



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

International human rights law and the law of armed conflict in the context of counterinsurgency: With a particular focus on targeting and operational detention

Pouw, E.H.

Publication date
2013

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Pouw, E. H. (2013). *International human rights law and the law of armed conflict in the context of counterinsurgency: With a particular focus on targeting and operational detention*.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Table of Contents – Summary

<i>Table of Contents – Summary</i>	vii
<i>Table of Contents</i>	ix
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xvii
Introduction	1
Part A. Context and Conceptual Framework for Analysis	27
Chapter I Strategic and Military Context	29
Chapter II The Legal Context.....	47
Chapter III Conceptual Framework for Analysis on the Interplay of Norms of IHRL and LOAC.....	129
Conclusions Part A	141
Part B. Interplay Potential	143
Introduction	145
Chapter IV IHRL	147
Chapter V LOAC.....	185
Conclusions Part B.....	229
Part C. Interplay Appreciation	231
Part C.1. Targeting	231
Introduction	235
Chapter VI IHRL	237
Chapter VII LOAC.....	255
Chapter VIII Interplay.....	331
Conclusions Part C.1.	357
Part C.2. Operational Detention	363
Introduction	365
Chapter IX IHRL	367
Chapter X LOAC.....	385
Chapter XI Interplay.....	415
Conclusions Part C.2.	435
Part D. Synthesis and Conclusions	437
Chapter XII Synthesis and Conclusions	439
<i>Abstract</i>	461
<i>Samenvatting</i>	475
<i>Table of Treaties</i>	489
<i>Case-Law</i>	491
<i>Other Materials</i>	501
<i>Bibliography</i>	507

Table of Contents

<i>Table of Contents – Summary</i>	vii
<i>Table of Contents</i>	ix
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xvii
Introduction	1
1. Object, Purpose and Relevance	1
2. Central Research Questions and Methodology	7
2.1. Central Research Questions	7
2.2. Methodology	7
2.2.1. Source-Based Research	7
2.2.2. Situational Contexts.....	8
2.2.3. Paradigmatic Approach.....	10
3. Scope, Limits and Definitions	12
3.1. Insurgency and Counterinsurgency	12
3.2. The Counterinsurgent State and the ‘Insurgent’	13
3.3. Targeting.....	14
3.4. Operational Detention	17
3.5. LOAC and IHRL.....	18
3.6. The Assumption of an Armed Conflict.....	19
3.7. Non-Examination of The Law of Inter-State Force.....	20
4. Structure and Subsequent Research Questions	21
4.1. Part A. Context and Conceptual Framework for Analysis	21
4.2. Part B. Interplay Potential.....	23
4.3. Part C. Interplay Appreciation	24
4.4. Part D. Conclusions.....	26
Part A. Context and Conceptual Framework for Analysis	27
Chapter I Strategic and Military Context	29
1. Insurgency	29
2. Counterinsurgency	35
3. Legal Relevance	44
Chapter II The Legal Context	47
1. Some General Notions of IHRL and LOAC	47
1.1. IHRL.....	47
1.1.1. Relationships.....	50
1.1.2. Rights	51
1.1.3. Obligations.....	53
1.1.4. The Scope of Applicability of IHRL.....	56

1.1.4.1.	IHRL Obligations Following ‘Jurisdiction’.....	56
1.1.4.1.1.	The Meaning of ‘Jurisdiction’ in General International Law	57
1.1.4.1.2.	The Meaning of ‘Jurisdiction’ in the <i>Travaux Préparatoires</i>	60
1.1.4.1.3.	Subsequent Practice of Human Rights Supervisory Bodies and the ICJ.....	63
1.1.4.1.4.	Customary IHRL.....	68
1.1.4.2.	Applicability in Armed Conflict	69
1.1.5.	Derogation	71
1.2.	LOAC.....	76
1.2.1.	Relationships.....	76
1.2.2.	Rights	77
1.2.2.1.	Standards of Treatment	77
1.2.2.2.	Authorizations and Privileges	77
1.2.3.	Obligations	77
1.2.4.	Military Necessity and Humanity.....	83
1.2.4.1.	A Delicate Balance	85
1.2.4.2.	Military Necessity	86
1.2.5.	Applicability: ‘Armed Conflict’.....	91
2.	Themes in the Legal Discourse on the Role and Interplay of IHRL and LOAC	95
2.1.	Dogmatic Approaches on the Interplay IHRL-LOAC	95
2.1.1.	Separatist Approach.....	95
2.1.2.	Integrationist Approach	98
2.1.3.	Complementary Approach.....	99
2.2.	The Discourse on the ‘Humanization’ of Armed Conflict	101
2.2.1.	The Meaning of ‘Humanization’	101
2.2.2.	Support for Innovative Humanization.....	103
2.2.3.	Criticism of Innovative Humanization	108
2.3.	IHRL, LOAC and the ‘New Paradigm’ of Warfare	109
2.3.1.	The Law Enforcement Response	109
2.3.2.	The Armed Conflict Response.....	112
2.3.3.	The ‘Mixed’ Response	115
2.3.4.	LOAC: Outdated? Revision?.....	115
3.	The Regulation of Norm Relationships in International Law	121
3.1.	International Law as a Legal System.....	121
3.2.	Norm Relationships: ‘Valid’ and ‘Applicable’	121
3.3.	Complementarity, Harmonization and Normative Conflict	122
3.4.	Conflict Ascertainment, Avoidance, and Resolution	124
Chapter III	Conceptual Framework for Analysis on the Interplay of Norms of IHRL and LOAC	129
1.	Norm Relationships between IHRL and LOAC.....	129
2.	<i>Lex Specialis</i>.....	130
3.	<i>Lex Specialis</i>, IHRL and LOAC	136
4.	Resulting Conceptual Framework of Analysis for the Interplay of IHRL and LOAC in the Regulation of Deprivations of Life and Liberty.....	139
Conclusions Part A		141

Part B. Interplay Potential	143
Introduction	145
Chapter IV IHRL	147
1. Valid Norms	147
1.1. Targeting.....	147
1.2. Operational Detention	150
2. Applicability.....	153
2.1. (Extra-)territorial Applicability <i>Ratione Personae</i> of Valid Norms of IHRL to Targeting and Operational Detention in Counterinsurgency Operations.....	154
2.1.1. SAA.....	154
2.1.1.1. Operational Detention	154
2.1.1.2. Targeting.....	155
2.1.1.2.1. UNHRC and IACiHR/IACtHR.....	155
2.1.1.2.2. ECtHR.....	156
2.1.2. ECA	163
2.1.3. Overview	168
2.2. Derogation	169
2.2.1. Targeting	169
2.2.1.1. ICCPR and ACHR.....	169
2.2.1.2. ECHR	170
2.2.1.2.1. Article 15 ECHR: IAC <i>and</i> NIAC?.....	171
2.2.1.2.1.1. ‘War’ in Article 15(1) ECHR	171
2.2.1.2.1.2. ‘Lawful Acts of War’ in Article 15(2) ECHR.....	172
A. IAC Only, and the Alternative Approach of Article 2(2) ECHR	172
B. IAC <i>and</i> NIAC.....	174
2.2.1.2.2. Automatic or Authoritative Derogation	174
2.2.1.3. Derogation from the Customary Right to Life.....	177
2.2.2. Operational Detention	177
2.2.2.1. Conventional Normative Framework	178
2.2.2.1.1. Derogation from the Right to Liberty and Security of the Person....	178
2.2.2.1.2. Derogation from Fair Trial Guarantees.....	179
2.2.2.1.3. Derogation from Norms Pertaining to the Treatment and Conditions of Detention.....	179
2.2.2.1.4. Derogation from Norms Pertaining to the Transfer of Individuals Deprived of their Liberty	179
2.2.2.2. Derogation from the Non-Conventional Right to Liberty	180
2.2.3. Extraterritorial Applicability of Derogation Clauses	180
3. Observations.....	181
Chapter V LOAC	185
1. Valid Norms	185
1.1. Targeting.....	185
1.1.1. The Law of IAC.....	185
1.1.2. The Law of NIAC	187
1.2. Operational Detention	190
1.2.1. The Law of IAC.....	190
1.2.2. The Law of NIAC	194

2. Applicability	198
2.1. Applicability of the Law of IAC to Counterinsurgency Operations.....	198
2.1.1. Inter-State Armed Conflict	198
2.1.2. Belligerent Occupation.....	204
2.1.2.1. The Concept of Belligerent Occupation	205
2.1.2.2. The Applicability of the Law of IAC to OCCUPCOIN?	208
2.2. Applicability of the Law of NIAC to Counterinsurgency Operations	209
2.2.1. CA 3-NIACs	211
2.2.1.1. The Applicability of the Law of CA 3-NIAC to Counterinsurgency Operations.....	211
2.2.1.1.1. “In the Territory of <i>One</i> of the High Contracting Parties”	212
2.2.1.1.2. “Armed Conflict Not of an International Character”.....	213
2.2.1.2. The CA 3-Threshold.....	215
2.2.1.2.1. Protracted Armed Violence	217
2.2.1.2.2. Organized Armed Groups	219
2.2.1.2.3. Some Remarks on the Role of the Vertical Scope in Determining the Applicability of the Law of CA 3-NIACs to Counterinsurgency Operations	221
2.2.2. AP II-NIACs	224
2.2.2.1. The Applicability of the Law of AP II-NIAC to Counterinsurgency Operations.....	224
2.2.2.2. The Threshold of AP II-NIAC	224
3. Observations	226
Conclusions Part B.	229
Part C. Interplay Appreciation	231
Part C.1. Targeting	231
Introduction	235
Chapter VI IHL	237
1. ‘Arbitrary’ and ‘Intentional’: Complementary?	237
2. Normative Substance of the Requirements of (Non-)Arbitrary Deprivation of Life	238
2.1. The Requirement of a Sufficient Legal Basis	238
2.2. The Requirement of Absolute Necessity	240
2.2.1. Qualitative Aspect of Necessity	241
2.2.2. Quantitative Aspect of Necessity.....	242
2.2.3. Temporal Aspect of Necessity	243
2.3. The Requirement of Proportionality	245
2.4. The Requirement of Precaution.....	247
2.4.1. Personal Scope.....	248
2.4.2. Temporal Scope.....	248
2.4.3. Qualitative Scope.....	248
2.4.4. Standard: ‘Reasonableness in the Circumstances’	250
2.5. The Requirement of Investigation	252
3. Observations	253
Chapter VII LOAC	255
1. Normative Substance of the Valid Normative Framework Relative to Targeting in the	

Context of Hostilities	255
1.1. The Principle of Distinction.....	255
1.1.1. The Law of IAC.....	257
1.1.1.1. Preliminary Identification of the Prohibitive and Authoritative Personal Scope of Attack.....	257
1.1.1.2. Status-Identification.....	258
1.1.2. The Law of NIAC	263
1.1.2.1. Preliminary Identification of the Prohibitive and Authoritative Personal Scopes of Attack.....	263
1.1.2.2. Status-Identification.....	265
1.1.2.2.1. <i>Members</i> of the Armed Forces of a Party to the Conflict.....	266
1.1.2.2.1.1. Continuous Combat Function	266
1.1.2.2.1.2. Criticism.....	268
1.1.2.2.2. <i>Members of the Armed Forces of a Party to the Conflict</i>	270
1.1.3. Shift in Immunity.....	271
1.1.3.1. Civilian DPH.....	272
1.1.3.1.1. The General Concept of “Direct Participation in Hostilities”	272
1.1.3.1.1.1. Threshold of Harm.....	274
1.1.3.1.1.2. Direct Causation.....	275
1.1.3.1.1.3. Belligerent Nexus	277
1.1.3.1.2. “Unless and For Such Time”	279
1.1.3.1.2.1. Beginning and End of DPH.....	279
1.1.3.1.2.2. Temporal Scope of the Loss of Protection	280
1.1.3.2. ‘Hors de Combat’.....	283
1.1.3.2.1.1. “In the Power of an Adverse Party”	284
1.1.3.2.2. “An Intention to Surrender”	286
1.1.3.2.3. “Incapable of Defending Himself”	286
1.1.4. Observations.....	287
1.2. The Principle of Proportionality.....	289
1.2.1. The Basic Rule.....	290
1.2.2. What Determines the Balance of Interests?.....	291
1.2.2.1. ‘ <i>Incidental</i> Loss of Civilian Life, Injury to Civilians, Damage to Civilian Objects, or a Combination Thereof	291
1.2.2.2. “ <i>Concrete and Direct</i> Military Advantage”	292
1.2.2.3. “ <i>Expected Incidental Loss</i> ” and “ <i>Military Advantage Anticipated</i> ”	293
1.2.2.4. Test: “Excessive”	293
1.2.3. “Concrete and Direct Military Advantage” in Counterinsurgency Doctrine.....	295
1.2.4. Observations.....	297
1.3. The Requirement of Precautionary Measures.....	298
1.3.1. Specific Obligations.....	298
1.3.1.1. Ascertainment of Lawful Military Objective.....	298
1.3.1.2. Avoidance or Minimization of Incidental Harm to the Civilian Population....	299
1.3.2. “Feasible”	302
1.3.3. Scope <i>Ratione Personae</i> of the Requirement of Precautionary Measures	302
1.3.4. Information.....	304
1.3.5. Observations.....	304
1.4. Prohibited and Restricted Means and Methods.....	304
1.5. Additional Restrictions Imposed by Military Necessity (and Humanity)?.....	307
1.5.1. Traditional View Reflecting the <i>Lex Lata</i>	308
1.5.2. The ‘Least Harmful’-Approach.....	309
1.5.3. Observations on the Idea of a ‘Least Harmful Means’-Obligation under the Law of Hostilities	314

1.6. Observations	321
2. Normative Substance of the Valid Normative Framework Relative to Targeting in the Context of Law Enforcement.....	322
2.1. The Law of IAC	322
2.2. The Law of NIAC.....	329
2.3. Observations	330
Chapter VIII Interplay.....	331
1. The Interplay of IHRL and LOAC in the Normative Paradigm of Hostilities	332
2. The Interplay of IHRL and LOAC in the Normative Paradigm of Law Enforcement .	337
3. The Interplay between the Normative Paradigms of Hostilities and Law Enforcement	341
3.1. A Formal Approach.....	344
3.2. A Functional Approach.....	346
3.2.1. The General Concept	346
3.2.2. Law or Policy?.....	346
3.2.3. Control.....	350
3.2.3.1. Territorial Control	352
3.2.3.2. Situational Control.....	353
3.2.3.3. Application of the Functional Approach in Situational Contexts of Counterinsurgency.....	355
4. Observations	356
Conclusions Part C.1.....	357
1. Interplay	357
2. Permissible Scope for Targeting under the Normative Paradigms	358
2.1. Normative Paradigm of Law Enforcement.....	358
2.2. Normative Paradigm of Hostilities	360
Part C.2. Operational Detention.....	363
Introduction	365
Chapter IX IHRL.....	367
1. ‘Arbitrary’?	367
2. Normative Substance of the Requirements of (Non-)Arbitrary Deprivation of Liberty	367
2.1. The Requirement of a Sufficient Legal Basis	367
2.2. The Requirements of Strict Necessity and Proportionality.....	372
2.3. Requirements Pertaining to Procedural Safeguards	373
2.3.1. The Requirement of Registration of the Detention in an Officially Recognized Place of Detention.....	374
2.3.2. The Requirement to Grant a Detainee the Right to Communicate with the Outside World	374
2.3.3. The Requirement of Prompt Notification of Reasons of Arrest and Detention and Access to Consular Rights.	375
2.3.4. The Requirement to Provide a Person Deprived of Liberty with an Opportunity to Challenge the Lawfulness of Detention (<i>Habeas Corpus</i>)	375
2.3.5. The Requirement of Compensation for Unlawful Detention	377
2.4. Additional Procedural Requirements for Criminal Detainees	377
2.5. Requirements Pertaining to Fair Trial Rights.....	377

2.6.	Requirements Pertaining to the Treatment of Detainees.....	379
2.6.1.	Treatment in Narrow Sense	380
2.6.2.	Treatment in Terms of Material Conditions.....	381
2.7.	Requirements Pertaining the Transfer of Individuals Deprived of Their Liberty.....	381
3.	Observations.....	383
Chapter X	LOAC	385
1.	Normative Substance of the Valid Normative Framework Relative to Operational Detention in the Context of IAC	385
1.1.	The Principle of Distinction.....	385
1.1.1.	Regime Admissibility.....	386
1.1.1.1.	GC III	386
1.1.1.2.	GC IV	388
1.1.1.3.	AP I.....	388
1.1.2.	Legal Basis for Operational Detention in GC IV	390
1.1.2.1.	Criminal Detention	390
1.1.2.2.	Security Detention.....	391
1.1.3.	Legal Basis for Operational Detention in AP I.....	393
1.1.4.	The Resulting Authoritative and Prohibited Personal Scopes of Operational Detention.....	394
1.2.	Requirements Specific to Criminal Detention	395
1.3.	Requirements Specific to Security Detention	396
1.3.1.	The Requirement of a Sufficient Legal Basis	396
1.3.2.	The Requirement of Necessity.....	396
1.3.3.	Requirements Pertaining to Procedural Safeguards.....	402
1.3.3.1.	The Requirement of Prompt Information on the Reasons of Internment	402
1.3.3.2.	The Requirement of Registration of the Detention in an Officially Recognized Place of Detention	402
1.3.3.3.	The Requirement to Grant the Internee the Right to Communicate with the Outside World	403
1.3.3.3.1.	The Requirement to Carry out an Initial Review of the Decision of Internment	403
1.3.3.4.	The Requirement to Afford the Right of Appeal.....	403
1.3.3.5.	The Requirement of a Periodic Review	404
1.3.3.6.	The Requirement of a Review by an <i>Independent</i> and <i>Impartial</i> Body.....	405
1.4.	General Requirements Pertaining to <i>All</i> Protected Persons	406
1.4.1.	Requirements Pertaining to the Treatment of Protected Persons.....	406
1.4.1.1.	Treatment in the Narrow Sense	406
1.4.1.2.	Treatment in Terms of Material Conditions	407
1.4.2.	Requirements Pertaining to the Transfer of Protected Persons	408
2.	Normative Substance of the Valid Normative Framework Relative to Operational Detention in the Context of NIAC.....	409
2.1.	The Principle of Distinction.....	409
2.1.1.	Regime Admissibility.....	409
2.1.2.	Legal Bases.....	410
2.1.3.	The Resulting Authoritative and Prohibited Personal Scopes of Operational Detention.....	411
2.2.	Normative Substance of Authorized Operational Detention	411
3.	Observations.....	412
Chapter XI	Interplay	415
1.	The Interplay of IHRL and LOAC in the Normative Paradigm of Criminal Detention.....	415

2. The Interplay of IHRL and LOAC in the Normative Paradigm of Security Detention	418
2.1. The Interplay Between IHRL and the Law of IAC	418
2.2. The Interplay Between IHRL and the Law of NIAC	422
3. The Interplay between the Normative Paradigms of Criminal Detention and Security Detention	429
4. Observations	432
Conclusions Part C.2.	435
1. Interplay	435
2. Permissible Scope for Operational Detention under the Normative Paradigms	436
Part D. Synthesis and Conclusions	437
Chapter XII Synthesis and Conclusions	439
1. Operational and Legal Themes Characterizing the Interplay	439
1.1. Norm Relationships	439
1.2. Attempts to Modify the <i>Lex Lata</i> for Purposes of Humanity or Security	441
1.3. Norm Validity	443
1.4. Norm Applicability	444
1.5. The Notion of Control in the Concepts of Law Enforcement and Hostilities as well as in Object and Purpose of IHRL and LOAC	446
1.6. Insurgency and Counterinsurgency	446
2. Operational Implications of the Interplay for Targeting and Detention in Counterinsurgency	448
2.1. Targeting	448
2.1.1. Hostilities	450
2.1.2. Law Enforcement	453
2.2. Operational Detention	455
3. Final Conclusions	456
3.1. Significance of Normative Paradigms in Military Thinking	456
3.2. The Way Forward	457
<i>Abstract</i>	461
<i>Samenvatting</i>	475
<i>Table of Treaties</i>	489
<i>Case-Law</i>	491
<i>Other Materials</i>	501
<i>Bibliography</i>	507