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ABSTRACT
Gamma-ray burst (GRB) 111215A was bright at X-ray and radio frequencies, but not detected in the optical or near-infrared (nIR) down to deep limits. We have observed the GRB afterglow with the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope and Arcminute Microkelvin Imager at radio frequencies, with the William Herschel Telescope and Nordic Optical Telescope in the nIR/optical, and with the Chandra X-ray Observatory. We have combined our data with the Swift X-Ray Telescope monitoring, and radio and millimetre observations from the literature to perform broad-band modelling, and determined the macro- and microphysical parameters of the GRB blast wave. By combining the broad-band modelling results with our nIR upper limits we have put constraints on the extinction in the host galaxy. This is consistent with the optical extinction we have derived from the excess X-ray absorption, and higher than in other dark bursts for which similar modelling work has been performed. We also present deep imaging of the host galaxy with the Keck I telescope, Spitzer Space Telescope, and Hubble Space Telescope (HST), which resulted in a well-constrained photometric redshift, giving credence to the tentative spectroscopic redshift we obtained with the Keck II telescope, and estimates for the stellar mass and star formation rate of the host. Finally, our high-resolution HST images of the host galaxy show that the GRB afterglow position is offset from the brightest regions of the host galaxy, in contrast to studies of optically bright GRBs.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are observed across the electromagnetic spectrum, and modelling their broad-band emission has led to many insights into the physics behind these phenomena. The light curves and spectra at various observing frequencies have also provided clues to their immediate environment. An example of the latter is the photometry and spectroscopy of GRB afterglows and their host galaxies at optical frequencies, providing not only their redshifts, but also properties of the jets which are producing the emission, and the hosts’ gas and dust content. There is a sizable fraction of GRB afterglows, however, which are not detected at optical frequencies.

*E-mail: a.j.vanderhorst@uva.nl
†Hubble Fellow.
In fact, 25–42 per cent of GRBs detected at gamma-ray frequencies by the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) on-board the Swift satellite (Gehrels et al. 2004) are not detected in the optical (Fynbo et al. 2009; Chandra & Frail 2012), while for X-ray frequencies this fraction is only 7 per cent (Chandra & Frail 2012). In the early days of GRB afterglow follow-up the fraction of optical non-detections were higher, mainly due to the lack of fast enough response or sensitivity of the available telescopes. However, there was a subset of these GRBs with bright X-ray afterglows and deep optical upper limits within hours after the GRB trigger, dubbed dark bursts (Groot et al. 1998). Possible explanations for their optical darkness are an intrinsic optical faintness or X-ray brightness, a high redshift causing hydrogen absorption in the optical bands, or extinction by gas and dust in their host galaxy (e.g. Djorgovski et al. 2001; Fynbo et al. 2001; Rol et al. 2005).

Different methods have been proposed to classify dark bursts and overcome some of the observational effects in the classification (Jakobsson et al. 2004; Rol et al. 2005; van der Horst et al. 2009). While the three proposed methods make different assumptions, they all estimate a minimum expected optical flux based on X-ray spectral or temporal properties. Regardless of which classification method one uses, the optical-to-X-ray comparison should be made at sufficiently late times, i.e. at least a few hours after the GRB onset. This should be done to exclude intrinsic explanations for the optical darkness, for instance an extra emission component at X-ray frequencies to explain the observed steep decay, shallow decay, and flares at X-ray frequencies (e.g. Nousek et al. 2006).

These extra emission components are typically prominent for a few hours (e.g. Evans et al. 2009; Chincarini et al. 2010), after which they not play a significant role, and then either high extinction in the host galaxy or a high redshift due to Lyman α forest absorption are the most likely culprits for the optical darkness. If a high redshift can be excluded, for instance by a study of the host galaxy or the near-infrared (nIR) to optical spectrum, the amount of extinction can be constrained if high quality light curves at X-ray and radio frequencies are available. Broad-band modelling of the X-ray and radio data, together with upper limits (or faint detections) in the optical bands, can provide lower limits (or estimates) of the optical extinction. Since the fraction of GRBs detected at radio frequencies is only one-third (Chandra & Frail 2012), and detections are regularly at the sensitivity limits of the radio telescopes, the number of GRBs for which this is possible is small. The best examples to date, with well sampled light curves at both radio and X-ray frequencies, are GRB 020819 (Jakobsson et al. 2005), GRB 051022 (Castro-Tirado et al. 2007; Rol et al. 2007), GRB 110709B (Zauderer et al. 2013), and the focus of this paper: GRB 111215A (see also Zauderer et al. 2013).

The BAT onboard the Swift satellite discovered GRB 111215A, and a bright X-ray counterpart was found with its X-Ray Telescope (XRT; Oates et al. 2011). The burst duration was long, with $T_{90} (15-350 \text{ keV}) = 796 \pm 250 \text{ s}$ and the source was detected in the BAT for $\approx 1500 \text{ s}$ (Barthelmy et al. 2011), which puts it among the longest $\sim 1$ per cent of GRBs, but significantly shorter than the ultralong duration GRBs (Levan et al. 2014). Possible detections 52 min before and 40 min after the BAT trigger were reported by Monitor of All-sky X-ray Image (MAXI)/Gas Slit Camera (GSC; Kawai et al. 2011), but these were at the 2.7σ and 2.2σ level, respectively, and thus not significant. A counterpart of GRB 111215A has not been found at nIR, optical, or ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths, not within the first minutes to hour (Gorbovsckoy et al. 2011; Kuroda et al. 2011; Oates 2011; Pandey et al. 2011; Rumyantsev et al. 2011; Usui et al. 2011; Xin et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2011) nor at later times (Aceto et al. 2011; D’Avanzo et al. 2011; Tanvir et al. 2011).

However, GRB 111215A was detected at several radio frequencies with the Very Large Array (VLA; Zauderer & Berger 2011), the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter Astronomy (CARMA; Horesh et al. 2011), and the IRAM Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI; Zauderer et al. 2013), enabling broad-band modelling of the radio and X-ray light curves (see also Zauderer et al. 2013).

We have observed GRB 111215A with the Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope (WSRT) at 1.4 and 4.8 GHz, and with the Arcminute Microkelvin Imager (AMI) at 15 GHz, resulting in detailed light curves at these three radio frequencies. We have also obtained a late-time observation with the Chandra X-ray Observatory to better constrain the X-ray light curve, and nIR observations of the afterglow with the William Herschel Telescope (WHT) and Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) to limit the optical darkness of GRB 111215A. We have performed IR-to-optical imaging of the galaxy hosting GRB 111215A with the Keck I telescope, Spitzer Space Telescope, and Hubble Space Telescope (HST), which resulted in a well-constrained photometric redshift, estimates for some physical parameters of the host, and an accurate position of the GRB within the galaxy. Our spectroscopy of the host galaxy with the Keck II telescope resulted in a tentative redshift, consistent with the photometric redshift we have determined. In this paper, after presenting our observations of the afterglow (Section 2), and the host galaxy observations and their implications (Section 3), we combine our afterglow observations with the radio data from Zauderer et al. (2013) to perform broad-band modelling (Section 4), and estimate the physical parameters of the GRB 111215A jet and ambient medium. We then discuss the optical darkness of GRB 111215A in the context of the different classification methods, and put constraints on the optical extinction in the host galaxy based on our modelling work and the deepest nIR observations of the afterglow (Section 5). We summarize our findings in Section 6.

## 2 Afterglow Observations

### 2.1 Radio observations

In our WSRT observations at 1.4 and 4.8 GHz we used the multifrequency front ends (Tan 1991) in combination with the IVC+DZB back end in continuum mode, with a bandwidth of $8 \times 20 \text{ MHz}$ at both observing frequencies. Complex gain calibration was performed with the calibrator 3C 286 for all observations. The observations were analysed using the Multichannel Image Reconstruction Image Analysis and Display (MIRIAD; Sault, Teuben & Wright 1995) package.

We observed GRB 111215A with the Large Array (LA) of AMI (Zwart et al. 2008) using a bandwidth of 3.75 GHz around a central frequency of 15.4 GHz. J2321+3204 was observed at regular intervals for phase calibration, and the flux density scale was established by observations of 3C 48 and 3C 286. The data were analysed using the in-house software package REDUCE. The details and results of our WSRT and AMI observations are given in Table 1.

### 2.2 Near-infrared observations

We observed the field of GRB 111215A using the Long-slit Intermediate Resolution Infrared Spectrograph (LIRIS) mounted in the Cassegrain focus of the 4.2-m WHT at La Palma, Spain. We acquired imaging in the J and K$_s$ bands, under poor conditions, with average seeing of 2.0 arcsec. A total exposure time of 648 s in K$_s$ band and 630 s in J band was used, at mid-times after the
GRB onset of 4.92 and 5.25 h, respectively. We reduced the data using the \texttt{LIRISDR} data reduction package (within \texttt{IRAF}), which has been developed by the LIRIS team and performs several LIRIS-specific corrections in addition to the standard reduction steps. We calibrated astrometry and photometry using Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) sources in the field. No source was detected at or near the afterglow position down to a 3\(\sigma\) limit of \(K > 19.2\) and \(J > 19.5\) (Vega magnitudes).

We also observed the field of GRB 111215A with the NOT equipped with StanCam, starting on 2011 December 15.847. In total six images with an integration time of 600 s each were obtained in the \(z'\) band. The data were reduced using standard techniques in \texttt{PYRAF/IRAF}, and our photometric calibration was done with local field stars from Data Release 8 (DR8) of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) catalogue (Aihara et al. 2011). In the stacked image, no source was detected at the afterglow position down to a 3\(\sigma\) limiting AB magnitude of \(z' > 22.6\) at a mid-time of 6.83 h after the GRB onset.

### 2.3 X-ray observations

We observed GRB 111215A with the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS-S) onboard the \textit{Chandra X-ray Observatory}. The observation started on 2011 December 28 at 04.79 UT and lasted for 15 ks, i.e. the observation midpoint was 12.7 d after the burst onset. GRB 111215A was detected and the spectrum adequately modelled by an absorbed power law, with photon index \(\Gamma = 1.57\), and an excess absorption of \(N_{\text{H,exc}} = 3.8 \times 10^{23}\) cm\(^{-2}\) compared to the Galactic value of \(N_{\text{H,gal}} = 6.5 \times 10^{20}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (Willingale et al. 2013). We measure an unabsorbed flux of \((1.59 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-23}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) (0.3–10 keV), and a 2 keV flux of \((6.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-6}\) mJy.

\textit{Swift}/XRT light curves and spectra were obtained from the UK Solar System Data Centre (UKSSDC) online Repository\(^1\) (Evans...

---

\(^1\) www.swift.ac.uk/xrt_products
et al. 2009). We have determined the 2 keV flux for each observation by assuming that the photon index is constant at $\Gamma = 2.00 \pm 0.10$, based on the time-averaged Photon Counting mode spectrum. The resulting X-ray light curve, including the Chandra data point, is shown in the top left-hand panel of Fig. 4.

3 HOST GALAXY

3.1 Keck and Spitzer Space Telescope imaging

We imaged the field of GRB 111215A at several epochs between 2011 December 26 and 2013 June 20 with the Keck I telescope equipped with the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS; Oke et al. 1995) or the Multi-Object Spectrometer for Infra-Red Exploration (MOSFIRE; McLean et al. 2012). Images were obtained in eight different filters from the $u$ to the $K_s$ band, and the host galaxy was significantly detected in all of them. Our Keck imaging was reduced entirely with a custom made pipeline based on idl using standard techniques for CCD or nIR data, depending on the observing band.

We used standard aperture photometry by choosing an aperture size and location aided by our HST imaging with a minimum radius of 1.1 arcsec, that encompasses the full visible extent of the galaxy in the HST image (see Section 3.4). Photometric calibration was then performed against field stars from the SDSS (Aihara et al. 2011) or the 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006) catalogue in the respective filter bands. For observations in filters that are not covered by the respective surveys, e.g. $R$ or $Y$ band, we used synthetic photometry of stellar spectra to derive brightnesses of field stars from bracketing passbands (i.e. $r$ and $i$ for $R$, or $z$ and $J$ for $Y$).

Observations of the host of GRB 111215A with the Infrared Array Camera (IRAC; Fazio et al. 2004) onboard Spitzer (Werner et al. 2004) in its Warm Mission were taken on 2013 January 31. The observing strategy and analysis follow closely what has been reported in Perley et al. (2013), with 16 dithered exposures of 100 s integration time in both channel 1 (central wavelength: 3.6 $\mu$m) and channel 2 (central wavelength: 4.5 $\mu$m). We downloaded the phot files from the Spitzer Legacy Archive, measuring the magnitude of the host using circular aperture photometry and calibrated via the zero-points given in the IRAC handbook.\footnote{archive.spitzer.caltech.edu}

Details and results of our Keck and Spitzer photometry are given in Table 2.

3.2 Keck spectroscopy

We acquired spectroscopy of the host galaxy of GRB 111215A on 2012 August 24 using the Near-Infrared Spectrograph (NIRSPEC; McLean et al. 1998) on Keck II. Our observations consisted of four 900 s exposures in NIRSPEC-1 configuration (0.95-1.12 $\mu$m), four 600 s exposures in the NIRSPEC-3 configuration (1.14-1.37 $\mu$m), and two 600 s exposures in the NIRSPEC-6 configuration (1.75-2.18 $\mu$m).

We carefully inspected the individual sky-subtracted exposures in all three configurations for emission lines. No credible candidates were found in the NIRSPEC-1 or NIRSPEC-3 set-ups. In the NIRSPEC-6 observations we identified a probable line at 1.977 $\mu$m; it is present in both exposures (although only marginally significant in the second exposure) and on top of a bright night-sky line; see Table 2.

3.3 Host galaxy photometric redshift and physical parameters

Since the optical afterglow of GRB 111215A was not detected, there is no redshift based on afterglow spectroscopy. Zauderer et al. (2013) put constraints on the allowed redshift range based on the lack of emission lines in the spectrum of the host galaxy: $1.8 \leq z \leq 2.7$. Here we derive a better constrained, photometric redshift, based on our IR-to-optical imaging of the host galaxy. We fit the available photometry presented in Table 2 with a stellar population synthesis model within LEPHARE (Arnouts et al. 1999). We created a model grid of $10^6$ galaxy spectra with different star formation histories, ages, metallicities, and dust attenuation properties (Calzetti et al. 2000), using templates from Bruzual & Charlot (2003). Emission lines were added to the stellar continuum by using the ongoing star formation rate of the galaxy template via the descriptions of Kennicutt (1998).

We obtained the best model fit for a redshift of $z_{\text{phot}} = 2.06^{+0.10}_{-0.16}$, with $\chi^2 = 15$ for 13 filters. The redshift solution is driven by the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u$</td>
<td>Keck/LRIS</td>
<td>2012 Dec 11</td>
<td>25.40 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>Keck/LRIS</td>
<td>2011 Dec 26</td>
<td>24.60 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$</td>
<td>Keck/LRIS</td>
<td>2012 Jan 26</td>
<td>24.62 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>Keck/LRIS</td>
<td>2011 Dec 26</td>
<td>24.25 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i$</td>
<td>Keck/LRIS</td>
<td>2012 Jan 26</td>
<td>24.08 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>Keck/LRIS</td>
<td>2012 Dec 11</td>
<td>23.67 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y$</td>
<td>Keck/MOSFIRE</td>
<td>2013 Jun 20</td>
<td>23.70 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>Keck/MOSFIRE</td>
<td>2013 Jun 20</td>
<td>22.79 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F606W$</td>
<td>HST/WFC3</td>
<td>2013 May 13</td>
<td>22.61 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>Keck/MOSFIRE</td>
<td>2013 Jun 20</td>
<td>22.24 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_s$</td>
<td>Keck/MOSFIRE</td>
<td>2013 Jun 20</td>
<td>21.95 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 $\mu$m</td>
<td>Spitzer/IRAC</td>
<td>2013 Jan 31</td>
<td>21.70 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5 $\mu$m</td>
<td>Spitzer/IRAC</td>
<td>2013 Jan 31</td>
<td>21.51 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Sky-subtracted, co-added nIR spectra from NIRSPEC on Keck II showing the possible H$_\alpha$ line at 1.977 $\mu$m ($z = 2.012$) at the centre of the image. This line falls on top of a sky emission feature, but is located directly at the position of the host galaxy, labelled in blue, and corresponds to a redshift consistent with the narrow range derived photometrically (see Section 3.3).
prominent Balmer break between the $Y$ and $J$ filters, as well as the $u - g$ colour that is consistent with the onset of the Lyman forest at $z \sim 2$ (see Fig. 2). This redshift makes emission line spectroscopy very challenging even in the nIR, because prominent emission lines such as $\text{H}\alpha$ are likely located in the atmospheric absorption bands.

We used the same spectral energy distribution (SED) fit to put constraints on some physical parameters of the host. The galaxy hosting GRB 111215A has a stellar mass of $\log (M_\star / M_\odot) = 10.5_{-0.1}^{+0.2}$ and a luminosity of $M_B = -22.0 \pm 0.2$. A mild dust correction of $E(B - V) \sim 0.15$ mag yields a dust-corrected star formation rate $\text{SFR}_{\text{SED}} = 34_{-13}^{+23} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. These properties are similar to galaxies selected through GRBs with a highly extinguished optical afterglow (Krühler et al. 2011; Perley et al. 2013).

3.4 Hubble Space Telescope imaging and the GRB position in its host galaxy

The GRB 111215A field was observed with the HST on 2013 May 13. We obtained observations in the optical (ultraviolet and visible (UVIS) filter $F606W$; central wavelength: 5887 Å) with an exposure time of 1110 s and nIR (IR filter $F160W$; central wavelength: 15 370 Å) for 1209 s with the Wide Field Camera 3. Data were reduced using ASTRODRIZZLE in the standard fashion, with a final pixel scale of 0.025 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$ for $F606W$ and 0.07 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$ for $F160W$. The host environment, as seen in the higher resolution $F606W$ image in Fig. 3, is clearly complex, consisting of multiple knots of bright (likely star forming) emission, as well as fainter, low surface brightness regions. This is consistent with an interacting or possibly merging environment, which could have triggered the star formation responsible for the GRB. One of these regions (marked A in Fig. 3) is significantly brighter in the nIR than in the optical, suggesting the presence of either a significantly obscured component or a much older stellar population. The global magnitudes of the host complex are $F606W(AB) = 24.50 \pm 0.13$ and $F160W(AB) = 22.61 \pm 0.03$ (see also Table 2), with the brightest knot (A) showing $F606W(AB) = 26.15 \pm 0.09$ and $F160W(AB) = 23.41 \pm 0.03$. These colours are red, especially for A, and are comparable to those of Extremely Red Objects, which remain relatively rare amongst GRB hosts, although they are more frequently represented in the dark GRB population (e.g. Levan et al. 2006; Berger et al. 2007; Hjorth et al. 2012; Rossi et al. 2012; Perley et al. 2013).

We used multiple approaches to ascertain the position of GRB 111215A within its host complex. First, we directly aligned the Chandra images with the UVIS and IR fields of view. In this case we obtained a total of three X-ray sources within the field, including the GRB afterglow. The other two sources appeared as resolved galaxies, and we assumed that the X-ray sources within them are nuclear; although redshifts are not available for these galaxies, their magnitudes and angular extent are such that we would not expect to observe contributions from discrete source populations within them, but only from active galactic nuclei (AGN). We determined the expected positions of these two sources with the world coordinate system (WCS) of the HST observations, and calculated the shift in position to map to the centroids of the sources in the HST images. We then determined the afterglow position by applying the same shift to the X-ray afterglow of GRB 111215A, which gives a positional offset between the two sources of $\sim 0.065$ arcsec. This was added in quadrature to the statistical error in the position of the afterglow in the Chandra observations [full width at half-maximum (FWHM) 2.3 $\times$ S/N $\approx 0.019$ arcsec] to provide a final position accuracy of $\sim 0.07$ arcsec. The positions were determined from the centres of the sources as measured in both the IR and UVIS frames to allow for any shifts due to extinction, and each position is consistent with the other. However, the small number of sources used in this approach precludes a detailed fit to the data, and so may suffer from an additional systematic error. Hence we also investigated two
additional routes to placing the GRB on its host. The first was to use six 2MASS sources within the UVIS field of view to attempt to fix the HST imaging to a fixed WCS, on to which we can place the well localized Very Large Array (VLA) position. The scatter of this was relatively large (~0.2 arcsec), which is likely due to the difficulty in centroiding the saturated bright stars, as well as their proper motion in the time frame between 2MASS and HST observations. Finally, we used a Gemini-North observation of the field of GRB 111215A (also reported in Zauderer et al. 2013) to provide a wider field of view for the identification of optical counterparts to Chandra detected X-ray sources. This approach identified eight objects in common to the Gemini and Chandra frames, providing a relative match between the two of 0.12 arcsec; the match between the Gemini and UVIS observations has a scatter of only 0.03 arcsec and so does not contribute significantly to the overall error budget. The error regions determined by these three different approaches all overlap at the 1σ level, and are shown graphically in Fig. 3.

Interestingly, the position of the X-ray afterglow lies offset from any bright regions of star formation based on any of the above routes to astrometry, which would suggest it is not associated with the strongest regions of star formation in its host, in contrast to most optically bright bursts (e.g. Fruchter et al. 2006; Svensson et al. 2010). It is possible that the progenitor formed in a region of less intense star formation, or that its birth region is so obscured that it is not visible even in the nIR (the rest-frame optical given our photometric redshift).

4 BROAD-BAND MODELLING

We have combined the radio and X-ray data presented in Section 2 with the radio and millimetre data presented in Zauderer et al. (2013), spanning a 5–93 GHz frequency range, to model the GRB 111215A afterglow. We performed broad-band modelling in the standard framework of a relativistic blast wave at the front of a jet, in which relativistic electrons are emitting broad-band synchrotron emission. The broad-band spectrum can be characterized by three break frequencies (Sari, Piran & Narayan 1998): the peak frequency \( \nu_{m} \), the cooling frequency \( \nu_{c} \), and the synchrotron self-absorption frequency \( \nu_{s} \). The evolution of these characteristic frequencies is governed by the dynamics of the blast wave, and determines the light curves at given observing frequencies. From these three frequencies and the peak flux \( F_{\nu, \text{max}} \) one can determine four physical parameters: two parameters describing the macrophysics, namely the isotropic equivalent kinetic energy \( E_{k, \text{iso}} \) of the blast wave and the density \( \rho \) of the ambient medium; and two microphysics parameters, i.e. the fractions \( \varepsilon_{e} \) and \( \varepsilon_{B} \) of the internal energy density in electrons and the magnetic field, respectively. Besides these four parameters there are three more that shape the broad-band spectra and light curves: the power-law index \( p \) of the energy distribution of the emitting electrons; the power-law index \( k \) of the ambient medium density with radius \( (\rho = AR^{-k}) \); and the jet opening angle \( \theta_{j} \). In principle there are two more parameters, the observing angle \( \theta_{\text{obs}} \) and the fraction \( \xi \) of electrons participating in the relativistic power-law energy distribution. These two parameters, however, cannot be constrained by the GRB 111215A data set, so we make the typical assumptions \( \theta_{\text{obs}} = 0 \) and \( \xi \approx 1 \).

We only take the emission from the forward shock moving into the ambient medium into account, and we do not model a possible contribution from the reverse shock moving into the jet. With the long prompt emission duration of GRB 111215A one could consider if the X-ray afterglow is possibly dust scattered prompt emission, as was invoked for the ultralong GRB 130925A (Evans et al. 2014).

This not the case for GRB 111215A because (i) the prompt emission is not very bright compared to the X-ray afterglow, and (ii) the X-ray spectrum does not show softening over time. In the following we show that the X-ray, radio, and millimetre light curves can indeed fit well with emission from the forward shock without any dust scattering or a reverse shock component.

4.1 X-ray light curve

Before performing a full broad-band fit of all the available data, we first focus on the X-ray spectrum and light curve to constrain some of the parameters. The observed X-ray spectral slope \( \beta = 1.00 \pm 0.10 \) and temporal slope \( \alpha = 1.32 \pm 0.02 \) should satisfy the so-called closure relations, i.e. the derived values for \( p \) from \( \beta \) and \( \alpha \) should be consistent for the same spectral regime and value of \( k \). Since the spectral slope is \( (p - 1)/2 \) for frequencies in between \( \nu_{m} \) and \( \nu_{c} \), and \( p/2 \) above \( \nu_{c} \), the inferred value for \( p \) is 3.00 ± 0.20 for \( \nu_{m} < \nu_{c} < \nu_{c} \) or 2.00 ± 0.20 for \( \nu_{m} < \nu_{c} < \nu_{X} \). The temporal slopes depend on the structure of the circumburst medium, for which we investigate two specific cases: a homogeneous medium \( (k = 0) \) and a stellar wind with a constant velocity \( (k = 2) \). Based on our observed temporal slope we derive the following \( p \) values (Sari et al. 1998; Chevalier & Li 1999): \( p = 2.76 \pm 0.03 \) for \( \nu_{m} < \nu_{c} < \nu_{c} \) and \( k = 0 \); \( p = 2.09 \pm 0.03 \) for \( \nu_{m} < \nu_{c} < \nu_{c} \) and \( k = 2 \); and \( p = 2.43 \pm 0.03 \) for \( \nu_{m} < \nu_{c} < \nu_{X} \) independent of the value of \( k \). Comparing the \( p \) values derived from \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) implies that \( \nu_{m} < \nu_{c} < \nu_{X} \) for \( k = 0 \) is preferred, but \( \nu_{m} < \nu_{c} < \nu_{X} \) (for all \( k \)) is also consistent within 2σ.

Another parameter constraint we can obtain from the X-ray light curve is a lower limit on the so-called jet-break time \( t_{j} \), when the blast wave has decelerated to a Lorentz factor \( \Gamma_{j} \) for which \( \theta_{j} = 1/\Gamma_{j} \). After \( t_{j} \) the X-ray light curve is expected to show a significant steepening to a slope equal to \( -p \) (Rhoads 1999). The X-ray light curve can be fit well with a single power law, resulting in \( \chi_{2} = 91.8 \) and \( \chi_{2}^{\text{red}} = 1.4 \). A fit including a jet break as a free parameter puts \( t_{j} \) above the observed time range, especially because of our well constrained Chandra data point. We can estimate a lower limit on the jet-break time by forcing a sharp break at \( t_{j} \) inside the observed time range, and determine at which value the \( \chi_{2} \) becomes significantly worse while \( p \) and the normalization are free parameters. In this particular case we require \( \Delta \chi_{2} = 14.2 \) for a 3σ limit, and we find \( t_{j} > 8.8 \) d.

4.2 Broad-band light curves

We have modelled the radio and X-ray light curves of GRB 111215A using the methods and broad-band fitting code from van der Horst (2007). For the dynamics of the blast wave this method adopts the Blandford & McKee (1976) solutions at early times, and an evolution following Rhoads (1999) after the jet-break time. Fits with \( k \) as a free parameter did not converge to stable parameter solutions, and thus we have explored \( k = 0 \) and 2 for the ambient medium density structure. First we fit the light curves with a spherical blast wave model, and we obtained the best fit for \( k = 2 \), with \( \chi_{2} = 1196 \) and \( \chi_{2}^{\text{red}} = 6.2 \). And, in \( \nu_{m} < \nu_{c} < \nu_{X} \) for the entire observed time range. Fits with \( k = 0 \) resulted in \( \chi_{2} = 2339 \) and \( \chi_{2}^{\text{red}} = 12.1 \) in the spherical case; adding a jet break improved the fits slightly, although with \( \chi_{2} = 2021 \) and \( \chi_{2}^{\text{red}} = 10.5 \) still worse than the \( k = 2 \) spherical blast wave fits. We note that our analysis of the X-ray light curve and spectrum resulted in \( \nu_{m} < \nu_{c} < \nu_{X} \) with \( k = 0 \) being preferred, but that \( \nu_{m} < \nu_{c} < \nu_{X} \) is also consistent with the data within 2σ; and the latter, with \( k = 2 \), is clearly preferred based on our broad-band modelling.
Fitting the broad-band light curves with $k = 2$ and the jet-break time as a free parameter resulted in badly constrained $t_j$ values above the observed time range (>238 d), and a spherical model seemed to be sufficient. When we modelled the data with a fixed $t_j$ inside the observed time range, we found $\chi^2$ values similar or a bit smaller than those for the spherical model, but this difference was not significant. We explored the $t_j$ parameter space, and found that the $\chi^2$ values were similar for a large range, but for $t_j < 31$ d the $\chi^2$ value for the jet-break model became larger than the one for the spherical model, and increasingly so for lower $t_j$ values. Therefore, we take 31 d as a lower limit on the jet-break time. The results of our broad-band fits are given in Table 3, and the spherical model fit is shown in Fig. 4. We adopted our photometric redshift $z \simeq 2.1$ to derive the physical parameters in the table, which we give for both the spherical model and for a jet model with $t_j = 31$ d. The latter value for $t_j$ is the lower limit we determined, and therefore the values for $\theta_j$ and $E_{K,\text{jet}}$ are also lower limits. We give the parameters obtained in broad-band modelling work by Zauderer et al. (2013) for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Spherical model</th>
<th>Jet model</th>
<th>Zauderer et al. (2013)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_e$</td>
<td>$7.5 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$8.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_B$</td>
<td>$3.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$4.7 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$9.0 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_w$ (g cm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{K,\text{iso}}$ (erg)</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{54}$</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^{54}$</td>
<td>$7.7 \times 10^{52}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_j$ (rad)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$&gt;0.14$</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{K,\text{jet}}$ (erg)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$&gt;1.3 \times 10^{52}$</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{51}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parameter values we have found are different than those obtained in broad-band modelling work by Zauderer et al. (2013), which we show for comparison in Table 3. For some parameters these are only factors of a few, which is not unexpected given the differences in modelling methods. Our value for the isotropic equivalent energy $E_{K,\text{iso}}$, however, is more than one order of magnitude larger than the value they have found, but this could be due to the fact that we are using a larger data set including our Chandra, WSRT, and AMI data. We have a lower limit on the jet-break time which is higher than their value of $t_j = 12$ d. Our lower limit on the jet opening angle, however, is similar to their value due to our higher $E_{K,\text{iso}}$ value. The resulting lower limit we obtained for $E_{K,\text{jet}}$ is larger than their value by a factor of $\sim 3$. We note that our value for the density parameter $A_w$, which is $A$ normalized by $5 \times 10^{11}$, corresponds to a
mass-loss rate of $\sim 4 \times 10^{-4} \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ for a constant wind velocity of $10^4 \, \text{km s}^{-1}$. This mass-loss rate is quite typical for Wolf–Rayet stars, usually assumed to be the progenitors of GRBs. The mass-loss rate and also the other macro- and microphysical parameters we have derived for GRB 111215A are quite typical of what has been found for other GRBs, both for dark (e.g. Jakobsson et al. 2005; Rol et al. 2007; Zauderer et al. 2013) and optically bright ones (e.g. Panaitescu & Kumar 2002; Cenko et al. 2011).

The radio light curves in Fig. 4 display a significant scatter around the best-fitting model, especially at low radio frequencies. This can be attributed to the effects of interstellar scintillation (ISS) by free electrons in our Galaxy modulating the GRB 111215A radio flux (Rickett 1990). This effect is strongest when the jet angular size is smaller than the characteristic ISS scales, and the modulations quench while the source size increases (Goodman 1997). To estimate the effects of ISS in the case of GRB 111215A, we adopt the ‘NE2001’ model for the free electrons in our Galaxy (Cordes & Lazio 2002), and the scalings for the scintillation strengths and time-scales from Walker (1998). The coordinates of GRB 111215A result in a transition frequency $v_{\text{tr}} = 11 \, \text{GHz}$ between weak and strong scattering, a scattering measure $S_{\text{M}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-4} \, \text{kpc m}^{-2/3} \, \text{pc}$, and an angular size of the first Fresnel zone $\theta_{\text{F1}} = 2.1 \, \mu\text{as}$. The resulting predicted scatter due to ISS is indicated by dashed lines in Fig. 4, and it can be seen that the observed scatter can indeed be explained by ISS. In fact, for our best fit the $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ reduces from a value of 6.2 to 1.9 when ISS is taken into account.

### 5 OPTICAL DARKNESS

GRB 111215A was not detected down to deep limits at nIR, optical, and UV frequencies. In the literature there are three methods to establish if a GRB can be classified as a dark burst. The first method by Jakobsson et al. (2004) uses the simultaneous optical and X-ray flux, at roughly half a day after the GRB onset, and requires an optical-to-X-ray spectral index $\beta_{\text{OX}} < 0.5$ for a GRB to be classified as dark. A more elaborate approach, using information from both spectra and light curves, was developed by Rol et al. (2005).

These two methods make strong assumptions about the underlying physical model, namely that the electron energy distribution index $p$ has to be larger than 2 (Jakobsson et al. 2004), or that the dynamics of GRB jets is well understood and can be described by simplified analytic models (Rol et al. 2005). A third method, proposed by van der Horst et al. (2009), only uses spectral information, makes fewer assumptions on the GRB physics, and bases the classification on a comparison of the simultaneous X-ray and optical-to-X-ray spectral index. In the latter method a GRB is classified as dark when $\beta_{\text{OX}} < \beta_{X} - 0.5$, with $\beta_{X}$ the X-ray spectral index.

As already mentioned in Section 1, the main assumption of all classification methods is that the optical and X-ray emission are part of the same spectrum, and thus that there is only one emission process at play, namely synchrotron emission from the GRB blast wave moving into the ambient medium. Since prompt emission, originating at a different emission site to the forward shock, was detected at gamma-ray and X-ray frequencies up to at least half an hour after the GRB onset, none of the early-time optical upper limits should be considered for the optical classification. Therefore, we only use the observations after a few hours for this purpose.

In Table 4 we give the most sensitive observations of GRB 111215A on this time-scale (Section 2; Zauderer et al. 2013). Based on the upper limits on the nIR/optical flux and the (quasi-)simultaneous X-ray fluxes, we determined the optical-to-X-ray spectral indices, which are fairly similar for these four observations, and the most constraining value is $\beta_{\text{OX}} < 0.20$. Since the X-ray spectral index is $\beta_{X} \simeq 1.0$ (Section 2), $\beta_{\text{OX}} - \beta_{X} < -0.8$. Therefore, GRB 111215A is clearly a dark burst, according to the classification methods of both Jakobsson et al. (2004) and van der Horst et al. (2009).

Since we have determined the redshift of GRB 111215A to be $z \simeq 2.1$, a very high redshift is not the cause of its darkness. We have also established that the light curves at a few hours can be modelled well as synchrotron emission from the forward shock, and thus the remaining viable explanation for the optical darkness is extinction in the host galaxy. The results of our modelling work (Section 4) can be used to estimate the expected flux density at the times of the observations listed in Table 4. Comparing these model flux densities with the observed upper limits, we estimate lower limits on the extinction (after correcting for Galactic extinction), which range from 4.9 to 7.6 mag (Table 4). In this table we also give estimates for $E(B - V)^{\text{host}}$ and $A_{V}^{\text{host}}$ for three types of extinction curves: Galactic (Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis 1989), Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC), and Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC; Gordon et al. 2003). This assumes that one of these extinction curves is a valid model for the host galaxy of GRB 111215A, or more specifically for the region causing the optical extinction. Therefore, these $E(B - V)^{\text{host}}$ and $A_{V}^{\text{host}}$ values should be taken with caution, but can be used for comparison with other GRB studies. For a Galactic extinction curve the highest lower limit is $E(B - V)^{\text{host}} > 2.3$, corresponding to $A_{V}^{\text{host}} > 7.5$, which is similar to the value found by Zauderer et al. (2013), and much higher than the average value for the host galaxy ($E(B - V) \simeq 0.15$; Section 3.3). The latter indicates that there is a dusty region in the host galaxy in our line of sight towards GRB 111215A, which is consistent with the lack of bright emission at the GRB location in our HST images (Section 3.4), and with the findings for other dark bursts for which similar modelling work has been performed (Jakobsson et al. 2005; Rol et al. 2007; Zauderer et al. 2013). Note that the best extinction limits are for our
\( K \) observation, which has the weakest constraint on \( \rho_{\text{star}} \) (<0.34). This illustrates how important deep nIR observations are, with 4-m class telescopes like WHT, to constrain the extinction in the galactic environment of GRBs.

Finally, we estimate the expected \( A_{\text{host}} \) based on the excess absorption \( N_{\text{H,host}} \) at the GRB 111215A redshift compared to the Galactic absorption \( N_{\text{H,gal}} \) in the X-ray spectra. We adopted two approaches, resulting in a lower limit and an illustrative estimate for \( A_{\text{host}} \). To obtain the lower limit we have fit the combined Swift/XRT Photon Counting mode spectrum over 0.3–10 keV assuming the 90 per cent lower limit on the redshift of \( z = 1.85 \), \( N_{\text{H,gal}} \) from Willingale et al. (2013), and a solar metallicity absorber in the host galaxy. This resulted in \( N_{\text{H,host}} > 3.4 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) (90 per cent confidence level), which corresponds to \( A_{V,\text{host}} > 15 \) and \( E(B-V)^{\text{host}} > 4.7 \) (90 per cent as well) using the results from Güver & Özel (2009). We also determined \( N_{\text{H,host}} \) from our photometric redshift \( z = 2.06 \) adopting LMC metallicity (Pei 1992), which is a more realistic metallicity for GRB hosts (e.g. Schady et al. 2012).

We find \( N_{\text{H,host}} = (1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), and thus \( A_{V,\text{host}} = 50 \pm 9 \) and \( E(B-V)^{\text{host}} = 15 \pm 3 \). It is clear that these limits and estimates on \( A_{\text{host}} \) and \( E(B-V)^{\text{host}} \) are consistent with the findings from our broad-band modelling. Our estimates are higher than those of Zauderer et al. (2013), but within a factor of 2, which can be explained by adopting slightly different methods to obtain these values.

6 CONCLUSIONS

GRB 111215A was bright at radio and X-ray frequencies, but not detected in the nIR/optical/UV bands, and we have shown that it clearly belongs to the class of dark bursts. This is one of the few dark bursts with well-sampled X-ray and radio light curves at multiple observing frequencies, which allowed detailed broad-band modelling. Our Chandra X-ray observation complements the Swift/XRT light curve to better constrain the lower limit on the jet-break time. Together with our WSRT and AMI light curves at three radio frequencies, and radio observations presented in the literature, we have found that the GRB 111215A data can in fact be modelled well with a spherical blast wave moving into a circumburst medium structured like a stellar wind. From this broad-band modelling we put a lower limit on the jet-break time of 31 d, and find that all the other macro- and microphysical parameters of GRB 111215A are typical of what has been found for other, optically dark and bright, GRBs.

We have combined our broad-band modelling results with deep nIR observations from a few hours after the GRB onset to constrain the extinction in the host galaxy of GRB 111215A, the most likely cause of the optical darkness of this GRB. Our \( K \)-band observation at \( \approx 5 \) h puts the most stringent constraints, namely \( E(B-V)^{\text{host}} > 2.3 \) and \( A_{V,\text{host}} > 7.5 \) if one adopts a Galactic extinction curve for the host galaxy. These limits are consistent with the optical extinction we estimated based on the excess absorption in the X-ray spectra. From Keck and Spitzer imaging of the host we have determined the photometric redshift \( z_{\text{phot}} > 2.1 \), and the stellar mass and star formation rate of that galaxy, which are both typical for dark GRBs. Finally, by combining deep HST images of the host galaxy with our Chandra image we have shown that the GRB position lies off-set from any bright regions of star formation in the host galaxy. We suggest that either the GRB 111215A progenitor was formed in a region of relatively low star formation, or that this region is so heavily obscured by gas or dust that it is even not visible in the nIR.