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Platinum(ii)–porphyrin as a sensitizer for visible-light driven water oxidation in neutral phosphate buffer†

Hung-Cheng Chen, Dennis G. H. Hetterscheid,‡ René M. Williams, Jarl Ivar van der Vlugt, Joost N. H. Reek* and Albert M. Brouwer*

A water-soluble Pt(ii)–porphyrin with a high potential for one-electron oxidation (~1.42 V vs. NHE) proves very suitable for visible-light driven water oxidation in neutral phosphate buffer solution in combination with a variety of water oxidation catalysts (WOCs). Two homogeneous WOCs (iridium(N-heterocyclic carbene) and Co3O4–cubane complexes) and two heterogeneous WOCs (IrOx nH2O and Co3O4 nanoparticles) were investigated, with sodium persulfate (Na2S2O8) as a sacrificial electron acceptor. Under neutral buffer conditions, the Pt(ii)–porphyrin shows higher stability than the commonly used photosensitizer [Ru(bpy)3]2+, and therefore represents a good alternative photosensitizer to be used in the evaluation of light driven WOCs.

1. Introduction

Solar-to-fuel approaches potentially provide a solution for the increasing human energy requirement.1-4 One of the options is solar-driven water splitting to produce O2 and H2 by means of photoelectrochemical cells.5-8 Such cells include components for light harvesting, for light-driven water oxidation (a mimic of photosystem II (PSII)) and for proton reduction (a mimic of photosystem I (PSI)).

For the fabrication of working (nanoscale molecular) devices the individual elements need to be integrated by using immobilization technology.7-9

Although the driving force for the overall water splitting reaction is independent of pH, this is not true for the half-reactions. The potential for water oxidation is $E^\circ$O2/H2O = 1.23−0.059 × pH V vs. NHE,10 so higher pH results in a lower oxidation potential. Proton reduction, on the other hand, is more difficult at high pH.11 Consequently, considering an integrated photocatalytic water splitting device with both half-reactions coupled in a photo-electrochemical cell, neutral pH conditions are favourable in order to balance these counter-acting effects.

Typically, the half-reactions are studied and optimized separately. For water oxidation, three-component systems composed of a photosensitizer (PS), a sacrificial electron acceptor and a catalyst (WOC) are often employed.12-14 Because the singlet excited states of photosensitizers are usually too short-lived for an efficient diffusion-limited reaction with the electron acceptor, long-lived triplet state photosensitizers are preferred in order to efficiently generate radical cations in solution.15,16 Redox-level matching is another key requirement for efficient photocatalytic water oxidation.17,18
Ruthenium poly(pyridine) complexes are among the most commonly used photosensitizers in photocatalytic water oxidation,\textsuperscript{4,9,20} with tris(2,2’-bipyridyl)ruthenium(II), [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+}, as the archetypical proponent.\textsuperscript{21,22} Metalloporphyrins have also been reported for light-driven water oxidation, given their broad spectral absorption, high triplet-state yield and long-lived radical cations in solution.\textsuperscript{23} Most metalloporphyrins, however, have potentials of one-electron oxidation similar to that of [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+}.\textsuperscript{24} Limiting their application as photosensitizers to low overpotential WOCs or to high pH media.\textsuperscript{10,23,26} For both PS classes, modification of the ligand structure may increase the redox potential,\textsuperscript{27,28} which broadens the scope of photocatalytic WOC-systems.\textsuperscript{29,30}

Catalytic water oxidation leads to progressive acidification of the reaction medium at higher conversion, resulting in less favourable thermodynamics. Moreover, proton-coupled electron-transfer (PCET) plays a key kinetic role in these mechanisms.\textsuperscript{23,32} As water is a poor proton acceptor at pH 7, phosphate has been added as an effective proton acceptor.\textsuperscript{21,23} Several water oxidation catalysts have been reported that catalyze water electrolysis\textsuperscript{36,39} or chemical oxidation\textsuperscript{40} in neutral phosphate buffer solution. However, [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} is incompatible with this reaction medium because of rapid photobleaching and photo-decomposition.

To improve photostability, weakly nucleophilic inorganic Na\textsubscript{2}SiF\textsubscript{6}/NaHCO\textsubscript{3} buffer systems at pH = 5.30–5.75 have been introduced in connection to Ru–PS systems.\textsuperscript{41,42,43,46} Unfortunately, these silicate buffers are unstable, leading to oligomeric silicates or colloidal silica at near neutral pH.\textsuperscript{45,46}

Hence, there is a demand for long-lived photostable photosensitizers with intense visible-light absorption and high formal potential to initiate photocatalytic water oxidation in concentrated (>0.1 M) phosphate buffer solution at pH 7.0. Recently, Pt(II)–porphyrins were reported with high \(E_{1/2}(\text{Pt}^{III/II})\) redox potentials.\textsuperscript{47} Herein, we report the photocatalytic water oxidation by a water-soluble Pt(II)–porphyrin photosensitizer, Pt(II)–TCPP (Fig. 1) applied in combination with both homogeneous (Ir–NHC (ref. 48) and Co\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}–cubane complexes\textsuperscript{49}) and heterogeneous WOCs (Ir\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}·nH\textsubscript{2}O ∼2 nm (ref. 49) and Co\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles, ∼50 nm)\textsuperscript{50} in neutral phosphate buffer solution. We demonstrate that Pt(II)–TCPP is a readily available, stable and superior photosensitizer compared to [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} for WOCs with overpotentials in the range of 350–500 mV in concentrated neutral phosphate buffer.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Steady-state absorption and emission measurements

To determine the potential efficacy of Pt–porphyrins as photosensitizers for WOC chemistry, we investigated the photocatalysis of the tetracarboxylic acid Pt(II)–TCPP in phosphate buffer. For comparison, some measurements of the corresponding methyl ester Pt(II)–TCMePP in dichloromethane are included.\textsuperscript{51} The steady-state absorption spectra are depicted in Fig. 2(a). The absorption spectrum of Pt(II)–TCMePP consists of a high-energy B-band (401 nm, \(\varepsilon = 2.6 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\)) and lower energy Q-bands (511 nm, \(\varepsilon = 2.4 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\); 540 nm, \(\varepsilon = 6.0 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\)). For Pt(II)–TCPP in phosphate buffer solution, the B-band is at 395 nm (\(\varepsilon = 2.1 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\)) and the Q-bands are at 511 nm (\(\varepsilon = 1.7 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\)) and 542 nm (\(\varepsilon = 2.7 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\)).

The triplet state energy was determined with steady state luminescence spectroscopy in solution (deoxygenated by N\textsubscript{2} purging) at ambient temperature (21 °C). The emission spectra of the two Pt(II)–porphyrins are shown in Fig. 2(b). The emission maxima are strongly shifted from 652 nm for Pt(II)–TCMePP in dichloromethane to 681 nm for Pt(II)–TCPP in phosphate buffer solution. The stronger solute/solvent interaction in water apparently lowers the energy of the triplet excited state. As a conservative estimate, we take the position of the first peak as a measure of the triplet energy \(E(T_1) \geq 1.82 \text{ eV}\). The luminescence decay time was found to be 2.2 μs in air-saturated solution, and 9 μs after purging with argon, in agreement with the triplet nature of the emitting species (Fig. S1, ESI†). The triplet lifetime of [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} is ∼0.58 μs in deaerated water at room temperature,\textsuperscript{52} even shorter than that of Pt(II)–TCPP in air-saturated solution.

2.2 Electrochemical characterization

The cyclic voltammogram of Pt(II)–TCMePP in dichloromethane (Fig. S2, ESI†) shows two reversible one-electron oxidation and reduction waves with half-wave potentials \(E_{1/2}(\text{Pt}^{III/II}) = 1.50\) and \(E_{1/2}(\text{Pt}^{III/II}) = -1.00 \text{ V vs. NHE}\), respectively. \(E_{1/2}(\text{Pt}^{III/II})\) is 0.67 V higher than that of water \(E^\circ(\text{O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O})\).
at pH = 7, which shows that Pt(II)-TCMePP can potentially be used as a PS coupled to WOCs with moderate-to-high overpotentials under neutral conditions. Furthermore, in order to establish the redox-level matching between Pt(II)-TCTPP and the envisioned WOC systems, cyclic voltammetry was performed in a NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4 buffer at pH 7.0 (Fig. 3). The oxidation of Pt(II)-TCTPP at 1.42 V (vs. NHE) is poorly reversible, in line with that reported for other water soluble metalloporphyrins.25,26 There is little difference between the $E_{ox}$ of Pt(II)-TCMePP in the organic solvent and the value obtained for Pt(II)-TCTPP in water. Importantly, this formal potential of Pt(II)-TCTPP is more positive than the relevant onset potentials for water oxidation of all WOCs investigated in the present study, implying that (photo)oxidized Pt(II)-TCTPP is thermodynamically capable of activating these WOCs by electron transfer in neutral phosphate buffer. Using Ir-NHC as the WOC, the onset for electrocatalysis is observed around 1.20 V, resulting in an overpotential of 380 mV at pH 7, which is similar to those of other mononuclear Ir-based WOCs in neutral water.23 The Co3O4-cubane system is electrocatalytically active from 1.32 V onwards, implying an overpotential of 500 mV, similar to reported values.14,26 The electrocatalytic properties of iridium–oxide nanoparticles are shown in Fig. 3(c). The concentration of the IrOx-nH2O nanoparticles was calculated by using the extinction coefficient of 630 cm$^{-1}$ M$^{-1}$ at 580 nm.29 The onset electrocatalytic potential is near 1.15 V for the IrOx-nH2O nanoparticles. Compared to other electrocatalytic studies of iridium oxide systems, our ligand-free IrOx-nH2O nanoparticles in neutral phosphate electrolyte solution show a similar overpotential of 330 mV as reported for succinate stabilized IrOx-nH2O.45,55 The electrocatalytic potential of the Co3O4 nanoparticles was not studied because the suspension does not permit homogeneous diffusion near the working electrode surface. Therefore, we estimated the overpotential to be 350 mV for the Co3O4 particles using the work of Jiao and Frei.26

The photophysical and electrochemical data were used to construct energy level diagrams including combinations of WOC, PS and sacrificial electron acceptor (Na2S2O8) in phosphate buffer at pH 7.0 (Fig. 4). Highly exothermic triplet-state one-electron transfer ($\Delta G = -1.01$ eV) from photogenerated $^3$Pt(II)-TCTPP ($E_{1/2}(Pt(II)) = -0.40$ V) to $S_2O_8^{2-}$ in buffer solution46 results in formation of the Pt(II)-porphyrin radical cation.

This species ($E_{red} = 1.42$ V) is thermodynamically capable of driving WOCs to oxidize water to O2. The $SO_4^{2-}$ released in the one-electron reduction can oxidize the ground state of Pt(II)-TCTPP, or the WOC if present in sufficiently high concentration.25

2.3 Light-driven water oxidation: oxygen generation in neutral phosphate buffer solution

Photocatalytic water oxidation experiments were carried out in solutions containing 6.7 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ M Pt(II)-TCTPP and 5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ M Na2S2O8 in phosphate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH = 7.0) at room temperature. Photocatalytic oxygen generation was monitored through the detection of dissolved O2 using a Clark-type electrode. A 120 W halogen lamp was used as the irradiation source. The results of light-driven oxygen formation with different WOCs are shown in Fig. 5. Because the WOC employed may not be the actual catalytically active species,27,28 turnover numbers and frequencies are of limited value. For the interested reader the values obtained assuming that the molecular catalysts react as such are given in the ESI. As shown in Fig. 5, gradually growing [O2] was observed in all photocatalytic reactions after the light was switched on after ~2 minutes. Control experiments were performed in which each individual component of the system was removed. Significant oxygen generation was only observed when all three components were present (see ESI,
Fig. 5  Photochemical oxygen evolution in 1.5 mL of pH 7.0, 0.1 M phosphate buffer solutions containing Na2S2O8 (5.0 \times 10^{-2} M), Pt(II)–TCPP (6.7 \times 10^{-4} M) and catalysts: (a) Ir=–NH2C (5.0 \times 10^{-5} M), (b) Co4O4–cubane (5.0 \times 10^{-5} M), (c) IrO2–nH2O nanoparticles (1.8 \times 10^{-4} M) and (d) Co3O4 nanoparticles (4.16 \times 10^{-3} g mL^{-1}). The red lines in (a) and (b) are obtained with Ru(bpy)3^{2+} (6.7 \times 10^{-4} M) as the photosensitizer and the blue line in (c) is the control experiment without Pt(II)–TCPP.

Fig. S5†). Noteworthy, our IrO2–nH2O nanoparticles show a non-sensitized water oxidation with a low O2 evolution in the control experiment in Fig. 5(c).\textsuperscript{29}

In order to make a comparison of photocatalytic activity between Pt(II)–TCPP and Ru(bpy)3\textsuperscript{2+}, we also studied the photocatalytic reaction of Ru(bpy)3\textsuperscript{2+} under the same reaction conditions. The results are shown by the red lines in Fig. 5(a) and (b), respectively, and in Fig. S6.\textsuperscript{†} As expected, these photocatalytic oxygen generations came to a halt within three minutes. It is well known that Ru(bpy)3\textsuperscript{2+} is not photostable in the presence of persulfate in high concentration phosphate containing buffer.\textsuperscript{41} Moreover, the bipyridine ligand of Ru(bpy)3\textsuperscript{2+} can be rapidly oxidized to CO2 in high concentration Na2S2O8 with or without WOCs in borate buffer.\textsuperscript{44} This explains why the O2 concentration rapidly decreased after a few minutes of illumination. In contrast, Pt(II)–TCPP is photochemically stable for more than one hour under photocatalytic reaction conditions. It can be concluded that Pt(II)–TCPP reveals greatly enhanced photostability during light-driven water oxidation in phosphate buffer solution compared to Ru(bpy)3\textsuperscript{2+}. In order to address the photostability of both photosensitizers in the photocatalytic reaction, the UV-vis absorptions can be used to follow the decomposition (see ESI, Fig. S13 and S14†).\textsuperscript{27} In the case of Ru(bpy)3\textsuperscript{2+}, the absorbance of the MLCT band at 452 nm was followed as a function of time. The rapidly decreased absorbance indicates that more than 50% was already decomposed after illuminating for five min. In the case of Pt(II)–TCPP on the other hand, only approximately 3% was decomposed after the same illumination time, according to the reduction of the Q-band absorption at 515 nm. The major photodegradation pathways of water-soluble porphyrins have been studied intensively. It has been shown that the π-radical cation of porphyrins can undergo nucleophilic addition of hydroxide ions at the meso position, whereupon it is converted to isoporphyrin derivatives such as hydroxyphlorin, and further to ring-opened bilinone derivatives.\textsuperscript{60} However, the absorption spectra of these degraded products (hydroxyphlorins, in particular) were not observed in our Pt(II)–TCPP photocatalytic reaction mixtures.\textsuperscript{61,62} The four negatively charged peripheral benzoate groups stabilize the positive charge of the π-radical cation. Similar effects have been observed for meso-tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrins.\textsuperscript{18,63} We further compared the changes in the absorption spectra of irradiated solutions of PS and persulfate in the absence and presence of a WOC (Fig. S15†). Photodegradation is notably slower in the presence of the WOC. This finding suggests that electron transfer from the WOC to PS radical cation favorably competes with its degradation reactions.

Ru(bpy)3\textsuperscript{2+} can show light driven water oxidation activity for a longer time in the Na2SiF6–NaHCO3 buffer system, which was extensively studied in the literature.\textsuperscript{41,43,56} Recently, Hill et al. also reported the long time light driven water oxidation with
Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ and a cobalt-based polyoxometalate complex as a water oxidation catalyst in the weakly nucleophilic Na$_2$B$_4$O$_7$ buffer. Compared to the same lower concentration of borate and phosphate buffer (pH = 8.0 and 20 mM, respectively), the borate buffer easily loses its buffer function. A high borate buffer concentration (80 mM, pH = 8.0) can maintain the pH in the water oxidation period. The disadvantage of borate buffer is that it is only suitable for pH $\geq$ 8. In high pH solution, OH$^-$ can also attack the bipyridine ring of Ru(bpy)$_3^{3+}$. An improvement was reported by Sun et al. The attachment of electron withdrawing moieties to the bipyridine not only increased $E_{ox}$ but also improved the photostability of Ru-polypyrindine photosensitizers in neutral phosphate buffer solution. This modified Ru-polypyrindine photosensitizer was used to study long time photocatalytic reaction in neutral phosphate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH = 7.2) by Åkermark et al. recently.

### 2.4 Illumination power dependence of light-driven water oxidation

The Ir–NHC, Co$_3$O$_4$–cubane and IrO$_2$–nH$_2$O nanoparticles show similar rates of O$_2$ formation under 120 W halogen lamp illumination as discussed in the previous section. In order to investigate whether the oxygen formation rates are limited by the photon absorption rate or the inherent catalytic activity of WOCs, the light-driven water oxidation activities were measured at different excitation powers (5.2 mW, 21.2 mW and 51.6 mW) of incident 532 nm laser light. The results of light-driven oxygen formation with different WOCs are shown in Fig. 6. Higher O$_2$ generation rates were obtained with an increasing incident light power from 5.2 mW to 21.2 mW with all WOCs. In all cases, however, the increase in O$_2$ generation rate does not match the increase in power. Upon further increasing the power of incident laser up to 51.6 mW, the oxygen generation rate even decreased in the cases of Ir–NHC, Co$_3$O$_4$–cubane and Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. The O$_2$ generation rate increased only for the IrO$_2$–nH$_2$O nanoparticles.

Several factors can be envisaged that may explain why the rate of formation of oxygen does not increase linearly with the excitation power. Most likely, the catalytic cycle is too slow to keep up with the excitation rate. A similar conclusion was also reached in the work of Jiao and Frei. A more detailed kinetic study could shed more light on this, but this is outside the scope of the present paper. If the reduction of the radical cation of the PS by the WOC is rate limiting, side reactions of the radical cation may be relatively enhanced, which can speed up photodegradation and contribute to a smaller TON.

From the ratio of the absorbed photon flux and the rate of oxygen formation, the quantum yield of water oxidation $\Phi$(H$_2$O) can be estimated to be 1.1% for Ir–NHC and Co$_3$O$_4$–cubane, and 0.6% for IrO$_2$–nH$_2$O and Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. These quantum efficiencies are probably underestimated, because the photon loss by reflection of incident light that passes through the cooling water mantle of the reaction vessel and light scattering of the nanoparticle suspension are not taken into account.

---

**Fig. 6** Photochemical water oxidation with different excitation powers (black = 5.2 mW, red = 21.2 mW, and blue = 51.6 mW) of 532 nm green laser. Volume = 1.5 mL, pH 7.0, 0.1 M phosphate buffer, containing Na$_2$S$_2$O$_8$ (1.67 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ M), Pt(II)–TCPP (2.23 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ M) and catalysts: (a) Ir–NHC (1.67 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ M), (b) Co$_3$O$_4$–cubane (1.67 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ M), (c) IrO$_2$–nH$_2$O nanoparticles (6.00 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ M) and (d) Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles (1.39 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ g mL$^{-1}$).
2.5 The advantages of a Pt(II)-porphyrin photosensitizer for sunlight driven water oxidation

One of the essential properties of chromophores applied to molecule-based artificial photosynthetic devices is their ability to capture photons over a large part of the solar spectrum. For example, the absorption wavelength of a recently proposed radically reengineered photosynthesis tandem photocell for light-driven water oxidation at pH = 7.0 was extended to 730 nm. Fig. 7(a) shows the UV-vis spectra of the three chromophores: Pt(II)–TCMePP, Ru(bpy)32+ and Chl a (related to the monomer of P680 in natural oxygenic photosynthesis). In addition, Fig. 7(b) shows the calculated photon absorption rates of the three chromophores (2 μM solutions) under AM1.5G sunlight in the range of 300–730 nm. The integrated molar absorptivities and percentages of photons absorbed by 2 μM chromophore solutions are shown in Table 1. Both values of Pt(II)–TCMePP are at least three times larger than those of Ru(bpy)32+ because Pt(II)–TCMePP shows a much more intense absorption in the visible light range. Another parameter representing the photo-absorption ability of a chromophore is the 50% photon capture threshold (PCT50), which is the concentration of a chromophore needed to absorb 50% of incident solar photons in the given solar spectrum range. The PCT50 of Pt(II)–TCMePP is about one-third of that of Ru(bpy)32+. Pt(II)–TCMePP exhibits a comparable integrated molar absorptivity relative to that of chlorophyll a due to the intense B-band transitions of the porphyrin chromophore. The photon absorption rate of 2 μM Pt(II)–TCMePP is also close to that of chlorophyll a. However, the PCT50 of chlorophyll a in the 300–730 nm solar spectrum is three times smaller than that of Pt(II)–TCMePP because the former has broader transitions that span a larger portion of the sunlight spectrum.

The second essential property of a photosensitizer for light-driven water oxidation is the first redox potential for oxidation. The E1/2(Pt/0) of Pt(II)–TCMePP is 200 mV higher than E1/2(RuIII/II) of widely used Ru(bpy)32+(4,4′-(PO3H2)2)2+bpy) for the dye-sensitized photoelectrochemical cell. Thus, choosing Pt(II)-porphyrin as the photosensitizer for light-driven water oxidation not only affords a better light harvesting function under solar excitation but also provides a larger driving force for electron transfer from the WOC to the radical cation of the photosensitizer. For a WOC with a modest overpotential of 400 mV at pH 7.0, the free energy of electron transfer is only −80 mV when using Ru(bpy)32+(4,4′-(PO3H2)2)2+bpy) as the photosensitizer. However, the driving force for electron transfer can be improved to −280 mV by using Pt(II)–TCMePP. It has been reported for a ruthenium polypyridyl dye coupled to IrOx nH2O catalytic particles (used in a dye-sensitized photovoltaic cell), that the slow electron transfer from the catalyst to the oxidized dye caused the low quantum efficiency. Clearly, an increased electron transfer rate due to the higher reduction potential of the oxidized dye (and a larger driving force) of Pt(II)–TCMePP can be an important factor in enhancing the efficiency of the water oxidation.

![Figure 7](image_url)

Table 1  Photo-absorption properties of representative chromophores referenced to AM1.5G solar irradiance photon flux and their redox potentials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Integrated molar absorptivity (M⁻¹)</th>
<th>AM1.5G photon capture (2 μM)</th>
<th>50% photon capture threshold (PCT50) (M)</th>
<th>Redox potential (V vs. NHE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt(II)–TCMePP</td>
<td>4.5 × 10⁸</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>122 μM</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(bpy)32+</td>
<td>1.2 × 10⁸</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>360 μM</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll a</td>
<td>4.8 × 10⁸</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>38 μM</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P680</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(bpy)3(4,4′-(PO3H2)2)2+bpy)2+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

300–730 nm. The absorption spectra of Ru(bpy)32+ and chlorophyll a are from ref. 70. Percentage of incident solar photons absorbed for a solution of a given concentration (1 cm path length). Concentration required to absorb 50% of the incident solar photons (1 cm path length). Ref. 20. Ref. 71. Ref. 72, NHE = SHE + 6 mV. Ref. 73. Ref. 68.
and, simultaneously, in suppressing the side-reactions of the radical cation.  

3. Conclusions

In conclusion, we have studied a water soluble Pt(II)-porphyrin and demonstrated its use as a visible-light driven photosensitizer for water oxidation in a three-component system with four different WOCs and persulfate as a sacrificial electron acceptor in neutral phosphate buffer solution. In some cases, increasing excitation power did not improve the rate of oxygen generation. The overall conversion is probably limited by the rate of the catalytic reaction. The relatively high reduction potentials of the radical cations of Pt(II)-porphyrins allow these chromophores to be used to study a broad range of WOCs with overpotentials $\eta < 0.6$ V in neutral phosphate buffer solution. More importantly, Pt(II)-TCPP is much more photostable than Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ in phosphate buffer solution during light-driven water oxidation. Whereas these two sensitizers (Pt(II)-TCPP and Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$) are both quite photostable in phosphate buffer when excited in the absence of other reagents; the addition of persulfate is very detrimental for Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ (see Fig. S11–S13†). For Pt(II)-TCPP in neutral phosphate buffer solution, the anionic charges of the carboxylate groups have an important stabilizing effect on the $\pi$-cation of the oxidized porphyrin.$^{18,45}$  

Pt(II)-TCMPPP has three times the photon capture ability and 240 mV more oxidizing power than the extensively used Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$. Therefore, the Pt(II)-porphyrin is highly suitable as a photoanode for solar-water-splitting photoelectrochemical devices. The fabrication of Pt(II)-porphyrin based organic photovoltaic and dye sensitized photoelectrochemical cells is in progress in our laboratory. Moreover, further improvements of the photooxidation of metal–porphyrin photosensitizers for light-driven water oxidation by rational tuning of the molecular excited state and redox properties are being investigated.
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