Extreme Conditions in a Close Analog to the Young Solar System: Herschel Observations of ε Eridani
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EXTREME CONDITIONS IN A CLOSE ANALOG TO THE YOUNG SOLAR SYSTEM: HERSCHEL OBSERVATIONS OF $\epsilon$ ERI DanI
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ABSTRACT

Far-infrared Herschel images of the $\epsilon$ Eridani system, seen at a fifth of the Sun’s present age, resolve two belts of debris emission. Fits to the 160$\mu$m PACS image yield radial spans for these belts of 12–16 and 54–68 AU. The south end of the outer belt is $\approx 10%$ brighter than the north end in the PACS+SPIRE images at 160, 250, and 350$\mu$m, indicating a pericenter glow attributable to a planet “c.” From this asymmetry and an upper bound on the offset of the belt center, this second planet should be mildly eccentric ($e_c \approx 0.03–0.3$). Compared to the asteroid and Kuiper Belts of the young Sun, the $\epsilon$ Eri belts are intermediate in brightness and more similar to each other, with up to 20 km sized collisional fragments in the inner belt totaling $\approx 5%$ of an Earth mass. This reservoir may feed the hot dust close to the star and could send many impactors through the Habitable Zone, especially if it is being perturbed by the suspected planet $\epsilon$ Eri b, at semi-major axis $\approx 3$ AU.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The $\epsilon$ Eridani system is the archetype of a debris disk around a Sun-like star, discovered nearly three decades ago with IRAS (Aumann 1985). At only 3.2 pc distance, the star is resolved by optical interferometry (e.g., Baines & Armstrong 2012) establishing it at around 0.32, 0.74, and 0.82 times the Sun’s luminosity, radius, and mass, respectively, and with an age fitted at 850 Myr (Di Folco et al. 2004). A Jovian planet is suspected to orbit at around 3 AU from $\epsilon$ Eri, from radial velocity wobble and Hubble Space Telescope/Hipparcos astrometry, but a consistent planetary solution is elusive and complicated by a possible 7 yr modulation of the stellar activity cycle (Metcalfe et al. 2013; Anglada-Escudé & Butler 2012; Reffert & Quirrenbach 2011; Benedict et al. 2006).

Far-infrared observations with Spitzer (Backman et al. 2009) indicated two unresolved warm inner dust rings, as well as a wider icy outer belt, confirmed by 350$\mu$m Caltech Submillimeter Observatory (CSO) imaging. While the warmest dust may trickle in past the candidate planet (Reidemeister et al. 2011; Brogi et al. 2009), there is no hot component very near the star detectable with near-infrared interferometry (Di Folco et al. 2007). The cold outer debris belt was earlier resolved by SCUBA at 450 and 850$\mu$m, including detection of clumps suspected to be the locations of rotational resonance with an outer planet (Greaves et al. 2005, 1998, with follow-up detections with SCUBA-2; J. S. Greaves et al. in preparation). Direct imaging searches for this planet have set upper limits of a few Jupiter masses (Marengo et al. 2009; Heinze et al. 2008; Janson et al. 2008).

The similarities of $\epsilon$ Eri to inferences about the young solar system (e.g., Booth et al. 2009) make it worth assessing prospects for a terrestrial planet in the Habitable Zone spanning 0.5–1 AU (Baines & Armstrong 2012), especially as we see the system at about a fifth of the Sun’s current age. This is roughly when the solar system is thought to have undergone shifting of...
giant planet orbits with radical perturbations of the comet and asteroid belts (Walsh et al. 2011; Gomes et al. 2005). Here, we examine the two debris environments and compare their sources of potential planetary impactors.

2. OBSERVATIONS WITH HERSCHEL

The Herschel24 Guaranteed Time Key Project “Stellar Disk Evolution” (PI: G. Olofsson) obtained simultaneous PACS 70/160 μm images on 2011 March 5 (observation IDs 1342216123/4), using two cross-scans at the medium scan speed of 20″ s⁻¹. The SPIRE 250, 350, and 500 μm images are from one observation made on 2010 February 25 (ID 1342191177), using Large Map mode at 30″ s⁻¹ scan rate. Observation durations were 5478 × 2 and 2923 s, covering fields of approximately 7′ and 12′, with PACS and SPIRE, respectively. Detailed descriptions of Herschel and the PACS and SPIRE instruments are presented by Pilbratt et al. (2010), Poglitsch et al. (2010), and Griffin et al. (2010). The data were processed in the Herschel interactive processing environment, following procedures as established for Fomalhaut (Acke et al. 2012).

The pixel scales used in the images at 70, 160, 250, 350, and 500 μm are 1″, 2″, 6″, 10″, and 14″, respectively, while mean FWHMs of the point-spread functions (PSFs) are typically 5′/6, 11′/4, 18′/2, 24′/9, and 36′/3 (18–117 AU). The absolute co-ordinate frame was checked for the PACS data using three positions (worst case: 1.5 pixels, scatter on each axis: 0.5 pixels) but they give too little information for any re-registering of the images. Another minor aspect of image fidelity is that the PSF has low-level tri-lobe structure due to the secondary mirror’s support structure (see the report “bolopsf_20.pdf” at the PACS calibration Web site), and this contributes to the shape of the central peak at 70 μm.

3. IMAGING RESULTS

The five Herschel images toward ε Eri are shown in Figure 1. The central star is brightest at the shortest wavelengths, becoming negligible by the submillimeter bands, where the outer dust belt dominates. The overall structure is very similar to ground-based submillimeter images, such as the 350 μm data of Backman et al. (2009). Background objects are particularly prominent in the submillimeter on the eastern (positive-R.A.) side of the system. The proper motion of the star—approximately 1″ to lower right ascension (R.A.) per year—has moved it westward over these structures on decadal timescales. This contaminant explains the more east–west extension seen in the earliest images (Greaves et al. 1998)—hence, there is overall agreement on a slightly inclined disk with its apparent major axis lying close to north–south.

The warm belt within a few arcseconds of the star is resolved here for the first time, most noticeably at 70 μm (see the radial profiles in Figure 2). By 160 μm, the cool outer belt is dominant (Figure 3), and there is a clear gap (Figure 1) between the

Figure 1. Top row: PACS images toward ε Eri plotted in linear false-color scales for surface brightness and with pixel sizes of 1″ and 2″ at 70 and 160 μm, respectively. Bottom row: SPIRE images at 250, 350, 500 μm with pixels of 6″, 10″, 14″, respectively. Larger fields are shown in these panels to demonstrate the presence of presumed dusty galaxies, most prominently at positive-R.A. offsets. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
Backman et al. (2009) suggested there is a “halo” of small grains with central clearing visible at both 250 and 350 μm. The SPIRE images are dominated by the outer belt, two zones. The SPIRE images are dominated by the outer belt, with central clearing visible at both 250 and 350 μm (Figure 1). Backman et al. (2009) suggested there is a “halo” of small grains at 90–110 AU, and the radial tail of emission seen by PACS out to at least 125 AU (Figure 2) agrees with their 350 μm data. Dust fluxes are listed in Table 1, including the two components separately where resolved by PACS.

At 160 μm, the outer belt appears structured, but the most prominent brightening (to the north and south) is attributable to limb effects, where longer columns are viewed down the ends of the inclined ring. An additional north-to-south asymmetry is discussed below. There are also two slightly brightened patches at the east and west sides of the ring, but tests made by artificially moving the system around within the map showed that these can be produced solely by background fluctuations.

3.1. Model Fits

The two debris belts in the PACS images were fitted with model rings, fitting both wavelengths simultaneously. A minimal approach was used, adopting two uniform, circular, smooth-density rings, and optimizing the overall fit with an “amoeba” multi-dimensional minimization algorithm. The disk radii, width, opening angle, and inclination are all free parameters, but the last two are common to both rings. In the data, the dust belts appear well centered on the star, but at 160 μm offsets of up to 1 pixel (2″) were allowed for optimization. The common inclination was fitted as 32° from 160 μm, where the PSF is the most stable), and for comparison, a simplified flat ring fitted to the outer belt alone yielded 30°. This parameter thus appears robust to different models; a conservative upper bound on angle uncertainties is ~5° (see discussion below).

The fitting results show that the debris belts are quite radially confined (ΔR/R around 0.2–0.3) and separated by a substantial gap. The best-fit parameters for the 160 μm image are two belts spanning 12–16 and 54–68 AU, with opening angles of ±20° and ±11°, respectively. This indicates that both belts are quite vertically extended, with the particles in the inner one orbiting at greater angles with respect to the mid-plane.

![Figure 2](image1.png)

Figure 2. Radial flux profiles from the 70, 160 μm PACS images. Photosphere-subtracted disk-profile points are mean signals within elliptical annuli (correcting for 30° inclination from the sky plane), with their standard errors, plotted against de-projected radius. Thin curves show the flux profile for the star, with photospheric signals (Backman et al. 2009) adapted for the PACS passbands. The errorbars show standard errors for the number of independent pixels per annulus (~N(pixels)/6 for 1 pixel width and PSFs ~ 6 pixels across at half-maximum), so adjacent annuli are not independent. Standard deviations were derived from the signal dispersion in 1 pixel wide strips across the image background. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

![Figure 3](image2.png)

Figure 3. Dust fluxes around ε Eri in the mid-infrared to submillimeter; photospheric signals have been subtracted (Backman et al. 2009). Black diamonds are Herschel data (with the inner and outer rings shown separately in light blue and dark red, respectively), orange bars are prior measurements from Table 1, and the yellow line is the photospheric signal. The black curves show blackbody emission at 105 K (dotted) and 48 K (dashed), both modified by a declining emissivity of index 0.4 longward of 150 μm, and summed to form the solid black line. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

At 160 μm, the outer belt appears structured, but the most prominent brightening (to the north and south) is attributable to limb effects, where longer columns are viewed down the ends of the inclined ring. An additional north-to-south asymmetry is discussed below. There are also two slightly brightened patches at the east and west sides of the ring, but tests made by artificially moving the system around within the map showed that these can be produced solely by background fluctuations.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (μm)</th>
<th>Dust Flux (Jy)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.01</td>
<td>Inner ring, PACS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.01</td>
<td>Outer ring, PACS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.02</td>
<td>Inner ring, PACS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.03</td>
<td>Outer ring, PACS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.03</td>
<td>SPIRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.02</td>
<td>SPIRE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>SPIRE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Previous results are from Backman et al. (2009) and Greaves et al. (2005). For SPIRE, errors are dominated by background estimation in the photometry, for an aperture of radius 40″, and annuli 30° wide with inner edges at 60° or 150° and with/without blanking of the positive-R.A. features. For PACS, inner and outer belts were separated by fitting Gaussians to the radial profiles (Figure 2); errors are estimated from aperture photometry variations among background areas, of radii 20° and 40°, respectively. Absolute flux calibration for Herschel contributes up to 5% additional uncertainty.
The radial and vertical extents are all fitted as being fractionally greater at 70 \( \mu \)m than at 160 \( \mu \)m. The best fit gives radial spans of 3–21 and 36–72 AU, and opening angles of \( \pm 23^\circ \) and \( \pm 15^\circ \), respectively. This result may be attributable to emission from smaller particles being more dynamically dispersed, as in the models by Müller et al. (2010) of grains on eccentric orbits. These models were for the Vega system, for which Sibthorpe et al. (2010) also found larger disk radii at shorter \textit{Herschel} wavelengths. In the models, higher particle eccentricities can lead to a “pile-up” of smaller grain sizes, plus enhanced collisions near the planetesimal belt, produce broader radial profiles of emission.

Backman et al. (2009) inferred the smaller ring to lie at \( \sim 20 \) AU from unresolved \textit{Spitzer} data at 24–156 \( \mu \)m, and the \textit{Herschel} results are in good agreement with this, but placing it slightly inward at improved resolution. The innermost ring, which Backman et al. inferred to lie at around 3 AU from mid-infrared excesses, is still below resolvable scales.

### 3.2. Multiple Belts

Many debris systems are now being found to have both warm and cold dust. However, when the emission is unresolved it is not clear whether this effect arises from two belts, a broad single belt, or grains with a range of properties (Booth et al. 2013; G. Kennedy et al., in preparation). Amongst the archetypes, Su et al. (2013) discuss Vega and Fomalhaut, both of which have warm excesses shortward of 30 \( \mu \)m, as well as large cold debris belts and hot dust near the star. The new \textit{Herschel} images have confirmed the presence of two belts around \( \epsilon \) Eri, and resolve the smaller one as centered approximately 14 AU from the star, which is similar to inferred locations \( \sim 8–14 \) AU in the Vega and Fomalhaut systems.

However, \( \epsilon \) Eri (spectral type K2 V) is much less luminous than the two A stars, so their middle belts are warmer—Su et al. (2013) find \( \approx 170 \) K versus 105 K here (Figure 3)—and so the former may be better “asteroid belt” analogs. The Sun is comparable in luminosity to \( \epsilon \) Eri but the asteroid belt is closer in, so these are again probably dissimilar in composition (Minton & Malhotra 2010). However, there are marked structural similarities, as both \( \epsilon \) Eri and the Sun have two planetesimal belts plus particles thought to have drifted inward (Reidemeister et al. 2011), forming the zodiacal belt in the solar case.

### 3.3. Planetary Effects

Resonant clumps have not been seen by \textit{Herschel} around \( \epsilon \) Eri, probably because emission drops off at wavelengths much larger than particle size, and the larger millimeter-emitters are much more readily trapped into resonances (Wyatt 2008). However, there is some azimuthal structure around the outer belt. A south–north flux asymmetry is seen in the 160, 250, and 350 \( \mu \)m images, in addition to the limb-brightening effect noted above. The ratios of \( (F_{\text{south}}:F_{\text{north}}) \) obtained for pixel pairs at 160, 250, and 350 \( \mu \)m, respectively, are 1.09, 1.12, and 1.11, with flux differences at 3.0, 3.7, and 2.5 times the pixel-to-pixel scatter (estimated within 3 \( \times 3 \) boxes around each point). This asymmetry is robust as it has been identified with two independent instruments. The effect is typical of “pericenter glow, which is a forced shift of planetesimal orbits due to interactions with a planet, leading to warmer particles on the side of the belt that is closer to the star (Wyatt et al. 1999).

These contrast values seen for \( \epsilon \) Eri are similar to measurements for the Fomalhaut debris disk at 160 \( \mu \)m (Acke et al. 2012). A forced offset of the center of the belt from the star is also expected, but it is too small to identify for \( \epsilon \) Eri, with the 160 \( \mu \)m centroid lying within 2\( ^\prime \) (6.4 AU) of the star. The expected shift due to this forced eccentricity is \( R_{\text{belt}}e_{\text{forced}} \) (Wyatt et al. 1999), so \( e_{\text{forced}} \) is here \( \leq 0.1 \), compared to \( e_{\text{forced}} \) of 0.12 due to Fomalhaut b (Acke et al. 2012). The level of brightness asymmetry depends on the (unknown) orientation at which we view the forced offset, but calculations by Wyatt et al. (1999) suggest \( e_{\text{forced}} \approx 0.03 \) here. For these bounds, and assuming that the forcing is due to a planet “\( \epsilon \)” in the inter-belt gap at 16–54 AU (not to a 3 AU companion), secular perturbation theory indicates a planetary eccentricity \( e_{\epsilon} \approx 0.03–0.3 \) (Wyatt et al. 1999). These calculations do not give any mass constraint, but upper limits from direct imaging searches suggest \( \epsilon \) Eri c should not exceed about 5 Jupiter masses (Marengo et al. 2009).

### 4. DISCUSSION: \( \epsilon \) ERI AND THE YOUNG SUN

The fractional luminosities of the two belts, \( f = L_{\text{dust}}/L_{\text{star}} \) (Table 2), are now well established with the inclusion of the new data. The dust luminosities agree with the values of Backman et al. (2009) within 15\%, for grain temperatures differing by \( \sim 5 \) K (Figure 3). Resolving the two belts has thus verified the earlier spectral energy distribution fitting, but with the advantage of refined values of the radial extents plus estimates of the opening angles. From the latter, mean eccentricities of the particles can also be derived, by setting them equal to the mean inclination (half the angle of opening from the mid-plane; e.g., Wyatt 2008). This is not necessarily to be expected, if the belts are sculpted by planets which can force planetesimal orbits, trap them into resonances, etc. However, for our comparison to the solar system, we note that the median \( e/\epsilon \) ratio for Kuiper Belt Objects (KBOs) today (Murray-Clay & Schlichting 2011, for discussion) is in fact close to equipartition.

The \( \epsilon \) Eri system, at an age of around 850 Myr, can then be compared to models of the solar system before it was largely cleared in the “late heavy bombardment” (LHB) event. The timing of an LHB-analog event will depend on the planetary system architecture, but we assume here that such an event (1) is possible given the presence of planets, and (2) has not yet occurred because the planetesimal populations are still large.

We estimate belt masses including bodies up to the largest sizes that can have had a collisional break-up at the age of the

---

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>( \epsilon ) Eri Belts</th>
<th>Young-Sun Belts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean radius (AU)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial width (AU)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean eccentricity</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_{\text{dust}}/L_{\text{star}} \times 10^{-2} )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D_{\text{br}} ) (km)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( M(D \leq D_{\text{br}})(M_{\odot}) )</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** Properties are compared to those of the Sun’s debris belts at a similar age, using model masses (Booth et al. 2009; Walsh et al. 2011) to derive \( f \)-values (\( L_{\text{dust}}/L_{\text{star}} \); Wyatt 2008). For the \( \epsilon \) Eri belts, the calculations are in reverse, i.e., \( f \) is used to infer masses. \( D_{\text{br}} \) and \( M(D \leq D_{\text{br}}) \) are from Equations (1) and (2), assuming a canonical size distribution \( dN/dD \propto D^{-1.5} \) extending up to planetesimal diameters \( D_{\text{max}} \approx 2000 \) km as in the solar system, but with \( f \) arising from bodies with \( D < D_{\text{br}} \) only.
system, $D_{br}$. The summed mass in this collisional cascade is then given by (Wyatt 2008)

$$M = 2.7 L_{dust}/L_{star} R^2 D_{bl}^{0.5} D_{br}^{0.5}$$

(1)

for particles down to size $D_{br}$ that are blown out by radiation pressure (0.5 and 1 µm for $\epsilon$ Eri and the Sun, respectively). $D_{br}$ is derived from

$$t = (5.2 \times 10^{-10}/f) R^{4/3} \Delta R e^{-5/3} m^{-4/3} D_{bl}^{-0.5} D_{br}^{0.5} \sigma^{5/6}$$

(2)

with mass $M_*$ of 0.85 $M_{\odot}$ for $\epsilon$ Eri, and canonical values for planetesimal strength $Q_{pa}$ from Wyatt et al. (2011). We caution that factors of a few are unlikely to be significant in the comparison of the two systems. For example, the $\epsilon$ Eri eccentricities would change by 30%–50% if the 70 µm fit results were adopted instead of the 160 µm values, and this would alter $D_{br}$ by factors of 2–4 for a fixed $t$. Also, the population size distribution was implicitly a power law of slope $-3.5$ above, but more realistically (Gáspár et al. 2012 and references therein), slopes may be around $-3.65$ to $-3.8$. Pushing this to an extreme case of slope $-4$ (as seen for the largest, unveolved, KBOs), $t$ is $\propto D_{br}^{-3.5}$, and so if, e.g., $t$ is 30% uncertain, the break-up size is only known to a factor of two.

The results in Table 2 show many similarities between the double-belt systems of $\epsilon$ Eri and the Sun at that age. The main differences, to an external observer, would be the larger scales of the $\epsilon$ Eri belts, and their luminosities that are roughly equal, and intermediate to those of the Sun’s belts. An implication is that the bodies inferred to be breaking up in the inner system of $\epsilon$ Eri, while of a tens-of-km class akin to the young asteroid belt, come from a mass reservoir that is around 50 times greater. In contrast, the outer belt is within a factor of a few of the mass of the pre-LHB Kuiper Belt in up to few-kilometer-size bodies.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The $\epsilon$ Eridani system has been shown to be moderately analogous to the solar system, when at a comparable age of a few hundred million years. However, the differences in the debris belts are rather greater than those between the stars. Most strikingly, the middle dust belt of $\epsilon$ Eri is inferred to be more massive by two orders of magnitude in bodies up to tens-of-kilometers class. The Sun’s asteroids are perturbed by Jupiter, whereas the $\epsilon$ Eri belt at around 14 AU is more dynamically separated from either planet candidate “b” or “c,” so this may allow it to sustain a larger planetesimal population. As dust is thought to trickle in to around 3 AU from $\epsilon$ Eri (Reidemeister et al. 2011), if parent bodies follow similar trajectories they could fall in further and might severely impact any planet in the Habitable Zone of $\epsilon$ Eri. Numerical simulations are important to assess the potential habitability of the system, especially as we see it at a time around that of the earliest known life on Earth.