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A search is presented for dark matter pair production in association with a $W$ or $Z$ boson in $pp$ collisions representing 20.3 fb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using data recorded with the ATLAS detector at the Large Hadron Collider. Events with a hadronic jet with the jet mass consistent with a $W$ or $Z$ boson, and with large missing transverse momentum are analyzed. The data are consistent with the standard model expectations. Limits are set on the mass scale in effective field theories that describe the interaction of dark matter and standard model particles, and on the cross section of Higgs production and decay to invisible particles. In addition, cross section limits on the anomalous production of $W$ or $Z$ bosons with large missing transverse momentum are set in two fiducial regions.
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Although the presence of dark matter in the Universe is well established, little is known of its particle nature or its nongravitational interactions. A suite of experiments is searching for a weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP), denoted by $\chi$, and for interactions between $\chi$ and standard model (SM) particles [1].

One critical component of this program is the search for pair production of WIMPs at particle colliders, specifically $pp \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}$ at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) via some unknown intermediate state. These searches have greatest sensitivity at low WIMP mass $m_{\chi}$, where direct detection experiments are less powerful. At the LHC, the final-state WIMPs are invisible to the detectors, but the events can be detected if there is associated initial-state radiation of a SM particle [2]; an example is shown in Fig. 1.

The Tevatron and LHC collaborations have reported limits on the cross section of $pp \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi} + X$ where $X$ is a hadronic jet [2–4] or a photon [5,6]. Other LHC data have been reinterpreted to constrain models where $X$ is a leptonically decaying $W$ [7] or $Z$ boson [8,9]. In each case, limits are reported in terms of the mass scale $M_{\chi}$ of the unknown interaction expressed in an effective field theory as a four-point contact interaction [10–18]. In the models considered until now, the strongest limits come from monojet analyses, due to the large rate of gluon or quark initial-state radiation relative to photon, $W$ or $Z$ boson radiation. The operators studied in these monojet and monophoton searches assume equal couplings of the dark matter particles to up-type and down-type quarks [$C(u) = C(d)$]. For $W$ boson radiation there is interference between the diagrams in which the $W$ boson is radiated from the $u$ quark or the $d$ quark. In the case of equal coupling, the interference is destructive and gives a small $W$ boson emission rate. If, however, the up-type and down-type couplings have opposite signs [$C(u) = -C(d)$] to give constructive interference, the relative rates of gluon, photon, $W$ or $Z$ boson emission can change dramatically [7], such that mono-$W$-boson production is the dominant process.

In this Letter, a search is reported for the production of $W$ or $Z$ bosons decaying hadronically (to $q\bar{q}'$ or $q\bar{q}$, respectively) and reconstructed as a single massive jet in association with large missing transverse momentum from the undetected $\chi\bar{\chi}$ particles. This search, the first of its kind, is sensitive to WIMP pair production, as well as to other dark-matter-related models, such as invisible Higgs boson decays ($WH$ or $ZH$ production with $H \rightarrow \chi\bar{\chi}$).

The ATLAS detector [19] at the LHC covers the pseudorapidity [20] range $|\eta| < 4.9$ and the full azimuthal angle $\phi$. It consists of an inner tracking detector surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid, electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, and an external muon spectrometer incorporating large superconducting toroidal magnets. A three-level trigger system is used to select interesting events for recording and subsequent offline analysis. Only data for which beams were stable and all subsystems described
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FIG. 1. Pair production of WIMPs ($\chi\bar{\chi}$) in proton–proton collisions at the LHC via an unknown intermediate state, with initial-state radiation of a $W$ boson.
above were operational are used. Applying these requirements to pp collision data, taken at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV during the 2012 LHC run, results in a data sample with a time-integrated luminosity of 20.3 fb$^{-1}$. The systematic uncertainty on the luminosity is derived, following the same methodology as that detailed in Ref. [21], from a preliminary calibration of the luminosity scale obtained from beam-separation scans performed in November 2012.

Jet candidates are reconstructed using the Cambridge–Aachen algorithm [22] with a radius parameter of 1.2, and selected using a mass-drop filtering procedure [23,24], referred to as large-radius jets. These large-radius jets are supposed to capture the hadronic products of both quarks from W or Z boson decay. The internal structure of the large-radius jet is characterized in terms of the momentum balance of the two leading subjets, as $\sqrt{\Delta \eta} = \min(p_{T1}, p_{T2}) \Delta R/m_{\text{jet}}$ where $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta \phi_{1,2})^2 + (\Delta \eta_{1,2})^2}$ and $m_{\text{jet}}$ is the calculated mass of the jet. Jet candidates are also reconstructed using the anti-$k_t$ clustering algorithm [25] with a radius parameter of 0.4, referred to as narrow jets. The inputs to both algorithms are clusters of energy deposits in calorimeter cells seeded by those with energies significantly above the measured noise and calibrated at the hadronic energy scale [26]. Jet momenta are calculated by performing a four-vector sum over these clusters, treating each topological cluster [26] as an $(E, \vec{p})$ four vector with zero mass. The direction of $\vec{p}$ is given by the line joining the reconstructed interaction point with the energy cluster.

Missing transverse momentum $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ is measured using all clusters of energy deposits in the calorimeter with $|\eta| < 4.5$. Electrons, muons, jets, and $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ are reconstructed as in Refs. [26–29], respectively. The reconstruction of hadronic W boson decays with large-radius jets is validated in a $\tau\ell\nu$-dominated control region with one muon, one large-radius jet ($p_T > 250$ GeV, $|\eta| < 1.2$), two additional narrow jets ($p_T > 40$ GeV, $|\eta| < 4.5$) separated from the leading large-radius jet, at least one b tag, and $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 250$ GeV (Fig. 2).

Candidate signal events are accepted by an inclusive $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ trigger that is more than 99% efficient for events with $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 150$ GeV. Events with significant detector noise and noncollision backgrounds are rejected as described in Ref. [3]. In addition, events are required to have at least one large-radius jet with $p_T > 250$ GeV, $|\eta| < 1.2$, $m_{\text{jet}}$ between 50 GeV and 120 GeV, and $\sqrt{\Delta \eta} > 0.4$ to suppress background without hadronic W or Z boson decays. Two signal regions are defined by two thresholds in $E_T^{\text{miss}}$: 350 and 500 GeV. To suppress the $\mu$ background and multijet background, events are rejected if they contain more than one narrow jet with $p_T > 40$ GeV and $|\eta| < 4.5$ which is not completely overlapping with the leading large-radius jet by a separation of $\Delta R > 0.9$, or if any narrow jet has $\Delta \phi(E_T^{\text{miss}}$ jet) $< 0.4$. Finally, to suppress contributions from $W \to \ell\nu$ production, events are rejected if they have any electron, photon, or muon candidates with $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47$, 2.37, or 2.5, respectively.

The dominant source of background events is $Z \to \nu\bar{\nu}$ production in association with jets from initial-state radiation. A secondary contribution comes from production of jets in association with W or Z bosons with leptonic decays in which the charged leptons fail identification requirements or the $\tau$ leptons decay hadronically. These three backgrounds are estimated by extrapolation from a common data control region in which the selection is identical to that of the signal regions except that the muon veto is inverted and W/Z+jets with muon decays are the dominant processes. In this muon control region dominated by W/Z+jets with muon decays, the combined W and Z boson contribution is measured after subtracting other sources of background that are estimated using MC simulation [30] based on GEANT4 [31]. Two extrapolation factors from the contribution of W/Z+jets in the muon control region to the contributions of $Z \to \nu\bar{\nu}$ and $W/Z+jets$ with lepton decays in the muon-veto signal region, respectively, are derived as a function of $m_{\text{jet}}$ from simulated samples of W and Z boson production in association with jets that are generated using SHERPA1.4.1 [32] and the CT10 [33] parton distribution function (PDF) set. A second control region is defined with two muons and $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 350$ GeV, which has limited statistics and is used only for the validation of the $Z$ boson contribution. The W boson contribution is validated in a low-$E_T^{\text{miss}}$ control region with the same selection as the signal region but $250$ GeV $< E_T^{\text{miss}} < 350$ GeV.

Other sources of background are diboson production, top quark pair production, and single-top production, which are estimated using simulated events. The MC@NLO4.03 generator [34] using the CT10 PDF with the AUET2 [35] tune, interfaced to HERWIG6.520 [36] and JIMMY4.31 [37] for the
Data generated using MADGRAPH5 [41], with showering and in Fig. 3 for the definitions in Ref. [14]: Four operators are used as a representative set based on the D and t tune and CT10 PDF, including large-radius jet energy calibration and momentum resolution [23], and uncertainties in the large-radius jet energy calibration and momentum resolution [23], and uncertainties in the E_{T}^{miss}. Additional minor uncertainties are due to the levels of initial-state and final-state radiation, parton distribution functions, lepton reconstruction and identification efficiencies, and momentum resolution.

The data and predicted backgrounds in the two signal regions are shown in Table I for the total number of events and in Fig. 3 for the m_{jet} distribution. The data agree well with the background estimate for each E_{T}^{miss} threshold. Exclusion limits are set on the dark matter signals using the predicted shape of the m_{jet} distribution and the CLs method [42], calculated with toy simulated experiments in which the systematic uncertainties have been marginalized. Figure 4 shows the exclusion regions at 90% confidence level (C.L.) in the M_{s} vs m_{jet} plane for various operators, where M_{s} need not be the same for the different operators.

Limits on the dark matter–nucleon scattering cross sections are reported using the method of Ref. [14] in Fig. 5 for both the spin-independent (C1, D1, D5) and the spin-dependent interaction model (D9). References [14,50] discuss the valid region of the effective field theory, which becomes a poor approximation if the mass of the intermediate state is below the momentum transferred in the interaction. The results are compared with measurements from direct detection experiments [43–49].
This search for dark matter pair production in association with a W or Z boson extends the limits on the dark matter–nucleon scattering cross section in the low mass region $m_f < 10$ GeV where the direct detection experiments have less sensitivity. The new limits are also compared to the limits set by ATLAS in the 7 TeV monojet analysis [3]. For the spin-independent case with the opposite-sign up-type and down-type couplings, the limits are improved by about 3 orders of magnitude, as the constructive interference leads to a very large increase in the W-boson-associated production cross section. For other cases, the limits are similar.

To complement the effective field theory models, limits are calculated for a simple dark matter production theory with a light mediator, the Higgs boson. The upper limit on the cross section of Higgs boson production through $WH$ and $ZH$ modes and decay to invisible particles is 1.3 pb at 95% C.L. for $m_H = 125$ GeV. Figure 6 shows the upper limit of the total cross section of $WH$ and $ZH$ processes with $H \rightarrow \chi \bar{\chi}$, normalized to the SM next-to-leading order prediction for the $WH$ and $ZH$ production cross section (0.8 pb for $m_H = 125$ GeV) [51], which is 1.6 at 95% C.L. for $m_H = 125$ GeV.

In addition, limits are calculated on dark matter $W\chi \bar{\chi}$ or $Z\chi \bar{\chi}$ production within two fiducial regions defined at parton level: $p_T^{WhZ} > 250$ GeV, $|\eta^{WhZ}| < 1.2$; two quarks from W or Z boson decay with $\sqrt{\eta} > 0.4$; at most one additional narrow jet [$p_T > 40$ GeV, $|\eta| < 4.5$, $\Delta R$ (narrow jet, W or Z) > 0.9]; no electron, photon, or muon with $p_T > 10$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.47, 2.57,$ or 2.5, respectively; $p_T^{\chi \bar{\chi}} > 350$ or 500 GeV. The fiducial efficiencies are similar for various dark matter signals, and the smallest value is $(63 \pm 1)%$ in both fiducial regions. The observed upper limit on the fiducial cross section is 4.4 fb (2.2 fb) at 95% C.L. for $p_T^{\chi \bar{\chi}} > 350$ GeV (500 GeV) and the expected limit is 5.1 fb (1.6 fb) with negligible dependence on the dark matter production model.

In conclusion, this Letter reports the first LHC limits on dark matter production in events with a hadronically decaying W or Z boson and large missing transverse momentum. In the case of constructive interference between up-type and down-type contributions, the results set the strongest limits on the mass scale of $M_\chi$ of the unknown mediating interaction, surpassing those from the monojet signature.

We thank CERN for the very successful operation of the LHC, as well as the support staff from our institutions without whom ATLAS could not be operated efficiently. We acknowledge the support of ANPCyT, Argentina; YerPhI, Armenia; ARC, Australia; BMWF and FWF, Austria; ANAS, Azerbaijan; SSTC, Belarus; CNPq and Fapesp, Brazil; NSERC, NRC, and CFI, Canada; CERN; Conicyt, Chile; CAS, MOST, and NSFC, China; COLCIENCIAS, Colombia; MSMT CR, MPO CR, and VSC CR, Czech Republic; DNRf, DNsRc, and Lundbeck Foundation, Denmark; EPLANET, ERC, and NSRF, European Union; IN2P3-CNRS, CEA-DSM/IRFU, France; GNSF, Georgia; BMBF, DFG, HGF, MPG, and AvH Foundation, Germany; GSRT and NSRF, Greece; ISF, MINERVA, GIF, DIP, and Benoziyo Center, Israel; INFN, Italy; MEXT and JSPS, Japan; CNRST, Morocco; FOM and NWO, Netherlands; BRF and RCN, Norway; MNiSW and NCN, Poland; GRICES and FCT, Portugal; MNE/IFA, Romania; MES of Russia and ROSATOM, Russian Federation; JINR; MSTD, Serbia; MSSR, Slovakia; ARRS and MIZŠ, Slovenia; DST/NRF, South Africa; MINECO, Spain; SRC and Wallenberg Foundation, Sweden; SER, SNSF, and Cantons of Bern and Geneva, Switzerland; NSC, Taiwan; TAEK, Turkey; STFC, the Royal Society, and Leverhulme Trust, United Kingdom; U.S. DOE and NSF, United States of America. The crucial computing support from all WLCG partners is acknowledged gratefully, in particular from CERN and the ATLAS Tier-1 facilities at TRIUMF (Canada), NDGF (Denmark,
Norway, Sweden), CC-IN2P3 (France), KIT/GridKA (Germany), INFN-CNAF (Italy), NL-T1 (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), ASGC (Taiwan), RAL (UK), and BNL (U.S.), and in the Tier-2 facilities worldwide.

[20] ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the center of the detector and the z axis along the beam pipe. The x axis points from the IP to the center of the LHC ring, and the y axis points upward. Polar coordinates (r, φ) are used in the transverse (x, y) plane, and η being the azimuthal angle around the beam pipe. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as η = − ln tan(θ/2).
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