One-step surgical procedure for the treatment of osteochondral defects with adipose-derived stem cells in a caprine knee defect: a pilot study
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Abstract
Regenerative therapies offer attractive alternatives for the treatment of osteochondral defects. Adipose-derived stromal vascular fraction (SVF) cells allow the development of one-step surgical procedures by their abundant availability and high frequency. In this pilot study we evaluated the in vivo safety, feasibility, and efficacy of this concept using scaffolds seeded with freshly isolated (SVF) or cultured adipose stem cells (ASCs), and compared these to their acellular counterparts. Osteochondral defects were created in medial condyles and trochlear grooves in knees of eight goats. Defects were filled with acellular collagen I/III scaffolds or scaffolds seeded with SVF cells or cultured ASCs. Osteochondral regeneration was evaluated after 1 and 4 months by macroscopy, immunohistochemistry, biomechanical analysis, microCT analysis, and biochemistry. After 1 month, no adverse effects were noted. Microscopic, but not macroscopic evaluation showed considerable yet not significant differences, with cell-loaded constructs showing more extensive regeneration. After 4 months, acellular constructs displayed increased regeneration, however, to a lesser degree than cell-treated constructs. The latter exhibited more extensive collagen type II, hyaline-like cartilage, and higher elastic moduli, and their glycosaminoglycan content in the cartilaginous layer better approached native tissue values. Moreover, their defect regions contained higher levels of regenerated, mature subchondral bone with more intense collagen type I staining. SVF cells tended to perform best on all parameters. In summary, this pilot study demonstrated the preclinical safety and feasibility of a one-step surgical procedure for osteochondral defect regeneration. Similar regeneration was found between freshly isolated SVF cells and cultured ASCs. Larger studies with longer follow-up are required to substantiate these findings.
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Introduction

Tissue engineering therapies are being evaluated as promising alternatives to heal (osteo)chondral defects. These defects have limited self-regenerative potential or result in suboptimal functional repair when using conventional joint resurfacing treatments.1-4 Adipose tissue is an attractive source of stem cells for these regenerative therapies due to its abundance and high frequency of stem cells with multilineage differentiation capacity.5 The stem cells reside in a supportive stromal vascular fraction (SVF) that can be easily isolated. Next to the adipose stem cells (ASC), the SVF of adipose tissue consists of a heterogeneous mixture of cells, including endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, pericytes, leucocytes, mast cells, and pre-adipocytes.5-7 Currently, most therapies focus on the use of cultured cells of various origins to obtain sufficient quantities needed for regeneration.8-12 The use of adipose tissue can overcome this...
culturing step since a clinically relevant number of stem cells can be isolated, creating the possibility to develop a one-step surgical procedure for the treatment of osteochondral defects. This concept has already been tested in vitro and showed promising results, demonstrating the ability of the ASC fraction within the SVF to attach to a scaffold material in sufficient quantities in a short time frame (~10 min), and the capacity to differentiate into the osteogenic and chondrogenic lineage. Obvious advantages of this approach in humans are its patient friendliness and its lower costs, in addition to avoidance of a second surgical intervention and expensive in vitro culturing steps.

To further investigate the safety and feasibility of this one-step surgical procedure for the treatment of osteochondral defects, we employed an in vitro goat model. This large animal model is known for its suitability to evaluate osteochondral defects and its possible translation to the human situation. To evaluate the potential modulatory effects of other cell types present in the SVF, scaffolds seeded with freshly isolated adipose-derived stromal cells (containing other cell types) were compared with scaffolds seeded with adipose-derived stem cells cultured to homogeneity (i.e., devoid of other cell types). Bare, acellular scaffolds were introduced as negative control.

Materials and Methods

Experimental animals

Eight skeletally mature female Dutch milk goats (average body weight: 82.4 ± 11.7 kg) were used in this study. Protocols were approved by both a Scientific Board as well as the Animal Ethics Committee of the VUMc.

Surgical set-up

Animals were considered healthy based on physical examination and viral and bacterial tests. Anesthesia was induced with an intravenous combination of 10 mg ketamine (Alfasan, Woerden, The Netherlands), 1.5 mg atropine (Pharmachemie, Haarlem, The Netherlands), and 10–20 mg Etomidate (B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany) per kg of body weight. After endotracheal intubation, goats received a bolus of 15 mg of midazolam intravenously (IV; Dormicum, Actavis, Baarn, The Netherlands), which was repeated in 10 min, and 3.5 mm)16,20 in the right trochlea femoris and the right medial knee joint and to create two reproducible fresh critical sized osteochondral defects (diameter 5 mm, depth 3.5 mm)16,20 in the right trochlea femoris and the right medial femoral condyle (four in total per animal) using a custom-made grinder and holder. According to the randomization schedule, each goat should have been treated with one or two (randomized per each two goats) SVF and ASC-loaded scaffolds, and one acellular control. However, due to low cell yields from two goats, in total 11 SVF- and 12 ASC-treated constructs and nine acellular scaffolds were implanted. Scaffolds recessed just under the articular surface to minimize induced swelling and press-fitting of the implant in the defect. For additional retention, Prolene® 5-0 cross-suturing

Adipose-derived stem cell procurement and culturing

SVF was isolated from each goat as described previously. In short, adipose tissue was dissociated by using 0.5 U/mL Liberase Blendzyme 3 (Roche Diagnostics, Almere, The Netherlands) and filtered, and the resulting single-cell suspension was pelleted. The remaining SVF was either implanted directly in the one-step surgical procedure (surgery 2), or used for culturing to obtain a homogeneous population of ASC (surgery 1). A small fraction of each SVF was used for colony-forming unit assays to determine the percentage of stem cells within the preparations. For culturing, SVF was plated in 25-cm² tissue culture flasks. Medium consisted of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Gibco, Paisley, United Kingdom) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone, Logan, UT), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin and 2 mM L-glutamine (all Invitrogen, Gibco, Bleiswijk, Netherlands). Cultures were grown in a humidified incubator at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. When reaching 80%–90% confluency, cells were detached with 0.5 mM EDTA/0.05% trypsin (Invitrogen) for 5 min at 37°C and replated. In this way a homogeneous population of ASCs was obtained. Cultured ASCs were used for implantation at passage 3 in surgery 2.

Colony-forming unit–fibroblast assays

Colony-forming unit–fibroblast assays (CFU-f assays) were performed as described previously. In short, two six-well plates were prepared with 10⁴ nucleated SVF cells in the upper and 10³ cells in the lower row, respectively. Colony formation was allowed for 7–10 days, depending on number and growth kinetics of the colonies (merging of colonies was avoided). After fixation, colonies were stained with 0.2% toluidine blue solution in borax buffer and counted.

Implant preparation

Treatment groups existed of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), freshly isolated SVF (5 × 10⁴ cells), and cultured ASCs (5 × 10⁴ cells). The three different mixtures were seeded in 70 µL onto a 5 mm diameter × 3 mm high collagen type I/III scaffold (Optimaix®, Matricel GmbH, Herzogenrath, Germany), which was shown to allow chondrogenic differentiation in vitro, and after 30 min implanted into the defect.

Implantation surgery

Animals were prepared for surgery as already described. A medial parapatellar incision was made to expose the right knee joint and to create two reproducible fresh critically sized osteochondral defects (diameter 5 mm, depth 3.5 mm) in the right trochlea femoris and the right medial femoral condyle (four in total per animal) using a custom-made grinder and holder. According to the randomization schedule, each goat should have been treated with one or two (randomized per each two goats) SVF and ASC-loaded scaffolds, and one acellular control. However, due to low cell yields from two goats, in total 11 SVF- and 12 ASC-treated constructs and nine acellular scaffolds were implanted. Scaffolds recessed just under the articular surface to minimize early shear stress. A small drop of synovial fluid was added to induce swelling and press-fitting of the implant in the defect site. For additional retention, Prolene® 5-0 cross-suturing
was applied. Incisions were closed in layers using Vicryl®
2-0 sutures (Ethicon, Leiden, Netherlands).

A full-limb cast (Softcast®, 3M, Zoeterwoude, The Nether-
lands) was applied for 1 month, restricting the range of motion
to about 5°. This caused only minor restriction of the gait, but
did not affect the weight-bearing function of the limb. After 1
month, two goats (containing three SVF and ASC-loaded scaf-
dolds, and two acellular scaffolds), were sacrificed using a lethal
dose of pentobarbitalnatrium (Euthasol 20%, Ast Beheer,
Oudewater, The Netherlands) to analyze any adverse effects.

In the remaining six goats free movement for the ensuing 12
weeks was allowed before sacrifice and analysis of midterm
osteochondral regeneration. Since this pilot study was primar-
ily designed to investigate safety and feasibility with a small
number of animals, no long-term repair was investigated.

Sample preparation and assessment

Upon sacrifice, gross resemblance of the newly formed tissue
in the defects was scored using the method of Moran et al.22
The 1-month samples were processed for histology
only to demonstrate any adverse effects, whereas the 4-
month samples were first analyzed mechanically, followed
by splitting the specimens into two equal parts. One part
was used for microCT analysis followed by histology,
whereas the other part was processed for biochemistry
(SVF, n = 8; ASC, n = 9; acellular, n = 7). Undamaged control
cartilage from the same implantation site were used for com-
parison in biochemical, microCT, and mechanical analyses.

Mechanical analysis

Each specimen assigned for mechanical analysis was tested
with a specially designed benchtop indenter. Specimens were
immersed in PBS to prevent fluid loss. The measurement set-
off point was determined by putting the indenter at the surface
of each specimen until the stress force increased by 10
N. The
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month samples were first analyzed mechanically, followed
by splitting the specimens into two equal parts. One part
was used for microCT analysis followed by histology,
whereas the other part was processed for biochemistry
(SVF, n = 8; ASC, n = 9; acellular, n = 7). Undamaged control
cartilage from the same implantation site were used for com-
parison in biochemical, microCT, and mechanical analyses.

Mechanical analysis

Each specimen assigned for mechanical analysis was tested
with a specially designed benchtop indenter. Specimens were
immersed in PBS to prevent fluid loss. The measurement set-
off point was determined by putting the indenter at the surface
of each specimen until the stress force increased by 10 μN. The
tensile stress–relaxation property of each specimen and its na-
tive cartilage control was determined at increasing steps of 50,
100, 200, and 300 μm indentation at a constant speed of 20 μm/
sec with a 4-mm-diameter-bolt tip probe. These indentation
depths were chosen based on previous studies8,23,24 and pro-
ven reliable for determination of response force and calculation
of elastic modulus in a pilot experiment (data not shown). The
response force was recorded as a function of time using a stress
gauge on the base of the specimen and transformed to com-
pressive stress by Labview Software® (National Instruments,
Woerden, Netherlands). Next, the elastic or Young’s modulus
could be calculated using Hertz equation,25 setting ν at 0.30,26

using the following equation:

\[
F = \frac{2^{3/2} \times 4R^{1/2}}{3(1 - \nu^2)/E}
\]

where \(F\) = force, \(R\) = radius, \(\nu\) = velocity, and \(E\) = Young’s
modulus.

To correct for variation of implantation site, results were also
depicted as percentage of each sample compared to the
adjacent native cartilage tissue.

Semiquantitative histological analysis

The histological specimens were fixed in 10% formalin,
decalciﬁed in Christensens solution for 1 week, embedded
in parafﬁn, and cut into 6-μm-thick sagittal sections. Sections
were stained using standard hematoxylin/eosin, and adjacen-
t sections were stained with Alcian blue (pH 2.5) for the detection of proteoglycans. Digital images were obtained using a Leica microscope and Leica QWin V3 software (Wet-
zlar, Germany). Semiquantitative histological scoring was per-
formed according to the modiﬁed cartilage repair scoring
system used by other authors,22,27–30 which has been evaluated
as a reliable and reproducible scoring system.31 The following
parameters were assessed: tissue hyalinity (absence of coarse
collagen ﬁbers), metachromatic staining of the matrix with
Alcian blue, surface irregularity, chondrocyte clustering,
regenerated subchondral bone, bonding to the adjacent articu-
lar cartilage, inﬁltrative cell inﬁltration around the implant,
and freedom from degenerative changes in the adjacent carti-
lage, with a maximum score of 21 (best result). Assessments
were performed by two independent observers that were
blinded to reduce observational bias. The representative
score for each parameter was determined by averaging scores
of the two independent observers and reported separately
under each independent parameter to be able to compare
each parameter for the three different groups with the other.

Immunohistochemical staining

Expression of collagen type I and II was studied by immu-
nohistochemical staining against collagen type I (ab23446,
Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom) and collagen type II
(II-16B3, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa
City, IA). For staining of collagen type II, sections were pre-
treated with pronase and hyaluronidase and incubated with
a 1:50 dilution of the primary antibody at 4°C overnight,
and the presence of type II collagen was visualized by Dako
REAL™ EnVision™/HRP (Dako, Carpinteria, CA) with
3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution as substrate.19

For staining of collagen type I, sections were pretreated
with 10 μg/mL proteinase K for 30 min, incubated with
1:100 dilution of monoclonal mouse collagen type I at 4°C
overnight, and visualized by Dako REAL™ EnVision™/
HRP (Dako) in combination with DAB solution.

Specimens themselves served as negative controls, since
collagen type I and 2 specifically stained in the subchondral
bone and chondral part, respectively. Furthermore, samples
with an IgG antibody were added to check for speciﬁcity of the
Envision/HRP substrate.

Contrast-enhanced microCT analysis

A μCT-40 system (Scanco Medical, Brüttsellen, Switzer-
land) was used to obtain and assess three-dimensional recon-
structions of both bone and articular cartilage. Per scan, eight
samples were placed in a cylindrical specimen holder (diam-
eter 35 mm) and scanned in air with a resolution of 18 μm at
55 kV, 177 μA, and 750 msec integration time. A reservoir
on the bottom of the specimen holder contained a standardized
volume of 4 mL of PBS to prevent dehydration. All formalin-
ﬁxed samples were scanned before and after a cartilage con-
trast-enhancement procedure. The latter procedure com-
prised immersion in 150 mL of 40% Hexabrix 320 contrast
agent (Guerbet Nederland BV, The Netherlands) for 1 h at
37°C, after which samples were scanned.22 The contrast en-
hancement technique exploits the negative charge of sulfated
glycosaminoglycans (sGAGs) within the extracellular matrix
by assuming an inverse relationship between Hexabrix
FIG. 1. Dimensions of the original defect; the dotted vertical line represents the sagittal cutting plane, through which explants were cut in half. One of the resultant semicircular defects was used for microCT analysis. The dotted red lines represent a microCT scanning plane (18 μm each).

Accumulation and sGAGs. Hence, high X-ray attenuation readings (corresponding to high concentrations of Hexabrix) would therefore indicate regions of sGAG depletion.

Several volumes of interest (VOIs) were selected in the bone and overlying articular cartilage regions of each biopsy. For the bone reconstructions, the VOI matched the original cylindrical defect dimensions (divided in half, Fig. 1) and the adjacent native bone (Scanco Medical AG, Brüttisellen, Switzerland). For the articular cartilage reconstructions, the VOI contained the overlying soft tissue of the experimental defects and directly neighboring cartilage, or the "healthy" cartilage samples taken from a larger distance. Next, bone or cartilage three-dimensional reconstructions were obtained using different thresholds that allowed segmentation of both tissues. Attenuation values within the bone reconstructions were considered proportional to the local degree of mineralization of bone, being equivalent to the concentration of hydroxyapatite (HA), and quantified by comparing the attenuation coefficients with reference measures of a phantom containing 0, 200, 400, 600, and 800 mg HA/cm³. Within the cartilage, reconstructions the attenuation values were considered proportional to the distribution and average number of sGAGs.

The average bone volume fraction (bone volume/total volume [BV/TV]), degree of mineralized bone, and cartilage attenuation value were calculated using Scanco software.

Extracellular matrix biosynthesis

Quantification of the deposition of extracellular sGAGs was only assessed in the 4-month samples. The original implant area was digested in 3% papain solution buffer overnight at 60°C. sGAGs were measured using a Blyscan kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Biocolor LTD, Carrickfergus, United Kingdom). Both samples and native tissue were measured in three different concentrations in duplicate to ensure measurement in the linear range. Results were depicted as the total glycosaminoglycan deposition per gram of tissue, as well as percentage of native tissue to overcome variation in glycosaminoglycans due to difference in implantation site.

Statistics

Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used to determine normality of measurements and, if appropriate, their logarithmics. For evaluation of semiquantitative histological scores the Friedman ranked test was performed. Biochemical, mechanical analysis, and microCT scores were evaluated using a univariate ANOVA to determine significant differences between the three groups, taking the implantation site into account as covariate, and also treatment over native tissue analysis was performed to exclude any covariates. All statistical tests used a significance level of α = 0.05.

Results

Colony-forming unit assays

In the SVF group 5·10⁶ cells were implanted and a small portion of the remaining cells was used for colony-forming unit assays. From these assays the percentage of ASCs in the SVF could be calculated. In retrospect between 1.0·10⁵ and 3.6·10⁵ ASCs were implanted.

Macroscopy

All goats tolerated surgery well, and no adverse effects occurred during and after surgery. Goats partly immobilized in Softcast gradually increased mobilization in the postoperative period. Upon cast removal after 1 month, goats quickly returned to normal gait.

At sacrifice all goats were still in good health, and upon autopsy no organ showed any macroscopic sign of disease. Furthermore, no signs of osteoarthrosis were observed in any of the knees after 1 month, despite the substantial knee joint area covered by the defects. At this time point, all groups had the same gross appearance score (Tables 1 and 2, maximum 8 points [range of motion excluded due to immobilization]), even though defect edges varied from yellow/reddish to whitish/opaque. Defects did not show any inflammatory response due to the implantation of cells and scaffold material.

Table 1. Macroscopic and Microscopic Score of Implanted Constructs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SVF (n)</th>
<th>ASC (n)</th>
<th>Acellular (n)</th>
<th>p-Value (group)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macroscopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month (max 8 points)</td>
<td>2.7±0.6 (3)</td>
<td>2.3±0.6 (3)</td>
<td>2.5±0.8 (2)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 months (max 10 points)</td>
<td>7.9±1.2 (8)</td>
<td>6.1±2.0 (9)</td>
<td>6.9±2.0 (7)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value (over time)</td>
<td>&lt;0.00001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 month (max 21 points)</td>
<td>6.2±1.3 (3)</td>
<td>10±4.7 (3)</td>
<td>6.3±0.4 (2)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 months (max 21 points)</td>
<td>15.0±2.7 (8)</td>
<td>11.9±3.1 (9)</td>
<td>13.4±3.2 (7)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value (over time)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SVF, stromal vascular fraction; ASC, adipose stem cell.
However, defects were still transparent with minimal repair tissue filling the defect in all groups (Fig. 2A).

Gross appearance scores after 4 months increased significantly when compared with 1 month (maximum 12 points, Tables 1 and 2) but not significantly among the three groups. However, surfaces generally appeared more whitish/opaque at the trochlear groove than at the medial condyle, thus resembled the native tissue more closely (Fig. 2). All SVF- and ASC-treated groups showed good integration with the native cartilage at the defect margins, although defect borders were still visible. Predominantly at the medial condyle, slightly reduced cartilage thickness was still present in the center of the defect, likely because implant height was calculated to be somewhat below the cartilage surface. Moreover, a few defects in the ASC-treated group (n=3) showed marks of inflammation and concomitant osteoarthritic degeneration (mainly cartilage erosion; see Fig. 2B, inset). Defects in the acellular group also showed signs of regeneration, although overall surfaces appeared more yellow/reddish than in the cell-treated defects with only a thin cartilaginous coverage that did not reach the cartilage surface (Fig. 2).

**Histological evaluation and semiquantitative scoring**

After 1 month no scaffold loss had occurred. Moreover, no inflammatory response was noted in either group. With regard to signs of regeneration, no differences were found between the different treatment groups, with the overall regeneration scores being similar (Tables 1 and 2, Fig. 3, p=0.37). Remarkably, in all groups, remnants of the suture material were visible in the scaffold material. Remnants of the scaffold material were not seen and could not be visualized since no porcine-specific antibody exists that does not cross-react with goat collagen.

After 4 months of implantation, semiquantitative scores for all groups increased significantly compared to the 1-month counterparts (Table 1). In the ASC-treated group (Fig. 4A–D) five out of nine defects healed, whereas three out of nine defects suffered from chronic inflammation, hampering subchondral bone regeneration. Cartilage and subchondral bone regeneration was most advanced in the SVF-treated group, followed by the ASC-treated group and acellular control (Table 2). The main difference is thus the frequency with full and partially filled hyaline-like cartilage and subchondral bone. This can be appreciated further from the immunohistochemical data (Fig. 4). These pictures show cartilage and subchondral bone regeneration 4 months after implantation. Differences are seen in both the cartilaginous part and the subchondral bone. The regenerated cartilage in the SVF group is clearly more hyaline than the fibrocartilage counterparts in the ASC and acellular group. Furthermore, cartilaginous tissue is still present in the regenerated subchondral bone (representative of ongoing osteochondral bone formation) of the ASC-treated group and the acellular control, but already absent in the SVF-treated group (Fig. 4B, F, J).

![Table 2. Degree of Microscopic Cartilage and Bone Regeneration After 4 Months](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SVF (n)</th>
<th>ASC (n)</th>
<th>Acellular (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cartilage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bone</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall histological score between all groups did not reach significance due to high variability (p=0.07). However, a significant difference was detected between the SVF-treated and ASC-treated group, if all items were ranked and individually scored (Friedman ranked test, p=0.02). A trend could be found between the SVF and untreated group (p=0.07), whereas no significance was detected between the ASC-treated and untreated group (Supplementary Table S1).

![FIG. 2. Gross appearance of all experimental implants. Upper rows represent defects on the trochea femoris, whereas defects of the medial condyle are depicted in lower rows. Defects are grouped vertically per goat (black rectangles). (A) Implants after 1-month implantation. No obvious differences can be detected between all groups. (B) Implants after 4-month implantation. Implants of all groups showed variable regeneration. Trochlear implants tended to show better regeneration than implants on the medial condyle. The inlay within the last picture shows a longitudinal transsection through an implant suffering from chronic inflammation. No regeneration of the cartilage was observed, but abundant infiltration of blood and inflammatory cells into the subchondral bone were seen. Asterisk marks cartilage defect that was left empty due to faulty burring.](image)
MicroCT analysis

Implants were analyzed after 4 months for bone and cartilage structure separately, the latter after contrast enhancement using Hexabrix. Recall that the relation between attenuation and proteoglycans is inversely related; that is, the more proteoglycans, the less attenuation (and Hexabrix uptake by the tissue), thus the greener the picture. ASC- and SVF-treated groups best resembled native proteoglycan deposition (cf. defect area and adjacent cartilage in Fig. 5A–C).

FIG. 3. Best histological and immunohistochemical staining of ASC-treated (A–C), SVF-treated (D–F), and acellular group (G–I) after 1 month of implantation. (A–C) Variable regeneration of the cartilage could be visualized in the ASC-treated group, with little depression, some restoration of the subchondral bone (A), and positive Col 2 (B) and Alcian Blue (C) staining. (D–F) Some cartilage regeneration was present in the SVF-treated group, as seen by depression of the cartilage, no regeneration of the subchondral bone (D), and faint Col 2 (E) and Alcian Blue (F) staining. (G–I) There was no regeneration of cartilage and subchondral bone in the acellular group. Bars represent 400 µm.

FIG. 4. Representative histological and immunohistochemical staining of ASC-treated (A–D), SVF-treated (E–H), and acellular group (I–L) after 4 months of implantation. (A–D) Clear regeneration of the cartilage could be visualized in the ASC-treated group, with little depression, almost complete restoration of the subchondral bone (A), and abundant Col 2 (B) and metachromatic Alcian Blue (C) staining, but fibrocartilaginous architecture (D). (E–H) Extensive cartilage regeneration was present in the SVF-treated group, as seen by little depression of the cartilage, almost complete regeneration of the subchondral bone (E), abundant Col 2 (F) and metachromatic Alcian Blue (G) staining, and a hyaline-like architecture of the cartilage (H). (I–L) Regeneration of cartilage and subchondral bone in the acellular group varied, with variable depression and subchondral bone regeneration, variable cartilage regeneration, metachromatic matrix staining and a fibrocartilagenous architecture (L). Bars represent 400 µm.
However, neither group showed significant differences in attenuation ($p=0.85$), as can be deduced from Figure 5H. ASC- and SVF-treated groups tended to show more bone regeneration compared with the acellular group (Fig. 5D–F). However, when quantified, no significant difference could be detected in the BV/TV (Fig. 5G), bone maturity (deduced from the number of trabeculae per bone volume), or degree of mineralized bone (Supplementary Table S2).

**Extracellular matrix biosynthesis**

After explantation, all samples of the 4-month period were analyzed for sGAG deposition. Determination of sGAG deposition in the 4-month explants showed it to be independent of the implantation site (data not shown). Statistical analysis verified that due to the large heterogeneity in sGAG deposition values in the SVF- and ASC-loaded constructs, one outlier had to be excluded in both cellular groups. No significant differences in sGAG deposition between groups could be detected ($p=0.65$, Fig. 6).

**Mechanical analysis**

Four-month samples were explanted and the functionality of the regenerated cartilage was evaluated by submitting them to a customized indentation test (SVF, $n=9$; ASC, $n=8$; acellular, $n=7$). Compressive stress–relaxation represented time-dependent mechanical response to the applied load (Fig. 7C). A significant difference could be detected between the elastic modulus of the native cartilage of the medial condyle (1.23±0.57) and trochlear groove (0.45±0.14, $p<0.05$). However, no significance was reached in a comparison of the three treated groups, taking into account variation due to difference in implantation site ($p=0.32$, Fig. 7A). To exclude this variation due to implantation site and each individual goat, treatment over native tissue scores were also compared between the three different groups. Due to large variation, no significance was reached between all groups ($p=0.27$, Fig. 7B). The SVF-treated group contained the largest number of samples approaching native values.
Discussion

In this pilot study, safety and feasibility of a one-step surgical treatment of focal osteochondral defects in the caprine knee was assessed. Moreover, we compared the regenerative potential of cell-loaded constructs consisting of (1) freshly isolated adipose-derived stromal cells (SVF) with (2) cultured ASCs seeded on collagen type I/III scaffolds and (3) acellular scaffolds. No adverse effects were noted in any group. Tissue repair was rapid, and after 4 weeks the first signs of regeneration could already be observed (ASCs > SVF > acellular, no significant difference), as visualized by immunohistological analyses. After 4 months the regenerative process had clearly progressed, based on immunohistological, biochemical, microCT, and biomechanical parameters. Remarkably, the SVF-treated group now tended to show better regeneration than the ASC-treated group, indicating a catch-up phenomenon of the SVF group. The better result for the ASC group compared with the SVF group at the 4-week time point may be due to lower initial stem cell numbers implanted in the SVF group (in retrospect ranging from $1.0 \times 10^5$ to $3.6 \times 10^5$ ASCs based on CFU-f assays) compared with the standardized $5.0 \times 10^5$ cultured ASCs in the ASC group. The SVF catch-up phenomenon observed after 4 months may be attributed to other cell types residing in the SVF, such as lymphocytes, pericytes, and vascular smooth muscle cells, possibly leading to synergistic effects on the regenerative process at later stages. An alternative explanation may be that noncultured cells show a higher differentiation potential as cultured cells, as repeatedly reported in other studies.36–38 Together, these data suggest that for optimal cartilage regeneration, application of SVF may be favored over cultured ASCs.

As already indicated, we implanted collagenous scaffolds seeded with $5.0 \times 10^5$ ASCs or $5.0 \times 10^5$ SVF cells (the latter based on our previous studies expected to contain $(1–5) \times 10^5$ ASC-like cells.14) This collagen I/III scaffold, containing oriented pores, was previously tested in rabbits39 and has already shown promising short- and mid-term results in the clinical setting in combination with chondrocytes to

**FIG. 6.** Glycosaminoglycan (GAG) formation of constructs after 4 months of implantation. GAG synthesis corrected for the weight of tissue. The SVF-treated group showed the highest GAG formation, followed by the ASC-treated group and finally the acellular group. Based on statistical considerations, three samples were excluded as outliers (one in the SVF-treated group, two in the ASC-treated group).

**FIG. 7.** Mechanical properties of constructs after indentation testing. (A) Elastic modulus of the constructs per implantation site. A large spreading in elastic modulus between the different samples can be observed. A significant difference could be detected between the elastic modulus of the native tissue from the medial condyle and trochlear groove (marked with “a”). (B) Elastic modulus corrected to native tissue. The SVF-treated group again resembled the native tissue best, followed by the ASC-treated group and the acellular group being last. Both in the SVF-treated group and the ASC treated group 1 sample is missing due to technical flaws; moreover, three samples are missing in the ASC-treated group due to inflammation. (C) Representative example of mechanical response to indentation (200 μm) showing stress–relaxation curve of the three constructs and native tissue of the trochlear groove. Native tissue showed highest stress peaks, followed by the SVF- and ASC-treated group; the acellular groups showed the lowest stress peak.
regenerate chondral defects. Since we seeded our stem cell preparations according to the “dropping on” method to the upper side of the scaffold, we assume that most of the applied cells would remain in the upper (chondral) part of our scaffold. Since the total volume of the chondral part of the defect was about 20 mm³, this would imply a cell density of 2.5 × 10⁶ cells/mm³. This would match well with data on the structural organization of cartilage tissue describing a cellular density of 24,000 cells/mm³ at the articular surface decreasing 3.5-fold to about 7000 cells/mm³ at the lower half of the upper radial zone and with studies emphasizing high density to favor chondrogenesis. Currently it is unclear whether application of even higher cell densities would give better results, and this might certainly be interesting to investigate in future experiments.

The acellular control in fact mimicked the well-known (modified) subchondral drilling or microfracture technique, allowing influx of cells from the underlying bone marrow compartment into the scaffold material. This method is widely used, although there is still controversy about its efficacy, and the quality and functionality of the tissue formed. Nowadays, many studies aim at accelerated cartilage repair by augmenting the scaffolds with either autologous articular chondrocytes cultured from biopsies of non-weight-bearing local cartilage or mesenchymal stem cells like we did in this study. As already stated, the addition of freshly isolated SVF or cultured ASC into the collagen scaffold contributed to better regeneration of the cartilage and subchondral bone. However, how these cells exert this regenerative effect is yet unsolved and is currently a hot topic in the regenerative medicine field. The cells may themselves differentiate into the desired phenotype, they may recruit and activate local mesenchymal stem cells toward regeneration, or they may reactivate local differentiated cells for this purpose. In other words, the early regenerative effect in both cell-treated groups may be due to the paracrine or trophic effect of the stem cells on residing cells rather than their innate differentiation potential. This paracrine effect of stem cells has also been suggested by others to be more important than the differentiation potential of the cells. This is prompted by studies that either found that only 8%–33% of the defect’s cell population arose from the implant itself, or, in the case of implanting chondrocytes, had no additional contribution at all. Although the study setup does not allow conclusive statements about the actual mechanism of action of the seeded adipose stromal/stem cells, this study consistently showed a positive influence of the implanted cells on the overall regenerative process.

Retention of a scaffold material in the defect site has been a major challenge in cartilage repair. If scaffold materials are too stiff, they are easily detached from the surrounding cartilage. Several scaffold anchoring strategies have been employed, such as suturing to the adjacent “healthy” cartilage, and the use of hydrogels or sealant to glue the scaffold material to the defect site. However, suturing trauma may lead to osteoarthritic degeneration of the adjacent cartilage, and human fibrin sealant (Tissucol, Baxter, Utrecht, Netherlands) may cause immune reactions leading to cell death. Tissue reactions to Tissucol were also observed in our pre-pilot study, but we cannot currently rule out that this could be a result of its cross-species use. Eventually, we avoided scaffold loss by a combination of an osteochondral defect allowing press-fitting of the implanted scaffold, suturing onto adjacent cartilage, and postoperative immobilization. The latter, achieved by using a special designed Softcast to immobilize the goat knees for 4 weeks, reduced shear to a large extent thus preventing scaffold loss effectively. Actually, by the slight muscle atrophy occurring in this 4-week period, flexion and loading of the knee within the cast increased gradually, which probably facilitated and enhanced scaffold integration and cartilaginous differentiation. Whether this immobilization phase should be added in the clinical phase as well remains to be determined.

Not only the addition of regeneration-competent cells, but also the implantation site may influence the extent of regeneration, as illustrated by the differences in elastic moduli of the medial condyle and trochlear groove native tissues. Probably the biomechanical load might be of critical importance in this regard; that is, the higher the biomechanical stress, the stronger the bone and the thicker the overlaying cartilage. As a consequence, the elastic modulus depends on anatomical location and on cartilage thickness as was shown by Athanasou et al. already in 1994. If biomechanical stimuli are prime contributors to the extent of regeneration, this could be an explanation for the wide range of regeneration found between various studies, and this would be an argument for describing results as a percentage of the native tissue to exclude this covariate, as was frequently done in this study. Although this might overcome confounding data, indentation testing is widely used to analyze the mechanical characteristics, it might be less reliable in thin cartilage, as Fischer-Cripps warned in an article on limitations of indentation measurements. Taking this into account, (too deep) indentation measurements and concomitant values of the underlying subchondral bone might have resulted in confounding data. These results thus have to be interpreted with care.

Limitations of this pilot study were the low number of animals used (n = 8), the short follow-up period (maximum 4 months), a relatively low number of implanted cells (5 × 10⁶ SVF cells) and the creation of a relatively small defect (diameter 5 mm). Therefore, larger studies creating larger defects with long-term follow-up (maximum 2 years) are required to substantiate these findings.

Taken together, this study shows safety and feasibility of a one-step surgical procedure for osteochondral cartilage regeneration using adipose-derived stromal cells. Moreover, freshly isolated adipose stromal cells are at least equal to cultured ASCs in this procedure to regenerate osteochondral defects. In addition to aforementioned arguments of patient friendliness and cost effectiveness by avoiding a second surgical intervention, the availability of commercial systems for intra-operative processing of adipose tissue, meeting GMP conditions, and lowering the risk of infection instigated by “open” processing and prolonged culturing, are important advantages over the current concepts of tissue engineering strategies using cultured cells.
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