. L., Beier, M. E., & Boyle, M. O. (2005). Working memory and intelligence: The same or different constructs. *Psychological Bulletin*, *131*, 30-60. 148
- Akaike, H. (1973). Information theory as an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In B. N. Petrov & F. Csaki (Eds.), *Second international symposium on information theory* (pp. 267-281). Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó. 4, 132, 238, 293
- Akaike, H. (1974a). A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, *19*, 716-723. 132
- Akaike, H. (1974b). On the likelihood of a time series model. *The Statistician*, *27*, 217-235. 133
- American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author. 216
- Anderson, J. R., Bothell, D., Byrne, M. D., Douglass, S., Lebiere, C., & Qin, Y. (2004). An integrated theory of the mind. *Psychological Review*, *111*, 1036-1060. 126, 141
- Andrews, S., & Heathcote, A. (2001). Distinguishing common and task-specific processes in word identification: A matter of some moment? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *27*, 514-544. 12
- Andrieu, C., De Freitas, N., Doucet, A., & Jordan, M. I. (2003). An introduction to MCMC for machine learning. *Machine Learning*, *50*, 5-43. 138
- Ardia, D., Baştürk, N., Hoogerheide, L., & van Dijk, H. K. (2012). A comparative study of Monte Carlo methods for efficient evaluation of marginal likelihood. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, *56*, 3398-3414. 137
- Armstrong, A. M., & Dienes, Z. (2013). Subliminal understanding of negation: Unconscious control by subliminal processing of word pairs. *Consciousness & Cognition*, *22*, 1022-1040. 178, 183
- Ashby, F. G., Maddox, W. T., & Lee, W. W. (1994). On the dangers of averaging across subjects when using multidimensional scaling or the similarity-choice model. *Psychological Science*, *5*, 144-151. 94
- Asrress, K. N., & Carpenter, R. H. S. (2001). Saccadic countermanding: A comparison of central and peripheral stop signals. *Vision Research*, *41*, 2645-2651. 69, 70
- Azzelini, A. (1996). *Statistical inference based on the likelihood*. London, UK: Chapman & Hall. 150
- Baayen, R. H. (2008). *Analyzing linguistic data: A practical introduction to statistics using R*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 96

- Bakker, M., van Dijk, A., & Wicherts, J. M. (2012). The rules of the game called psychological science. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *7*, 543–554. 197
- Balota, D. A., & Spieler, D. H. (1999). Word frequency, repetition, and lexicality effects in word recognition tasks: Beyond measures of central tendency. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *128*, 32–55. 13, 14
- Balota, D. A., & Yap, M. J. (2011). Moving beyond the mean in studies of mental chronometry. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *20*, 160–166. 34
- Band, G. P. H., van der Molen, M. W., & Logan, G. D. (2003). Horse-race model simulations of the stop-signal procedure. *Acta Psychologica*, *112*, 105–142. 38, 39, 40, 43, 47, 49, 70
- Bartholomew, D. J., Deary, I. J., & Lawn, M. (2009). A new lease of life for Thomson's bonds model for intelligence. *Psychological Review*, *116*, 567–579. 148
- Bartlett, M. S. (1957). A comment on D. V. Lindley's statistical paradox. *Biometrika*, *44*, 533–534. 70, 206
- Basilevsky, A. (1983). *Applied matrix algebra in the statistical sciences*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science Publishing. 147
- Batchelder, W. H. (1975). Individual differences and the all-or-none vs incremental learning controversy. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *12*, 53–74. 95
- Batchelder, W. H. (1998). Multinomial processing tree models and psychological assessment. *Psychological Assessment*, *10*, 331–344. 96
- Batchelder, W. H. (2009). Cognitive psychometrics: Using multinomial processing tree models as measurement tools. In S. E. Embretson (Ed.), *Measuring psychological constructs: Advances in model based measurement* (pp. 71–93). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. 96
- Batchelder, W. H., & Crowther, C. S. (1997). Multinomial processing tree models of factorial categorization. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *41*, 45–55. 96
- Batchelder, W. H., & Riefer, D. M. (1980). Separation of storage and retrieval factors in free recall of clusterable pairs. *Psychological Review*, *87*, 375–397. 3, 97, 126, 132, 237, 292
- Batchelder, W. H., & Riefer, D. M. (1986). The statistical analysis of a model for storage and retrieval processes in human memory. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *39*, 129–149. 97, 98, 99, 115
- Batchelder, W. H., & Riefer, D. M. (1990). Multinomial processing models of source monitoring. *Psychological Review*, *97*, 548–564. 121
- Batchelder, W. H., & Riefer, D. M. (1999). Theoretical and empirical review of multinomial process tree modeling. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *6*, 57–86. 3, 93, 95, 97, 132, 292
- Batchelder, W. H., & Riefer, D. M. (2007). Using multinomial processing tree models to measure cognitive deficits in clinical populations. In R. W. J. Neufeld (Ed.), *Advances in clinical cognitive science: Formal modeling of processes and symptoms* (pp. 19–50). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. 95, 97, 121, 132
- Bateman, I., Kahneman, D., Munro, A., Starmer, C., & Sugden, R. (2005). Testing competing models of loss aversion: An adversarial collaboration. *Journal of Public Economics*, *89*, 1561–1580. 196
- Bayarri, M. J., & Berger, J. O. (1998). Quantifying surprise in the data and model verification. In J. M. Bernardo, J. O. Berger, A. P. Dawid, & A. F. M. Smith (Eds.), *Bayesian statistics 6: Proceedings of the Sixth Valencia International Meeting, June 6-10, 1998* (pp. 53–82). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. 83
- Behnel, S., Bradshaw, R., Citro, C., Dalcin, L., Seljebotn, D. S., & Smith, K. (2011). Cython: The best of both worlds. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, *13*, 31–39. 80, 236

- Behseta, S., Berdyeva, T., Olson, C. R., & Kass, R. E. (2009). Bayesian correction for attenuation of correlation in multi-trial spike count data. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *101*, 2186–2193. 4, 159, 160, 161, 163, 165, 166, 173, 174, 239, 259, 293
- Belin, T. R., & Rubin, D. B. (1995). The analysis of repeated-measures data on schizophrenic reaction times using mixture models. *Statistics in Medicine*, *14*, 747–768. 34
- Bem, D. J. (2011). Feeling the future: Experimental evidence for anomalous retroactive influences on cognition and affect. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *100*, 407–425. 224
- Benjamini, Y., Drai, D., Elmer, G., Kafkafi, N., & Golani, I. (2001). Controlling the false discovery rate in behavior genetics research. *Behavioural Brain Research*, *125*, 279–284. 233
- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Methodological*, 289–300. 232
- Benjamini, Y., & Yekutieli, D. (2001). The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. *The Annals of Statistics*, *29*, 1165–1188. 233
- Berger, J. O. (2006). Bayes factors. In S. Kotz, N. Balakrishnan, C. Read, B. Vidakovic, & N. L. Johnson (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of statistical sciences* (2nd ed., Vol. 1, pp. 378–386). Hoboken, NJ: Wiley. 136, 181, 189
- Berger, J. O., & Delampady, M. (1987). Testing precise hypotheses. *Statistical Science*, *2*, 317–352. 180, 209, 218, 225
- Berger, J. O., & Mortera, J. (1999). Default Bayes factors for nonnested hypothesis testing. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *94*, 542–554. 199
- Berger, J. O., & Pericchi, L. R. (1996). The intrinsic Bayes factor for model selection and prediction. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *91*, 109–122. 68, 70, 135
- Berger, J. O., & Sellke, T. (1987). Testing a point null hypothesis: The irreconcilability of  $p$  values and evidence. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *82*, 112–139. 216
- Berger, J. O., & Wolpert, R. L. (1988). *The likelihood principle* (2nd ed.). Hayward, CA: Institute of Mathematical Statistics. 180, 218, 225
- Bernardo, J. M., & Smith, A. F. M. (1994). *Bayesian theory*. New York, NY: Wiley. 135
- Berry, D. A., & Hochberg, Y. (1999). Bayesian perspectives on multiple comparisons. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, *82*, 215–227. 241
- Bickley, P. G., Keith, T. Z., & Wolfe, L. M. (1995). The three-stratum theory of cognitive abilities: Test of the structure of intelligence across the life span. *Intelligence*, *20*, 309–328. 148
- Bissett, P. G., & Logan, G. D. (2011). Balancing cognitive demands: Control adjustments in the stop-signal paradigm. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *37*, 392–404. 39, 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88
- Blough, D. S. (1988). Quantitative relations between visual search speed and target-distractor similarity. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *43*, 57–71. 14
- Blough, D. S. (1989). Contrast as seen in visual search reaction times. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*, *52*, 199–211. 14
- Boucher, L., Palmeri, T. J., Logan, G. D., & Schall, J. D. (2007). Inhibitory control in mind and brain: An interactive race model of countermanding saccades. *Psychological Review*, *114*, 376–397. 69, 236
- Bröder, A., Herwig, A., Teipel, S., & Fast, K. (2008). Different storage and retrieval deficits in normal aging and mild cognitive impairment: A multinomial modeling analysis. *Psychology and Aging*, *23*, 353–365. 97
- Brooks, S. P., & Gelman, A. (1998). General methods for monitoring convergence of iterative simulations. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, *7*, 434–455. 26, 103

- Brown, S. D., & Heathcote, A. (2005). Practice increases the efficiency of evidence accumulation in perceptual choice. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *31*, 289–298. 126
- Brown, S. D., & Heathcote, A. J. (2008). The simplest complete model of choice reaction time: Linear ballistic accumulation. *Cognitive Psychology*, *57*, 153–178. 126, 141, 236
- Brunyé, T. T., Mahoney, C. R., Augustyn, J. S., & Taylor, H. A. (2009). Horizontal saccadic eye movements enhance the retrieval of landmark shape and location information. *Brain and Cognition*, *70*, 279–288. 198, 209, 210
- Buonaccorsi, J. P. (2010). *Measurement error: Models, methods, and applications*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC. 161
- Burbeck, S. L., & Luce, R. D. (1982). Evidence from auditory simple reaction times for both change and level detectors. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *32*, 117–133. 15
- Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (2002). *Model selection and multimodel inference: A practical information-theoretic approach* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Springer Verlag. 132, 133
- Busemeyer, J. R., & Diederich, A. (2010). *Cognitive modeling*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. 125
- Button, K. S., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Mokrysz, C., Nosek, B. A., Flint, J., Robinson, E. S. J., & Munafò, M. R. (2013). Power failure: Why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *14*, 1–12. 234
- Cadsby, C. B., Croson, R., Marks, M., & Maynes, E. (2008). Step return versus net reward in the voluntary provision of a threshold public good: An adversarial collaboration. *Public Choice*, *135*, 277–289. 196
- Campbell, D. T., & Fiske, D. W. (1959). Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. *Psychological Bulletin*, *56*, 81–105. 157
- Carpenter, R. H. S. (1981). Oculomotor procrastination. In D. F. Fisher, R. A. Monty, & J. W. Senders (Eds.), *Eye movements: Cognition and visual perception* (pp. 237–246). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 69, 236
- Carpenter, R. H. S., & Williams, M. L. L. (1995). Neural computation of log likelihood in control of saccadic eye movements. *Nature*, *377*, 59–62. 15, 30, 69, 236
- Chambers, C. D. (2013). Registered reports: A new publishing initiative at Cortex. *Cortex*, *49*, 609–610. 234
- Chambers, C. D., Munafò, M., & et al. (2013). *Trust in science would be improved by study pre-registration*. Retrieved from <http://www.theguardian.com/science/blog/2013/jun/05/trust-in-science-study-pre-registration> 197, 234
- Charles, E. P. (2005). The correction for attenuation due to measurement error: Clarifying concepts and creating confidence sets. *Psychological Methods*, *10*, 206–226. 159, 161
- Chechile, R. A. (1973). *The relative storage and retrieval losses in short-term memory as a function of the similarity and amount of information processing in the interpolated task* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Pittsburgh. 132
- Chechile, R. A., & Meyer, D. L. (1976). A Bayesian procedure for separately estimating storage and retrieval components of forgetting. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *13*, 269–295. 132
- Chen, M.-H. (2005). Computing marginal likelihoods from a single MCMC output. *Statistica Neerlandica*, *59*, 16–29. 141
- Chen, M.-H., Shao, Q.-M., & Ibrahim, J. G. (2002). *Monte Carlo methods in Bayesian computation*. New York, NY: Springer. 137
- Cheng, C.-L., & Van Ness, J. W. (1999). *Statistical regression with measurement error*. London, UK: Arnold. 161

- Christman, S. D., Garvey, K. J., Propper, R. E., & Phaneuf, K. A. (2003). Bilateral eye movements enhance the retrieval of episodic memories. *Neuropsychology, 17*, 221–229. 198, 211
- Christman, S. D., Propper, R. E., & Dion, A. (2004). Increased interhemispheric interaction is associated with decreased false memories in a verbal converging semantic associates paradigm. *Brain and Cognition, 56*, 313–319. 198, 210
- Clark, H. H. (1973). The language-as-fixed-effect fallacy: A critique of language statistics in psychological research. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 12*, 335–359. 94, 96
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. 149, 216, 218
- Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin, 112*, 155–159. 149
- Cohen, J. (1994). The earth is round ( $p < .05$ ). *American Psychologist, 49*, 997–1003. 216, 217
- Cohen, J. D., Dunbar, K., & McClelland, J. L. (1990). On the control of automatic processes: A parallel distributed processing account of the Stroop effect. *Psychological Review, 97*, 332–361. 126
- Cole, D. A., & Preacher, K. J. (2014). Manifest variable path analysis: Potentially serious and misleading consequences due to uncorrected measurement error. *Psychological Methods, 19*, 300–315. 161
- Colom, R., Escorial, S., Shih, P. C., & Privado, J. (2007). Fluid intelligence, memory span, and temperament difficulties predict academic performance of young adolescents. *Personality and Individual Differences, 42*, 1503–1514. 148
- Colom, R., Rebollo, I., Palacios, A., Juan-Espinosa, M., & Kyllonen, P. C. (2004). Working memory is (almost) perfectly predicted by  $g$ . *Intelligence, 32*, 277–296. 148, 156
- Colonus, H. (1990). A note on the stop-signal paradigm, or how to observe the unobservable. *Psychological Review, 97*, 309–312. 40, 53, 68
- Colonus, H., Özyurt, J., & Arndt, P. A. (2001). Countermanding saccades with auditory stop signals: Testing the race model. *Vision Research, 41*, 1951–1968. 69, 70
- Congdon, P. (2006). *Bayesian statistical modelling* (2nd ed.). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 161
- Consonni, G., Forster, J. J., & La Rocca, L. (2013). The whetstone and the alum block: Balanced objective Bayesian comparison of nested models for discrete data. *Statistical Science, 28*, 398–423. 182
- Conway, A. R. A., Cowan, N., Bunting, M. F., Theriault, D. J., & Minkoff, S. R. B. (2002). A latent variable analysis of working memory capacity, short-term memory capacity, processing speed, and general fluid intelligence. *Intelligence, 30*, 163–183. 148
- Corneil, B. D., & Elsley, J. K. (2005). Countermanding eye-head gaze shifts in humans: Marching orders are delivered to the head first. *Journal of Neurophysiology, 94*, 883–895. 70
- Cortina, J. M., & Dunlap, W. P. (1997). On the logic and purpose of significance testing. *Psychological Methods, 2*, 161–172. 217
- Cumming, G. (2008). Replication and  $p$  intervals:  $p$  values predict the future only vaguely, but confidence intervals do much better. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 3*, 286–300. 216, 217
- Curran, T., & Hintzman, D. L. (1995). Violations of the independence assumption in process dissociation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory, and Cognition, 21*, 531–547. 94
- D'Agostino, R. B., & Stephens, M. A. (1986). *Goodness-of-fit techniques*. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker Inc. 127
- De Boeck, P. (2008). Random item IRT models. *Psychometrika, 73*, 533–559. 96

- De Boeck, P., & Partchev, I. (2012). IRTTrees: Tree-based item response models of the GLMM family. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *48*, 1–28. 96
- DeCarlo, L. T. (2002). Signal detection theory with finite mixture distributions: Theoretical developments with applications to recognition memory. *Psychological Review*, *109*, 710–721. 95
- Deese, J. (1960). Frequency of usage and number of words in free recall: The role of association. *Psychological Reports*, *337–344*. 111
- de Groot, A. D. (1961a). *De betekenis van significantie bij verschillende typen onderzoek*. ‘S-Gravenhage, The Netherlands: Uitgeverij Mouton. 197, 210
- de Groot, A. D. (1961b). *Methodologie: Grondslagen van onderzoek en denken in de gedragswetenschappen*. ‘S-Gravenhage, The Netherlands: Uitgeverij Mouton. 197
- de Groot, A. D. (1969). *Methodology: Foundations of inference and research in the behavioral sciences*. Den Haag, The Netherlands: Mouton. 228, 229, 234
- de Groot, A. M. B. (1980). *Mondelinge woordassociatienormen*. Lisse, The Netherlands: Swets & Zeitlinger. 201
- de Groot, A. M. B. (1984). Primed lexical decision: Combined effects of the proportion of related prime-target pairs and the stimulus-onset asynchrony of prime and target. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A: Human Experimental Psychology*, *36*, 253–280. 199, 201
- de Groot, A. M. B. (1987). The priming of word associations: A levels-of-processing approach. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A: Human Experimental Psychology*, *39*, 721–756. 199, 201
- de Jong, R., Coles, M. G., Logan, G. D., & Gratton, G. (1990). In search of the point of no return: The control of response processes. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *16*, 164–182. 36, 40, 68
- DeLosh, E. L., & McDaniel, M. A. (1996). The role of order information in free recall: Application to the word-frequency effect. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *22*, 1136–1146. 111
- Demetriou, A., Kui, Z. X., Spanoudis, G., Christou, C., Kyriakides, L., & Platsidou, M. (2005). The architecture, dynamics, and development of mental processing: Greek, Chinese, or Universal? *Intelligence*, *33*, 109–141. 148
- Dempster, F. N. (1991). Inhibitory processes: A neglected dimension of intelligence. *Intelligence*, *15*, 157–173. 148
- Dennis, S. J., Lee, M. D., & Kinnell, A. (2008). Bayesian analysis of recognition memory: The case of the list-length effect. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *59*, 361–376. 217, 225
- Dickey, J. M. (1971). The weighted likelihood ratio, linear hypotheses on normal location parameters. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, *42*, 204–223. 68, 70, 141
- Dickey, J. M., & Lientz, B. P. (1970). The weighted likelihood ratio, sharp hypotheses about chances, the order of a Markov chain. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, *41*, 214–226. 141, 165, 188, 239
- Didelez, V., Pigeot, I., & Walter, P. (2006). Modifications of the Bonferroni-Holm procedure for a multi-way ANOVA. *Statistical Papers*, *47*, 181–209. 229
- Dienes, Z. (2008). *Understanding psychology as a science: An introduction to scientific and statistical inference*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan. 180, 216, 220, 225
- Dienes, Z. (2011). Bayesian versus orthodox statistics: Which side are you on? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *6*, 274–290. 178, 180, 183, 191, 216, 220
- Dixon, P. (2003). The *p*-value fallacy and how to avoid it. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *57*, 189–202. 216, 217

- Dolan, C. V. (2000). A model-based approach to Spearman's hypothesis. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *35*, 21–50. 155
- Dolan, C. V., & Hamaker, E. L. (2001). Investigating black-white differences in psychometric IQ: Multi-group confirmatory factor analyses of the WISC-R and K-ABC, and a critique of the method of correlated vectors. In F. Columbus (Ed.), *Advances in psychological research* (Vol. VI, pp. 31–60). Huntington, NY: Nova Science Publishers, Inc. 155
- Dolan, C. V., van der Maas, H. L. J., & Molenaar, P. C. M. (2002). A framework for ML estimation of parameters of (mixtures of) common reaction time distributions given optional truncation or censoring. *Behavior Research Methods*, *34*, 304–323. 44, 67
- Dominicus, A., Skrondal, A., Gjessing, H. K., Pedersen, N. L., & Palmgren, J. (2006). Likelihood ratio tests in behavioral genetics: Problems and solutions. *Behavior Genetics*, *36*, 331–340. 152
- Doyen, S., Klein, O., Pichon, C.-L., & Cleeremans, A. (2012). Behavioral priming: It's all in the mind, but whose mind? *PLoS ONE*, *7*, e29081. 196
- Duncan, C. P. (1974). Retrieval of low-frequency words from mixed lists. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, *4*, 137–138. 111
- Duncan, J., Burgess, P., & Emslie, H. (1995). Fluid intelligence after frontal lobe lesions. *Neuropsychologia*, *33*, 261–268. 148
- Dunham, M., McIntosh, D., & Gridley, B. E. (2002). An independent confirmatory factor analysis of the Differential Ability Scales. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, *20*, 152–163. 148, 156
- Dutilh, G., Vandekerckhove, J., Tuerlinckx, F., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2009). A diffusion model decomposition of the practice effect. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *16*, 1026–1036. 126
- Edwards, W., Lindman, H., & Savage, L. J. (1963). Bayesian statistical inference for psychological research. *Psychological Review*, *70*, 193–242. 2, 77, 174, 180, 199, 200, 209, 216, 225
- Elandt-Johnson, R. C., & Johnson, N. L. (1980). *Survival models and data analysis*. New York, NY: Wiley. 41
- Elliot, D. L., Goldberg, L., Kuehl, K. S., Moe, E. L., Breger, R. K. R., & Pickering, M. A. (2007). The PHLAME (Promoting healthy lifestyles: Alternative models' effects) firefighter study: Outcomes of two models of behavior change. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, *49*, 204–213. 189
- Embretson, S. E. (1995). The role of working memory capacity and general control processes in intelligence. *Intelligence*, *20*, 169–189. 148
- Emerson, P. L. (1970). Simple reaction time with Markovian evolution of Gaussian discriminial processes. *Psychometrika*, *35*, 99–109. 15
- Epstein, J. N., Conners, C. K., Hervey, A. S., Tonev, S. T., Arnold, L. E., Abikoff, H. B., . . . others (2006). Assessing medication effects in the MTA study using neuropsychological outcomes. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *47*, 446–456. 14
- Erdfelder, E. (2010). A note on statistical analysis. *Experimental Psychology*, *57*, 1–4. 216
- Erdfelder, E., Auer, T. S., Hilbig, B. E., Aßfalg, A., Moshagen, M., & Nadarevic, L. (2009). Multinomial processing tree models. *Zeitschrift für Psychologie*, *217*, 108–124. 93, 132
- Estes, W. K. (1956). The problem of inference from curves based on group data. *Psychological Bulletin*, *53*, 134–140. 94
- Farrell, S., & Ludwig, C. J. H. (2008). Bayesian and maximum likelihood estimation of hierarchical response time models. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *15*, 1209–1217. 24, 48, 78, 94, 163
- Fischer, G. H., & Molenaar, I. W. (1995). *Rasch models: Foundations, recent developments, and applications*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag. 96
- Fisher, R. A. (1935). *The design of experiments*. Edinburgh, UK: Oliver and Boyd. 217

- Fletcher, H. J., Daw, H., & Young, J. (1989). Controlling multiple F test errors with an overall F test. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, *25*, 101–108. 229, 231
- Francis, G. (2015). Publication bias in “Red, rank, and romance in women viewing men” by Elliot et al. (2010). *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *142*, 292–296. 209
- Frick, R. W. (1996). The appropriate use of null hypothesis testing. *Psychological Methods*, *1*, 379–390. 217
- Frühwirth-Schnatter, S. (2006). *Finite mixture and Markov switching models*. New York, NY: Springer. 144
- Fuller, W. A. (1987). *Measurement error models*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 161
- Gallistel, C. (2009). The importance of proving the null. *Psychological Review*, *116*, 439–453. 216, 217, 225
- Gamerman, D., & Lopes, H. F. (2006). *Markov chain Monte Carlo: Stochastic simulation for Bayesian inference*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC. 2, 35, 45, 68, 70, 77, 95, 137, 163, 165, 236, 292
- Gelman, A., Carlin, J. B., Stern, H. S., & Rubin, D. B. (2003). *Bayesian data analysis*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall. 94, 95
- Gelman, A., & Hill, J. (2007). *Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 24, 35, 46, 48, 49, 60, 70, 78, 83, 94, 95, 102, 103, 108, 118, 144, 163, 167, 174, 225
- Gelman, A., Meng, X., & Stern, H. (1996). Posterior predictive assessment of model fitness via realized discrepancies. *Statistica Sinica*, *6*, 733–807. 60, 83, 118, 167
- Gelman, A., & Rubin, D. B. (1992). Inference from iterative simulation using multiple sequences (with discussion). *Statistical Science*, *7*, 457–472. 45, 78, 103, 139
- Gholson, B., & Hohle, R. H. (1968a). Choice reaction time to hues printed in conflicting hue names and nonsense words. *Journal of the Experimental Psychology*, *76*, 413–418. 14
- Gholson, B., & Hohle, R. H. (1968b). Verbal reaction times to hues and hue names and forms and form names. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *3*, 191–196. 14
- Gigerenzer, G. (1993). The superego, the ego, and the id in statistical reasoning. In G. Keren & C. Lewis (Eds.), *A handbook for data analysis in the behavioral sciences: Methodological issues* (pp. 311–339). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 217
- Gigerenzer, G. (1998). We need statistical thinking, not statistical rituals. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *21*, 199–200. 217
- Gigerenzer, G. (2004). Mindless statistics. *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, *33*, 587–606. 230
- Gignac, G. E. (2007). Working memory and fluid intelligence are both identical to  $g$ ?! Reanalyses and critical evaluation. *Psychology Science*, *42*, 187–207. 157
- Gilks, W. R., Richardson, S., & Spiegelhalter, D. J. (1996). *Markov chain Monte Carlo in practice*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC. 2, 35, 45, 77, 95, 161, 163, 165, 236, 292
- Gill, J. (2002). *Bayesian methods: A social and behavioral sciences approach*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. 77, 94, 95, 135, 174
- Gilovich, T., Medvec, V. H., & Kahneman, D. (1998). Varieties of regret: A debate and partial resolution. *Psychological Review*, *105*, 602–605. 196
- Glöckner, A., & Pachur, T. (2012). Cognitive models of risky choice: Parameter stability and predictive accuracy of prospect theory. *Cognition*, *123*, 21–32. 166, 167
- Goldacre, B. (2009). *Bad science*. London, UK: Fourth Estate. 197, 234
- Golz, D., & Erdfelder, E. (2004). Effekte von L-Dopa auf die Speicherung und den Abruf verbaler Informationen bei Schlaganfallpatienten [Effects of L-Dopa on storage and retrieval of verbal information in stroke patients]. *Zeitschrift für Neuropsychologie*, *15*, 275–286. 97

- Gomez, P., Ratcliff, R., & Perea, M. (2007). A model of the go/no-go task. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *136*, 389–413. 30
- Gönen, M., Johnson, W. O., Lu, Y., & Westfall, P. H. (2005). The Bayesian two-sample *t* test. *The American Statistician*, *59*, 252–257. 216
- Good, I. J. (1983). *Good thinking: The foundations of probability and its applications*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press. 219
- Good, I. J. (1985). Weight of evidence: A brief survey. In J. M. Bernardo, M. H. DeGroot, D. V. Lindley, & A. F. M. Smith (Eds.), *Bayesian statistics 2* (pp. 249–269). New York, NY: Elsevier. 135, 219
- Gordon, B., & Carson, K. (1990). The basis for choice reaction time slowing in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain & Cognition*, *13*, 148–166. 13, 14
- Green, P. J. (1995). Reversible jump Markov chain Monte Carlo computation and Bayesian model determination. *Biometrika*, *82*, 711–732. 188, 238
- Greenwald, A. G. (1975). Consequences of prejudice against the null hypothesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, *82*, 1–20. 197, 209
- Gregg, V. H. (1976). Word frequency, recognition and recall. In J. Brown (Ed.), *Recall and recognition* (pp. 183–216). London, UK: Wiley. 111
- Grünwald, P. (2000). Model selection based on minimum description length. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *44*, 133–152. 127, 134
- Grünwald, P. (2007). *The minimum description length principle*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 4, 126, 127, 134, 135, 238, 293
- Grünwald, P., Myung, I. J., & Pitt, M. A. (Eds.). (2005). *Advances in minimum description length: Theory and applications*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 134
- Guo, X., Li, F., Yang, Z., & Dienes, Z. (2013). Bidirectional transfer between metaphorical related domains in implicit learning of form-meaning connections. *PLoS ONE*, *8*, e68100. 178, 183
- Gustafson, P. (2004). *Measurement error and misclassification in statistics and epidemiology: Impacts and Bayesian adjustments*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC. 161
- Gustafsson, J. E. (1984). A unifying model for the structure of intellectual abilities. *Intelligence*, *8*, 179–203. 148, 154, 155, 156, 157
- Hagen, R. L. (1997). In praise of the null hypothesis statistical test. *American Psychologist*, *52*, 15–24. 217
- Hall, J. F. (1954). Learning as a function of word-frequency. *The American Journal of Psychology*, *67*, 138–140. 111
- Hamel, R., & Schmittmann, V. D. (2006). The 20-minute version as a predictor of the Raven Advanced Progressive Matrices Test. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, *66*, 1039–1046. 170
- Hammersley, J. M., & Handscomb, D. C. (1964). *Monte Carlo methods*. London, UK: Methuen. 4, 137, 138, 238, 293
- Hancock, G. R. (2006). Power analysis in covariance structure modeling. In G. R. Hancock & R. O. Mueller (Eds.), *Structural equation modeling: A second course* (pp. 69–115). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing, Inc. 150
- Hanes, D. P., & Carpenter, R. H. S. (1999). Countermanding saccades in humans. *Vision Research*, *39*, 2777–2791. 69, 70, 236
- Hanes, D. P., Patterson, W. F., & Schall, J. D. (1998). Role of frontal eye fields in countermanding saccades: Visual, movement, and fixation activity. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *79*, 817–834. 69
- Hanes, D. P., & Schall, J. D. (1995). Countermanding saccades in macaque. *Visual Neuroscience*, *12*, 929–937. 69

- Hartley, H. O. (1955). Some recent developments in analysis of variance. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, *8*, 47–72. 5, 228, 232, 233, 241, 294
- Heathcote, A. (2004). Fitting Wald and ex-Wald distributions to response time data: An example using functions for the S-PLUS package. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments & Computers*, *36*, 678–694. 15, 18, 30, 69, 77
- Heathcote, A., Brown, S., & Cousineau, D. (2004). QMPE: Estimating Lognormal, Wald, and Weibull RT distributions with a parameter-dependent lower bound. *Behavior Research Methods*, *36*, 277–290. 69, 77
- Heathcote, A., Brown, S., & Mewhort, D. J. K. (2000). The power law repealed: The case for an exponential law of practice. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *7*, 185–207. 95, 125
- Heathcote, A., & Hayes, B. (2012). Diffusion versus linear ballistic accumulation: Different models for response time with different conclusions about psychological mechanisms? *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *66*, 125–136. 126
- Heathcote, A., Popiel, S. J., & Mewhort, D. J. (1991). Analysis of response time distributions: An example using the Stroop task. *Psychological Bulletin*, *109*, 340–347. 10, 12, 13, 34, 35, 40, 42, 43, 68, 74, 75, 292
- Heywood, H. B. (1931). On finite sequences of real numbers. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Series A*, *134*, 486–501. 155
- Hintze, J. L., & Nelson, R. D. (1998). Violin plots: A box plot-density trace synergism. *American Statistician*, *52*, 181–184. 83, 119, 169
- Hintzman, D. L. (1980). Simpson's paradox and the analysis of memory retrieval. *Psychological Review*, *87*, 398–410. 94
- Hintzman, D. L. (1993). On variability, Simpson's paradox, and the relation between recognition and recall: Reply to Tulving and Flexser. *Psychological Review*, *100*, 143–148. 94
- Hochberg, Y. (1974). Some generalizations of the T-method in simultaneous inference. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, *4*, 224–234. 228
- Hochberg, Y. (1988). A sharper Bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance. *Biometrika*, *75*, 800–802. 228, 232
- Hockley, W. E. (1982). Retrieval processes in continuous recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *8*, 497–512. 13, 35, 40, 42, 68, 75
- Hockley, W. E. (1984). Analysis of response time distributions in the study of cognitive processes. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *10*, 598–615. 13, 35, 40, 42, 68, 75
- Hoeting, J. A., Madigan, D., Raftery, A. E., & Volinsky, C. T. (1999). Bayesian model averaging: A tutorial. *Statistical Science*, *14*, 382–417. 133
- Hofstee, W. K. B. (1984). Methodological decision rules as research policies: A betting reconstruction of empirical research. *Acta Psychologica*, *56*, 93–109. 196, 197, 208
- Hohle, R. H. (1965). Inferred components of reaction times as functions of foreperiod duration. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *69*, 382–386. 10, 11, 12, 14
- Hoijsink, H., Klugkist, I., & Boelen, P. (2008). *Bayesian evaluation of informative hypotheses*. New York, NY: Springer. 181, 186
- Holm, S. (1979). A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, *6*, 65–70. 228, 232
- Howard, G., Maxwell, S., & Fleming, K. (2000). The proof of the pudding: An illustration of the relative strengths of null hypothesis, meta-analysis, and Bayesian analysis. *Psychological Methods*, *5*, 315–332. 216, 221
- Hu, X., & Batchelder, W. H. (1994). The statistical analysis of general processing tree models with the EM algorithm. *Psychometrika*, *59*, 21–47. 3, 94, 95, 237, 292

- Hu, X., & Phillips, G. A. (1999). GPT.EXE: A powerful tool for the visualization and analysis of general processing tree models. *Behavior Research Methods, 31*, 220–234. 94
- Hunter, J. E. (2001). The desperate need for replications. *Journal of Consumer Research, 28*, 149–158. 196
- IBM Corp. (2012). IBM SPSS statistics for Windows [Computer software manual]. Armonk, NY. (Version 21.0) 228
- Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2005). Why most published research findings are false. *PLoS Medicine, 2*, 696–701. 197, 224
- Iverson, G. J., Wagenmakers, E.-J., & Lee, M. D. (2010). A model averaging approach to replication: The case of *prep*. *Psychological Methods, 15*, 172–181. 181
- Jaynes, E. T. (2003). *Probability theory: The logic of science*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 218, 225
- Jefferys, W. H., & Berger, J. O. (1992). Ockham's razor and Bayesian analysis. *American Scientist, 80*, 64–72. 127, 136
- Jeffreys, H. (1961). *Theory of probability* (3rd ed.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. 127, 135, 136, 137, 140, 143, 165, 167, 172, 181, 182, 199, 200, 206, 209, 218, 219, 226
- Jensen, A. R. (1998). *The g factor: The science of mental ability*. New York, NY: Praeger. 148, 169
- Jensen, A. R., & Reynolds, C. R. (1982). Race, social class and ability patterns on the WISC-R. *Personality and Individual Differences, 3*, 423–438. 155
- John, L. K., Loewenstein, G., & Prelec, D. (2012). Measuring the prevalence of questionable research practices with incentives for truth telling. *Psychological Science, 23*, 524–532. 197
- Johnson, V. E. (2013). Revised standards for statistical evidence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110*, 19313–19317. 209
- Johnson, W., & Bouchard, T. J. (2005). The structure of human intelligence: It is verbal, perceptual, and image rotation (VPR), not fluid and crystallized. *Intelligence, 33*, 393–416. 148, 154, 155, 156, 157
- Jöreskog, K. G., & Sörbom, D. (1988). *LISREL 7: A guide to the program and applications*. Mooresville, IN: Scientific Software, Inc. 155
- Jöreskog, K. G., & Sörbom, D. (2001). *LISREL 8.50: Users reference guide*. Chicago, IL: Scientific Software International. 153
- Kahneman, D. (2003). Experiences of collaborative research. *American Psychologist, 58*, 723. 4, 196, 197, 208, 240, 294
- Kail, R., & Salthouse, T. A. (1994). Processing speed as a mental capacity. *Acta Psychologica, 86*, 199–225. 148
- Kane, M. J., Hambrick, D. Z., & Conway, A. R. A. (2005). Working memory capacity and fluid intelligence are strongly related constructs: Comment on Ackerman, Beier, and Boyle (2005). *Psychological Bulletin, 131*, 66–71. 148
- Karabatsos, G., & Batchelder, W. H. (2003). Markov chain estimation for test theory without an answer key. *Psychometrika, 68*, 373–389. 95, 96
- Kass, R. E., & Raftery, A. E. (1995). Bayes factors. *Journal of the American Statistical Association, 90*, 773–795. 68, 70, 114, 135, 137, 165, 199, 216, 218, 219, 225
- Kass, R. E., & Wasserman, L. (1995). A reference Bayesian test for nested hypotheses and its relationship to the Schwarz criterion. *Journal of the American Statistical Association, 90*, 928–934. 183
- Kaufman, A. S., & Kaufman, N. L. (1983). *Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children*. Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Service. 157

- Kerr, N. L. (1998). HARKing: Hypothesizing after the results are known. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, *2*, 196–217. 230
- Keuleers, E., & Brysbaert, M. (2010). Wuggy: A multilingual pseudoword generator. *Behavior Research Methods*, *42*, 627–633. 201
- Kieffaber, P. D., Kappenman, E. S., Bodkins, M., Shekhar, A., O'Donnell, B. F., & Hetrick, W. P. (2006). Switch and maintenance of task set in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, *84*, 345–358. 10, 13, 14
- Killeen, P. R. (2005). An alternative to null-hypothesis significance tests. *Psychological Science*, *16*, 345–353. 217
- Killeen, P. R. (2006). Beyond statistical inference: A decision theory for science. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *13*, 549–562. 217
- Klauer, K. C. (2006). Hierarchical multinomial processing tree models: A latent-class approach. *Psychometrika*, *71*, 7–31. 94, 95
- Klauer, K. C. (2010). Hierarchical multinomial processing tree models: A latent-trait approach. *Psychometrika*, *75*, 70–98. 94, 96, 99, 102, 103, 104, 114, 118, 119, 121, 122, 132, 144, 174
- Klugkist, I., Laudy, O., & Hoijtink, H. (2005). Inequality constrained analysis of variance: A Bayesian approach. *Psychological Methods*, *10*, 477–493. 68, 70, 188
- Koole, S. L., & Lakens, D. (2012). Rewarding replications: A sure and simple way to improve psychological science. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *7*, 608–614. 196, 197, 208
- Kornylo, K., Dill, N., Saenz, M., & Krauzlis, R. J. (2003). Canceling of pursuit and saccadic eye movements in humans and monkeys. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *89*, 2984–2999. 70
- Kraemer, H. C., & Thieman, S. (1989). *How many subjects? Statistical power analysis in research*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage. 149
- Kramer, A. F., Humphrey, D. G., Larish, J. F., Logan, G. D., & Strayer, D. (1994). Aging and inhibition: Beyond a unitary view of inhibitory processing in attention. *Psychology and Aging*, *9*, 491–512. 34, 66, 74
- Krijnen, W. P. (2004). Positive loadings and factor correlations from positive covariance matrices. *Psychometrika*, *69*, 655–660. 149
- Kromrey, J. D., & Dickinson, W. B. (1995). The use of an overall F test to control type I error rates in factorial analyses of variance: Limitations and better strategies. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, *31*, 51–64. 229, 231
- Kruschke, J. K. (2010a). Bayesian data analysis. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science*, *1*, 658–676. 216, 217, 220, 225
- Kruschke, J. K. (2010b). *Doing Bayesian data analysis: A tutorial introduction with R and BUGS*. Burlington, MA: Academic Press. 45, 95, 165, 180, 220, 225
- Kruschke, J. K. (2010c). What to believe: Bayesian methods for data analysis. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *14*, 293–300. 216, 217, 225
- Kruschke, J. K. (2011). Bayesian assessment of null values via parameter estimation and model comparison. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *6*, 299–312. 220, 224, 225
- Kyllonen, P. C. (1993). Aptitude testing inspired by information processing: A test of the four-sources model. *The Journal of General Psychology*, *120*, 375–405. 148
- Kyllonen, P. C., & Christal, R. E. (1990). Reasoning ability is (little more than) working-memory capacity?! *Intelligence*, *14*, 389–433. 148
- Lappin, J. S., & Eriksen, C. W. (1966). Use of a delayed signal to stop a visual reaction-time response. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *72*, 805–811. 34, 36, 73
- Latham, G. P., Erez, M., & Locke, E. A. (1988). Resolving scientific disputes by the joint design of crucial experiments by the antagonists: Application to the Erez–Latham dispute regarding participation in goal setting. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *73*, 753–772. 196, 208

- Lee, C. W., & Cuijpers, P. (2013). A meta-analysis of the contribution of eye movements in processing emotional memories. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, *44*, 231-239. 198, 213
- Lee, M. D. (2008). Three case studies in the Bayesian analysis of cognitive models. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *15*, 1-15. 25, 46, 100, 163, 216
- Lee, M. D. (2011). How cognitive modeling can benefit from hierarchical Bayesian models. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *55*, 1-7. 46, 48, 78, 94, 95, 163, 225
- Lee, M. D., & Newell, B. R. (2011). Using hierarchical Bayesian methods to examine the tools of decision-making. *Judgment and Decision Making*, *6*, 832-842. 94
- Lee, M. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2005). Bayesian statistical inference in psychology: Comment on Trafimow (2003). *Psychological Review*, *112*, 662-668. 216, 217, 225
- Lee, M. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2013). *Bayesian modeling for cognitive science: A practical course*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 49, 70, 77, 94, 95, 132, 136, 165, 174, 180, 181
- Lee, M. D., & Webb, M. R. (2005). Modeling individual differences in cognition. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *12*, 605-621. 95
- Lee, S.-Y. (2007). *Structural equation modeling: A Bayesian approach*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons. 174, 240
- Lehmann, E. L., & Romano, J. P. (2005). Generalizations of the familywise error rate. *The Annals of Statistics*, *33*, 1138-1154. 229
- Leth-Steensen, C., King Elbaz, Z., & Douglas, V. I. (2000). Mean response times, variability, and skew in the responding of ADHD children: A response time distributional approach. *Acta Psychologica*, *104*, 167-190. 10, 14, 34
- Lewandowsky, S., & Farrell, S. (2010). *Computational modeling in cognition: Principles and practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. 125
- Lewis, S. M., & Raftery, A. E. (1997). Estimating Bayes factors via posterior simulation with the Laplace-Metropolis estimator. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *92*, 648-655. 136, 181
- Liang, F., Paulo, R., Molina, G., Clyde, M. A., & Berger, J. O. (2008). Mixtures of  $g$  priors for Bayesian variable selection. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *103*, 410-423. 68, 137, 178, 183, 200, 220, 241
- Lindley, D. V. (1957). A statistical paradox. *Biometrika*, *44*, 187-192. 189
- Lindley, D. V. (1972). *Bayesian statistics: A review*. Philadelphia, PA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. 218, 225
- Lindley, D. V., & Smith, A. F. M. (1972). Bayes estimates for the linear model. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Methodological*, *34*, 1-41. 46
- Liu, C. C., & Aitkin, M. (2008). Bayes factors: Prior sensitivity and model generalizability. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *52*, 362-375. 70, 206
- Loftus, E. F., Miller, D. G., & Burns, H. J. (1978). Semantic integration of verbal information into a visual memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory*, *4*, 19-31. 129
- Loftus, G. R. (1996). Psychology will be a much better science when we change the way we analyze data. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *5*, 161-171. 216, 217
- Logan, G. D. (1981). Attention, automaticity, and the ability to stop a speeded choice response. In J. B. Long & A. Baddeley (Eds.), *Attention and performance IX* (pp. 205-222). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum. 34, 36, 37, 66, 74, 75
- Logan, G. D. (1988). Toward an instance theory of automatization. *Psychological Review*, *95*, 492-527. 125

- Logan, G. D. (1992). Shapes of reaction-time distributions and shapes of learning curves: A test of the instance theory of automaticity. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *18*, 883–914. 125
- Logan, G. D. (1994). On the ability to inhibit thought and action: A users' guide to the stop signal paradigm. In D. Dagenbach & T. H. Carr (Eds.), *Inhibitory processes in attention, memory and language* (pp. 189–240). San Diego, CA: Academic Press. 37, 39, 40, 74
- Logan, G. D. (2002). An instance theory of attention and memory. *Psychological Review*, *109*, 376–400. 125
- Logan, G. D., & Burkell, J. (1986). Dependence and independence in responding to double stimulation: A comparison of stop, change, and dual-task paradigms. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *12*, 549–563. 36, 37, 38
- Logan, G. D., & Cowan, W. B. (1984). On the ability to inhibit thought and action: A theory of an act of control. *Psychological Review*, *91*, 295–327. 1, 3, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39, 60, 66, 73, 74, 75, 236, 292
- Logan, G. D., Schachar, R. J., & Tannock, R. (1997). Impulsivity and inhibitory control. *Psychological Science*, *8*, 60–64. 39
- Logan, G. D., Van Zandt, T., Verbruggen, F., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2014). On the ability to inhibit thought and action: General and special theories of an act of control. *Psychological Review*, *121*, 66–95. 74, 236
- Lopes, H. F., & West, M. (2004). Bayesian model assessment in factor analysis. *Statistica Sinica*, *14*, 41–68. 240
- Lord, F. M., & Novick, M. R. (1986). *Statistical theories of mental test scores*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley. 96
- Luce, R. D. (1986). *Response times: Their role in inferring elementary mental organization*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press. 10, 12, 15, 40, 77
- Lunn, D. (2003). WinBUGS development interface (WBDev). *ISBA Bulletin*, *10*, 10–11. 252
- Lunn, D., Jackson, C., Best, N., Thomas, A., & Spiegelhalter, D. (2012). *The BUGS book: A practical introduction to Bayesian analysis*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC. 74, 94, 138, 161, 165, 236
- Lunn, D., Spiegelhalter, D., Thomas, A., & Best, N. (2009). The BUGS project: Evolution, critique and future directions. *Statistics in Medicine*, *28*, 3049–3067. 94, 122, 165
- Lunn, D., Thomas, A., Best, N., & Spiegelhalter, D. (2000). WinBUGS—A Bayesian modelling framework: Concepts, structure, and extensibility. *Statistics and Computing*, *10*, 325–337. 26, 45, 94, 220
- Lyle, K. B., Hanaver-Torrez, S. D., Hackländer, R. P., & Edlin, J. M. (2012). Consistency of handedness, regardless of direction, predicts baseline memory accuracy and potential for memory enhancement. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *38*, 187–193. 198, 211
- Lyle, K. B., Logan, J. M., & Roediger, H. L. (2008). Eye movements enhance memory for individuals who are strongly right-handed and harm it for individuals who are not. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *15*, 515–520. 198, 200, 209, 211
- Lyle, K. B., & Osborn, A. E. (2011). Inconsistent handedness and saccade execution benefit face memory without affecting interhemispheric interaction. *Memory*, *19*, 613–624. 198, 210
- MacKay, D. J. C. (2003). *Information theory, inference, and learning algorithms*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 127, 136
- MacKinnon, D. P., Fairchild, A. J., & Fritz, M. S. (2007). Mediation analysis. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *58*, 593. 177, 178

- MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., & Hoffman, J. (1998). A new method to test for mediation. In *Annual meeting of the society for prevention research*. Park City, UT. 179, 180
- MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., Hoffman, J. M., West, S. G., & Sheets, V. (2002). A comparison of methods to test mediation and other intervening variable effects. *Psychological Methods*, 7, 83–104. 180
- MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., & Williams, J. (2004). Confidence limits for the indirect effect: Distribution of the product and resampling methods. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 39, 99–128. 189
- MacKinnon, D. P., Warsi, G., & Dwyer, J. H. (1995). A simulation study of mediated effect measures. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 30, 41–62. 180
- Madden, D. J., Gottlob, L. R., Denny, L. L., Turkington, T. G., Provenzale, J. M., Hawk, T. C., & Coleman, R. E. (1999). Aging and recognition memory: Changes in regional cerebral blood flow associated with components of reaction time distributions. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 11, 511–520. 13, 14
- Marchini, J., Howie, B., Myers, S., McVean, G., & Donnelly, P. (2007). A new multipoint method for genome-wide association studies by imputation of genotypes. *Nature Genetics*, 39, 906–913. 241
- Masson, M. E. J. (2011). A tutorial on a practical Bayesian alternative to null-hypothesis significance testing. *Behavior Research Methods*, 43, 679–690. 68, 112, 241
- Matzke, D., Dolan, C. V., Batchelder, W. H., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (in press). Bayesian estimation of multinomial processing tree models with heterogeneity in participants and items. *Psychometrika*. 132, 144, 174
- Matzke, D., Dolan, C. V., Logan, G. D., Brown, S. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2013). Bayesian parametric estimation of stop-signal reaction time distributions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 142, 1047–1073. 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 83, 84, 88, 89, 90, 255
- Matzke, D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2009). Psychological interpretation of the ex-gaussian and shifted wald parameters: A diffusion model analysis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 16, 798–817. 34, 43, 74, 75, 77, 78, 163, 170
- McGill, W. J. (1963). Stochastic latency mechanisms. In R. D. Luce, R. R. Bush, & E. Galanter (Eds.), *Handbook of mathematical psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 309–360). New York, NY: Wiley. 11
- McGill, W. J., & Gibbon, J. (1965). The general-gamma distribution and reaction times. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 2, 1–18. 11
- McHugh, R. (1958). Significance level in factorial design. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 26, 257–260. 228, 232
- Mellers, B., Hertwig, R., & Kahneman, D. (2001). Do frequency representations eliminate conjunction effects? An exercise in adversarial collaboration. *Psychological Science*, 12, 269–275. 196, 197, 208
- Merritt, P. S., DeLosh, E. L., & McDaniel, M. A. (2006). Effects of word frequency on individual-item and serial order retention: Tests of the order-encoding view. *Memory & Cognition*, 34, 1615–1627. 111
- Morey, R. D., & Rouder, J. N. (2011). Bayes factor approaches for testing interval null hypotheses. *Psychological Methods*, 16, 406–419. 191
- Morey, R. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2014). Simple relation between Bayesian order-restricted and point-null hypothesis tests. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 92, 121–124. 166, 188
- Moshagen, M. (2010). MultiTree: A computer program for the analysis of multinomial processing tree models. *Behavior Research Methods*, 42, 42–54. 94

- Muchinsky, P. M. (1996). The correction for attenuation. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, *56*, 63–75. 162
- Mussweiler, T. (2006). Doing is for thinking! *Psychological Science*, *17*, 17–21. 215, 216, 217, 218
- Muthén, B., & Asparouhov, T. (2012). Bayesian structural equation modeling: A more flexible representation of substantive theory. *Psychological Methods*, *17*, 313–335. 240
- Myung, I. J. (2000). The importance of complexity in model selection. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *44*, 190–204. 128
- Myung, I. J. (2003). Tutorial on maximum likelihood estimation. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *47*, 90–100. 19, 44, 67
- Myung, I. J., Forster, M. R., & Browne, M. W. (2000). A special issue on model selection. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *44*. 126, 143, 216
- Myung, I. J., Navarro, D. J., & Pitt, M. A. (2006). Model selection by normalized maximum likelihood. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *50*, 167–179. 134, 135
- Myung, I. J., & Pitt, M. A. (1997). Applying Occam’s razor in modeling cognition: A Bayesian approach. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *4*, 79–95. 136, 181
- Naglieri, J. A., & Jensen, A. R. (1985). Comparison of black-white differences on the WISC-R and the K-ABC: Spearman’s hypothesis. *Intelligence*, *11*, 21–43. 155
- Nakagawa, S. (2004). A farewell to Bonferroni: The problems of low statistical power and publication bias. *Behavioral Ecology*, *15*, 1044–1045. 233
- Navarro, D. J., Griffiths, T. L., Steyvers, M., & Lee, M. D. (2006). Modeling individual differences using Dirichlet processes. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *50*, 101–122. 95
- Neely, J. H. (1976). Semantic priming and retrieval from lexical memory: Evidence for facilitatory and inhibitory processes. *Memory & Cognition*, *4*, 648–654. 199
- Neely, J. H. (1977). Semantic priming and retrieval from lexical memory: Roles of inhibitionless spreading activation and limited-capacity attention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *106*, 226–254. 199
- Nickerson, R. S. (1998). Confirmation bias: A ubiquitous phenomenon in many guises. *Review of General Psychology*, *2*, 175–220. 197
- Nickerson, R. S. (2000). Null hypothesis statistical testing: A review of an old and continuing controversy. *Psychological Methods*, *5*, 241–301. 216, 217
- Nier, J. A., & Campbell, S. D. (2012). Two outsiders view on feminism and evolutionary psychology: An opportune time for adversarial collaboration. *Sex Roles*, 1–4. 196
- Nieuwenhuis, S., Elzinga, B. M., Ras, P. H., Berends, F., Duijs, P., Samara, Z., & Slagter, H. A. (2013). Bilateral saccadic eye movements and tactile stimulation, but not auditory stimulation, enhance memory retrieval. *Brain and Cognition*, *81*, 52–56. 198, 211
- Nilsson, H., Rieskamp, J., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2011). Hierarchical Bayesian parameter estimation for cumulative prospect theory. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *55*, 84–93. 48, 94, 166
- Nosek, B. A., Spies, J. R., & Motyl, M. (2012). Scientific utopia II. Restructuring incentives and practices to promote truth over publishability. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *7*, 615–631. 197
- Nuijten, M. B., Wetzels, R., Matzke, D., Dolan, C. V., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (in preparation). BayesMed: Default Bayesian hypothesis tests for correlation, partial correlation, and mediation [Computer software manual]. (R package version 0.1.0) 190
- Nuijten, M. B., Wetzels, R., Matzke, D., Dolan, C. V., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (submitted). A default Bayesian hypothesis tests for mediation. *Manuscript submitted for publication*. 241
- O’Hagan, A. (1995). Fractional Bayes factors for model comparison. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B*, *57*, 99–138. 135

- O'Hagan, A., & Forster, J. (2004). *Kendall's advanced theory of statistics vol. 2B. Bayesian inference* (2nd ed.). London, UK: Arnold. 68, 70, 133, 141, 180
- Okada, R. (1971). Decision latencies in short-term recognition memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *90*, 27–32. 18
- Oldfield, R. C. (1971). The assessment and analysis of handedness: The Edinburgh Inventory. *Neuropsychologia*, *9*, 97–113. 200
- Olejnik, S., Li, J., Supattathum, S., & Huberty, C. J. (1997). Multiple testing and statistical power with modified Bonferroni procedures. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, *22*, 389–406. 229, 230
- Oosterlaan, J., Logan, G. D., & Sergeant, J. A. (1998). Response inhibition in AD/HD, CD, comorbid AD/HD+CD, anxious, and control children: A meta-analysis of studies with the stop task. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *39*, 411–425. 34, 66, 74
- Osman, A., Kornblum, S., & Meyer, D. E. (1986). The point of no return in choice reaction time: Controlled and ballistic stages of response preparation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *12*, 243–258. 39
- Overstall, A. M., & Forster, J. J. (2010). Default Bayesian model determination methods for generalised linear mixed models. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, *54*, 3269–3288. 182
- Parker, A., Buckley, S., & Dagnall, N. (2009). Reduced misinformation effects following saccadic bilateral eye movements. *Brain and Cognition*, *69*, 89–97. 198
- Parker, A., & Dagnall, N. (2007). Effects of bilateral eye movements on gist based false recognition in the DRM paradigm. *Brain and Cognition*, *63*, 221–225. 198
- Parker, A., & Dagnall, N. (2010). Effects of handedness and saccadic bilateral eye movements on components of autobiographical recollection. *Brain and Cognition*, *73*, 93–101. 198
- Parker, A., & Dagnall, N. (2012). Effects of saccadic bilateral eye movements on memory in children and adults: An exploratory study. *Brain and Cognition*, *78*, 238–247. 198
- Parker, A., Relph, S., & Dagnall, N. (2008). Effects of bilateral eye movements on the retrieval of item, associative, and contextual information. *Neuropsychology*, *22*, 136. 198
- Pashler, H., & Harris, C. R. (2012). Is the replicability crisis overblown? Three arguments examined. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *7*, 531–536. 197
- Pashler, H., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2012). Editors introduction to the special section on replicability in psychological science: A crisis of confidence? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *7*, 528–530. 196
- Patil, A., Huard, D., & Fonnesebeck, C. (2010). PyMC: Bayesian stochastic modelling in Python. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *35*, 1–81. 80, 236
- Penner-Wilger, M., Leth-Steensen, C., & LeFevre, J. A. (2002). Decomposing the problem-size effect: A comparison of response time distributions across cultures. *Memory & Cognition*, *30*, 1160–1167. 14
- Pericchi, L. R., Liu, G., & Torres, D. (2008). Objective Bayes factors for informative hypotheses: “Completing” the informative hypothesis and “splitting” the Bayes factor. In H. Hoihtink, I. Klugkist, & P. A. Boelen (Eds.), *Bayesian evaluation of informative hypotheses* (pp. 131–154). New York, NY: Springer-Verlag. 188
- Pitt, M. A., & Myung, I. J. (2002). When a good fit can be bad. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *6*, 421–425. 4, 126, 129, 134, 237, 292
- Pitt, M. A., Myung, I. J., & Zhang, S. (2002). Toward a method of selecting among computational models of cognition. *Psychological Review*, *109*, 472–491. 134, 219
- Plourde, C. E., & Besner, D. (1997). On the locus of the word frequency effect in visual word recognition. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *51*, 181–194. 12

- Plummer, M. (2003). JAGS: A program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs sampling. In K. Hornik, F. Leisch, & A. Zeileis (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 3rd international workshop on distributed statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria. 122, 138, 165
- Plummer, M. (2009). JAGS Version 1.0.3 manual [Computer software manual]. Retrieved from [http://www-ice.iarc.fr/~martyn/software/jags/jags\\_user\\_manual.pdf](http://www-ice.iarc.fr/~martyn/software/jags/jags_user_manual.pdf) 188, 239
- Plummer, M. (2013). JAGS Version 3.1.0 [Computer software manual]. Retrieved from <http://mcmc-jags.sourceforge.net/> 165, 166
- Poldrack, R. A., Fletcher, P. C., Henson, R. N., Worsley, K. J., Brett, M., & Nichols, T. E. (2008). Guidelines for reporting an fMRI study. *NeuroImage*, *40*, 409–414. 231
- Possamaï, C. A. (1991). A responding hand effect in a simple-RT precuing experiment: Evidence for a late locus of facilitation. *Acta Psychologica*, *77*, 47–63. 13, 14
- Postman, L. (1970). Effects of word frequency on acquisition and retention under conditions of free-recall learning. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *22*, 185–195. 111
- Pratte, M. S., & Rouder, J. N. (2011). Hierarchical single- and dual-process models of recognition memory. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *55*, 36–46. 121
- Press, S., Chib, S., Clyde, M., Woodworth, G., & Zaslavsky, A. (2003). *Subjective and objective Bayesian statistics: Principles, models, and applications*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Interscience. 220
- Propper, R. E., & Christman, S. D. (2008). Interhemispheric interaction and saccadic horizontal eye movements. Implications for episodic memory, EMDR, and PTSD. *Journal of EMDR Practice and Research*, *2*, 269–281. 198, 211
- Purdy, B. P., & Batchelder, W. H. (2009). A context-free language for binary multinomial processing tree models. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *53*, 547–561. 94
- R Core Team. (2012). R: A language and environment for statistical computing [Computer software manual]. Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from <http://www.R-project.org/> (ISBN 3-900051-07-0) 80, 166, 190, 233, 236, 238
- R Development Core Team. (2006). R: A language and environment for statistical computing [Computer software manual]. Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from <http://www.R-project.org> 153
- Raftery, A. E. (1995). Bayesian model selection in social research. In P. V. Marsden (Ed.), *Sociological methodology* (p. 111-196). Cambridge, UK: Blackwells. 112, 133
- Raftery, A. E. (1999). Bayes factors and BIC. *Sociological Methods & Research*, *27*, 411–417. 112
- Rao, C. R., & Toutenburg, H. (1999). *Linear models: Least squares and alternatives* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Springer-Verslag. 228
- Ratcliff, R. (1978). A theory of memory retrieval. *Psychological Review*, *85*, 59–108. 1, 3, 10, 13, 16, 18, 29, 35, 40, 42, 43, 68, 75, 126, 141, 160, 166, 170, 174, 235, 292
- Ratcliff, R. (1993). A method for dealing with reaction time outliers. *Psychological Bulletin*, *114*, 510–532. 13, 35, 40, 42, 68, 75
- Ratcliff, R. (2002). A diffusion model account of response time and accuracy in a brightness discrimination task: Fitting real data and failing to fit fake but plausible data. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *9*, 278–291. 16
- Ratcliff, R., Gomez, P., & McKoon, G. (2004). A diffusion model account of the lexical decision task. *Psychological Review*, *111*, 159–182. 16
- Ratcliff, R., & McKoon, G. (2008). The diffusion decision model: Theory and data for two-choice decision tasks. *Neural Computation*, *20*, 873–922. 10, 16, 17, 170
- Ratcliff, R., & Murdock, B. B. (1976). Retrieval processes in recognition memory. *Psychological Review*, *83*, 190–214. 13, 18, 35, 40, 42, 68, 75, 76

- Ratcliff, R., & Rouder, J. N. (2000). A diffusion model account of masking in two-choice letter identification. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *26*, 127–140. 16
- Ratcliff, R., Schmiedek, F., & McKoon, G. (2008). A diffusion model explanation of the worst performance rule for reaction time and IQ. *Intelligence*, *36*, 10–17. 170
- Ratcliff, R., & Strayer, D. (2014). Modeling simple driving tasks with a one-boundary diffusion model. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *21*, 577–589. 161
- Ratcliff, R., Thapar, A., Gomez, P., & McKoon, G. (2004). A diffusion model analysis of the effects of aging in the lexical-decision task. *Psychology and Aging*, *19*, 278–289. 16
- Ratcliff, R., Thapar, A., & McKoon, G. (2001). The effects of aging on reaction time in a signal detection task. *Psychology and Aging*, *16*, 323–341. 16
- Ratcliff, R., Thapar, A., & McKoon, G. (2003). A diffusion model analysis of the effects of aging on brightness discrimination. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *65*, 523–535. 16
- Ratcliff, R., Thapar, A., & McKoon, G. (2004). A diffusion model analysis of the effects of aging on recognition memory. *Journal of Memory & Language*, *50*, 408–424. 16
- Ratcliff, R., Thapar, A., & McKoon, G. (2010). Individual differences, aging, and IQ in two-choice tasks. *Cognitive Psychology*, *60*, 127–157. 170
- Raven, J., Raven, J. C., & Court, J. H. (1998). *Manual for Raven's Progressive Matrices and Vocabulary Scales. Section 4: The Advanced Progressive Matrices*. San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Assessment. 170
- Richard, F. D., Bond, C. F. J., & Stokes-Zoota, J. J. (2003). One hundred years of social psychology quantitatively described. *Review of General Psychology*, *7*, 331–363. 218
- Rickard, T. C. (1997). Bending the power law: A CMPL theory of strategy shifts and the automatization of cognitive skills. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *126*, 288–311. 126
- Ridderinkhof, K. R., Band, G. P. H., & Logan, G. D. (1999). A study of adaptive behavior: Effects of age and irrelevant information on the ability to inhibit one's actions. *Acta Psychologica*, *101*, 315–337. 34, 66, 74
- Riefer, D. M., & Batchelder, W. H. (1988). Multinomial modeling and the measurement of cognitive processes. *Psychological Review*, *95*, 318–339. 94, 132
- Riefer, D. M., & Batchelder, W. H. (1991). Statistical inference for multinomial processing tree models. In J.-P. Doignon & J.-C. G. Falmagne (Eds.), *Mathematical psychology: Current developments* (pp. 313–335). New York, NY: Springer-Verlag. 95, 97
- Riefer, D. M., Knapp, B. R., Batchelder, W. H., Bamber, D., & Manifold, V. (2002). Cognitive psychometrics: Assessing storage and retrieval deficits in special populations with multinomial processing tree models. *Psychological Assessment*, *14*, 184–200. 97, 114
- Rissanen, J. (1978). Modeling by shortest data description. *Automatica*, *14*, 445–471. 134
- Rissanen, J. (1987). Stochastic complexity. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B*, *49*, 223–239. 134
- Rissanen, J. (1996). Fisher information and stochastic complexity. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, *42*, 40–47. 134
- Rissanen, J. (2001). Strong optimality of the normalized ML models as universal codes and information in data. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, *47*, 1712–1717. 134, 135
- Roese, N. J., & Vohs, K. D. (2012). Hindsight bias. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *7*, 411–426. 197
- Rohrer, D. (1996). On the relative and absolute strength of a memory trace. *Memory & Cognition*, *24*, 188–201. 14
- Rohrer, D. (2002). The breadth of memory search. *Memory*, *10*, 291–301. 14

- Rohrer, D., & Wixted, J. T. (1994). An analysis of latency and interresponse time in free recall. *Memory & Cognition*, *22*, 511–524. 13, 14
- Rom, D. M. (1990). A sequentially rejective test procedure based on a modified Bonferroni inequality. *Biometrika*, *77*, 663–665. 232
- Rosenthal, R. (1976). *Experimenter effects in behavioral research*. New York, NY: Irvington. 210
- Rosenthal, R. (1979). An introduction to the file drawer problem. *Psychological Bulletin*, *86*, 683–641. 197, 209
- Rosenthal, R. (1990). How are we doing in soft psychology? *American Psychologist*, *45*, 775–777. 218
- Rosenthal, R., & Rubin, D. B. (1978). Interpersonal expectancy effects: The first 345 studies. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *1*, 377–386. 211
- Rosenthal, R., & Rubin, D. B. (1982). A simple, general purpose display of magnitude of experimental effect. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *74*, 166–169. 218
- Rotello, C. M., & Zeng, M. (2008). Analysis of RT distributions in the remember-know paradigm. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *15*, 825–832. 13, 14
- Rouder, J. N. (2005). Are unshifted distributional models appropriate for response time? *Psychometrika*, *70*, 377–381. 77
- Rouder, J. N., & Lu, J. (2005). An introduction to Bayesian hierarchical models with an application in the theory of signal detection. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *12*, 573–604. 48, 94, 95, 96, 101, 102, 106, 121, 225
- Rouder, J. N., Lu, J., Morey, R. D., Sun, D., & Speckman, P. L. (2008). A hierarchical process-dissociation model. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *137*, 370–389. 94, 96, 101, 106, 121, 132, 174
- Rouder, J. N., Lu, J., Speckman, P., Sun, D., & Jiang, Y. (2005). A hierarchical model for estimating response time distributions. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *12*, 195–223. 24, 46, 48, 77, 78, 163
- Rouder, J. N., Lu, J., Sun, D., Speckman, P., Morey, R., & Naveh-Benjamin, M. (2007). Signal detection models with random participant and item effects. *Psychometrika*, *72*, 621–642. 95, 96, 101, 106, 121, 122, 123, 174
- Rouder, J. N., & Morey, R. D. (2012). Default Bayes factors for model selection in regression. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *47*, 877–903. 178, 241
- Rouder, J. N., Morey, R. D., Speckman, P. L., & Province, J. M. (2012). Default Bayes factors for ANOVA designs. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *56*, 356–374. 178, 180, 199
- Rouder, J. N., Speckman, P. L., Sun, D., Morey, R. D., & Iverson, G. (2009). Bayesian *t* tests for accepting and rejecting the null hypothesis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *16*, 225–237. 2, 4, 68, 70, 178, 181, 199, 200, 216, 217, 219, 220, 225, 239, 241, 293
- Rouder, J. N., Sun, D., Speckman, P. L., Lu, J., & Zhou, D. (2003). A hierarchical Bayesian statistical framework for response time distributions. *Psychometrika*, *68*, 589–606. 24, 46, 48, 95
- Ryan, T. A. (1959). Multiple comparison in psychological research. *Psychological Bulletin*, *56*, 26–47. 230
- Samara, Z., Elzinga, B. M., Slagter, H. A., & Nieuwenhuis, S. (2011). Do horizontal saccadic eye movements increase interhemispheric coherence? Investigation of a hypothesized neural mechanism underlying EMDR. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *2*, 1–7. 198, 209, 211
- Saris, W. E., & Satorra, A. (1993). Power evaluation in structural equation models. In K. A. Bollen & J. S. Long (Eds.), *Testing structural equation models*. (pp. 181–204). Newbury Park, CA: Sage. 149, 150

- Satorra, A., & Saris, W. E. (1985). Power of the likelihood ratio test in covariance structure analysis. *Psychometrika*, *50*, 83–90. 149, 150
- Schachar, R., & Logan, G. D. (1990). Impulsivity and inhibitory control in normal development and childhood psychopathology. *Developmental Psychology*, *26*, 710–720. 34, 66, 74
- Schachar, R., Mota, V. L., Logan, G. D., Tannock, R., & Klim, P. (2000). Confirmation of an inhibitory control deficit in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *28*, 227–235. 34, 66, 74
- Scheffé, H. (1953). A method for judging all contrasts in the analysis of variance. *Biometrika*, *40*, 87–110. 228
- Scheibehenne, B., Rieskamp, J., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2013). Testing adaptive toolbox models: A Bayesian hierarchical approach. *Psychological Review*, *120*, 39–64. 144
- Schlitz, M., Wiseman, R., Watt, C., & Radin, D. (2006). Of two minds: Sceptic-proponent collaboration within parapsychology. *British Journal of Psychology*, *97*, 313–322. 196
- Schmidt, F. L. (1996). Statistical significance testing and cumulative knowledge in psychology: Implications for training of researchers. *Psychological Methods*, *1*, 115–129. 217
- Schmiedek, F., Oberauer, K., Wilhelm, O., Suss, H.-M., & Wittmann, W. W. (2007). Individual differences in components of reaction time distributions and their relations to working memory and intelligence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *136*, 414–429. 14, 17, 18, 170
- Schmittmann, V. D., Dolan, C. V., Raijmakers, M. E., & Batchelder, W. H. (2010). Parameter identification in multinomial processing tree models. *Behavior Research Methods*, *42*, 836–846. 121
- Schouten, J. F., & Bekker, J. A. M. (1967). Reaction time and accuracy. *Acta Psychologica*, *27*, 143–153. 31
- Schwarz, G. (1978). Estimating the dimension of a model. *Annals of Statistics*, *6*, 461–464. 4, 133, 183, 238, 293
- Schwarz, W. (2001). The ex-Wald distribution as a descriptive model of response times. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, *33*, 457–469. 15, 18, 69, 77
- Schwarz, W. (2002). On the convolution of inverse Gaussian and exponential random variables. *Communications in Statistics: Theory & Methods*, *31*, 2113–2121. 15
- Scott, J. G., & Berger, J. O. (2006). An exploration of aspects of Bayesian multiple testing. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, *136*, 2144–2162. 220, 241
- Scott, J. G., & Berger, J. O. (2010). Bayes and empirical-Bayes multiplicity adjustment in the variable-selection problem. *The Annals of Statistics*, *38*, 2587–2619. 241
- Sellke, T., Bayarri, M. J., & Berger, J. O. (2001). Calibration of  $p$  values for testing precise null hypotheses. *The American Statistician*, *55*, 62–71. 180, 209
- Shaffer, J. P. (1986). Modified sequentially rejective multiple test procedures. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *81*, 826–831. 228, 232
- Shaffer, J. P. (1995). Multiple hypothesis testing. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *46*, 561–584. 231
- Shanks, D. R., Newell, B. R., Lee, E. H., Balakrishnan, D., Ekelund, L., Cenac, Z., ... Moore, C. (2013). Priming intelligent behavior: An elusive phenomenon. *PLoS ONE*, *8*, e56515. 196
- Shapiro, F. (1989). Eye movement desensitization: A new treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, *20*, 211–217. 198
- Shenoy, P., Rao, R., & Yu, A. J. (2010). A rational decision-making framework for inhibitory control. In J. Lafferty, C. k. I. Williams, J. Shawe-Taylor, R. S. Zemel, & A. Culotta (Eds.), *Advances in neural information processing systems* (Vol. 23, p. 2146–2154). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 70

- Shenoy, P., & Yu, A. J. (2011). Rational decision-making in inhibitory control. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *5*, 48. 70
- Sheu, C., & O'Curry, S. L. (1998). Simulation-based Bayesian inference using BUGS. *Behavior Research Methods*, *30*, 232–237. 95
- Shiffrin, R. M., Lee, M. D., Kim, W., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2008). A survey of model evaluation approaches with a tutorial on hierarchical Bayesian methods. *Cognitive Science*, *32*, 1248–1284. 24, 25, 48, 94
- Shiffrin, R. M., & Steyvers, M. (1997). A model for recognition memory: REM—retrieving effectively from memory. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *4*, 145–166. 141
- Silver, N. (2012). *The signal and the noise: The art and science of prediction*. London, UK: Allen Lane. 127
- Silverman, B. W. (1986). *Density estimation for statistics and data analysis* (Vol. 3). London, UK: Chapman & Hall. 40
- Simmons, J. P., Nelson, L. D., & Simonsohn, U. (2011). False-positive psychology: Undisclosed flexibility in data collection and analysis allows presenting anything as significant. *Psychological Science*, *22*, 1359–1366. 196, 197
- Simonsohn, U., Nelson, L. D., & Simmons, J. P. (2014). P-curve: A key to the file-drawer. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *143*, 534–547. 211
- Singmann, H., & Kellen, D. (2013). MPTinR: Analysis of multinomial processing tree models in R. *Behavior Research Methods*, *45*, 560–575. 132, 133, 135
- Smith, J. B., & Batchelder, W. H. (2008). Assessing individual differences in categorical data. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *15*, 713–731. 94, 95
- Smith, J. B., & Batchelder, W. H. (2010). Beta-MPT: Multinomial processing tree models for addressing individual differences. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *54*, 167–183. 94, 95, 132
- Smith, P. L. (1995). Psychophysically principled models of visual simple reaction time. *Psychological Review*, *102*, 567–593. 15
- Smith, R. A., Levine, T. R., Lachlan, K. A., & Fediuk, T. A. (2002). The high cost of complexity in experimental design and data analysis: Type I and Type II error rates in multiway ANOVA. *Human Communication Research*, *28*, 515–530. 230
- Sobel, M. E. (1982). Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models. *Sociological Methodology*, *13*, 290–312. 179, 189
- Song, X.-Y., & Lee, S.-Y. (2012). A tutorial on the Bayesian approach for analyzing structural equation models. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *56*, 135–148. 174, 240
- Spearman, C. (1904). The proof and measurement of association between two things. *The American Journal of Psychology*, *15*, 72–101. 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164
- Spiegelhalter, D. J., Best, N. G., Carlin, B. P., & van der Linde, A. (2002). Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit (with discussions). *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B*, *64*, 583–616. 83
- Spiegelhalter, D. J., Thomas, A., Best, N. G., Gilks, W. R., & Lunn, D. (2003). BUGS: Bayesian inference using Gibbs sampling [Computer software manual]. Retrieved from <http://www.mrc-bsu.cam.ac.uk/bugs/> 108
- Spieler, D. H. (2001). Modelling age-related changes in information processing. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, *13*, 217–234. 18
- Spieler, D. H., Balota, D. A., & Faust, M. E. (1996). Stroop performance in healthy younger and older adults and in individuals with dementia of the Alzheimer's type. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *22*, 461–479. 14

- Spieler, D. H., Balota, D. A., & Faust, M. E. (2000). Levels of selective attention revealed through analyses of response time distributions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *26*, 506–526. 18
- Stahl, C., & Klauer, K. C. (2007). HMMTree: A computer program for latent-class hierarchical multinomial processing tree models. *Behavior Research Methods*, *39*, 267–273. 95
- Stan Development Team. (2012). Stan modeling language [Computer software manual]. Retrieved from <http://mc-stan.org/> 122, 165
- Stauffer, J. M., Ree, M. J., & Carretta, T. R. (1996). Cognitive-components tests are not much more than *g*: An extension of Kyllonen's analyses. *Journal of General Psychology*, *123*, 193–206. 148
- Sternberg, S. (1966). High-speed scanning in human memory. *Science*, *153*, 652–654. 18
- Stoel, R. D., Garre, F. G., Dolan, C., & van den Wittenboer, G. (2006). On the likelihood ratio test in structural equation modeling when parameters are subject to boundary constraints. *Psychological Methods*, *11*, 439–455. 152
- Stone, C. J., Hansen, M. H., Kooperberg, C., & Truong, Y. K. (1997). Polynomial splines and their tensor products in extended linear modeling (with discussion). *The Annals of Statistics*, *25*, 1371–1470. 141
- Sturtz, S., Ligges, U., & Gelman, A. E. (2005). R2WinBUGS: A package for running WinBUGS from R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *12*, 1–16. 260
- Sumby, W. H. (1963). Word frequency and serial position effects. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, *1*, 443–450. 111
- Süß, H. M., Oberauer, K., Wittmann, W. W., Wilhelm, O., & Schulze, R. (2002). Working-memory capacity explains reasoning ability— and a little bit more. *Intelligence*, *30*, 261–288. 148
- Tetlock, P. E., & Mitchell, G. (2009). Implicit bias and accountability systems: What must organizations do to prevent discrimination? *Research in Organizational Behavior*, *29*, 3–38. 196
- Thapar, A., Ratcliff, R., & McKoon, G. (2003). A diffusion model analysis of the effects of aging on letter discrimination. *Psychology and Aging*, *18*, 415–429. 16
- Thompson, B. (2002). What future quantitative social science research could look like: Confidence intervals for effect sizes. *Educational Researcher*, *31*, 25–32. 218
- Tierney, L. (1994). Markov chains for exploring posterior distributions. *The Annals of Statistics*, 1701–1728. 80
- Toutenburg, H. (2002). *Statistical analysis of designed experiments*. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag. 229
- Townsend, J. T., & Ashby, F. G. (1983). *Stochastic modeling of elementary psychological processes*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 10
- Tukey, J. W. (1973). *The problem of multiple comparisons*. Princeton University. 228
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1992). Advances in prospect theory: Cumulative representation of uncertainty. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, *5*, 297–323. 160, 166, 174
- Ulrich, R., & Miller, J. (1994). Effects of truncation on reaction time analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *123*, 34–80. 41
- Undheim, J. O., & Gustafsson, J. E. (1987). The hierarchical organization of cognitive abilities: Restoring general intelligence through the use of linear structural relations (LISREL). *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *22*, 149–171. 148, 154, 156
- Vandekerckhove, J., Matzke, D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2013). Model comparison and the principle of parsimony. In J. Busemeyer, J. Townsend, Z. J. Wang, & A. Eidels (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of computational and mathematical psychology*. Manuscript accepted pending minor revision. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. 181

- Vandekerckhove, J., & Tuerlinckx, F. (2007). Fitting the Ratcliff diffusion model to experimental data. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *14*, 1011–1026. 19
- Vandekerckhove, J., & Tuerlinckx, F. (2008). Diffusion model analysis with MATLAB: A DMAT primer. *Behavior Research Methods*, *40*, 61–72. 19
- van der Maas, H. L. J., Dolan, C. V., Grasman, R. P. P. P., Wicherts, J. M., Huizenga, H. M., & Raijmakers, M. E. J. (2006). A dynamical model of general intelligence: The positive manifold of intelligence by mutualism. *Psychological Review*, *113*, 842–861. 148
- van der Sluis, S., Dolan, C. V., & Stoel, R. D. (2005). A note on testing perfect correlations in SEM. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *12*, 551–577. 152
- van de Schoot, R., Hoijsink, H., & Deković, M. (2010). Testing inequality constrained hypotheses in SEM models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, *17*, 443–463. 240
- Vanpaemel, W. (2010). Prior sensitivity in theory testing: An apologia for the Bayes factor. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *54*, 491–498. 70, 206
- van Ravenzwaaij, D., Brown, S., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2011). An integrated perspective on the relation between response speed and intelligence. *Cognition*, *119*, 381–393. 170
- Van Zandt, T. (2002). Analysis of response time distributions. In J. Wixted (Ed.), *Stevens' handbook of experimental psychology* (pp. 461–516). San Diego, CA: Academic Press. 69
- Venzon, D. J., & Moolgavkar, S. H. (1988). A method for computing profile-likelihood-based confidence intervals. *Applied Statistics*, 87–94. 180
- Verbruggen, F., Chambers, C. D., & Logan, G. D. (2009). Fictitious inhibitory differences: How skewness and slowing distort the estimation of stopping latencies. *Psychological Science*, *24*, 352–362. 74
- Verbruggen, F., & Logan, G. D. (2009). Models of response inhibition in the stop-signal and stop-change paradigms. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *33*, 647–661. 39, 74
- Verbruggen, F., Logan, G. D., & Stevens, M. A. (2008). STOP-IT: Windows executable software for the stop-signal paradigm. *Behavior Research Methods*, *40*, 479–483. 39, 69
- Verdinelli, I., & Wasserman, L. (1995). Computing Bayes factors using a generalization of the Savage–Dickey density ratio. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *90*, 614–618. 141
- Verhagen, J., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2014). A Bayesian test to quantify the success or failure of a replication attempt. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *143*. 191
- Vickers, D., Nettelbeck, T., & Willson, R. J. (1972). Perceptual indices of performance: The measurement of “inspection time” and “noise” in the visual system. *Perception*, *1*, 263–295. 169
- Voss, A., Rothermund, K., & Voss, J. (2004). Interpreting the parameters of the diffusion model: An empirical validation. *Memory & Cognition*, *32*, 1206–1220. 3, 10, 16, 17, 170, 235, 292
- Vrieze, S. I. (2012). Model selection and psychological theory: A discussion of the differences between the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). *Psychological Methods*, *17*, 228. 133
- Wabersich, D., & Vandekerckhove, J. (2014). Extending JAGS: A tutorial on adding custom distributions to JAGS (with a diffusion model example). *Behavior Research Methods*, *46*, 15–28. Retrieved from <http://www.cidlab.com/supp.php?o=ww13> 170
- Wagenaar, W. A., & Boer, J. P. A. (1987). Misleading postevent information: Testing parameterized models of integration in memory. *Acta Psychologica*, *66*, 291–306. 126, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 238
- Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2007). A practical solution to the pervasive problems of *p* values. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *14*, 779–804. 112, 180, 199, 216, 225, 233

- Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2009). Methodological and empirical developments for the Ratcliff diffusion model of response times and accuracy. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, *21*, 641–671. 16, 17, 160, 170, 174
- Wagenmakers, E.-J., & Farrell, S. (2004). AIC model selection using Akaike weights. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *11*, 192–196. 133
- Wagenmakers, E.-J., & Grünwald, P. (2006). A Bayesian perspective on hypothesis testing. *Psychological Science*, *17*, 641–642. 189, 216, 217
- Wagenmakers, E.-J., Lodewyckx, T., Kuriyal, H., & Grasman, R. (2010). Bayesian hypothesis testing for psychologists: A tutorial on the Savage–Dickey method. *Cognitive Psychology*, *60*, 158–189. 48, 141, 165, 181, 188, 199, 216
- Wagenmakers, E.-J., Ratcliff, R., Gomez, P., & McKoon, G. (2008). A diffusion model account of criterion shifts in the lexical decision task. *Journal of Memory & Language*, *58*, 140–159. 10, 16, 22, 26, 27, 29, 235
- Wagenmakers, E.-J., van der Maas, H. L. J., Dolan, C. V., & Grasman, R. P. P. P. (2008). EZ does it! Extensions of the EZ-diffusion model. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *15*, 1229–1235. 10, 43
- Wagenmakers, E.-J., van der Maas, H. L. J., & Grasman, R. P. P. P. (2007). An EZ-diffusion model for response time and accuracy. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *14*, 3–22. 31
- Wagenmakers, E.-J., & Waldorp, L. (2006). Model selection: Theoretical developments and applications [Special issue]. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *50*. 126, 143
- Wagenmakers, E.-J., Wetzels, R., Borsboom, D., & van der Maas, H. L. J. (2011). Why psychologists must change the way they analyze their data: The case of psi. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *100*, 426–432. 137, 191, 196, 197, 199, 200, 208, 224
- Wagenmakers, E.-J., Wetzels, R., Borsboom, D., van der Maas, H. L. J., & Kievit, R. A. (2012). An agenda for purely confirmatory research. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *7*, 632–638. 4, 197, 199, 200, 208, 234, 240, 294
- Wainer, H. (1999). One cheer for null hypothesis significance testing. *Psychological Methods*, *4*, 212–213. 217
- Wald, A. (1947). *Sequential analysis*. New York, NY: Wiley. 13
- Wasserman, L. (2004). *All of statistics: A concise course in statistical inference*. New York, NY: Springer. 217
- Wechsler, D. (1974). *Manual for the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children—Revised*. New York, NY: The Psychological Corporation. 155
- Wetzels, R., Grasman, R. P. P. P., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2010). An encompassing prior generalization of the Savage–Dickey density ratio test. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, *54*, 2094–2102. 141, 165, 188
- Wetzels, R., Grasman, R. P. P. P., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2012). A default Bayesian hypothesis test for ANOVA designs. *The American Statistician*, *66*, 104–111. 2, 68, 178, 241
- Wetzels, R., Lee, M. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2010). Bayesian inference using WBDev: A tutorial for social scientists. *Behavior Research Methods*, *42*, 884–897. 220, 236, 252
- Wetzels, R., Matzke, D., Lee, M. D., Rouder, J. N., Iverson, G. J., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2011). Statistical evidence in experimental psychology: An empirical comparison using 855 *t* tests. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *6*, 291–298. 180, 209
- Wetzels, R., Raaijmakers, J. G. W., Jakab, E., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2009). How to quantify support for and against the null hypothesis: A flexible WinBUGS implementation of a default Bayesian *t* test. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *16*, 752–760. 68, 70, 178, 199, 200, 216, 217, 220, 241

- Wetzels, R., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2012). A default Bayesian hypothesis test for correlations and partial correlations. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *19*, 1057-1064. 68, 178, 182, 183, 184, 239, 241
- Wickelgren, W. A. (1977). Speed-accuracy tradeoff and information processing dynamics. *Acta Psychologica*, *41*, 67-85. 31
- Wickelmaier, F. (2011). Mpt: Multinomial processing tree (MPT) models [Computer software manual]. Retrieved from <http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mpt/index.html> 94
- Wiecki, T. V., Sofer, I., & Frank, M. J. (2013). HDDM: Hierarchical Bayesian estimation of the Drift-Diffusion model in Python. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, *7*, doi: 10.3389/fninf.2013.00014. 80, 236
- Wilkinson, L., & the Task Force on Statistical Inference. (1999). Statistical methods in psychology journals: Guidelines and explanations. *American Psychologist*, *54*, 594-604. 216
- Williams, B. R., Ponesse, J. S., Schachar, R. J., Logan, G. D., & Tannock, R. (1999). Development of inhibitory control across the life span. *Developmental Psychology*, *35*, 205-213. 34, 47, 49, 66, 70, 74
- Winnie, P. H., & Belfry, M. J. (1982). Interpretive problems when correcting for attenuation. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, *19*, 125-134. 161
- Wiseman, R., & Schlitz, M. (1997). Experimenter effects and the remote detecting of staring. *Journal of Parapsychology*, *61*, 197-207. 196
- Wiseman, R., & Schlitz, M. (1998). Replication of experimenter effects and the remote detecting of staring. *Proceedings of the 12nd Annual Convention of the Parapsychological Association*, 471-479. 196
- Wixted, J. T., Ghadisha, H., & Vera, R. (1997). Recall latency following pure-and mixed-strength lists: A direct test of the relative strength model of free recall. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *23*, 523-538. 14
- Wixted, J. T., & Rohrer, D. (1993). Proactive interference and the dynamics of free recall. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *19*, 1024-1039. 14
- Wolfe, J. M. (2013). Registered reports and replications in Attention, Perception & Psychophysics. *Attention, Perception & Psychophysics*, *75*, 781-783. 234
- Wright, S. P. (1992). Adjusted *p*-values for simultaneous inference. *Biometrics*, *48*, 1005-1013. 228, 231, 232
- Wu, H., Myung, J. I., & Batchelder, W. H. (2010). Minimum description length model selection of multinomial processing tree models. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *17*, 275-286. 135
- Yap, M. J., & Balota, D. A. (2007). Additive and interactive effects on response time distributions in visual word recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *33*, 274-296. 12
- Yap, M. J., Balota, D. A., Cortese, M. J., & Watson, J. M. (2006). Single-versus dual-process models of lexical decision performance: Insights from response time distributional analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *32*, 1324-1344. 12, 18
- Yuan, Y., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2009). Bayesian mediation analysis. *Psychological Methods*, *14*, 301-322. 177, 178, 180, 181, 189, 190, 191, 239
- Zeelenberg, R., Wagenmakers, E.-J., & Rotteveel, M. (2006). The impact of emotion on perception: Bias or enhanced processing? *Psychological Science*, *17*, 287-291. 201
- Zellner, A., & Siow, A. (1980). Posterior odds ratios for selected regression hypotheses. In J. M. Bernardo, M. H. De Groot, D. V. Lindley, & A. F. M. Smith (Eds.), *Bayesian statistics* (pp. 585-603). Valencia, Spain: University Press. 183

---

# Samenvatting

---

Hoe kunnen we data verkregen uit psychologische experimenten het beste beschrijven? In dit proefschrift stelde ik dat dit bij uitstek gedaan kan worden met behulp van formele wiskundige modellen. Het doel van modelleren is om datapatronen te ontdekken en deze te beschrijven aan de hand van parameters die verschillende statistische of psychologische processen vertegenwoordigen. Er bestaan veel verschillende typen wiskundige modellen waarvan velen in dit proefschrift aan de orde zijn komen: Ik heb me gericht op beschrijvende en procesmodellen voor eenvoudige tweekeuze-responstijdtaken, modellen voor responsinhibitie zoals gemeten in het stopsignaalparadigma, multinomiale *processing-tree*-modellen voor de analyse van categorische data, en bekende statistische modellen zoals de *t*-toets, de variantieanalyse, correlaties en partiële correlaties, latente-variabelemodellen, en mediatie-analyse.

Nadat we een wiskundig model voor onze data hebben gekozen, moeten we de modelparameters schatten en nagaan of het gekozen model inderdaad een adequate beschrijving van de data biedt. Hoe kunnen we het beste psychologische datasets die beschreven zijn met behulp van wiskundige modellen analyseren? In dit proefschrift stelde ik dat dit het beste gedaan kan worden door middel van Bayesiaanse inferentie. Ik heb beweerd dat Bayesiaanse statistiek belangrijke theoretische en praktische voordelen biedt ten opzichte van frequentistische statistiek, voordelen die Bayesiaanse procedures bij uitstek geschikt maken om de problemen uit de dagelijkse werkpraktijk van psychologisch onderzoek aan te pakken.

In rest van deze samenvatting zal ik een overzicht en een korte beschrijving geven van de vraagstukken waarmee ik me tijdens mijn promotieproject heb beziggehouden. In dit proefschrift zijn zeer verschillende onderwerpen verkend; de gemene deler is de toewijding aan wiskundig modelleren en zorgvuldige statistische inferentie.

## Deel I. De Analyse van Responstijdverdelingen

Het eerste deel van dit proefschrift richtte zich op het modelleren van responstijden—zowel geobserveerde als niet geobserveerde—met behulp van de *ex-Gaussian* en *shifted Wald*-responstijdverdelingen.

In het tweede hoofdstuk onderzoek ik de validiteit van de cognitieve interpretatie van de parameters van de *ex-Gaussian* en *shifted Wald* verdelingen. De *ex-Gaussian* en de *shifted Wald* zijn veelgebruikte statistische modellen voor het beschrijven van responstijdverdelingen in snelle tweekeuzetaken, waarvan de parameters vaak geïnterpreteerd worden in termen van cognitieve processen. We hebben de validiteit van deze cognitieve interpretatie onderzocht door de parameters van de *ex-Gaussian* en *shifted Wald*-verdelingen te relateren aan de parameters van het Ratcliff-

diffusiemodel (Ratcliff, 1978), een succesvol procesmodel waarvan de parameters een gegronde cognitieve interpretatie kennen (e.g., Voss et al., 2004). De resultaten tonen aan dat de ex-Gaussian en shifted Wald-parameters niet uniek overeenkomen met de parameters van het diffusiemodel. De cognitieve interpretatie van de parameters van deze verdelingen wordt daarom afgeraden.

In het derde hoofdstuk introduceerde ik een Bayesiaanse parametrische methode voor het schatten van de tijd die mensen nodig hebben om hun respons af te breken (stopsignaalrespons-tijd; SSRT) in het stopsignaalparadigma. Het stopsignaalparadigma is een populair experimentele procedure die wordt gebruikt om het onderdrukken van responsen op tweekeuzetaken te onderzoeken. Gebaseerd op het racemodel (Logan & Cowan, 1984) zijn verscheidende methoden ontwikkeld om SSRT's te schatten die anders niet geobserveerd zouden kunnen worden. Geen van deze methoden is echter in staat om een accurate schatting te maken van de gehele verdeling van SSRT's, terwijl responstijdverdelingen juist waardevolle informatie kunnen bevatten voor de onderzoeker (Heathcote et al., 1991). We introduceerden een Bayesiaanse methode om deze beperking te verhelpen. Deze nieuwe aanpak doet de aanname dat SSRT's een ex-Gaussian-verdeling volgen en gebruikt Markov chain Monte Carlo (Gamerman & Lopes, 2006; Gilks et al., 1996) sampling om de posterior-verdeling van de parameters te schatten. We toonden aan dat de Bayesiaanse methode in staat is de ware waarden van de parameters terug te schatten in datasets van een realistische omvang. We raadden onderzoekers aan de voorgestelde methode consequent te gebruiken en de hele verdeling van SSRT's te beschouwen bij het analyseren van stopsignaaldata.

In het vierde hoofdstuk presenteerde ik BEESTS, een efficiënte en gebruiksvriendelijke software-implementatie van de Bayesiaanse parametrische methode die geïntroduceerd werd in hoofdstuk 3. BEESTS heeft een eenvoudig te gebruiken grafische gebruikersinterface en voorziet gebruikers van kengetallen van de posterior-verdeling van de parameters, alsook verschillende diagnostische middelen om de kwaliteit van de parameterschattingen te beoordelen. We illustreerden het gebruik van BEESTS aan de hand van gepubliceerde stopsignaaldata. Deze software maakt het schatten van SSRT verdelingen ook toegankelijk voor de toegepaste wetenschap.

## Deel II. Multinomiale *Processing-Tree*-Modellen

Het tweede deel van dit proefschrift richtte zich op modelselectie en het schatten van parameters voor multinomiale *processing-tree* (MPT) modellen. MPT-modellen zijn theoretisch gemotiveerde stochastische modellen voor categorische data. Als gevolg van hun eenvoud worden MPT-modellen frequent en in veel verschillende gebieden toegepast binnen de cognitieve psychologie (e.g., Batchelder & Riefer, 1999).

In het vijfde hoofdstuk introduceerde ik een Bayesiaanse aanpak voor het schatten van parameters van MPT-modellen. MPT-modellen worden gewoonlijk toegepast op geaggregeerde data, waarbij de onrealistische aanname wordt gedaan dat er geen heterogeniteit bestaat tussen de parameters (Hu & Batchelder, 1994). Onze voorgestelde Bayesiaanse aanpak houdt rekening met de heterogeniteit van de model parameters, die kan ontstaan als gevolg van individuele verschillen zowel tussen proefpersonen als items. We hebben het gebruik van de nieuwe methode geïllustreerd aan de hand van experimentele data verkregen uit de *pair-clustering*-taak (Batchelder & Riefer, 1980), een geheugentaak waarin proefpersonen semantisch gerelateerde woorden moeten onthouden. We raadden onderzoekers aan om de voorgestelde methode consequent toe te passen om de vertekening van parameterschattingen als gevolg van parameterheterogeniteit te voorkomen.

In het zesde hoofdstuk presenteerde ik verschillende procedures voor modelselectie voor MPT-modellen. Het onderwerp van kwantitatieve modelselectie krijgt van oudsher veel aandacht in de statistiek en tegenwoordig ook in de psychologie (Pitt & Myung, 2002). We richtten ons op

twee populaire informatiecriteria, namelijk de AIC (“an information criterion”, Akaike, 1973) en de BIC (“Bayesian information criterion”, G. Schwarz, 1978), het *minimum-description-length*-principe (Grünwald, 2007), en de Bayes-factor verkregen met importance sampling (Hammersley & Handscomb, 1964). Naast de beschrijving van deze methode werd computercode geleverd die de praktische toepasbaarheid van de besproken modelselectiematen verhoogt.

## Deel III. Correlaties, Partiële Correlaties en Mediatie-analyse

Het derde deel van dit proefschrift behandelde het schatten en toetsen van (partiële) correlaties.

In het zevende hoofdstuk onderzocht ik het onderscheidingsvermogen om de hypothese van perfecte correlatie te verwerpen binnen latente-variabelemodellen. In onderzoek waarbinnen hiërarchische latente-variabelenmodellen worden gebruikt, wordt vaak gerapporteerd dat algemene intelligentie ( $g$ ) een perfecte correlatie vertoont met lagere orde latente variabelen. Hieruit wordt vaak geconcludeerd dat  $g$  en de lagere orde latente variabele, zoals werkgeheugen, één en hetzelfde zijn. We hebben op basis van simulaties en gepubliceerde datasets onderzocht wat het onderscheidingsvermogen is om de gelijkheid van  $g$  en de lagere orde latente variabelen te verwerpen. De resultaten toonden aan dat het overgrote deel van de studies die een perfecte correlatie rapporteerden over onvoldoende onderscheidingsvermogen beschikten om aan te tonen dat  $g$  en de lagere orde latente variabelen identiek zijn. We benadrukten het belang van het onderscheidingsvermogen in onderzoek naar de equivalentie van  $g$  en lagere orde latente variabelen.

In het achtste hoofdstuk behandelde ik een Bayesiaanse methode om de correlatiecoëfficiënt te corrigeren voor de onzekerheid van de observaties. De correlatiecoëfficiënt kan ernstig worden onderschat wanneer de observaties onderhevig zijn aan meetfouten. Hoewel verschillende methoden ontwikkeld zijn om hiervoor te corrigeren, worden deze amper toegepast in de psychologie. We richtten ons op een Bayesiaanse correctiemethode, ontwikkeld door Behseta et al. (2009), en toonden aan dat het toepassen hiervan tot een substantiële verhoging van de correlatie kan leiden tussen met ruis gemeten observaties. We raadden onderzoekers aan zich bewust te zijn van meetfouten en, indien mogelijk, de correlatiecoëfficiënt corrigeren voor de attenuatie die op kan treden als gevolg van de onzekerheid van de observaties.

In het negende hoofdstuk besprak ik een Bayesiaanse hypothesetoets voor mediatie. Om de relatie tussen verschillende variabelen te kunnen kwantificeren, voeren onderzoekers vaak een mediatie-analyse uit. In een dergelijke analyse verstuurt een mediator (zoals kennis van een gezond dieet) het effect van een onafhankelijke variabele (zoals instructie over een gezond dieet) naar een afhankelijke variabele (zoals de consumptie van fruit en groente). Vrijwel alle mediatie-analyses in de psychologie gebruiken frequentistische parameterschattingen en hypothesetoetsing. We ontwikkelden echter een Bayesiaanse hypothesetoets die gebaseerd is op de Jeffreys-Zellner-Siow prior (Rouder et al., 2009) en hebben de voordelen daarvan geïllustreerd aan de hand van gepubliceerde data.

## Deel IV. Verbeteren van de Onderzoekspraktijk

Het vierde en laatste deel van dit proefschrift richtte zich op suboptimale onderzoekspraktijken binnen de psychologie.

In het tiende hoofdstuk introduceerde ik een nieuwe opzet voor samenwerking tussen voor- en tegenstanders van een empirische bevinding (*adversarial collaboration*). Een toenemend aantal wetenschappers suggereert dat horizontale saccadische oogbewegingen het ophalen van episodische herinneringen in geheugentaken faciliteren. Een aantal studies heeft dit verband echter niet weten te

reproducieren. We hebben gepoogd deze inconsistente bevindingen op te lossen door een gezamenlijk onderzoek uit te voeren met voorstanders en sceptici. Onze aanpak combineerde elementen van een *adversarial collaboration* (Kahneman, 2003) en volledig confirmatorisch gepreregistreerd onderzoek (Wagenmakers et al., 2012). Conform de verwachtingen van de sceptici toonden de resultaten van Bayesiaanse hypothesetoetsen aan dat horizontale oogbewegingen de prestaties in geheugentaken niet verbeterden. Het toepassen van deze opzet vermindert de kans op het gebruik van *questionable research practices* en heeft de potentie om wetenschappelijk onenigheden op te lossen.

In het elfde hoofdstuk presenteerde ik een vergelijking tussen het statistisch bewijs dat wordt geleverd door  $p$ -waarden, effectgroottes en Bayes-factoren. Hierbij maakten we gebruik van 855 recent gepubliceerde  $t$ -toetsen in de psychologie. Hoewel de  $p$ -waarde en de Bayes-factor vrijwel altijd dezelfde hypothese ondersteunden, was er vaak sprake van verschil tussen de kracht van het geleverde bewijs; 70% van de  $p$ -waarden tussen 0.01 en 0.05 correspondeerden met Bayes-factoren die slechts anekdotisch bewijs leverden voor de alternatieve hypothese. Daarnaast concludeerden we dat de effectgrootte aanvullend bewijs kan leveren aan de  $p$ -waarde en de Bayes-factor.

In het twaalfde en laatste hoofdstuk, behandelde ik de meerweg-variantieanalyse (ANOVA). Veel onderzoekers realiseren zich niet dat de veelgebruikte meerweg-ANOVA onderhevig is aan kanskapitalisatie. We hebben het gebruik van sequentiele Bonferroni-correctie (Hartley, 1955) geïllustreerd. We lieten zien dat de conclusies die uit een ANOVA-design worden getrokken, vaak veranderen na toepassing van deze correctie en raadden de consequente toepassing ervan aan.

---

# Acknowledgments

---

Graag wil ik beginnen met mijn dank te betuigen aan Eric-Jan voor de inspiratie, steun en begeleiding bij het schrijven van mijn proefschrift. Jouw diepgaande kennis vormde de basis, maar jouw toewijding aan de wetenschap, je tomeloze enthousiasme, je bereikbaarheid, je vriendschap en je oneindige optimisme maakten het verschil. Bovenal heb je me geleerd dat bitterballen en La Chouffe een volwaardige maaltijd zijn. Ik hoop nog lang en veel met je samen te mogen werken.

Mijn dank gaat ook uit naar Conor, mijn co-promotor; die me reality checks, een origineel perspectief en de nodige dosis humor bood. Ook wil ik Han bedanken, die mij de kans gunde om binnen zijn geweldige afdeling te mogen promoveren.

Het overgrote deel van dit proefschrift is geschreven in het gezelschap van een bonte verzameling mede-promovendi en labgenoten. Over de jaren heen veranderde de samenstelling, maar de constante factor was een groep mensen die op en buiten het werk elkaar steunden, uitdaagden, successen en tegenslagen deelden. Helen, mijn paranimf, dank voor je vriendschap, je steun, je kritische houding en je lekkere toetjes. Josine, dank voor je luisterend oor bij twijfels en onzekerheden—ik ga onze gezellige conferentieavonden missen. Alexander, dank voor je enorme behulpzaamheid, je groene curries en voor het voortzetten van een traditie van ongepaste humor in het lab. Jonathon, thank you for the Friday drinks and for listening to (and even showing some enthusiasm for) my constant babbling about stop-signal stuff. Don. Ruud, en Gilles—de oude generatie: dank voor het warme welkom in het lab, jullie kameraadschap en jullie humor. Dank ook aan de nieuwe generatie labgenoten: Ravi, Maarten en Tahira, aan jullie de taak het stokje over te nemen. And Michael, the honorary lab member, thanks for the funniest stories I have ever heard and for your advice and guidance throughout the years.

I am grateful to all the co-authors who have contributed to the papers that form the basis of this dissertation. This thesis would have been impossible without your ideas, knowledge, and advice.

Een speciale dankbetuiging voor Monique, mijn paranimf, die altijd met een wijntje klaar stond om naar mijn geklaag en twijfels te luisteren.

Ik ben dankbaar voor Carla en Gerard, mijn Nederlandse (schoon)ouders, die altijd klaar stonden en me door dik en dun gesteund hebben.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

---

Eindeloos veel dank voor mijn moeder Edit, die me altijd in alles wat ik ondernam gesteund heeft en me heeft laten vertrekken naar een vreemd land. Köszönök mident, mama!

Harm, dank voor alles; voor de eindeloze steun, geduld, begrip, en liefde.

---

# Publications

---

Matzke, D., Ly, A., Selker, R., Weeda, W. D., Scheibehenne, B., Lee, M. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. Accounting for measurement error and the attenuation of correlation: A Bayesian approach. *Manuscript in preparation.*

Nuijten, M. B., Wetzels, R., Matzke, D., Dolan, C. V., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. BayesMed: Default Bayesian hypothesis tests for correlation, partial correlation, and mediation. [Computer software manual]. (R package version 0.1.0). *Manuscript in preparation.*

Matzke, D., Nieuwenhuis, S., van Rijn, H., Slagter, H. A., van der Molen, M. W., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2013). Two birds with one stone: A preregistered adversarial collaboration on horizontal eye movements in free recall. *Manuscript submitted for publication.*

Cramer, A. O. J., van Ravenzwaaij, D., Matzke, D., Steingroever, H., Wetzels, R., Grasman, R. P. P. P., Waldorp, L., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2013). Correction for multiple comparisons in the multiway ANOVA: Theoretical solution and practical consequences. *Manuscript submitted for publication.*

Boekel, W., Brown, S. D., Wagenmakers, E.-J., Matzke, D., & Forstmann, B. (2013). Probabilistic tractography does not reveal short-term structural changes in white matter pathways after practice on a decision making task. *Manuscript submitted for publication.*

Nuijten, M. B., Wetzels, R., Matzke, D., Dolan, C. V., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (in press). A default Bayesian hypothesis test for mediation. *Behavior Research Methods.*

Vandekerckhove, J., Matzke, D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (in press). Model comparison and the principle of parsimony. In J. Busemeyer, J. Townsend, Z. J. Wang, & A. Eidels (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of computational and mathematical psychology*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Matzke, D., Dolan, C. V., Batchelder, W. H., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (in press). Bayesian estimation of multinomial processing tree models with heterogeneity in participants and items. *Psychometrika.*

Matzke, D., Lee, M. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2013). Getting started with WinBUGS. In M. D. Lee, & E.-J. Wagenmakers (Eds.), *Bayesian cognitive modeling: A practical course* (pp. 16-34). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

- Matzke, D., Lee, M. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2013). Signal detection theory: Parameter expansion. In M. D. Lee, & E.-J. Wagenmakers (Eds.), *Bayesian cognitive modeling: A practical course* (pp. 164-167). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Matzke, D., Lee, M. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2013). Multinomial processing trees. In M. D. Lee, & E.-J. Wagenmakers (Eds.), *Bayesian cognitive modeling: A practical course* (pp. 187-195). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Bakker, M., Cramer, A. O. J., Matzke, D., Kievit, R. A., van der Maas, H. L. J., Wagenmakers, E.-J., & Borsboom, D. (2013). Dwelling on the past. *European Journal of Personality*, *27*, 120-144. Open peer commentary on Asendorp et al., "Recommendations for increasing replicability in psychology".
- Matzke, D., Love, J., Wiecki, T., Brown, S. D., Logan, G. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2013). Releasing the BEESTS: Bayesian ex-Gaussian estimation of stop-signal reaction time distributions. *Frontiers in Quantitative Psychology and Measurement*, *4*:918, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00918.
- Matzke, D., Dolan, C. V., Logan, G. D., Brown, S. D., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2013). Bayesian parametric estimation of stop-signal reaction time distributions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *142*, 1047-1073.
- Wetzels, R., Matzke, D., Lee, M. D., Rouder, J. N., Iverson, G. J., & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2011). Statistical evidence in experimental psychology: An empirical comparison using 855 *t* tests. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *6*, 291-298.
- Matzke, D., Dolan, C. V., & Molenaar, D. (2010). The issue of power in the identification of "g" with lower-order factors. *Intelligence*, *38*, 336-344.
- Matzke, D. & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2009). Psychological interpretation of the ex-Gaussian and shifted Wald parameters: A diffusion model analysis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *16*, 798-817.