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African Asylum Seekers in Israel: Between Jewish Historic Obligation and Political Zionist Militancy

By Barak Kalir

Since 2005, an estimated 60,000 asylum seekers from sub-Saharan Africa, mostly from Eritrea and Sudan, have entered Israel by crossing the border from Egypt. Escaping their countries, they arrived in Israel after a lengthy journey by land, mostly operated by smuggling networks of Bedouins. Although paying their smugglers thousands of dollars, many African asylum seekers en route to Israel have been raped, tortured into sex slaves, kidnapped for ransom, or even killed for the purposes of their traffickers' operations.

In Israel, the presence of African asylum seekers has become a major political issue in recent years. Although a signature in the 1951 UN Refugee Convention and its 1967 Optional Protocol, Israel systematically refuses to grant a refugee status to African asylum seekers. In fact, the government has been doing its utmost to reject the claims of asylum seekers under the pretense of them being economic migrants. At the same time, in January 2013 Israel completed a multi-million dollar project of fencing its border with Egypt to prevent the entry of more asylum seekers. Since the completion of the fence, the number of asylum seekers who manage to cross the border has plummeted from a few thousand per month to less than a hundred in the entire year of 2013.

As for those who managed to enter Israel, the government has vowed – as was formally stated by the former Interior Minister, Eli Yishai – to “make their lives miserable until they leave, and return to where they came from.” In official Israeli terminology, African asylum seekers are referred to as “infiltrators.” This term originated in the 1950s when armed Palestinian groups conquered Israel from Egypt and Jordan to attack different targets. Palestinian infiltrators were brutalized and forced to leave the territory under pain of imprisonment. The term “infiltrators” thus carries a highly negative meaning that is linked to the Israeli mindset on national security and terrorism, and thus defies all the rules of asylum seekers.

The Israeli institutional discrimination to reject the claims of African asylum seekers is clearly reflected in the work of the Refugee Status Determination Unit. The unit was inaugurated in 2007 and in the following three years, processed around 14,000 asylum applications, granting a refugee status to a mere 42 applicants. This highly approved rate is the lowest among Western countries. Of importance here is that for years Israel has specifically prevented individuals from Eritrea and Sudan from submitting their asylum applications. Ironically, recognizing the discrimination in these countries, Israel provides “temporary group protection” to all Eritreans and Sudanese and applies the principle of non-refoulement. However, by imposing its “temporary group protection” on Eritreans and Sudanese, who comprise around 80 percent of the total population of asylum seekers in Israel, the government evades the very real existence of sending many of them a refugee status. Notably, under the Israeli “temporary group protection,” asylum seekers are not given basic economic and social rights, they do not receive work permits, health insurance, social benefits or any provisions for shelter and food.

As a direct result of the Israeli policy, many asylum seekers end up living in extreme poverty, often sleeping in public parks in south Tel Aviv, one of the poorest urban areas in the country. Without basic state provisions, asylum seekers become highly dependent on the aid they receive from concerned Israeli NGOs. Different NGOs provide asylum seekers medical care, legal assistance, food and clothes, social care, linguistic training, shelter and many more services that the state fails to secure for them.

Since 2012, the aggressive Israeli treatment of asylum seekers has reached new heights. Formally, the government passed the “Prevention of Infiltrations Law” that allows for the administrative detention of up to three years for those who cross the border from Egypt. Publicly, several politicians have unleashed a veritable rhetorical attack against asylum seekers, referring to them as criminals, health hazards, security risks and, in the words of one MP from the ruling Likud party, Mini Regev, as “a cancer in our body.” This hostile atmosphere has set the stage for an increasing number of violent, racist incidents in which Israeli citizens beat up African asylum seekers on the street, throw bricks into shops and homes that are associated with asylum seekers, and at one point, even set fire with Molotov bottles on asylum seekers’ buses in south Tel Aviv that was functioning as a center for asylum seekers’ children.

Civil society activists have been relentlessly trying to challenge this aggressive Israeli treatment of asylum seekers. NGOs, together with some academic scholars and private lawyers, carry the flag when it comes to fighting for an Israeli asylum policy that is in line with human rights standards and international conventions. For example, in 2015 several civil society activists appealed to the Israeli High Court against the legality of the “Prevention of Infiltrations Law,” which was then revoked by the court as “uneconomic” and in violation of Israel’s Basic Law: Human Dignity and Freedom. In addition, NGOs have been mobilizing a public campaign for raising awareness on the dramatic situation of asylum seekers through street demonstrations, newspaper articles, cultural events and public debates.

“(…) several politicians have unleashed a veritable rhetorical attack against asylum seekers, referring to them as criminals, health hazards, security risks and, in the words of one MP from the ruling Likud party, Mini Regev, as ‘a cancer in our body.’”

The most striking societal issue in Israel is over the rights of asylum seekers and the way in which the Jewishness of the Israeli state has been linked to warranting both opposing stands. In an attempt to generate humanitarian compassion among politicians and to mobilize public opinion, Israeli civil society activists regularly evoke the Jewish history of persecution and the Holocaust in particular, as exemplified by Israel’s universal moral obligation to combat all forms of ethnic and religious persecution ("Never Again"). At the same time, many Israeli politicians explicitly endorse the Zionist/nationalist narrative that claims, in the absence of legal assistance, food and clothes, social care, linguistic training, shelter and many more services that the state fails to secure for them.

As for those who managed to enter Israel, the government has vowed – as was formally stated by the former Interior Minister, Eli Yishai – to “make their lives miserable until they leave, and return to where they came from.” In official Israeli terminology, African asylum seekers are referred to as “infiltrators.” This term originated in the 1950s when armed Palestinian groups conquered Israel from Egypt and Jordan to attack different targets. Palestinian infiltrators were brutalized and forced to leave the territory under pain of imprisonment. The term “infiltrators” thus carries a highly negative meaning that is linked to the Israeli mindset on national security and terrorism, and thus defies all the rules of asylum seekers.

The Israeli institutional discrimination to reject the claims of African asylum seekers is clearly reflected in the work of the Refugee Status Determination Unit. The unit was inaugurated in 2007 and in the following three years, processed around 14,000 asylum applications, granting a refugee status to a mere 42 applicants. This highly approved rate is the lowest among Western countries. Of importance here is that for years Israel has specifically prevented individuals from Eritrea and Sudan from submitting their asylum applications. Ironically, recognizing the discrimination in these countries, Israel provides “temporary group protection” to all Eritreans and Sudanese and applies the principle of non-refoulement. However, by imposing its “temporary group protection” on Eritreans and Sudanese, who comprise around 80 percent of the total population of asylum seekers in Israel, the government evades the very real existence of sending many of them a refugee status. Notably, under the Israeli “temporary group protection,” asylum seekers are not given basic economic and social rights, they do not receive work permits, health insurance, social benefits or any provisions for shelter and food.

As a direct result of the Israeli policy, many asylum seekers end up living in extreme poverty, often sleeping in public parks in south Tel Aviv, one of the poorest urban areas in the country. Without basic state provisions, asylum seekers become highly dependent on the aid they receive from concerned Israeli NGOs. Different NGOs provide asylum seekers medical care, legal assistance, food and clothes, social care, linguistic training, shelter and many more services that the state fails to secure for them.

Since 2012, the aggressive Israeli treatment of asylum seekers has reached new heights. Formally, the government passed the “Prevention of Infiltrations Law” that allows for the administrative detention of up to three years for those who cross the border from Egypt. Publicly, several politicians have unleashed a veritable rhetorical attack against asylum seekers, referring to them as criminals, health hazards, security risks and, in the words of one MP from the ruling Likud party, Mini Regev, as “a cancer in our body.” This hostile atmosphere has set the stage for an increasing number of violent, racist incidents in which Israeli citizens beat up African asylum seekers on the street, throw bricks into shops and homes that are associated with asylum seekers, and at one point, even set fire with Molotov bottles on asylum seekers’ buses in South Tel Aviv that was functioning as a center for asylum seekers’ children.

Civil society activists have been relentlessly trying to challenge this aggressive Israeli treatment of asylum seekers. NGOs, together with some academic scholars and private lawyers, carry the flag when it comes to fighting for an Israeli asylum policy that is in line with human rights standards and international conventions. For example, in 2015 several civil society activists appealed to the Israeli High Court against the legality of the “Prevention of Infiltrations Law,” which was then revoked by the court as “uneconomic” and in violation of Israel’s Basic Law: Human Dignity and Freedom. In addition, NGOs have been mobilizing a public campaign for raising awareness on the dramatic situation of asylum seekers through street demonstrations, newspaper articles, cultural events and public debates.