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The space-and time-dependent response of many-body quantum systems is the most informative aspect of their emergent behavior. The dynamical structure factor, experimentally measurable using neutron scattering, can map this response in wave vector and energy with great detail, allowing theories to be quantitatively tested to high accuracy. Here, we present a comparison between neutron scattering measurements on the one-dimensional spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet KCuF₃, and recent state-of-the-art theoretical methods based on integrability and density matrix renormalization group simulations. The unprecedented quantitative agreement shows that precise descriptions of strongly correlated states at all distance, time, and temperature scales are now possible, and highlights the need to apply these novel techniques to other problems in low-dimensional magnetism.

Understanding the emergent properties of many-body quantum states is a central challenge of condensed matter physics. Their response behavior, encoded in dynamical structure factors, carries all the intricacies of strong correlations even in relatively simple systems. In this context, one-dimensional (1D) magnets have long been a preeminent laboratory for developing new, more widely applicable approaches and methods, as well as for seeking correspondence between theory and experiments [1]. The prototypical example is the spin-1/2 (S=1/2) Heisenberg antiferromagnet (HAF), described by the Hamiltonian [2]

$$H = J \sum_j S_j \cdot S_{j+1},$$

with nearest-neighbor exchange interaction $J$. Equation (1) embodies the combined challenges of nonlinearity, embedded in the spin commutation relations, and strong ground-state fluctuations due to the small spin value. This model was first tackled in 1931 by Hans Bethe who obtained its eigenstates with the Bethe ansatz [3]. A full understanding of this model has since then remained one of the long-standing problems of condensed matter physics. The complexity of its eigenstates has meant that, to date, only a partial understanding of the dynamical response has been achieved, which fails to provide sufficient accuracy or coverage to be able to establish the behavior over the relevant time and distance scales.

Here, we bring together crucial advances in theory, based on integrability and time-dependent density matrix renormalization group methods, to give a quantitative description of the dynamical response of the 1D S=1/2 HAF which we compare with the measured spectra of a model material. These new methods provide accurate correspondence over the entire observable parameter range, including finite temperatures, and allow for an unambiguous diagnosis of discrepancies in both experiments and other approximate theoretical approaches. The combined insights from these techniques have wide applicability and provide a more general quantitative understanding of the quantum properties of strongly correlated 1D systems.

Experimental details and methods.—To compare the different theoretical approaches with high-accuracy data, we performed inelastic neutron scattering on the prototypical 1D S=1/2 HAF KCuF₃. In this compound, orbital order [4] provides strong Heisenberg coupling ($J = 33.5$ meV) between the Cu²⁺ (S=1/2) ions in the $c$ direction. KCuF₃ has a long history in the study of the 1D S=1/2 HAF. The energy and wave vector dependence of the characteristic spinon continuum [5–8], as well as the presence of universal scaling behavior indicating proximity to the Luttinger liquid quantum critical point were established for the first time in KCuF₃ [9]. In addition, weak interchain coupling was shown to modify the low energy spectrum and, below the Néel temperature of $T_N = 39$ K, the existence of low energy spin waves and a novel longitudinal mode were found to accompany symmetry breaking [10–14]. For energies greater than 30 meV, the behavior of KCuF₃ is entirely 1D.

Inelastic neutron scattering (INS) is the most powerful method to analyze magnetic dynamics because the measured cross section yields the dynamical structure factor (DSF) as a function of momentum $k$ and energy $\hbar \omega$. 
region observable continuum, made from two spinons, fills the
KCuF3 between the upper (ωl(k)) and lower (ωu(k)) boundaries
for 2-spinon processes (gray lines). (b) The dynamical structure
factor computed via the algebraic Bethe ansatz

\[ S^{ab}(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j} e^{-ik(j-j')} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \langle S^a_j(0)S^b_j(0) \rangle, \]  
(2)

where \( N \) is the number of sites, and \( a, b = x, y, z \). INS data
sets of KCuF3 were collected at temperatures \( T = 6, 50, \)
75, 150, 200, and 300 K using the MAPS spectrometer
at the ISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, U.K.

Previous theoretical approaches.— The ground state of
the 1D S-1/2 HAF is quantum disordered with power-law
correlations. The important low-lying excitations \([16]\)
which define the DSF (2) are known as spinons \([17]\)
and can be pictured as Néel domain walls dressed by quantum
fluctuations. They carry fractional spinon (S-1/2) which
restricts them to being created in (multiple) pairs, and
they disperse according to \((\pi/2)J|\sin k|\). The simplest
observable continuum, made from two spinons, fills the
region \( \omega_l(k) \leq \omega \leq \omega_u(k) \) between the lower and upper boundaries

\[ \omega_l(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} J |\sin k|, \quad \omega_u(k) = \pi J \left| \sin \frac{k}{2} \right|, \]  
(3)

\[ k \in [0, 2\pi]. \]

The four-spinon continuum also has a lower threshold at
\( \omega_l(k) \) as do arbitrary 2n-spinon states.

Because of the long-term absence of precise calculations
for the DSF of the 1D S-1/2 HAF, finite-size exact diagonalization
results, sum rules, and the spinon dispersions
were combined into a phenomenological formula at
\( T = 0 \) K—the so-called Müller ansatz \([18]\),

\[ S_{MA}(k, \omega) = A_{MA} \frac{\Theta(\omega - \omega_l(k))\Theta(\omega_u(k) - \omega)}{[\omega^2 - \omega_l^2(k)]^{1/2}}, \]  
(4)

where \( A_{MA} = 289.6/\pi \). This formula, though historically
important due to its simplicity, is inexact.

Recent work making use of nonlinear LL theory \([21,22]\)
allows the threshold behavior at all
energies and the Luttinger liquid and Müller ansatz to
be combined into a phenomenological formula at
\( T = 0 \) K \([23,24]\). At \( k = \pi \) and low energies it becomes a
power law with logarithmic corrections, \( S(k = \pi, \omega \rightarrow 0) \sim \)
\((1/\omega)\ln(1/\omega)\), changing at \( k \neq \pi \) to \( S(k \neq \pi, \omega \rightarrow \omega_l(k)) \sim (1/\omega - \omega_l(k))\ln(1/(\omega - \omega_l(k))) \) for frequencies \( \omega \) close to the lower threshold \( \omega_l(k) \). There is no
obvious extension of this result to finite temperatures.

Finite temperatures are then straightforwardly treated,
giving the DSF around \( k = \pi + \delta k \) as

\[ S_{LL}(\pi + \delta k, \omega, T) = \frac{e^{(\hbar \omega/kT)}}{e^{(\hbar \omega/kT)} - 1} \frac{A_{LL}}{T} \times \text{Im} \left[ \rho \left( \frac{\omega + v_F \delta k}{4\pi T} \right) \rho \left( \frac{\omega - v_F \delta k}{4\pi T} \right) \right] \]  
(5)

where \( \rho(x) \equiv \Gamma(1/4 - ix)/\Gamma(3/4 - ix) \), \( v_F = (\pi/2)J \) is
the Fermi velocity and \( A_{LL} \) is a constant \([20]\). This
approach is not applicable at generic momenta.

![FIG. 1 (color online). INS data compared to theory. (a) The data show the multispinon continuum lying predominantly between the upper (ωl(k)) and lower (ωu(k)) boundaries for 2-spinon processes (gray lines). (b) The dynamical structure factor computed via the algebraic Bethe ansatz.](image)

![FIG. 2 (color online). Comparison of the INS data at k = π and T = 6 K, with the theoretical approaches. (a) The data agree approximately with the Luttinger liquid, Müller ansatz, and algebraic Bethe ansatz. (b) Differences between the theories increase at higher energies and the Luttinger liquid and Müller ansatz show strong discrepancies with the data near the 2-spinon upper threshold.](image)
FIG. 3 (color online). Comparison of the theories with the data at 6 K as a function of wave vector at different energies. The Müller ansatz strongly differs from the data above 55 meV. The relative importance of multispinon processes can be determined using the Bethe ansatz (vertex operator approach). The 2-spinon process alone clearly underestimates the scattering, highlighting the importance of including higher-spinon terms.

Comparison of these theories for $T = 0$ K to the lowest temperature ($T = 6$ K) KCuF$_3$ INS data.—Figure 2 shows the data at $k = \pi$ and $T = 6$ K as a function of energy compared to the Müller ansatz and Luttinger liquid theory at $T = 0$ K. The measured intensity behaves approximately as the power law $S(\pi, \omega) \sim 1/\omega^n (n = 1)$, indicating proximity to the LL quantum critical point. Below $\sim 30$ meV the correlations become increasingly modified from 1D to 3D due to interchain coupling, thus deviations from 1D theories are expected. Above 30 meV however, KCuF$_3$ is completely dominated by 1D behavior. Both theories systematically overestimate the scattering at high energies showing clear quantitative differences from the data. The LL is a continuum field theory and is hence unable to capture the upper cutoff intrinsic to the finite lattice spacing; it thus becomes imprecise for higher energies. In the case of the Müller ansatz the predicted stepwise upper cutoff at $\omega_u(k)$ is clearly incorrect. The Müller ansatz is also inaccurate at other wave vectors. The constant energy cuts in Fig. 3 show that it systematically overestimates the scattering for all energies above 55 meV and is inaccurate everywhere except near the lower continuum boundary.

The cuts at different wave vectors ($k \neq \pi$) in Fig. 4 reveal threshold singularities at the lower boundary $\omega_l(k)$ of the continuum. These are x-ray-edge-type singularities with power-law correlations extending to positive energies. Comparison to the nonlinear LL picture reveals that this theory is increasingly inaccurate as $k$ goes further from $\pi$. The linear LL picture can also be applied at finite temperatures although only in the region near $k = \pi$ [20]. As shown in Fig. 5, where it is compared to higher temperature KCuF$_3$ data, it works approximately for temperatures up to $\approx 100$ K, but for larger temperatures more accurate descriptions are clearly necessary.

Bethe ansatz approaches for $T = 0$ K.—Two approaches based on the exact solvability of the 1D $S$-$1/2$ HAF Eq. (1) have recently provided much more reliable calculations of the ground state DSF. First, the Heisenberg model displays an emergent quantum group symmetry in the infinite system size limit $N \to \infty$. This is exploited in the vertex operator approach [25] to obtain eigenstates and matrix elements of spin operators. The 2-spinon contribution to Eq. (2) computed using this approach [26,27] yields 72.9% of the integrated sum rule and 71.3% of the first frequency moment [28]. The remaining signal is carried by 4, 6, 8, \ldots spinon states. Matrix elements of spin operators between ground- and 4-spinon states [29] can be assembled into the 4-spinon contribution to the DSF [30], remarkably yielding (along with 2-spinon part) about 98% of the DSF in the thermodynamic limit.

The second integrability-based approach uses the algebraic Bethe ansatz [31], exploiting exact finite-size matrix elements of spin operators [32] which can be resummed [33–35] over relevant excitations [36,37] (i.e., arbitrary numbers of spinons) to obtain precise results for large systems (over 99% saturation for 500 sites), for arbitrary field and anisotropy. The algebraic Bethe ansatz and vertex operator approaches give identical results (up to finite-size corrections and imperfect saturations) for the ground-state correlations.

FIG. 4 (color online). 6 K data plotted as a function of energy for different wave vectors. Threshold singularities occur across the Brillouin zone at $\omega_u(k)$. These are compared to the algebraic Bethe ansatz and the nonlinear Luttinger liquid theory. The black arrows indicate the 2-spinon upper threshold $\omega_u(k).
Comparison of the $T = 0$ K Bethe ansatz approaches to the lowest temperature ($T = 6$ K) KCuF$_3$ INS data.—As shown in Figs. 1–4 these theories provide excellent quantitative agreement over all energies and wave vectors. Unlike the Müller Ansatz and Luttinger liquid field theory, the integrability-based algebraic Bethe ansatz at $k = \pi$ (Fig. 2) demonstrates the correct analytic behavior at the 2-spinon upper boundary providing a quantitative description of the truncation of spinon states. The vertex operator approach is compared to the constant energy cuts (Fig. 3) and unlike the Müller ansatz provides accurate agreement with the measurements throughout the Brillouin zone including at highest energies. The vertex operator approach can also be used to assess the relative importance of 2-and higher-spinon contributions to the scattering. Considering only 2-spinon processes (dashed line), shows marked differences from the measurements above 30 meV and away from $k = 0$, $\pi$. Therefore, as suspected in Ref. [38], and very recently shown in Ref. [39], higher-order spinon processes must be included. Finally, unlike the nonlinear LL field theory, the Bethe ansatz computations are able to capture the threshold singularities quantitatively throughout the Brillouin zone (Fig. 4). Furthermore, they also agree with the cutoff from 2-spinon processes at the upper threshold, which is not a Müller ansatz type step function but a square-root cusp.

$t$DMRG for finite temperatures.—The problem of the finite-temperature DSF remains for the moment inaccessible to these exact integrability-based methods. However, finite-temperature response functions of 1D systems, like $\langle S^z_j(t)S^z_{j'}(0) \rangle$ in Eq. (2), can be evaluated in a quasiexact manner up to some maximum reachable time $t_{\text{max}}$ on the basis of the time-dependent density matrix renormalization group (tDMRG) [40–42]. A corresponding scheme, introduced in Ref. [43], is based on a sequence of imaginary-time and real-time evolutions during which the occurring many-body operators are approximated in matrix product form. As described in Refs. [43,44], one can use linear prediction [45,46] to extend the obtained data from the time interval $[- t_{\text{max}}, t_{\text{max}}]$ to infinite times before doing the Fourier transform in Eq. (2) that yields the DSF. A difficulty in the DMRG simulations is the (typically linear) growth of entanglement with time [47–49]. In tDMRG calculations, this leads to a severe increase of the computation cost and strongly limits the maximum reachable times $t_{\text{max}}$. It is only due to a novel, much more efficient evaluation scheme for the thermal response functions [50–52] that we are now able to reach sufficiently large $t_{\text{max}}$ such that the linear prediction becomes very accurate and precise structure factors can be computed.

The tDMRG simulations compared to finite temperature KCuF$_3$ INS data.—The results shown in Fig. 5, give the first application of this optimized tDMRG scheme [50,51] to determine the full momentum- and energy-dependence of the DSF at $T > 0$. The simulations were carried out with systems of 129 sites and a DMRG truncation weight [53] of $10^{-10}$, guaranteeing negligible finite-size and truncation effects. The tDMRG results clearly provide an excellent description of the experimental cross section without adjustable parameters except at lowest energies where the interchain coupling is significant. As mentioned before, the linear LL theory allows finite temperature comparison at $k = \pi$; however, the assumption of a linear dispersion results in strong discrepancies at higher energies and temperatures. In contrast, tDMRG is able to accurately describe the system over the full energy and temperature range. It also provides an accurate description of the INS data throughout the Brillouin zone (not just at $k = \pi$ as for the LL theory).

Conclusion.—Detailed comparison to high-quality inelastic neutron scattering data shows the inadequacy of conventional approximations for the dynamic structure factor of the 1D $S$-$1/2$ HAF. Instead, excellent agreement is found with new theories based on exact solutions. These comparisons directly show the importance of computing cross sections beyond 2-spinon terms, and the correct fitting of the high-energy cutoffs. Furthermore we have shown that the data at finite temperatures can be modeled by a novel DMRG method, giving excellent agreement over the full temperature, energy, and wave vector range. This Letter demonstrates that the combination of integrability and DMRG calculations provides a solution to the long-standing problem of the response of the 1D $S$-$1/2$ HAF over all experimental parameters. We anticipate that these powerful techniques will in the future be successfully applied to other problems in low-dimensional magnetism as they allow for unambiguous identification of deviations due to experimental phenomena [54] and approximations in other theoretical approaches.
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