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ABSTRACT
This paper argues that the cross-linguistic distinction between two classes of gerundial periphrases, such as proposed in Laca (2004a), can be extended to Romanian. That is, according to the level at which the finite verb is merged into the structure, we have one class with the finite verb merged directly in the functional domain, under Aspect; and the second class with the finite verb inserted at the lexical level, as the head of the VP, functioning as an eventuality modifier for the gerund. The first class is represented by structures with the auxiliary *a fi* ‘to be’; the second class, by configurations with the aspectualizers *a sta* ‘to stay’, *a se afla* ‘to be situated’ and *a umbla* ‘to walk’.

The diachronic analysis proposed in this paper argues that these three aspectualizers undergo different stages of grammaticalization, although they do not reach the auxiliary status. The loss of the locative argument and the possibility of extraction from the gerundial VP are used as arguments that the verbs *a sta, a se afla* and *a umbla* have undergone structural changes when used as aspectualizers.
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1. Introduction

This paper investigates the status of constructions that display a verb + gerund configuration, in which the verb is one of the following: *a se afla* ‘to be situated’ / *a sta* ‘to stay’ / *a umbla* ‘to walk’; for example, *stă plângând* ‘he’s in a crying state’. It will be shown that these constructions have different properties than the aspectual periphrases containing *a fi* + gerund; for example, *a fost plângând* ‘he has been crying’.

I shall argue that the relevant verbs started a process of grammaticalization in Old Romanian (OR) towards becoming aspectual operators, but they have not reached the status of Aspect heads in monoclausal structures. The process of grammaticalization led to their desemantization (Lehmann 1982), a stage that is attested in OR. In the case of *a se afla* and *a sta*, there is evidence that the gerundial VPs are no longer their adjuncts, starting, at the latest, with Modern Romanian (MR). The aspectualizers *a sta* and *a se afla* take a gerundial complement. *A se afla* is compatible with an analysis as a copula, although no definite conclusions can be drawn due to lack of hard evidence. In the case of *a umbla*, there is evidence that a similar process is taking place in nowadays Romanian, that is, the gerundial adjunct becomes the complement of the verb. This study uses the data from a large corpus of 16th to 20th century texts (including the data from a Google search for MR).

In formal studies, gerundial periphrases have been divided into two classes according to the level at which the finite verb is inserted into the structure: one in which the finite verb is inserted directly in the functional domain, under Aspect, and one in which it is inserted at the lexical level and functions as an eventuality modifier. In the latter case, the aspectualizer contributes its own lexical aspectual features to modify ‘the temporal structure of the eventuality’ (Laca 2004a: 425). The two types of periphrases are diachronically related, in the sense that aspectual auxiliaries develop from lexical verbs that belong to certain event types (stative or motion verbs, Squartini 1998: 17-8), in configurations in which they are modified by
adjuncts encoded as non-finite verb forms. The syntactic property underlying the grammaticalization of these structures is the obligatory control, from the matrix, of the subject in the adjunct clause.

The semantic link between the aspectual class of statives and the subcategories of the imperfective (the progressive, the habitual and the continuous) is represented by durativity and atelicity. The progressive and the habitual aspect have been analyzed as operators whose function is to stativize an eventuality. When used in the progressive, an eventuality is stripped of its initial and final boundaries, meaning that its culmination point is suspended and the focus lies on its internal structure. This makes progressive predications atelic (Parsons 1990). Verbs of motion also have the feature of durativity, which evolves into viewing the action as on-going (encoded by the progressive aspect), or as habitual, frequentative, incremental, depending on the original (non)directed character of the movement (Laca 2004a, b).

Romance languages have a wide range of gerundial periphrases encoding the non-perfective aspect. The gerund/present participles that are part of these periphrases continue the Latin -ant/-ando non-finite forms. The variety of these structures arises from the aspectual auxiliary. Two stative verbs function as auxiliaries: the Romance descendants of Latin ESSE, in Romanian, Sardinian, some Northern Italian dialects, areas of Sicilian Old Lombard, Old and Classical French, and Old Portuguese (Edelstein 1966; Jones 1993: 83ff, Vincent 2011: 433; Squartini 1998: 28-9; Rohlf 1969: 109; Fournier 1998: 254), and the continuators of Latin STARE, in Italian, Sardinian, Friulan, Iberian Romance, Brazilian Portuguese (Renzi, Salvi, Cardinaletti 1991: 132ff; Jones 1993: 84; Haiman, Benincà 1992: 80; Bosque Muñoz, Demonte Barreto 1999: 3394; Wheeler, Yates, Dols 1999: 363ff; Mateus et al. 2003: 146).


Romance gerundial periphrases expressing the non-perfective aspect are not as grammaticalized as the English to be + present participle structure, a fact shown by their optional character. They are in free variation with non-perfective synthetic verbal tenses, such as the imperfect and the present indicative (Comrie 1976: 33). According to Squartini (1998) and Laca (2004a,b), within Romance periphrases, different structures occupy different positions on the grammaticalization cline.

Romanian displays a narrower range of gerundial periphrastic constructions than other Romance languages, with only one auxiliary, that is, a fi ‘to be’, selecting a gerund complement. A fi ‘to be’ has an auxiliary status and forms gerundial analytic tenses and moods from the oldest Romanian texts up to the present-day language, as shown in (1) for MR and in (2) for OR. Although in OR this auxiliary is a free morpheme, as shown in (2), it has a clitic auxiliary status in MR. In (1) and (2), the auxiliary status of fi ‘be’ (clitic or non-clitic) is formally indicated by the fact that: (i) it is preceded by clitic pronouns, which are always merged in I in both OR (Alboiu, Hill, Sitarioud 2014) and MR (Dobrovie-Sorin 1994); and (ii) it is preceded by the negation nu, which takes the finite IP as its complement; nu is a free morpheme in Romanian and interferes with V-to-C (Isac & Jakab 2004). Hence, fi ‘be’ is sandwiched between I with the clitics and the gerund verb form moved out of vP (see Alboiu & Hill 2013). Furthermore, the
clitic status of the auxiliary *i* ‘be’ in MR is indicated by the word order; namely, (i) the impossibility of coordinating two VPs under one auxiliary, as in (1b); and (ii) the obligatory adjacency between the auxiliary and its non-finite complement, as in (1a) (Dobrovie-Sorin 1994: 15, Monachesi 1999, Avram 1999). These two tests produce the expected results for MR, but cannot be performed for OR. For the latter, we must rely on negative evidence, which is what we have for these constructions (Dragomirescu 2013).

(1) a. (Nu) l-o *fi* (*acum) căut-ând not CL.3SG.ACC=AUX.MOD.3SG BE.ASP now search-GER ‘He might (not) be searching for him (now).’
   b. (Nu) l-o *fi* căut-ând (*și găs-ind). not CL.3SG.ACC=AUX.MOD.3SG be.ASP search-GER and find-GER Intended: ‘He might (not) be searching and finding him.’

(2) patr-înși-l fusease purtând (CC2.1581: 54)
four=men=CL.3SG.ACC BE.PLUPERF.3PL carry-GER ‘four men had been carrying him’

Romanian is like other Romance languages insofar as the gerund can co-occur with the verbs *a se afla* ‘to be situated’ (3), *a sta* ‘to stay’ (4), and *a umbla* ‘to walk’ (5). The structure with *a se afla* + gerund became obsolete at the end of the 19th century.

(3) Dar vai! când suferința amar și trist m-apasă, but oh when sufferance bitterly and sadly CL.ACC.1SG=presses Când nopți întregi și zile mă aflu tot when nights entire and days CL.REFL.ACC.1SG find.1SG still gemând moan-GER ‘But, oh! when my pain makes me so bitter and sad / When nights and days I keep lamenting’ (Radu Ionescu.1854: 86)

(4) Iar eu *stau plângând*, cu inima zdrobită and I stay cry-GER with heart.DEF crushed ‘And I am crying, with a broken heart’ (http://kristina19.deviantart.com/journal/)

(5) Ce *umbăm* noi chinuindu-ne cu gramatica, Why walk.1PL we torture-GER=CL.REFL.1PL with grammar.DEF Ștefănescule? (Creangă.1879: 216) Ștefănescu.DEF.VOC ‘Why do we keep troubling ourselves with grammar, Ștefănescu?’

Generally, the diachronic studies on Romanian do not mention the configurations under (3-5), with the exception of Edelstein (1966). However, Edelstein considers that the structures do not show any signs of being in a process of grammaticalization. As far as the other studies on the Romanian gerund are concerned, I assume that they tacitly analyze the gerundial structures under (3-5) as adjuncts - secondary predications attached to the matrix IP. In this paper, such
constructions will be thoroughly analyzed and showed to involve a different derivational pattern, which relies on grammaticalization.

2. The a se afla/a sta/a umbla + gerund structures

2.1. A se afla + gerund

This periphrasis is attested from the oldest Romanian texts, up to the 19th century, both in translations and in original texts. After the 19th century it becomes obsolete. It is rarely used, with 29 occurrences in the corpus.

(6) Aceste cuvinte pui și la început și la sfârșit, deci și noi pururea these words (you)put and at beginning and at end so also we always să ne aflăm zicând așa (Neagoe Basarab.1654)
SĂSUBUCL.REFL.ACC.1PL find.SUBJ.1PL say-GER this 'Put these words at the beginning and at the end, so that we should always say this'

(7) până astăzi să află păzindu-și și limba, until today CL.REFL.ACC.3PL find.PRS.3PL guard-GER=CL.REFL.DAT and language.DEF și niște obiceie ale lor. (Cantacuzino.1716: 45)
and some habits AL their 'up to these days they have been guarding both their language and some of their habits'

(8) El d-abia sosise la Giurgiu și se află he of=just arrive.PLUPERF.3SG at Giurgiu and CL.REFL.ACC.3SG find.IMPERF.3SG povestind cadiului ceea ce i se tell-GER judge.DEF.DAT that what CL.DAT.3SG CL.REFL.ACC.3SG întâmplase în București (Bălcescu.1852: 45)
in București happen.PLUPERF.3SG in Bucharest 'He had just arrived at Giurgiu and was telling the judge what had happened to him in Bucharest'

(9) ca se află gândind totdeauna la iubitul ei și she CL.REFL.ACC.3SG find.IMPERF.3SG think-GER always at lover.DEF her and închipuindu-și ziua când va îmbrăca hainele imagine-GER=CL.REFL.DAT.3SG day.DEF when AUX.FUT.3SG put.on.INF clothes.DEF de mireasă (Alexandrescu.c.1850: 256)
of bride 'she was thinking about her lover all the time and was imagining the day when she would put on her wedding gown'

(10) Într-o zi, pe cind se află șezând pe scaun la ușa In=a day while CL.REFL.ACC.3SG find.IMPERF.3SG sit-GER on chair at door.DEF vistieriei, așteptând să-i vie ceva de lucru, treasury.DEF.GEN wait-GER SĂSUBU=CL.DAT.3SG come.SUBJ something for work
suddenly an old boyar, with a very sweet face, drew near him.

**A se afla** + gerund is sometimes ambiguous depending whether the verb is analyzed as:
(a) the *se*-passive form of the transitive *a afla* ‘to find’, which enters a regular transitive construction, as in (11); and (b) the inherently reflexive *a se afla*, which we have seen in (6-10). The latter is formed from the *se*-passive form of the transitive *a afla*. The ambiguity is shown by (a) aspe...
(13) E bărbații ceia ce mergea cu nusul, sta ciudindu-se and men.DEF those that walked with him stay.IMPERF look-GER=CL.REFL.ACC.3PL
‘And those men that were walking with him were staring’ (CPr.1566-7: 38)

(14) i-au apropiat de mijlocul știții muntești, unde CL.ACC.3PL=have draw.close of middle.DEF army.DEF Wallachian where venise iara la loc Matei vodă și sta îndemnând come.PLUPERF.3SG again at place Matei prince and sit.IMPERF.3SG urge-GER oastea sa asupra cazacilor (CL.1675: 154) army.DEF his over Cossacks.DEF
‘he drew them close to the center of the Wallachian army, where prince Matei had returned and kept urging his army to attack the Cossacks’

(15) Nemții încă au avut gătire bună, fiindu-le mai mare Germans.DEF still have had preparation good being=CL.DAT.3PL more great gheneral Badensisis, și sta așteptând pă turci în Zemlin și general Badensis and sit.IMPERF.3PL wait-GER PE Turks in Zemlin and Strășovar. (Greceanu.1714: 293) Strașovar
‘The Germans were also well prepared, as their commander was general Badensis, and they were waiting for the Turks in Zemlin and in Strașovar’

(16) Chiar atunci cei doi boieri veniseră și sta ascultând la precisely then CEL two boyars come.PLUPERF and stay.IMPERF.3PL listen-GER at ușă. (Negruzzi.1840: 103) door
‘At that very moment the two boyars had come and were listening at the door’

(17) stau vorbind (http:// www.hanuancutei.com)
(I)stay.PRS talk-GER
‘I am talking’

(18) El stătea scriindu-și tema, iar frații lui he stay.IMPERF.3SG write-GER=CL.REFL.DAT homework and brothers.DEF his dormeau.
sleep.IMPERF
‘He was writing his homework and his brothers were sleeping’

The reason for its low frequency is the competition with the much more frequent construction that involves the coordinating conjunction și ‘and’, as in (19). This construction is an example of pseudocoordination (see Croitor in this volume), which means that the selection relation between stă and the gerund is maintained at LF.

(19) Era cu nușii Pătru, sta și se încălziiă. (CT.1560-1: 227r)
was with them Peter stayed and CL.REFL.ACC got.warm
‘Peter was with them, he was getting warm’
As for its aspectual value, all throughout the evolution of Romanian, the aspectual *a sta* selects the gerund of dynamic verbs: activities, as seen in (17), and accomplishments, as seen in (18). This suggests that it encodes the progressive, rather than the continuous aspect. The gerund of stative verbs is ruled out, as in (20), irrespective of whether these verbs project an event argument or not.

(20) a. *stătea* dormind
    (s/he)stay.IMPERF sleep-GER
b. *stă* având un creion în mână
    (s/he)stays have-GER a pencil in hand

The verbs that are selected by the aspectual *a sta* must have the feature [+durative], therefore, the gerund of verbs which encode achievements cannot occur in this configuration, see (21).

(21) *stău dându-mi seamă de adevăr*
    (I)stay realizing of truth

2.3. *A umbla* + gerund

The oldest attestations of this structure date from the beginning of the 17th century, and are illustrated in (22)-(28). The configuration is present throughout OR (mostly in original texts), as well as in modern and in contemporary texts. Its frequency is not high (33 contexts were identified), a fact that can be due to the existence of competing structures that encode the same aspectual information (frequentative). One example is the structure with the adverbial clitic *tot* ‘on and on’. The structure is in obvious regress (most occurrences are in OR).

(22) au îmblat vânătorii mâncându-se și have.3SG walked merchants harm-GER=CL.REC.ACC.3PL and părandu-se  cu Nica logofăt (DIR.B.IV.1622: 159-60)
denounce-GER=CL.REC.ACC.3PL with Nica high.official ‘the merchants and the high official Nica kept harming and denouncing one another’

(23) Și limba cea neînvățată numai ce umblă tocând, că n-are and tongue.DEF CEL ignorant only that walks chatter-GER because not-has bunătăți dinlăuntru.
goodness.PL inside ‘And one’s ignorant tongue only keeps chattering, as no good can come from it’ (Neagoe Basarab.1654: 106v)

(24) Toma slujeru nu să astămpără, ci umblă turburând lucrurile Toma boyar not CL.REFL.ACC stops but walks disturb-GER things.DEF împotriva mării sale (Greceanu.1714: 251)
against majesty.DEF his
‘Boyar Toma will not stop, but he keeps agitating spirits against his Majesty’
(25) vom umbla scurând și cercetând acele vechituri
AUX.FUT.1PL walk stir-GER and research-GER those old books
‘we shall be stirring and researching those old books’ (Cantacuzino.1716: 10)

(26) cei mari, stâpânii noștri, îmbla amăgindu-să unul
CEL great masters.DEF our walk.IMPERF deceive-GER=CL.REC.ACC.3PL one
pe altul pentru lăcomie (NL.1743: 340)
‘the ones at the top, our masters, were deceiving one another because of their greed’

(27) A nebunit aceia ce umblă îșpitind / Puterea sufletească și have.3PL gone.mad those that walk tempt-GER force.DEF spiritual and marginile ei (Donici.1844: 167)
PE another for greed
‘Those that are tempting their spiritual force and its borders have gone mad’

(28) Toți suntem unici, dar nu toți umblăm lăudându-ne cu all are unique but not all walk.1PL boast-GER=CL.ACC.1PL with uniqueness.DEF our
unicitatea noastră. (www.tpu.ro)
‘We are all unique, but we are not boasting with our uniqueness’

The occurrence of a gerund that modifies the event of walking, as in (29), disambiguates the original motion meaning of the verb.

(29) îmbla pribegind dintr-o țară într-alta (Budai.1800-12: 43)
walk.IMPERF.3SG wander-GER from=another country in=another
‘he was walking, wandering from country to country’

As far as its aspectual value is concerned, a umbla is always atelic in this configuration, as opposed to its use as a motion verb, in which case it encodes either an activity (atelic) (30a) or an accomplishment (telic) (30b).

(30) a. Umblă prin oraș cărând o geantă grea.
‘He is walking in town while carrying a heavy bag’

b. Oamenii au umblat până în cel mai izolat sat cărând alimente.
‘The men walked up to the most isolated village carrying food’

Generally, the aspectual verb a umbla selects an activity verb. The frequentative value of a umbla + gerund is predominant: it is actualized in almost all the occurrences of this structure (22-28). In all Romance languages the equivalent of a umbla is used to encode pluractionality (‘a multiplicity of actions, whether involving multiple participants, times or locations’, Lasersohn 1995: 240, apud Laca 2004b).

Rarely, a umbla selects a stative verb and encodes the continuous aspect (31). Verbs that encode punctual achievements are not attested with this aspectual.
(31) Ce du-te de te odihnește, căci știu că
but go.IMP=CL.ACC.2SG and CL.ACC.2SG rest.IMP because (I)know that
vii fi ostenit și pentru acestea mai multă grijă în zădar
AUX.FUT.2SG be tired and for these more worry in vane
nu îmbă purtând (Cantemir.1705: 252’)
not walk.IMP carry-GER
‘But go to rest, because I know that you are tired, and stop carrying any more worries for
nothing.’

2.4. Aspectual values of a se afla / a sta / a umbla vs. a fi + gerund

The three verbs that are investigated are more restricted as far as their aspectual values
are concerned than the verb a fi in gerundial periphrases: none of the three eventuality modifiers
can combine with the gerund of a verb denoting an achievement. Only the functional a fi accepts
combination both with [+durative] (32a,c) and with [-durative] eventualities (32b), encoding
evidentiality and epistemic modality (Irimia 2010).

(32) a. 0 fi stând la geam / 0 fi fiind aici. (Continuous)
   AUX.MOD.3SG be sitt-GER at window AUX.MOD.3SG be be-GER here
   ‘He might be sitting at the window’ / ‘He might be here’
   b. 0 fi ieșind din casă în momentul acesta. (Progressive)
   AUX.MOD.3SG be come-GER from house in moment.DEF this
   ‘He might be coming out of the house at this moment’
   c. 0 fi învățând mereu. (Habitual)
   AUX.MOD.3SG be learn-GER always
   ‘He might study all the time’

3. Disambiguation of locative/motion – aspectual use of the three verbs

Two tests can be employed to distinguish between the two uses of the stative and motion
verbs in the context of a gerund.

- Combination with a locative/path adverb (a sta, a se afla, a umbla)
  The first test is represented by the occurrence of the locative/path in the argument
  structure of the matrix verb. The stative verbs a sta and a se afla select a locative adverb, while
  the motion verb a umbla selects a path adverb. When the adverb is realized, these three verbs
  preserve their original lexical meaning. When the adverb is absent, these verbs have acquired
  their new aspectual value.
  The position of the locative adverb can lead to ambiguities. I consider that there is no
  ambiguity that the locative is the complement of the finite verb as long as it is placed at the left
  of the gerund, in front or after the finite verb. The word order (locative adjunct +) finite verb (+
  locative adjunct) + gerund occurs with the three verbs under analysis. This disambiguates the
  structure as containing a stative/motion verb and an IP-level gerundial adjunct.
(33)  L-a patului ei margini cu fruntea-n a ei poale / at=AL bed.DEF.GEN her edges with forehead=IN AL her lap.PL
Sta in genunche dânsul privind intunecos... (Eminescu, Opere 4: 321)
stay.IMPERF.3SG in knees he look-GER dark
‘At the edge of her bed, his forehead on her lap / He stayed on his knees with a sad look’

(34) Care fabricanți să află aici lucrând înca de când which manufacturers CL.REFL.ACC.3SG find.PRS.3PL here work-GER even since s-au deșchis râzvrătirea (Doc.econ.1823: 282)
CL.REFL.ACC.3SG=has started revolt.DEF
‘which manufacturers have been here working ever since the revolt started’

(35) îmbla pre pământ învățând și propoveaduinși toate walk.IMPERF.3SF on land teach-GER and preach-GER and all grijind (Dosoftei.1682: 166r)
take.care-GER
‘he was walking the earth teaching and preaching and taking care of all’

- **Combination with a manner adverb (a sta, a umbla)**
  The second test is represented by the possibility to insert a manner adverbial in the structure, a test that can be applied to the configurations with *a sta* (as a postural verb) and with *a umbla* (*a se afla* does not have a postural use, therefore manner adverbs are not accepted). In gerundial periphrases, the (partial) loss of the static/motion component of the verb is suggested by the impossibility of inserting manner adverbials that modify the eventuality (36). When *a sta/a umbla* preserve their original meanings, manner adjuncts are accepted (37).

(36) a. Ei umbă (*târâș / *încet) amestecându-se în treburile noastre. they walk crawling / slowly interfere-GER=CL.REFL.ACC in business.DEF our ‘He is interfering with our business’
b. El stă (*confortabil) gândindu-se la noi. he stays comfortably thinking=CL.REFL.ACC at us ‘He is thinking about us’

(37) a. El stă confortabil pe scaun gândindu-se. he sits comfortably on chair thinking=CL.REFL.ACC ‘He is sitting comfortably on a chair thinking’
b. Ei umbă încet discutând despre problemele lor. they walk slowly talking about problems.DEF their ‘They are walking slowly discussing their problems’
4. The lexical status of the three aspectualizers

There is both direct and indirect evidence that the three aspectualizers have preserved their lexical (versus functional) status even when semantic attrition affected the root.

4.1. Evidence for non-clitic status

A number of authors argue that in OR, at least up to the 17th century, auxiliaries did not have clitic status (Zamfir 2007: 158-163, Giurgea 2010), while after this period, they do (Dobrovie-Sorin 1994: 46). If we agree that Romanian auxiliaries are clitics, we can take evidence for the non-clitic status of a sta, a se afla and a umbla to indirectly prove they do not have auxiliary status. Non-clitic status is tested by: (i) the possibility of coordinating two VPs, and (ii) the possibility of non-adjacency between the finite and the non-finite verb, from the 18th century on.

- **Coordination of two VPs**
  Coordination of two VPs is attested in OR and MR for periphrases with a sta and a umbla (not for a se afla + gerund, probably because of its low frequency in the corpus).

  In the case of the gerundial periphrases with the auxiliary a fi, coordination of two VPs is not attested in the OR corpus; furthermore, it is ruled out after the OR period (see (1b), above). However, coordination of two VPs in a avea + participle compound tenses is attested up to the 18th century. Based on this evidence, we can assume that it is after this date that Romanian auxiliaries become clitics and coordination of two non-finite VPs becomes ungrammatical (Zamfir 2007: 162). We notice that coordination of two VPs is possible with both a sta and a umbla not only prior, but also after the 18th century, as shown in (22)/ (38) and (25)/ (39).

(38) Și vedeare văzu noaptea Pavel: un bărbat machedonean sta
and vision saw at night Paul: a man Macedonian stay.IMPERF
răgându-l pre el și zicând: Treci în Machedonii și ne asking=CL.ACC.3SG PE him and saying Go in Macedonia and CL.ACC.1PL
agiuță noao. (NT.1648: 156r)
help us
‘And Paul had a vision in the night: a Macedonian man was asking him something and was saying: Go to Macedonia and help us ’

(39) tot timpul stau privind în gol și plângând all time.DEF (I)stay looking in emptiness and crying
‘I am staring at the emptiness and I am crying all the time’(http://www.statusuri-
y.eu/tag/intreaba/2)

- **Non-adjacency in MR**
  I shall use the possibility of non-adjacency between the finite and the non-finite verb as a test for the non-clitic status of the three aspectualizers in gerundial periphrases only after the beginning of the 18th century. In OR, the auxiliary – gerund non-adjacency was allowed for the same reason as coordination, because auxiliaries were not clitics, but independent heads (22 above, 40, 41). After the beginning of the 18th century, the auxiliary a fi needed to be adjacent to the gerund, a situation still holding in contemporary Romanian (see (1b), Dragomirescu 2013).
As far as the aspectual verbs \textit{a sta, a se afla, a umbla} are concerned, both in OR and MR, they do not need to be adjacent to the gerund (see (5) above, 42, 43).

(40) Şi cind întra Moisi în cortul cel de afară den tabără, \textit{sta} and when entered Moses in tent \textit{cel of outside from camp} \textit{stay.IMPERF.3SG} \textit{tot norodul} \textit{privind}. (BB.1688: 63)
\textit{all people.DEF watch-GER}
‘An when Moses entered the tent outside the camp, all the people were watching’

(41) şi, neauzind pe niminea într-acele cămări, pentru că \textit{să} and not-hear-\textit{GER PE} nobody \textit{in=those rooms because} CL.REFL.ACC.3PL \textit{afla} \textit{[toţ] dormindu în somnul cel dintâi, au deşchis uşa} find.IMPERF all sleeping \textit{in sleep.DEF CEL first} (he)\textit{has opened} \textit{door.DEF încet} (Bert.1774: 222)
\textit{slowly}
‘and, not hearing anybody in those rooms, because they were all sleeping their first sleep, they opened the door silently’

(42) Au \textit{stat} [dar] \textit{[cîtva timp] uitându-se} unul la (they)\textit{have stayed therefore some time} look-\textit{GER=CL.REFL.ACC.3PL} \textit{one} at \textit{altul} (Slavici, Mara.1894: 166)
\textit{the other}
‘Therefore, they looked at one another for some time’

(43) Locurile cumpărate în piaţa politiei nouă se \textit{sue} la 340, şi câteva places \textit{bought in square.DEF police.DEF} noew CL.REFL rise at 340 and a.few \textit{case se află} \textit{[acum] zidîndu-se}. (Hurmuzaki. VI.1841: 306)
\textit{houses CL.REFL.ACC.3PL} \textit{find now build-\textit{GER=CL.PASS.3PL}}
‘The places bought in the new police square are now 340 and a few houses are now being built’

4.2. Direct evidence for non-auxiliary status

- \textit{Absence of clitic climbing}
  In all its contexts of occurrence, except for compound tenses, the clitics occur to right of the gerund (see 5 and 7 above; 44, 45 below).

(44) Văzându-l, \textit{a} strigat. see-\textit{GER=CL.ACC.M.3SG} (he)\textit{has shouted}
‘Seeing him, he shouted’

(45) Eu \textit{stam} urmăriendu-\textit{i} \textit{ocolul} (Coşbuc.1904: 324)
\textit{I stay.IMPERF} follow-\textit{GER=CL.DAT.3SG round.DEF}
‘I was following its round motion’
For Romanian, this was explained as a consequence of the fact that the gerund raises to the Complementizer domain (to Fin), leaving the clitics behind, adjoined to the T/I (Alboiu & Hill 2013). This also happens in a sta / a se afla / a umbla gerundial periphrases during the entire OR period. Clitic climbing to the finite inflection does not take place, showing that the structure is still biclausal (Cinque 2004).

On the other hand, from the 16th century, in gerundial compound forms with a fi, pronominal clitics do not attach to the right hand side of the gerund, but to a fi, proving that the former copula had become an auxiliary, as in (2), repeated for convenience.

(2) patr-înși-l fusease purtând (CC2.1581: 54)
four=men= CL.3SG.ACC BE.PLUPERF.3PL carry-GER
‘four men had been carrying him’

- **Sentential negation**
  The impossibility of independently negating the non-finite verb is a monoclausality test for a $V_{finite} + V_{non-finite}$ structure, as only one sentential negation can occur per clause, at the left of the finite inflection.

(46) a. nû o fi dormind
   not AUX.MOD.3SG be sleep-GER
   ‘he’s probably not sleeping’

b. (nû) o fi (*nê-)dormind
   not AUX.MOD.3SG be not-sleep-GER

As far as the three structures under investigation are concerned, the corpus offers no examples of a negative gerund. In MR, a negative gerundial complement is possible with the aspectual a sta.

(47) Mihai stătea nêfăcând nemic toată ziua.
Mihai stay.IMPERF not-do-GER nothing all day.DEF
‘Mihai didn’t do anything all day long’

The gerundial complement of aspectual a umbla is incompatible with negation for semantic reasons: a umbla encodes pluralactionality, therefore it needs to combine with a dynamic eventuality (a non-state), while the negation acts as a stativizer, transforming the event denoted by the gerund into a state.

(48) *Mihai umblă nêrâspândind zvonuri despre noi.
Mihai walks not-spread-GER rumours about us

5. Structural changes of the lexical aspectuals a sta/a se afla/a umbla
   - **Loss of locative/path complement**
     When these three verbs are used as aspectuals, they do not require a locative/path adverbial any longer (see section 3).
• **Possibility of extraction from the gerundial structure**

The gerundial structures occurring with *a se afla/*a sta/*a umbla are all controlled by the subject of the finite verb. They illustrate the phenomenon of adjunct control (Landau 2001: 115).

It is known that adjuncts are islands for extraction, i.e. constituents that function either as arguments or as adjuncts inside the island cannot move out of the adjunct phrase and raise across the finite verb. In minimalist analyses, it is argued that extraction is conditioned by the phasal/non-phasal character of the adjunct structure: only extraction out of a phasal adjunct is disallowed (Alboiu 2009). Alboiu & Hill (2013) show that in Romanian, gerundial adjuncts are CPs and, thus, function as strong islands.

In the contexts below, extraction of constituents from the gerundial structure in adjunct position (modifying the stative/motion verb) is not possible.

(49) a. Merge [frendonând o melodie] - *Ce merge frendonând?
   (he)walks humming a song what (he)walks humming
   ‘He is walking humming a song’ – ‘What is he walking humming?’

b. Umblă [târându-și un pijor] - *Ce umblă
   (he)walks pulling=CL.REFL.DAT.3SG a leg what (he)walks
târându-și?
pulling=CL.REFL.DAT.3SG
   ‘He is walking pulling a leg’ – ‘What is he walking pulling?’

In OR, there is no evidence regarding the possibility of extraction from gerundial periphrases. No contexts were identified in which arguments or adjuncts were extracted from the gerundial structure; therefore there is no evidence for the reanalysis of the gerund from IP adjunct to argument status.

For gerundial structures with the aspectual *a se afla, MR provides evidence for extraction.

(50) După aceea se învoi cu cei doi frați ai săi, Constantin, despot after that CL.REFL.ACC agreed with CEL two brothers AL his Constantin despot
   în Epir, și Teodor, tatăl stăpâitorului din Tessalonic, [...] in Epirus and Teodor father.DEF ruler.DEF.GEN from Thessaloniki
   mulțămindu-se fiecare din ei cu [ceea ce] s-au being.content=CL.REFL.ACC each of them with what CL.REFL.ACC=(they)have
   aflat posedând (Eminescu, Opere 14: 121)
   found own-GER
   After that he reached an agreement with his two brothers, Constantin, despot in Epirus and Teodor, the father of Thessaloniki’s ruler, each being satisfied with what they owned’

In the case of *a sta + gerund, extraction is also attested in MR.

(51) Dar [prin fereastra ta] eu stău privind / Cum tu te uși
   but through window.DEF your I stay watching how you CL.ACC.2SG look
   cu ochii în lumină (Eminescu, Opere 4: 381)
   with eyes.DEF in light
‘But I am looking through your window / And see how you are watching the light’

52. Stâlpii rari de telegraf... / Stau privind c-un aer ţimp... poles.DEF sparse of telegraph (I)stay watching with-an air dumb ‘I’m watching the sparse telegraph poles in a spaced-out mood’
(George Topârceanu, http://www.e-scoala.ro/biblioteca/toparceanumigdale.html)

53. şi obsesiile mele, demult, / răsturnate într-o lume a sunetelor închise / and obsessions.DEF long.ago fallen in=a world AL sounds enclosed pe ziduri oarbe, [la care] să stau privind fără vorbe, / şi fără puterea on walls blind at which SĂ stay watch-GER without words and whouth power.DEF de a le mai urmări sunetele parte. DE A CL.ACC more follow sounds.DEF broken ‘and my old obsessions, fallen into a world of enclosed sounds, on blind walls, at which I’m to be looking without speaking, and not having the power to follow their broken sounds’ (Mircea Ivănescu, http://quasiote.wordpress.com/2011/07/21/mircea-ivanesuc-1931-2011/)

Extraction from the gerundial VP in configurations with a umbla is not attested. Due to the rarity of the structure, I created an acceptability test. Speakers’ judgments regarding extraction from a umbla structures were very different; they ranged from ungrammatical to quite acceptable. This shows that there is no unity in its analysis: some speakers analyze the gerundial VP in the context of the aspectual a umbla as an adjunct, while others analyze it as a complement.

54. ??Știm că faptele de curaj cu care tot umbling (we)know that acts of courage with which continuously (you)walk lăudându-te sunt inventate de tine. bragging=CL.REFL are invented by you ‘We know that the acts of courage that you keep bragging with are invented by you’

6. Are a sta / a se afla copulas in the context of a gerund VP?

In OR and MR, a sta and a se afla take a PredP complement, when used as copulas. Their copular use is attested in OR from the earliest texts, but was lost at the end of the modern period. The former secondary predication modifying the lexical stative verb becomes a subjective predicative complement of the copula (adjectives, as in (55), are attested in this position).

55. a. Un vas sta plin de oțet. (CT.1560-1: 230v) a pot stay.IMPERF full of vinegar ‘A pot was full of vinegar’
   b. Că nici Isus Hristos n-are întru El veselie, ce cum stă scris because neither Jesus Christ not⇒has in him joy but as stays written ‘Because Jesus Christ does not know joy either, but he is as it is written’ (CPr.1566-7: 272)
The question is whether a se află and a sta function as copulas in the context of a gerund, considering that they are attested with a copular use in non-gerundial contexts. This would place the two aspectual verbs a step higher on the grammaticalization scale, as they would not be fully lexical any longer; instead they would be light verbs. The evolution of lexical aspectual verbs to copulas is expected, as this is an intermediate step that verbs take in their grammaticalization process towards becoming functional (Asp) heads. This is proven in section 7 by the evolution of a fi + gerund.

Aspectual a se află (+gerund) is compatible with a copula analysis in OR and MR. The 19th century example (50) shows it is no longer an adjunct. A se află did have copula status in the 19th century (in non-gerundial contexts, 55) and it imposes no thematic restrictions on its subject, which can be agentive (6), but also non-agentive (Theme, in 50). However, no clear evidence for its copular status was found, for example, no coordination between a gerundial VP and a non-verbal category, such as an adjective. This can be due to the rarity of the structure.

Aspectual a sta has not evolved into a copula in the context of a gerund. Two arguments can be brought against the copula analysis of a sta. Firstly, a sta imposes thematic restrictions on the subject, which needs to be an Agent (56a vs 56b). Secondly, the coordination of the gerund with an adjective is rather unacceptable (56b). Moreover, no occurrence of gerund + AP coordination was found in the corpus.

7. The grammaticalization of the Romanian gerundial periphrases

The common starting point in the grammaticalization of the three Romanian aspectual periphrases under analysis is represented by the IP adjunction of a gerundial secondary predication, in a configuration with subject control (33-35). The syntactic representation is given
under (57). In a parallel configuration, a gerund is adjoined to an IP headed by \textit{a fi} (58), with the difference that \textit{a fi} is a light verb (copula), while \textit{a sta}, \textit{a se afla} and \textit{a umbla} are lexical verbs.

(57) \[ [CP_1 [IP_1 [VP lexical verb]] [CP_2 V\text{-GER}]] \]

(58) E corabiia \textit{era} \textunderscore{Locative} \textit{în mijlocul măriei} \textit{chinuindu-se} \textunderscore{Adjunct} and ship.DEF \textit{was} \textit{in middle.DEF} see.DEF.GEN \textit{have.trouble-GER=CL.REFL.ACC} de valuri. (CC2.1581: 293)

of waves
‘And the ship was in the middle of the sea having trouble because of the waves’

In the case of aspectual periphrases with \textit{a se afla} and \textit{a sta}, there is no evidence for a change of syntactic status in OR, so I assume that the gerund is in adjunct position (57). In MR, the gerund changes its status, from an adjunct merged at the IP level to a complement selected by the aspectual verb. The MR configurations with the aspectualizers \textit{a sta} and \textit{a se afla} have the representation under (59).

(59) \[ [CP_1 [IP [VP lexical verb [CP_2 V\text{-GER}]]]] \]

As far as the aspectualizer \textit{a umbla} is concerned, the status of its accompanying gerund in OR is that of an adjunct, with the representation under (57), while the same is ambiguous in MR: some speakers consider it to still be an IP adjunct; however, other speakers reanalyze it as a complement, in which case it receives the representation under (59).

If we admit that \textit{a se afla} is a copula in MR gerundial periphrases, then this structure has gone one step further in the grammaticalization process, since the finite verb becomes a light verb; see (61). Importantly, a parallel configuration with copular \textit{a fi} and a gerundial complement occurs in OR, confirming that a copula stage exists in the evolution towards a non-perfective aspectual (functional) head. Starting with the 16\textsuperscript{th} century, \textit{a fi} is attested with copular use, selecting a PredP complement (60). Copular \textit{a se afla} and \textit{a fi} \text{+ gerund} have the syntactic representation under (61) (Mikkelsen 2006).

(60) \textit{era} \textunderscore{Copula} la ceri \textit{[ducându-se]} \textunderscore{Subjective Predicative Complement} (CC1.1567-8: 37r)

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{verbatim}
(he)was at heaven go-GER=CL.REFL.ACC
\end{verbatim}
\end{footnotesize}

‘he was going to heaven’

(61) \[ [IP [VP copula [PredP Pred [AspP V\text{-GER}]]]] \]

The structure under (60) co-occurs with the auxiliary \textit{a fi} \text{+ gerund} structure, which represents the highest level of grammaticalization of Romanian aspectual periphrases (62a). \textit{A fi} \text{+ gerund} is the only Romanian periphrasis that reached the stage at which it features an aspectual auxiliary. I propose the syntactic representation under (62b), based on the current analysis of the Romanian IP (Cornilescu 2000 a.o.).

(62) a. \textit{n-\textbf{ați} fi\text{\text{\textunderscore{AUX}}} având păcate} (CT.1560-1: 152)
\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{verbatim}
not=AUX_COND.2PL be have-GER sins
\end{verbatim}
\end{footnotesize}

‘you would not be having sins’
b. \[\text{NegP} \text{nu} \text{[MoodP ați [TP [Asp fă având]]]}\]

In those configurations in which the clitic attaches to the auxiliary and negation takes the finite inflection as its complement, while coordination with adjectives is ruled out, we are dealing with gerundial periphrases made up with the auxiliary \(a\,fă\). On the other hand, in those constructions in which at least one of the following conditions is met: the clitic is in postgerundial position (63, 64, 66), the gerund is coordinated with an adjective (63, 64) or a prepositional phrase (65), or the gerund projects its own negation (64), we are dealing with copular \(a\,fă\) and a gerund in predicative position. Configurations (63-66) survive until the first half of the 19th century (see 66) (Edelstein 1972: 94).

(63) mulții era goi și degerând și de râceală înghețându-se (CC2.1581: 405)
many were naked and tremble-GER and of cold freeze-GER=CL.REFL.ACC.3SG
‘many were naked and trembling and freezing because they were cold’

(64) era iuți și măniosi și neaducându-și aminte (they)were quick and angry and not-bringing=CL.REFL.ACC.3SG in.mind
de dumnezeiescul dar (CC2.1581: 480)
of divine.DEF gift
‘they were quick and angry and not remembering the divine gift’

(65) Că Svinția Ta săngur ești fără păcate și putând a ierta because holiness your alone (you)are without sins and can-GER A forgive păcatele (DDL.1679: 196)
sins.DEF
‘because you, your Holiness, are the only one without sins and capable of forgiving sins’

(66) Eu m-aș fi dus cât de aproape la vreun trup I CL.REFL.ACC.1SG=AUX.COND.1SG be gone how.much of close at any body
ceresc, dar un cearcă ce este învârtindu-se și văjând înprejurul each.one not CL.ACC.1SG let.IMPERF SĂ,SUBJ.CL.REFL.ACC.1SG draw.near
celestial but a halo that is rotate-GER=CL.REFL.ACC and whizz-GER around fiecăruia, nu mă lăsa să mă apropiu.

‘I would have drawn closer to any celestial body, but a halo that was rotating and whizzing around each one did not let me come near them’

The copular/locative configurations are the basis for the grammaticalization of \(a\,fă\) gerundial periphrases. The OR gerund preserved the possibility to occur in predicative position from Latin, a possibility that is lost in MR (GR 2013: 160ff.). It is difficult to draw a conclusion regarding the time at which these periphrases first appeared in Romanian. What is certain is that they are absent from Aromanian (Capidan 1932, Nevaci 2006), Meglenoromanian (Atanasov 2002) and Istroromanian (Zegrean 2012). This could mean that they developed independently in Romanian from the copula \(a\,fă\) + gerund in predicative position (cf. Edelstein 1966).
8. Conclusions

There is evidence that the stative verbs *a sta* and *a se afla* (now obsolete), as well as the motion verb *a umbla*, develop an aspectual use in Romanian. They started a process of grammaticalization in structures in which their subject is controlled by the subject of the head verb. The three verbs do not become Aspectual heads, instead, they contribute with their own event-type to modify the temporal structure of the non-finite verbal form: *a sta* encodes the progressive, *a se afla*, the progressive and the continuous aspect, while *a umbla* expresses pluractionality (the frequentative aspect). They suffer a process of desemantization, by which they partly lose their original meaning. This semantic attrition has syntactic consequences: the three verbs do not select a locative/path argument any more. In the MR period, in the case of *a se afla* and *a sta*, there is evidence for the fact that the co-occurring gerundial structure changes its syntactic status, being no longer an adjunct, but the complement of the verb. This is proven by the behavior of these configurations with respect to extraction from the gerundial structure.

When the gerund is an adjunct, it is a strong island and, therefore, extraction is ruled out, while in *a se afla* and *a sta* aspectual gerundial periphrases extraction is possible. As far as the status of the verb *a umbla* is concerned, contemporary Romanian speakers seem to hesitate between analyzing it as an adjunct or as a complement. Aspectual *se afla* + gerund is compatible with a copula analysis. If we admit that it has copular status, it means that it has gone one step further in the grammaticalization process than the other two aspectualizers, becoming a light verb.

The Romanian *a sta* / *a se afla* / *a umbla* + gerund periphrases are less grammaticalized than *a fi* gerundial periphrasis. The latter is the only gerundial periphrasis whose finite verb has become a non-perfective aspectual auxiliary.

A parallel was drawn between the grammaticalization of *a fi* and *a sta* / *a se afla* / *a umbla* gerundial periphrases. In the 16th century the following configurations co-occur: gerunds in adjunct position modifying the locative *a fi*, gerunds in complement position of copular *a fi* and gerunds that are part of aspectual periphrases, with auxiliary *a fi*.

The new data offered by this diachronic study shows that Romanian follows the same path as the other Romance languages, employing stative and motion verbs to express different categories of non-perfectivity. However, these verbs are much less grammaticalized than their Romance counterparts.

Corpus

Hurmuzaki VI


Î. L. 1652


Mărgăritare 1691


Neagoe Basarab 1654


Negruzzi 1840


NL 1743


NT 1648


PO 1582


Radu Ionescu 1854


References


and V-Cl. The 15th Annual Conference of the English Department, 6-8 June 2013. Bucharest: University of Bucharest.


