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The aim of the present study was to analyze responses of nucleus accumbens neurons to stimulation of the fornix. The recorded neurons were labeled with biocytin and identified as medium spiny neurons. A large majority of cells generated a depolarizing postsynaptic potential in response to stimulation of the fornix. Using intracellular current injection, this depolarizing response was dissociated into an EPSP reversing at -6 ± 6 mV and an IPSP reversing at -71 ± 4 mV. Both the EPSP and IPSP were abolished by 6-cyano-7-nitroquinolinoxaline-2,3-dione. In addition, the IPSP was blocked by bicuculline and picrotoxin. The onset latency of the EPSP was constant in spite of varying stimulus intensities. In contrast, the onset latency of the IPSP increased with decreasing stimulus intensity. Notably, the stimulus threshold for evoking IPSPs was generally lower than for EPSPs. At stimulus intensities well above threshold, the IPSP onset was generally evoked at lower stimulus intensities in slices maintained in the interface chamber as compared to submerged slices. The values given below denote stimulus intensities used in the interface chamber.

These results indicate that the EPSP can be characterized as a monosynaptic and glutamate-mediated synaptic response. The IPSP, however, appears to be mediated by a disynaptic feed-forward pathway involving both glutamate and GABA receptors. Recurrent and lateral inhibitory interactions have previously been proposed to be predominant organizational principles in the caudate-putamen and nucleus accumbens. This study indicates that feed-forward inhibition is an additional principle governing the activities of striatal neural networks.

The nucleus accumbens (Acb), which is the main component of the ventral striatum (Heimer and Wilson, 1975), is a point of focal interest among neuroscientists because of its involvement in behavioral reinforcement and in initiation of goal-directed behaviors (Mogenson et al., 1980; Fibiger and Phillips, 1988, Koob and Bloom, 1988). The Acb is densely innervated by afferents originating in the subiculum (Groenewegen et al., 1987). This projection is considered to subserve the transfer of contextual information relevant for undertaking motor actions (O'Keefe and Nadel, 1978; Mogenson et al., 1980; Schaal-Krüger and Willner, 1991).

Biochemical and transmitter-specific tracing studies suggest that subicular afferents are, at least in part, glutamatergic (Walaas and Fonnun, 1980; Christie et al., 1987; Fuller et al., 1987). Studies of unit activity in in vivo preparations have revealed a variety of response patterns of Acb neurons following stimulation of the subiculum or fornix. The majority of units exhibited a transient excitatory or excitatory-inhibitory firing pattern. Some units, however, showed a transient decrease in spontaneous activity (Holland and Soedjono, 1981; Lopes da Silva et al., 1984; Yang and Mogenson, 1984; DeFrance et al., 1985; Hakan and Henriksen, 1989; Boeijinga et al., 1990). The major aim of this study was to unravel the functional circuits generating these responses by intracellular recordings in an in vitro slice preparation. Intracellular labeling was performed to identify the morphological phenotype of the recorded neuron.

Previously, investigators have emphasized the importance of lateral and recurrent inhibitory interactions between medium spiny neurons in the neostriatum (Park et al., 1980; Wilson and Groves, 1980; Katayama et al., 1981; Somogyi et al., 1981; Groves, 1983; Smith and Bolam, 1990). It has been suggested that lateral inhibition may subserve temporal and spatial filtering of inputs (Groves, 1983). The results presented here indicate the presence of a feed-forward inhibitory pathway that may operate in concert with lateral and recurrent circuits in the Acb.

Materials and Methods

Preparation. Slices were prepared from male Sprague-Dawley rats (100-180 gm) that had been anesthetized with ether. After decapitation, the brain was removed from the skull and cooled in Ringer's solution at 2-4°C. Parasagittal slices of 500 μm thickness were cut on a vibratome and transferred to a storage chamber. After 1 hr rest, one of the slices was placed in a recording chamber and continuously superfused (1-2 ml/min) with oxygenated (95% O₂, 5% CO₂) Ringer's solution (32-34°C, pH 7.3) of the following composition (in mm): NaCl, 124; KCl, 3.5; MgSO₄, 1.0; CaCl₂, 2.5; KH₂PO₄, 1.2; NaHCO₃, 26.0; d-glucose, 10.0.

Recording and stimulation. We used an interface-type recording chamber except for the pharmacological experiments, where slices were fully submerged. Electrical stimulation consisted of monopolar rectangular current pulses (0.2 msec duration, 0.1-0.2 Hz) applied through two 60-μm-thick stainless-steel electrodes, insulated except at the tip and separated by 100-200 μm. Responses to fornix stimulation were generally evoked at lower stimulus intensities in slices maintained in the interface chamber as compared to submerged slices. The values given below denote stimulus intensities used in the interface chamber. Stimulation electrodes were placed in the ventral part of the fornix. Intracellular recordings were made from the caudal part of the shell region of Acb, 0.6-1.1 mm lateral to the midline (cf. Paxinos and Wat-
son, 1986). Recording electrodes were glass micropipettes filled with 0.5 M K-methylsulfate and 2% biocytin (pH 8.0, 80–150 kΩ). Intracellular potentials were recorded using a Neurodata IR 183 amplifier (bandwidth, 0–1 MHz) and displayed on a Nicolet 206 oscilloscope. The recordings were stored on videotape for further analysis, and the membrane potential was continuously monitored on a chart recorder.

**Drugs and statistics.** In all experiments where synaptic responses were studied at depolarized membrane potentials, electrodes were filled with the solution mentioned above plus 100 mM QX-314, a lidocaine derivative that blocks action potentials when injected intracellularly (Connors and Prince, 1982). The bridge balance was regularly monitored in these experiments.

6-Cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX) was obtained from Tocris Neuramin; glycine and (–)-bicuculline methiodide were obtained from Sigma and QX-314 from Astra Pharmaceuticals (Worcester, MA).

Numerical values are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical comparisons were made using Wilcoxon's matched-pairs signed rank test.

**Histology.** Biocytin (Sigma; cf. Horikawa and Armstrong, 1988) were injected intracellularly by passing negative current (0.2–0.4 nA) through the recording electrode for at least 5 min. Usually, only one or two cells were labeled per slice. Following termination of the experiment, the slice was stored in fixative (4% paraformaldehyde, 0.5% glutaraldehyde, 15% picric acid in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4). The slice was sectioned at a thickness of 40 μm on a freezing microtome, collected into phosphate-buffered saline, and rinsed several times. Sections were incubated overnight in phosphate-buffered saline containing avidin–Texas Red™ (Vector; dilution, 1:100), 0.3% Triton X-100, and 0.1% NaN₃, and examined by fluorescent microscopy.

**Results**

**Membrane properties and morphology of recorded neurons**

Membrane properties were routinely monitored in all neurons investigated for responses to fornix stimulation (N = 52). They had a mean resting membrane potential (RMP) of −71 ± 1 mV; spontaneous activity was absent. The spike threshold, as estimated by injection of depolarizing current pulses, was −43
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Figure 2. Paired-pulse facilitation of the fornix response. A, Using an interval of 30 msec, the test response was enhanced with respect to the conditioning response. B, The paired-pulse ratio (peak amplitude of test response/conditioning response) is plotted as a function of interstimulus interval. The resting membrane potential of this neuron was −75 mV.

± 2 mV. Action potential amplitude and duration were 85 ± 3 mV (measured from resting level) and 1.7 ± 0.1 msec (measured at the base), respectively. The input resistance and time constant, as estimated by injection of depolarizing current pulses (0.1–0.3 nA, 100–300 msec duration), were 64 ± 5 MΩ and 8.5 ± 0.9 msec, respectively. Regular spike trains exhibiting little frequency adaptation were elicited in response to strong depolarizing current pulses. Inward rectification in the hyperpolarizing direction was present in a majority of neurons (cf. Uchimura et al., 1989; Pennartz et al., 1991).

Twenty-three cells were sufficiently labeled to allow morphological identification. An example of a labeled neuron stained by avidin–Texas Red is shown in Figure 1. All labeled neurons were characterized by the presence of spines on their distal dendrites. Their somata were round, polygonal, or oval in shape; both somata and primary dendrites were devoid of spines. The average maximal soma diameter was 16 ± 1 μm (range, 9–24 μm). The mean number of primary dendrites per cell was 3.9 ± 0.2. Axons originated from the soma or from one of the primary dendrites and branched profusely in the dendritic domain of the parent cell. Some of the axon collaterals could be traced into the ventral pallidum or subpallidal area.

Response to fornix stimulation

Stimulation of the ventral portion of the fornix bundle elicited a depolarizing postsynaptic potential (Fig. 2.4) in 48 of 52 cells tested at RMP (in the remaining portion of cells, no response could be evoked). The onset latency and rise time of the response were 4.4 ± 0.2 and 4.5 ± 0.3 msec, respectively. The half-decay time was 11.8 ± 0.8 msec. In about half of the neurons, spikes were elicited at high stimulus intensities. The spike latency (8.2 ± 0.5; N = 23) was only slightly shorter than the peak latency of the postsynaptic potential (8.9 ± 0.3 msec). In each individual cell, the spike latency showed an average variability ("jitter") of 0.9 ± 0.2 msec (N = 13). Moreover, the spike latency increased with decreasing stimulus intensities. Antidromically activated neurons may be expected to generate spikes having a constant latency, but these were in fact never observed. The quantified parameters of the fornix response are summarized in Table 1.

Two experiments were conducted to exclude the possibility that Acb neurons were excited by stimulated fibers located outside the fornix. First, stimulation in the lateral septum or stria medullaris failed to evoke responses in the Acb. Second, no responses to fornix stimulation could be elicited after its ventral part had been cut.

In a recent in vivo study of fornix responses in the Acb, Boeijinga et al. (1990) demonstrated a marked paired-pulse facilitation that could last up to 450 msec. To examine whether the in vitro fornix pathway exhibits the same phenomenon, paired-pulse tests were conducted in 17 neurons. Facilitation of peak amplitudes of the postsynaptic potential was especially found when stimulus intensities well below spike threshold were used (Fig. 2.4). Test responses were usually enhanced with respect to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Properties of synaptic responses elicited by stimulation of the fornix</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Number of cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EPSP component</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset latency (msec)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise time (msec)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-decay time (msec)</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversal potential (mV)</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spike parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spike latency (msec)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spike jitter (msec)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IPSP component</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset latency (msec)</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise time (msec)</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-decay time (msec)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversal potential (mV)</td>
<td>−71</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A

Figure 3. Input-output curve of the fornix response. At low stimulus intensities, a long-latency depolarizing postsynaptic potential was evoked. At intermediate intensities, a biphasic response was generated (onset of the late component is marked by an arrow). With progressively increasing intensities, the two depolarizing components fused into a large, short-latency depolarizing response, and finally a spike (truncated) was generated on top of the postsynaptic potential. Membrane potential was held at -86 mV by constant current injection. The RMP of this cell was -69 mV.

conditioning responses across an interval range of 20–100 msec. In only two cells, facilitation occurred at intervals between 125 and 250 msec.

When cells were hyperpolarized below -70 mV and stimulated at low intensities (38 ± 7 µA), a depolarizing response was recorded whose onset latency was longer than the full-blown depolarizing postsynaptic potential evoked at high stimulus intensities (Fig. 3). At intermediate intensities (88 ± 18 µA), a biphasic postsynaptic potential was recorded in most neurons. This potential consisted of an early, high-threshold response and a late, low-threshold response, which was superimposed on the early response (Fig. 3, arrow). These components merged into one large depolarizing postsynaptic potential at high intensities (174 ± 20 µA).

To study the voltage dependence of the synaptic response in the absence of action potentials, electrodes filled with QX-314 were employed. Figure 4A shows a typical example of a fornix response that was evoked at high stimulus intensities. It was composed of two components distinguished by different voltage dependencies. The early component was always depolarizing below approximately 0 mV and monotonically decreased in amplitude when the cell was progressively depolarized with respect to resting level. The late component merged into a depolarizing postsynaptic potential with the early component at membrane potentials more negative than -45 mV but seemingly reversed its polarity above -45 mV (the estimated reversal potentials of these hyperpolarizing and depolarizing components studied in isolation are given below). In five cells, only the late, hyperpolarizing component of the fornix response could be evoked.

Excitatory component of fornix response

The early component of the fornix response is considered to have an excitatory nature due to its near-zero reversal potential. In order to avoid contamination by the late, hyperpolarizing potential, this component was studied at about -70 mV, corresponding to the reversal potential of the hyperpolarizing potential (see below).

The quisqualate/kainate receptor antagonist CNQX (4–8 µm) blocked the EPSP component (Fig. 5A–C; N = 6). To exclude side effects of CNQX on the glycine-binding site of the NMDA receptor complex, 30 µm glycine was added to the drug solution (cf. Harris and Miller, 1989; Thomson, 1989). Addition of this amino acid did not prevent the antagonistic action of CNQX.

The onset latency of the excitatory component (EPSP) was almost constant in spite of varying stimulus intensity (Figs. 6B, 7). Paired-pulse tests were performed to determine the refractory period of the EPSP. Stimulation sequences with intervals of 4–5 msec still elicited two separate EPSPs; with shorter intervals the test response merged into the conditioning response (N = 7).

The excitatory component was modified after addition of the GABA<sub>B</sub> antagonists bicuculline (30–60 µm, N = 7; Fig. 6B) and picrotoxin (25 µm, N = 2). These GABA<sub>B</sub> antagonists prolonged and enhanced the EPSP. The latter effect was particularly clearly seen at low stimulation intensities.

The reversal potential of the EPSP, determined in the presence of bicuculline, was -6 ± 6 mV (N = 7). The relation between
Figure 5. Effects of the quisqualate/kainate receptor antagonist CNQX on the fornix response. Both the depolarizing (A–C, recorded at −81 mV) and hyperpolarizing component (D–F, recorded at −30 mV) were reversibly suppressed by 6 μM CNQX. Stimulus intensity was lowered in D–F to avoid interference of the early, depolarizing response (cf. Fig. 7). The RMP of this neuron was −81 mV.

EPSP peak amplitude and membrane potential was found to be nearly linear.

Inhibitory component of fornix response

The late, hyperpolarizing component could be most clearly studied during depolarization of the cell to −30−0 mV. Its onset latency, rise, and half-decay time were 6.7 ± 0.3, 6.7 ± 0.7, and 25 ± 3 msec, respectively (N = 16). This component was blocked by both bicuculline (30–60 μM, N = 7; Fig. 6A) and picrotoxin (25 μM, N = 2) and can thus be identified as a GABA_A receptor-mediated IPSP. Notably, 4–8 μM CNQX also abolished the IPSP (Fig. 5D–F, N = 6).

The temporal relation between the excitatory and inhibitory response components was studied in 15 neurons. The delay of IPSP onset with respect to EPSP onset was 2.3 ± 0.3 msec. The onset latencies of both components were significantly correlated (linear regression, p < 0.01). As shown in Figure 4B, the onset of the IPSP (6.7 ± 0.3 msec) was significantly shorter than the peak latency of the EPSP (8.9 ± 0.3 msec; p < 0.02; N = 7) and coincided with the mid-rising phase of the EPSP. The temporal distributions of EPSP onset latency, IPSP onset latency, and spike latency were all approximately unimodal (not shown).

The stimulus thresholds for evoking IPSPs and EPSPs were compared by examining the input-output curve of the fornix response at a membrane potential level where both components could be distinguished (−30 to −20 mV; Fig. 7). In all but 3 of 11 cells tested, it was found that the IPSP had a lower stimulus threshold than the EPSP (in the remaining three cells, the thresholds were almost equal). The difference in stimulus thresholds was exploited to determine the reversal potential of the IPSP. The peak amplitude of the IPSP depended on membrane potential in a nearly linear manner (Fig. 8); the reversal potential

Figure 6. Effects of the GABA_A antagonist bicuculline on the fornix response. A. Application of 60 μM bicuculline (Bic) abolished the hyperpolarizing component recorded at −2 mV. B. In the left-hand panel, control responses were recorded at RMP using three different stimulus intensities. In the right-hand panel, the depolarizing responses were enhanced and prolonged following bicuculline application. The RMP of this medium spiny neuron was −71 mV.
was $-71 \pm 4 \text{ mV} \,(N = 6)$. Using the same protocol, the late, low-threshold depolarizing component of the fornix response illustrated in Figure 3 was identified as a depolarizing IPSP.

Interestingly, the onset latency of the IPSP exhibited a shift of $3.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ msec}$ when the stimulus intensity was varied between threshold (about $40 \mu \text{A}$) and near-maximal (about $175 \mu \text{A}$, $N = 9$; Fig. 7). In paired-pulse tests, the IPSP was found to have a refractory period of 7-10 msec ($N = 7$).

Discussion

Membrane properties and morphology of recorded neurons

All neurons labeled in this study were identified as medium spiny neurons, which are known to comprise the majority of neurons encountered in fornix stimulation in the caudate-putamen and Acb (Wilson and Groves, 1980; Groves, 1983; Chang and Kitai, 1985; Smith and Bolam, 1990). In the Acb, these neurons project to the ventral pallidum, subpallidal area, and mesencephalon (Nauta et al., 1978; Groenewegen and Kusschen, 1984; Chang and Kitai, 1985; Haber et al., 1990). The membrane properties described here resemble those recorded in medium spiny neurons of the dorsal striatum (Kita et al., 1984; Kawaguchi et al., 1989), underscoring the physiological similarity of both regions (cf. Heimer and Wilson, 1975; Pennartz et al., 1990, 1991).

Response to fornix stimulation

The fornix bundle is known to contain efferents primarily from the subiculum and areas CA1 and CA3 of the hippocampus proper and various afferents to the hippocampal formation (Swanson et al., 1979; Lopes da Silva et al., 1990). Probably all of the descending fibers in the fornix originate in the hippocampal formation. It may therefore be assumed that the pathway studied here by fornix stimulation is indeed the CA1/subicular projection to the Acb as described by Heimer and Wilson (1975), Kelley and Domesick (1982), and Groenewegen et al. (1987). There is a slight possibility, however, that some efferent fibers from the entorhinal cortex could project to the medial Acb by way of the fornix.

Responses to fornix stimulation were shown to contain an early EPSP and a slightly delayed IPSP. Judging from the virtually fixed onset latency of the EPSP in spite of varying stimulus intensity and the small variability in latency of spikes, this component can be characterized as monosynaptic (cf. Pennartz et al., 1990). This finding confirms recent anatomical evidence favoring direct synaptic contacts between hippocampal afferents and glutamate decarboxylase-immunoreactive spiny neurons in the Acb (Meredith et al., 1990). The conduction velocity of the fornix fibers, calculated from the average EPSP onset latency, was 0.9 msec, which is in agreement with an in vivo study by Boeijinga et al. (1990).

CNQX blocked the EPSP in micromolar concentrations, indicating the chief involvement of glutamate receptors in mediating the excitatory inputs (Honore et al., 1988; Pennartz et al., 1991). Addition of glycine to medium containing CNQX did not prevent the blocking effect of CNQX, suggesting that the suppression cannot be ascribed to an antagonistic action at the glycine binding site of the NMDA receptor complex (cf. Harris and Miller, 1989; Thomson, 1989). Thus, it can be concluded that quisqualate/kainate receptors substantially contribute to the EPSP. The reversal potential of the EPSP, as determined by current-clamp technique, was $-6 \pm 6 \text{ mV}$. The estimation of a reversal potential by injection of DC currents may, in principle, suffer from isopotentiality problems. Nonetheless, the value found here is in close agreement with the reversal potentials of quisqualate/kainate receptor-mediated responses reported in other preparations where low-resistance electrodes were used in the patch-clamp and voltage-clamp recording mode (Mayer and Westbrook, 1984; Ascher and Nowak, 1988; Cull-Candela et al., 1988; Hestrin et al., 1990).

When comparing the present intracellular findings with studies of unit activity in in vivo preparations, it is interesting to note that the diversity encountered in fornix responses in vivo is not directly reflected by the uniform EPSP-IPSP sequence found in most neurons tested here. The response pattern most commonly found following fornix stimulation in vivo is a short-lasting excitation. Some neurons exhibit an excitatory-inhibitory sequence, and a third pattern consists of mere inhibition (Holland and Soedjono, 1981; Lopes da Silva et al., 1984; Yang and Mogenson, 1984; DeFrance et al., 1985; Hakan and Henriksen, 1989; Boeijinga et al., 1990). The excitatory-inhibitory response pattern is clearly in agreement with the findings presented here. The occurrence of purely inhibitory responses may be explained by the finding that the IPSP can be elicited at lower stimulus intensities than the EPSP (Fig. 7). In the face of the uniform EPSP-IPSP sequence reported here, the frequent occurrence of purely excitatory responses in vivo may seem puzzling. However, many ventral striatal neurons are not spontaneously active (Holland and Soedjono, 1981; Yang and Mogenson, 1984; DeFrance et al., 1985; Hakan and Henriksen, 1989; Boeijinga et al., 1990), and this condition is necessary to assess the presence of inhibition. For those units showing both spontaneous activity and purely excitatory fornix responses, our study cannot provide any direct intracellular correlate.
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Figure 8. Determination of the reversal potential of the IPSP. A. Using low stimulus intensities, the fornix response was recorded at different membrane potentials and found to be monophasic at hyperpolarized as well as depolarized levels. B. The peak amplitude of the IPSP is plotted as a function of membrane potential. The IPSP depended in a nearly linear fashion on membrane potential and reversed its polarity at -71 mV in this neuron. The RMP of this cell was -65 mV.

Figure 9. Diagrams illustrating local circuits possibly underlying fornix responses. A. In the case of lateral inhibition, medium spiny neurons receive monosynaptic excitatory inputs from the subiculum/CA1 area (indicated by triangular synapses). The cells have axons projecting outside the Acb (illustrated by arrows), but in addition axon collaterals inhibit adjacent medium spiny neurons (indicated by flat synapses). Note that in these generalized schemes dendrites and spines have been left out. B. The monosynaptic inhibitory circuit consists of parallel excitatory and inhibitory inputs to the same medium spiny neuron. C. In the feed-forward inhibitory circuit, a medium spiny neuron receives a monosynaptic excitatory fornix input. Furthermore, fornix inputs monosynaptically excite an additional type of neuron (small circle) that inhibits the medium spiny neuron. In B and C, axon collaterals do not play a role in mediating the IPSP and have been left out for simplicity.

Fornix responses studied in vitro usually exhibited paired-pulse facilitation at intervals between 20 and 100 msec (Fig. 2B). It should be noted that part of the facilitation effect may be explained by temporal summation of the test and conditioning response, since the onset of the test response overlaps the tail of the conditioning response (Fig. 2A). Fornix responses recorded in vivo exhibit facilitation at longer intervals (up to 430 msec; Boeijinga et al., 1990) than in vitro. One of the possible explanations of this difference is that fornix stimulation in vivo is likely to activate a hippocampal/subicular loop by antidromic activation of projection fibers in the fornix (P. H. Boeijinga, A. B. Mulder, C. M. A. Pennartz, and F. H. Lopes da Silva, unpublished observations). The ensuing secondary volley reaches the Acb after the primary volley and may prolong the facilitation effect.

Circuit analysis

The delayed, hyperpolarizing component of the fornix response reversed its polarity at -71 + 4 mV and was blocked by bicuculline and picrotoxin, indicating that this component can be identified as a GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-mediated IPSP. It is worthwhile to note that a different value of the IPSP reversal potential is found when it is not studied in isolation (as in Fig. 8) but in the presence of a preceding EPSP. In the latter case, an approximate reversal potential of -45 mV is found (Fig. 4D). Uchimura et al. (1989) also determined the IPSP reversal potential in the presence of an EPSP and found a similar value (-35 mV). Since the EPSP extends well into the time domain of the IPSP (Fig. 4B), it is likely to obscure the voltage dependence of the pure IPSP. Therefore, experiments studying the IPSP at subthreshold stimulus intensities for the EPSP are likely to provide a better estimate of the IPSP reversal potential.

The IPSP component may be explained by several mechanisms. In all of the hypothetical circuit diagrams considered...
here, medium spiny neurons are proposed to be monosynaptically excited by fornix fibers. First, the IPSP recorded in these cells could arise from activation of axon collaterals originating in neighboring spiny neurons (Fig. 9A, lateral inhibition) or from activation of collaterals making synaptic contacts onto the parent cell itself (autaptic recurrent inhibition). Second, the fornix may contain both excitatory and inhibitory fibers making monosynaptic contacts with medium spiny neurons (Fig. 9B). Third, a feed-forward inhibitory circuit may explain our observations. In this circuit, an interneuron receives excitatory fornix inputs and projects to the medium spiny neuron (Fig. 9C).

Several experiments indicate that the IPSP cannot be mediated by lateral or autaptic recurrent inhibition. IPSPs could still be evoked in neurons whose action potentials were blocked by QX-314 (Figs. 4-8), a finding that excludes the possibility of autaptic recurrent inhibition. Both lateral and autaptic recurrent inhibition predict that the average onset latency of the IPSP should be longer than the average spike latency. If anything, however, the mean onset latency of the IPSP was shorter than the spike latency in our recordings (Table 1). Moreover, the onset latency of the IPSP was significantly shorter than the peak latency of the EPSP (Fig. 4B). As noted above, spikes were consistently generated on top of the EPSP, not in its rising phase. Another implication of autaptic recurrent and lateral inhibitory circuits is that the stimulus threshold for evoking an IPSP should be clearly larger than for evoking an EPSP. In contrast, the IPSP was usually found to have a lower stimulus threshold than the EPSP.

An alternative recurrent inhibitory circuit, which would not be contradicted by findings concerning timing and stimulus threshold for the IPSP with respect to the EPSP, may be envisaged as follows. Electrical stimulation of the fornix could cause antidromic activation of ascending axon collaterals of medium spiny neurons along with descending glutamatergic fibers. The axon collaterals might synapse on interneurons as in Figure 9C and thus elicit a disynaptic IPSP. This alternative can be rejected on other grounds than those mentioned above: (1) spikes elicited by antidromic activation of the collaterals would also invade the soma but were never observed in neurons not filled with QX-314 (N = 30); (2) medium spiny neurons are likely to contain GABA and several peptides (i.e., substance P, enkephalins, and dynorphin; DiFiglia et al., 1982; Vincent et al., 1982; Penny et al., 1986; Kita and Kitai, 1988; Pickel et al., 1988; Chesset and Robbins, 1989; Smith and Bolam, 1990) as transmitters, which contradicts our finding that a quisqualate/kainate receptor antagonist blocked the IPSP; and (3) despite extensive anatomical tracing studies, it has never been documented in the anatomical literature that the Acb would send projection fibers to the hippocampal formation or to any other brain area by way of the fornix (Swanson and Cowan, 1975; Nauta et al., 1978; Kelley and Domesick, 1982; Groenewegen and Ruskulcu, 1984, Haber et al., 1990). Thus, it can be concluded that lateral or autaptic recurrent inhibitory circuits cannot account for our observations.

Three indications were found against a circuit implying monosynaptic inhibition. In a monosynaptic response, the onset latency is required to be approximately constant with varying stimulus intensity. The onset latency of the IPSP, however, exhibited a considerable shift (Fig. 7). Second, if the glutamatergic and GABAergic afferents to medium spiny neurons are assumed to function separately, it would follow that the former transmitter system can be antagonized without blocking the latter. In contrast, CNQX was found to block the IPSP. This antagonism cannot be explained by a nonspecific binding of CNQX to GABA, receptors since the corresponding IC50 exceeds 25 \( \mu \text{M} \) (Honoré et al., 1988). Finally, high frequency following tests of the EPSP and IPSP yielded estimated refractory periods of 4–5 and 7–10 msec, respectively. While a circuit of parallel monosynaptic excitatory and inhibitory inputs would predict approximately the same values for these periods, the difference we found is consistent with feed-forward inhibition.

Although we have no direct evidence for identifying the location and morphological phenotype of the neuronal element mediating feed-forward inhibition, some suggestions can be made. The fornix is known to project to lateral septal neurons, but these neurons are unlikely to mediate the IPSP found in medium spiny Acb neurons because, first, stimulation of the lateral septum does not evoke IPSPs in the neurons studied here and, second, no anatomical indications have been obtained for a projection from the septum to the Acb (Swanson and Cowan, 1979). The origin of the IPSP is most probably located within the Acb itself since local stimulation evokes a very similar type of IPSP that is also mediated by GABA, receptors (Uchimura et al., 1989; Pennartz et al., 1991).

According to the circuit sketched in Figure 9C, an interneuron is assumed to mediate the feed-forward inhibition. Another possibility, however, is that there are two classes of medium spiny neurons, one of which inhibits the other in a feed-forward fashion. Although the latter possibility cannot entirely be excluded, two findings suggest that this proposal is unlikely: (1) the sum of EPSP rise time plus spike duration measured in medium spiny neurons (6.2 msec) is much longer than the average delay of IPSP onset with respect to EPSP onset (2.3 msec; Table 1); (2) medium spiny neurons responded to fornix stimulation in a homogeneous fashion: unimodal distributions of spike thresholds, EPSP onset latencies, IPSP onset latencies, and spike latencies were found. Thus, the results favor the involvement of an additional type of neuron. A likely candidate for mediating the feed-forward IPSP would be the medium-sized, aspiny GABAergic interneuron. This neuron is parvalbumin positive, receives asymmetrical synaptic contacts, and synapses extensively onto medium spiny neurons (Bolam et al., 1983; Kita et al., 1989; Smith and Bolam, 1990).

In the dorsal striatum, results obtained by various groups suggest the presence of lateral and autaptic recurrent inhibition onto medium spiny neurons (Park et al., 1980; Wilson and Groves, 1980; Katayama et al., 1981; Somogyi et al., 1981; Groves, 1983; Smith and Bolam, 1990). Recently, Wilson et al. (1989) also found some indications for feed-forward inhibition in the corticostriatal pathway. In the ventral striatum, no evidence has yet been presented for lateral or recurrent IPSPs existing alongside feed-forward IPSPs. Although this type of inhibition is apparently not elicited by fornix stimulation, we cannot exclude activation of lateral or recurrent circuits by other pathways.

In summary, medium spiny neurons in the Acb generate an EPSP/IPSP sequence in response to stimulation of the fornix. The initial excitatory component is rapidly followed by a precisely timed inhibitory component. The two components appear to be mediated by quisqualate/kainate receptors and GABA, receptors, respectively. Whereas the EPSP can be interpreted as a monosynaptic response, the IPSP is most probably relayed by an interneuron located within the ventral striatum. This relay will put temporal constraints on incoming excitatory signals.
The feed-forward IPSP will effectively limit the duration of the excitatory signal and the number of action potentials generated. The transient signaling mode resulting from this type of circuitry may have important consequences for the functioning of basal forebrain mechanisms crucial for processing limbic inputs and initiating motor activities.
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