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ABSTRACT

Purpose
Fertility after orchidopexied undescended testes (UDT) is impaired. Although fertility parameters are known to be more favourable in unilateral cases than in bilateral cases, the exact contribution of the unilateral orchidopexied UDT to fertility is unknown. We used testicular $^{18}$F-FDG-uptake assessed by PET/CT to investigate the function of the orchidopexied unilateral congenital UDT, compared to its normally descended counterpart. We hypothesize that the contribution of the orchidopexied unilateral congenital UDT to fertility in adulthood is low.

Methods
Eleven men who underwent orchidopexy for congenital UDT at the age of 1.9 ± 1.4 (range 4.5 months – 4.0) years were seen in follow-up at the age of 24.1 ± 2.3 (20.6 – 28.0) years. All underwent physical examination, testicular ultrasonography and PET/CT. Testicular $^{18}$F-FDG-uptake was expressed as the peak Standarized Uptake Value (SUV$\text{peak}$).

Results
The mean SUV$\text{peak}$ of the orchidopexied UDT was 2.74 ± 0.48 (2.13 – 3.47), which was significantly lower than its counterpart (p = 0.021). There was no significant difference in volume between the operated and the contralateral testis on ultrasound and CT. Besides, there was no correlation between the testicular volume and the SUV$\text{peak}$.

Conclusions
The orchidopexied congenital UDT has been shown to be less metabolically active than its contralateral counterpart. Nevertheless, we suggest that the operated testes function to some degree.
INTRODUCTION

Congenital undescended testis (UDT) is one of the most common urological anomalies and is associated with an enhanced risk of testicular cancer and impaired spermatogenesis. Long-term follow-up studies show a decreased fertility in adulthood, which is worse after bilateral as compared to unilateral UDTs. The main parameters used to assess this fertility have been paternity rate, semen quality and hormone levels. Those parameters measure the function of both testes and are not able to discriminate the role of each testis independently. Therefore, the contribution of the unilateral orchidopexied UDT to fertility remains uncertain.

Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging with $^{18}$F-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose (FDG) in combination with computed tomography (CT) scan is a promising new method to evaluate testicular function. The parameter of FDG-uptake, the standardized uptake value (SUV), is correlated with sperm quality, can be measured accurately and shows a high symmetry in healthy testes. As the FDG-uptake can be assessed for both testes separately it is possible to evaluate the function of both testes independently.

Although case reports of detection of undescended testes on PET/CT scans have been published, (FDG-uptake of) orchidopexied testes on PET/CT scan have never been described before.

We speculate that the contribution of the orchidopexied unilateral congenital UDT to fertility in adulthood is low. The aim of this project is to evaluate this long-term independent function of the orchidopexied congenital UDT. Therefore, we used a testicular PET/CT scan.

To calculate the number of individuals needed to compare the FDG-uptake of the orchidopexied versus its counterpart, we performed a pilot study to be able to achieve a power analysis (sample size calculation).

METHODS

Study population

From a cohort of 386 boys, who underwent orchidopexy on congenital UDT between 1986 and 2006 at the Medical Center of Alkmaar (earlier described by Sijstermans et al), we requested 50 men by mail to participate in this long-term follow-up study.
Eleven men with a mean ± SD age of 24.1 ± 2.3 (range, 20.6 – 28.0) years took part. Written informed consent was obtained before participation.

**Orchidopexy**

Orchidopexy was performed by the same surgeon (RM). After inguinal exploration, if present, the open processus vaginalis was separated from the cord structures and ligated. Separation of the cremaster muscle and retroperitoneal funiculolysis were performed to mobilize the cord. Finally, the testis was fixated scrotally in a created Dartos pouch.

**Follow-up data**

Follow-up included one visit at the Medical Center of Alkmaar whereby medical history, physical examination, testicular ultrasound and an $^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT scan were performed. All visits were coordinated by AM and RM.

**Medical history**

A questionnaire was used including the medical history, inguinal or scrotal complaints and use of medication. Furthermore, we inquired after fatherhood or the desire to father a child as well as how long it took to conceive a child.

**Physical examination**

Physical examination included testis position, which was classified as low scrotal, high scrotal, inguinal, or non-palpable.

**Testicular ultrasound**

All testicular ultrasound examinations were performed with the same equipment (Falco Auto Image; Falco Software Co, Tomsk, Russia) using a 12-MHz linear array transducer by a single investigator (RM). To measure testicular volume, the transducer was placed on the scrotum while exerting light pressure to avoid distortion of the testicular shape. Images of the testes were obtained in the transverse and longitudinal planes. The epididymis was not included in the images. Length, width and height were measured three times, and the volume was calculated with the formula for an ellipsoid $= \pi/6 \times \text{length} \times \text{width} \times \text{height}$. For each testis, the highest value of the 3 testicular volumes was taken as volume measurement. Additional findings, such as hydrocele, varicocele,
and microlithiasis, were recorded. If necessary, the patient was referred for further follow-up.

\textbf{\(^{18}\text{F-FDG PET/CT scan}\)}

Testicular \(^{18}\text{F-FDG PET/CT scanning was performed using a Biograph 16 TruePoint PET/CT scanner (Siemens Healthcare, Knoxville, USA). All participants received an intravenous injection of \(^{18}\text{F-FDG}. The average injected dose was 1.01 MBq/kg body weight (range 0.96 – 1.05 MBq/kg), and the average time between FDG administration and the start of the PET acquisition was 44 minutes (range 31 – 65 minutes). The testes were positioned longitudinally using two plastic tubes, which also prevented direct contact between both testes or improper position between the legs. A low-dose CT scan was performed for localization and attenuation correction. Scanning parameters included 20 ref.mAs and 130 kV with 4D Care Dose. No intravenous radio contrast was administered.}

For PET scanning, a 3D emission scan was acquired, using 10 minutes at one bed position (the axial field of view of 21.6 cm). Images with CT-based attenuation correction were reconstructed, using OSEM\(_3\)D reconstruction with 4 iterations, 8 subsets and a Gaussian post-smoothing filter of 5 mm. Images were interpreted on syngo.via VA20A equipped workstations, using the MM Oncology software package (version 1.0; Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany), which can display CT, PET and fused PET/CT images simultaneously.

In order to measure testicular volume by CT, the testes were selected semi-automatically using the generic segmentation tool in this software package. The resulting selected area was checked visually in all orthogonal planes and reshaped manually in case of obvious errors. Subsequently, the CT volume of each testis was recorded. SUVs were calculated from the PET images (Figure 1) as the ratio of the activity (kBq) in tissue per ml to the activity in the injected dose, corrected by residual activity in the syringe, per patient body weight in kg. Volume of Interest (VOI)s were selected on the PET images using the VOI isocontour tool in the oncology software package mentioned above, with a threshold of 50%. Spheres were placed manually around each testis on the 3D PET images and rotated to the correct orientation of the testes. Within the resulting isocontour, \(\text{SUV}_{\text{\max}}\) (SUV of single pixel with highest uptake in the VOI), \(\text{SUV}_{\text{\peak}}\) (mean SUV of 1 cm\(^3\) with highest uptake in VOI), \(\text{SUV}_{\text{\mean}}\) (mean SUV in whole VOI) were measured and recorded.
**Statistical analysis**

All data were managed and analyzed with SPSS, version 14.0. The differences in volume and SUVs between the orchidopexied testis and its counterpart were calculated using the Wilcoxon signed rank test.

The correlation between the testicular volume measured with ultrasound and with CT, as well as between testicular volume and $\text{SUV}_{\text{peak}}$, was assessed with Pearson’s correlation coefficient test. A correlation coefficient $(r) > 0.7$ was regarded as a good correlation. A $p$-value $< 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant.

Power-analysis was based on a paired t-test with the parameter $\text{SUV}_{\text{peak}}$ and a power of $> 0.8$ was regarded to be good.

**Ethical Approval**

This study was approved by the ethical committee ‘METC Noord-Holland’ (registration no. NH012.449 / NL42125.094.12).
RESULTS

The eleven participating men with a mean ± SD age of 24.1 ± 2.3 (range, 20.6 – 28.0) years underwent an orchidopexy for congenital UDT at a mean age ± SD of 1.9 ± 1.4 (range 4.5 months – 4.0) years. All congenital UDTs were right-sided.

Medical history
General medical history involved one man with ulcerative colitis, one with hemophilia. Furthermore, one had received a contralateral inguinal hernia repair, one an appendectomy and another a circumcision. No relevant medication was used. None of the men did mention any relevant complaints of the inguinoscrotal region. Two patients had fathered a child; both pregnancies occurred within a month after stopping anti-conception. Of the men without children, one wished to have fathered, for the time of a year.

Testicular position and abnormalities
All, but one, testes were located low scrotal. One of the orchidopexied testes was in a high scrotal position. Intra- and extratesticular varicocele were seen in one of the operated testis. No other abnormalities were observed.

Testicular volume
Mean testicular volume measured with ultrasound of the orchidopexied testes was not significantly different from the contralateral testes; 13.3 ± 5.8 (4.9 – 24.4) vs 20.0 ± 11.3 (11.2 – 52.4) ml, p = 0.13 (Wilcoxon signed ranks test). Mean testicular volume assessed on CT was not significantly different for the orchidopexied testes, 24.0 ± 9.8 (10.4 – 48.6) ml, in comparison to the contralateral testes, 28.3 ± 7.5 (18.0 – 44.5) ml, p = 0.33 (Wilcoxon signed ranks test). Testicular volume measurement assessed by ultrasound correlated well with the assessment on CT, r = 0.729 (Figure 2).
Figure 2  Correlation between testicular measurement as assessed by ultrasound and CT; r = 0.729; p < 0.001.

Testicular $^{18}$F-FDG-uptake

The 11 orchidopexied testes had a mean SUV$_{\text{peak}}$ of $2.74 \pm 0.48$ (2.13 – 3.47), which was significantly smaller than the SUV$_{\text{peak}}$ of its counterpart: $2.97 \pm 0.39$ (2.21 – 3.46), $p = 0.021$ (Wilcoxon signed ranks test). Table 1 lists the results of the SUV$_{\text{peak}}$, SUV$_{\text{mean}}$ and SUV$_{\text{max}}$. 
Table 1 Long-term mean ± SD (range) of SUV\(_{\text{peak}}\), SUV\(_{\text{mean}}\) and SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) of orchidopexied congenital undescended testis and its contralateral counterpart as assessed on \(^{18}\text{F-FDG-PET/CT}\) scan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>orchidopexied testis (n=11)</th>
<th>contralateral testis (n=11)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUV(_{\text{peak}})</td>
<td>2.74 ± 0.48 (2.13 – 3.47)</td>
<td>2.97 ± 0.39 (2.21 – 3.46)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV(_{\text{mean}})</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.35 (1.76 – 2.80)</td>
<td>2.37 ± 0.28 (1.85 – 2.74)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV(_{\text{max}})</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.53 (2.34 – 3.82)</td>
<td>3.26 ± 0.44 (2.43 – 3.81)</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Wilcoxon signed rank test

There was no correlation between testicular volume measured by ultrasound and CT and FDG-uptake (Vol\(_{\text{US}}\) ~SUV\(_{\text{peak}}\) r = 0.209; Vol\(_{\text{CT}}\) ~SUV\(_{\text{peak}}\) r = 0.269).

**Power analysis**

Based on a paired t–test calculator with the following parameters; SDx = 0.48, SDy = 0.39, R(x.y) = 0.85, α = 0.05, β = 0.2, δ = 0.23, the power of the current study, involving 11 men, was 0.85.

**Discussion**

This study shows that, with a mean SUV\(_{\text{peak}}\) of 2.74 ± 0.48, the congenital UDT after orchidopexy at early age, functions in adulthood, but at a significantly lower level than its counterpart, p = 0.021. Furthermore, we saw no correlation between the testicular volume and SUV\(_{\text{peak}}\).

The fertility of men treated for UDT has been the subject of study for many years. Although impaired, the fertility in men is better after unilateral compared to bilateral cryptorchidism.\(^3\text{-}^7,^{16,17}\) Since this fertility has been expressed as paternity rate, semen quality and hormone levels, it reflects the function of the whole genital tract and both testes. So to date, the contribution of the unilateral orchidopexied congenital UDT has not been elucidated.

This is the first study that evaluates the function of orchidopexied UDTs expressed as \(^{18}\text{F-FDG-uptake assessed by PET/CT-scan}\). This relative new parameter for
testicular function was launched by Dierickx et al.⁸ The testicular uptake of FDG is probably linked to GLUT 3 receptors which are situated in Sertoli cells and early spermatocytes ⁱ⁸, as illustrated in Figure 1. In rats, surgically induced unilateral abdominal cryptorchidism give degenerative changes associated with decreased GLUT 3 receptors.¹⁹ Dierickx et al found correlations between testicular FDG-uptake and its function. Several studies support the symmetry in healthy testes and usability of the FDG-uptake, expressed as SUV.¹⁰,¹¹

In a previous study, we evaluated the normative testicular SUV in 20 young men and found a mean SUVpeak of 3.06 ± 0.54 (1.81 – 4.14) with a high symmetry for both testes [unpublished data].

The SUVpeak of the orchidopexied congenital UDT is lower than these normative values, p = 0.043, whereas the SUVpeak of the contralateral counterpart is comparable, p = 0.588 (Mann-Whitney U test). Nevertheless, we should be careful comparing these data; absolute uptake values such as SUVs are known to be affected by many technical and physiological factors. Therefore, measurements from different studies cannot reliably be compared.²⁰-²²

Though, all orchidopexied testes in this study show a SUVpeak above 2.13. Therefore, we seem to be justified to conclude that the orchidopexied congenital UDT is functioning to some degree.

Regarding the testicular volumes, Sijstermans et al ¹⁵ described smaller volumes of orchidopexied congenital UDTs compared to its counterparts, as assessed by Prader orchidometry (p < 0.01) and ultrasound (p < 0.01). We did not find this difference in volume (p = 0.13, for ultrasound). The number of testes studied (152 vs 163 in Sijstermans et al ¹⁵ and 11 vs 11 in our study) can be a good explanation for this contrary finding.

The limitations of this study need to be addressed. First, the number of 11 men is small. Because this was meant as a pilot study we performed a sample size calculation which showed that this current study has a power of 0.85. This means that the number of 11 participants was sufficient to prove the difference in SUVpeak of the orchidopexied congenital UDT compared to its counterpart. Second, the meaning of the SUV should be interpreted with care. The correlation between the testicular FDG-uptake and the main sperm parameters described by Dierickx et al ⁸ is, so far, the only justification for using the SUV as a parameter for testicular function. As studies have shown a decline in FDG-uptake with age and other studies show a decline in fertility with age, they assumed...
that decreasing FDG uptake may reflect a decline in male spermatogenesis. Dierickx and co-workers selected 20 men (14 – 35 years) treated for non-testicular cancer, who had undergone a PET/CT and had provided semen samples. Then, they correlated functional volume (on PET/CT) and FDG-uptake with sperm parameters and found results that testicular FDG-uptake reflects testicular function. Namely, FDG-uptake was correlated to total sperm count, which is an independent parameter for testicular function.

CONCLUSION

The orchidopexied congenital UDT has been shown to be less metabolically active than its contralateral counterpart. Nevertheless, with a mean $\text{SUV}_{\text{peak}}$ of $2.74 \pm 0.48$ we suggest that the operated testes function to some degree.
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